Information about a new documentary regarding the current looting of America.

(with various included modern day political essays & The People's Universal Statement of Freedom)
“If you wish for real positive change - it’s not too late - but you are going to have to clean house America! It’s a shame that to become president one has to be pre-selected, bought & paid for and chosen by war criminals & the criminal elite.”

Welcome to “The Duperstate” – an epic 12 page internet novel in 1 Mb PDF format... a true story about selling you hardcore militarized corporate fascism right in your face – talk about slick. Think – What could be next? More war? –

Or are The People of the World going to fight back!!! World Peace is only possible when most everyone becomes a soldier against fraud. This Obama character and the Osama character share more than a similarly sounding name; both characters are hired puppets of the Military Industrial Complex and the Wall Street banking mob. Wall Street gives Obama 500 million for his campaign, and the campaign supporters get 5 trillion back in free taxpayer money – see how that works? For the freedom of all of us innocents from the tyrants of monetary piracy and all the thousands of the corporate elite’s well paid lackies in the media; and therefore for not only for our heritage, our lives, & our freedoms, but also for the Glory of both Martin Luther & The Eternal King that we to unite to defeat their dreaded “New World Order”.

Once you get over Obama fever and recognize it – then share this short document with EVERYONE by email and print. The Only Change is that the Bush Agenda has been Accelerated (sped up)! The USA is being USED & LOOTED! For the sake of Peace, it is 7 Billion of The People versus ~7000 of them. Guard the protective 2nd Amendment.
Ram Emmanuel - Thief of Staff - Dual Citizen - Former Israeli IDF soldier calling for the draft.

Barak “Barry Satoro” Hussein Obama intentionally fudged the sacred oath, remember? That was an inside joke!

Now, after decades of lies and cover-ups from the 1913 Fed Reserve and “Income Tax” through the assassination of JFK in 1963 to 911 staged to start the endless war in the Middle East; now the psychopathic elite class is looting the nation by printing up trillions and deflating the value of the national currency. Hitler also printed money out of thin air to fund the nazi war machine. The exact same mega-scam against the people is going on right now as of February 2009. Your pension funds, annuities, stocks, and your bank account are all being devalued while all the criminal conspirators are being reward with cash handouts and bonuses. They wish you to enjoy your enslavement. Instead defy the enslavers! Declare your sovereignty as a natural born United States citizen and let’s Stop paying property and income tax to them!
Dear Bretheren,

We live in a presently darkening age. Even the sun has been now darkening a bit, and record cold temperatures have swept around the planet. Recently, the American people are highly tolerant of genocide while the heavy bombing and invasion of Gaza was stopped by the good hearted people of Europe and Scandanavia by millions pouring out onto flooded streets in previous weeks. Wall Street CEOs are handing themselves trillions of federal reserve notes in cash while The Titanic USS USA has already taken on tens of trillions of gallons of neverending debt in her hull. With even a bigger deficit and a even bigger invasionary and militaristic government the crew will take matters to the point just enough until the ship starts really listing and all the passenger citizenry inside starts to take notice and become aware and show some signs of panic. Their intent is to have a seat on the lifeboats to themselves as they evacuate for their “new world order”.

A casino management type government has already taken over the whole world. In the old days when one nation conquered another, the conqueror would require “tribute” or a high tax rate on every family in the conquered land. This is still being done today by conquerors, but the process continues seemingly invisibly to the world’s people, because today it is on a most microscopic and granular scale. The entire middle class of America has already been economically conquered, and for a long time - since being forced to pay endless property “ground rent” taxes to the corrupt system, to also pay an income tax to them - the system, to ultimately being taxed on virtually everything, and soon to be announced on the air you breath as well, a.k.a. the new “carbon tax”. They would tax you on rainwater that hits your rooftops if they could openly sell you that far down the river without you getting together with your neighbors to revolt against tyranny.

There is an "elite class" that currently manages the major dealings: the controls, manipulations of, the affairs of the whole world. They have the power to print up money out of thin air (they counterfeit it) and hire mercenaries armies with it against any dissenting population. This is what most everyone would call "normal" as this is the world we all live in today. What you are presented with on the "Nightly News" or by “Hollywood" for example. You have likely already even got to the point where you know that being lied to through the Nightly News is normal. What investigation of JFK? What people’s investigation of 911? What truly public investigation has there ever been - of their government? Whenever an investigation to check if the investigators were being payrolled by the perpetrators themselves to participate in "cover-up investigation”s?

There currently is a big privilege difference between the "elite" and a common Joe or Jane of America & the whole world.

The elite class do nothing productive for others themselves; they only manipulate the world into increasing states of war and destruction through finance; and nothing else that a sane people’s government, say if one existed, could do. They don’t nail one piece of wood to another ever. None of them become musical superstars. They do nothing constructive except jointly manipulate the whole world of finances, as if they truly did own the world itself, and always in line for the benefit of their other international banking friends who have alot of power too. The elite class is a parasitic class, they require your blood, your sweat, to enjoy the pure pleasure seeking lifestyle they like to have. Some of them may feign a sense of morality occasionally amongst themselves, but the majority of more devilish ones seem to always suppress any sincere effort to reform themselves into humanitarians. All these secretive psychos care about is maximizing their personal wealth and power day to day.

When ever has a billionaire gave his money away to a good cause and led the hardworking lifestyle of a common man? Has that ever happened? Huge pieces of entire dissenting families have been wiped out in this conspiracy of power. JFK, his son JFK Jr. (most likely), his uncle Robert who he barely met – for example. This is some evidence of a literal family feud amongst the wealthiest and most powerful monopoly consortium dynasties on the planet - and they all know it. Therefore a solution idea like putting them all on an island to live together and dominate themselves and to be reality-film directed like Lost, but True-TV by director Michael Rivero of whatreallyhappened.com that does graphic special effect work for Lost - is actually win-win proposition for all.

We need a whole new way of thinking. To start out with we need to stop paying taxes and mortgages for a while. The immense wealth eventually reclaimed from the elite will operate the new form of government for at least a decade. Then taxes should be reconsidered perhaps. **However our world’s current financial control system is cannibalistic and should crumble. It needs to crumble.** It would be a good and blessed thing if it did crumble tomorrow. We The People will be much smarter at life, liberty, and constitutional principles again this next time, and everything major will be manifest transparent. Then we can also enjoy watching the elite dominate themselves on their own fenced-in biosphere prison island on the new Criminal Elite Channel. This is how to employ all our new government’s soldiers. It’s a big job!

So are we going to let them set up a new corrupt system - or are we going to band together and figure out how to do it smarter for the constructive benefit of all the worlds middle and lower classes before we are harshly enslaved or if we let those representing the corrupt system attempt to exterminate ourselves in mass, such as in a mass biological influenza release or a third world war or both? Figure out a solution, like this article resembles. Be a part of the solution, not just a part of the problem. You can start by sending this letter by email to all others, children too – as they are smarter than adults in ways - and printing it out for all others. It is time for us all to wake up from being enslaved children, pushed and shoved by the criminal system “for our safety”; instead it is much better for us to control our government again, and make the changes that we all want – because simply the establishment bigwig criminal psychos are not going to do it for us.
Dear Friendly & Sensible Citizens Everywhere,

These are unprecedented times. When before in America’s History have we watched, in plain view, a cabal of assorted non-democratically-elected international banking king-pins, who collectively think they own the planet, along with their political puppets, publicly convening to discuss replacing the US dollar with a new IMF currency of their specifications; in order to subsequently fund the continued terrorizing of & militarized enslavement of the American People? Are there any full blooded patriots left in the US military to reverse this economic/socialistic/fascistic/communistic, & darkly religious, take-over coup of all the people of all nations?

Where is the outrage of at least 10 thousand of America's countrymen and women convening for about a week to solve our constitutional concerns; as our constitution states to do: to assemble, to revalidate and update and enforce the existing rights of the citizenry; and that should be done at such epic times, if not before such a predicament as we are now in, has already happened. The threat of privately owned international banking to our country and way of life has long held its grip on America and also the rest of the world, unfortunately. The solution to the problem is quite simple. The solution is the world's people agree to demand to own and oversee the presses that print their currency and their election equipment. At that point, the people of a particular nation then control major financing and oversee their election results in a fully transparent manner.

We have been talking about the existence and in-power criminal regime of international bankers and their cohorts who have staged and funded false-flag terror events all around the world and have covertly published a call for a biological & militarized slaughtering the many billions of people of the world; to create their much neurotically desired "new world order". Now the international banking cult is in plain view with their puppets calling for a new economic system and a tighter world rule, to further terrorize and threaten the populations.

Next the citizenry may be left up to the task of defending themselves from swat teams of federal police, together with the new puppet regime's stated plans to have IMF bank funded militarized 'youth-brigades' to be used as an internal stasi 'dirty-work' force against the American citizenry. This was posted to change.gov by the B.O. administration. This is no great surprise next to the secretive society's covertly published planning to potentially use neutron weapons in "false-flag" operations on the populations; in order to then bring in foreign UN blue helmeted forces; to further brutally enforce the elite bankers continued occupation of America.

To solve the problem, each nation can effectively fire the criminal bankers and elect a government to print up new national "people's" currencies - with full transparency. Today's money can be exchanged into these new national currencies that will retain their value. Simply 'the people' happily and physically own and transparently manage their national paper money printing (and voting) machines.

We must throw off the old way of thinking we are children of the media & super-state and start to refuse to being treated like children. We must think in new ways of why we wish to have freedom, and effective ways to effectively preserve freedom and legitimate democracies.

A committee chosen from each nation can assemble and cooperate and communicate serving a people’s council for a thinly layered world republic oversight board composed of all independent democracies. A happy world does not require a scientific consortium of psychopathic elites to rule it - it requires the people of the world to have the character of a free people. The various 7000 or so murderous elites should all be rounded up and monitored. Bounties should be put on their capture as bonuses to liberty loving members and groups of the military. That act in itself would be a dramatic form of enlightenment and truly the precise dawn of a new, and highly improved, age.

"Nothing reinvigorates the economy as well as a constructive people's revolution when everyone gets involved."

If the world knew how to use freedom without abusing it, tyranny would not exist. - Tehyi Hsieh
A letter to a long-time friend who has refused to wake up to the massive government corruption for years:

Lynn,

You seem to have a fairly good sense of logic, comparatively to others and that puts you in the top 2-1% of society in this regard Lynn, and I think you know that. If you really are so smart though Lynn, then just try reading this letter word for word; slowly.

But when I asked you a question about Obama’s superbowl snowjob staged interview speech:

How does giving un.accounted for t.rillions to b.ankers in multiple “banking b.ailouts”, while the little common guy gets nothing, make any good sense to you?

Just because bush and o.bama and big corporate p.ropaganda are for it - it is easier not to have a valid concern? Just because they are super slick and so likable on their surfaces? Their smiles – the soothing tone of their voice? How about that big wet thank you smooch Michelle Obama gave Bush near the helicopter on inauguration day? Is this why you didn’t answer the question when I asked yesterday? Because you would have to concede ignorance or not have any sort of rational explanation?

No small business people are getting anything, the guy losing his house doesn’t get his mortgage restructured for his/her benefit or deferred grace period while unemployed ( the principle would still need to be paid back eventually but over more time ). On the contrary the home owners are being forced now to walk away from the house/property. *The bank/system gets both the house and the free taxpayer money.* Are you still trying to justify the corrupt tv-programmed mass acquiescence opinion? Logically, how can you? How can you also justify year after year f.luoride poisoning in the public drinking water system - or having the atmosphere being sprayed with chemicals without your knowledge or consent? http://www.notseenoncorporatemedia.com

And how do you justify your ‘hopeful’ puppet O.bama just smiling with no comment ever on the partial geno.cide that just happened against the people of Palestine? Did the german people ever show any hope for H.itler? Hell yes they did! Same crap, but a more charming tyrant. Who cares about the 1.5 million buried I-raqis people anymore? Right? Who care’s about what really happened to JFK, the vietnam war, 9.11 etc anymore right? It’s obvious to the alert and educated and aware that the only “change” that is going to be given out by this puppet admin is “chump change” Lynn. The most simple logical fact that I wish everyone realized that if you don’t care what happens to others; it will eventually grow, come back, and haunt your ass too… Paraphrasing a quote of WW II German history: “First they came for the J.ews, and I did not care. Then they came for the Poles, and again I did not care, then they came for me and there was nobody left to defend me.”

And how does trading g.old for paper c.ash (that is rapidly devaluating) make good sense like the commercial tried to convince you of during the superbowl? In the great depression of 1927-33 the gov c.onfiscated, under a threat of a $100,000 fine, the middle classes gold holdings - they used more of a brute f.orce method back then. Today the money pirates are just printing new cash out of thin air, hiring an actual circus clown for a TV superbowl ad like you saw, and trying to convince everyone to mail in their gold for the m.onopoly money! That is what is going on RIGHT NOW. Expect h.yperinflation this spring and summer because of the h.eists now going on. And to the contrary, if you don’t trade your paper a.sssets for precious metal holdings RIGHT NOW, such as silver or gold, you will lose gradually your ass almost completely in your diversified “paper assets”. So to be smart pay off all your debts/mortgage/your m&d’s house/etc with your wall street investments, just don’t leave it sit there getting continually shredded in the corrupt wall street casino system. Congress is now moving to lock-up pensions/iras/401Ks into the corrupt system. Do something wise! Silver & Gold in your possession, buried someplace that you can physically get to it, not in some mysterious far away vault somewhere like you are partially into now. The system is a big scam!

Really Lynn, how does Bruce Springsteen supersede political t.reason and economic t.yranny in your mind? Easily 30 minutes for his crazy stage theatrics and no time for my mind - just treats and smiles and shut-ups - I appreciate the treats and smiles a lot/tons but I hate the shut-ups. You think you don’t have anything to lose Lynn?
You are certain little reflection of this misled society in general. How about your children in the middle of continued g.lobal w.arfare? O.bama just smiles all along as he is gearing up for the herding of the nation’s youth into both *domestic* and foreign a.rmies. Soon the USA will be like Mexico’s police state and at more war with the Middle East? Do you have a pure sense of reason left or an Obotic mind? Are you willing to trade your conscience for entertainment and deferred concern? Do you think that just because this rouge overbloated elite-run out-of control gov just puppeted-up up a half-black face on g.overnment and & had the m.ilitary complex chairman (“Betray-us”) flipping the coin toss at the superbowl – that is going to solve this long ongoing financial, e.elite class sponsored, g.lobilization & w.ar, high level global freemasonic s.ociety inspired global d.omination c.onspiracy? No it is just going to make it easier for them to get everyone conforming to their funny money and to recruit impoverished o.bamanoids into the system/m.ilitary. Do really think you sufficiently comprehend what is really actually going on and that you will be unaffected either way Lynn? Your son Karl is likely going to be DRAFTED this year right after graduation. All the m.ilitary complex needs to do is another inside-job staged t.error event and the nation will be rather mindlessly drummed up heavy into war fast - blitz.krieg style. There are only two solutions to a 2 trillion gov deficit, a trillion trade deficit, a mostly deindustrialized service and welfare society, and a 14-40 t.illion dollar international debt and a massively faltering economy our once esteemed country now is in; revolution or more war. The wealthy e.stablishment Lynn, has chosen and will continue to choose w.ar – You should be *against* it if you were purely logical and humanitarian. Rather how about 700 $1-Billion-dollar new factories for the American people instead of the gov just hanging it secretly as a carrot and throwing their corporate Wall Street CEO friends in time over a Trillion dollars in free freshly printed taxpayer money. So, there is a chance Karl would be drafted, and Kris and Kathy eventually too into m.andatory service forced to k.illed or slave and/or perhaps be k.illed while the nation is maximally b.ankrupted and subsequently fully owned lock stock & barrel by the international b.anking/c.ounterfeiting class in the end - all bought up with fiat c.ounterfeit m.oney and b.loodshed? If so they you will recognize that you were wrong in your assumption that all this corruption and tv/entertainment mind-control going on isn’t ever going to affect you. In light of this, if you have any logical or humanitarian sense, are you going to continue to help keep everyone around you stupid/mindless/logicless: your family, your neighbors and/or co-workers?

Do you think I am trying to educate my friends for selfish reasons? If so again you would be completely unaligned with logic on that topic as well.

I love you Lynn. I got unnerved yesterday when you tried to deny my 1st Amendment right to call this gov out as a fraud, as p.uppet theatre for the e.elite class that it is.

Ask yourself “Why?” to all the above and please just don’t a.ttack me on a false “you are unappreciative” platform. I do thank you for the high definition football show, the snacks, the two beers, etc. I appreciate I have a fun yet often mindlessly argumentative friends.

Add this in too: GM and Ford given free taxpayer bailout money to move to offshore? That is now going on. Nuts! I mean come on... A candy bar is going to cost $3 later this year from all the resulting inflation from all this free taxpayer money being printed up. The banking devils behind all this nonsense knows that an impoverished nation is easier to further overthrow; the next round, militarily – international mercenary armies against the old and new poor hired with the new counterfeit international banking money. The nation, specifically the middle class, is currently being looted; ‘bailout’ is the cover word.

XO,
John

So is denial going to win and are we going to let them set up a new corrupt system - or are we going to band together and figure out how to do it smarter for the constructive benefit of all the worlds middle and lower classes? Figure out a solution too, like this article resembles. Be a part of the overall solution, not just a part of the problem. You can start by sending this letter by email to and printing it out for all others. It is time for us all to wake up, take control of our government again, and make the changes that we all want – because the establishment bigwig criminals psychos are not going to do it for us. **Let’s defeat this economic tyranny and Orwellian fascist military and police state all together!** Let’s release the innocents out of prison and imprison all these high level criminals on some contained island where they can dominate themselves and we can all view the real life intrigue weekly of these megalomaniacs all housed together.
A plausible solution would be to strip the world's criminal elite pirates, who have been chiefly responsible for most if not all acts of global terrorism, of their enormous wealth, to be turned over to the next people's government, and confined on a prison island; as we continue to monitor their antics on TV.

( Note to the various national militaries: this should be your first new most important mission; pick the island, perform the roundups of the elite & just do it all together one day and have fun. )

For worldwide consideration, continue to email this to everyone else. We the people of the world have power, if we choose to quickly unite & protect ourselves together. America should have a black president now, but one who doesn't think eye to eye like George Bush. Someone who cares about the middle-class and isn't a puppet of the international bankers. Also, as the constitution states as the 3 basic reqs. to be president; born on North American soil as well. First let's all hold in our minds our existing constitution.)

When your vote doesn't count for anything anymore, this is how to restore democracy - revolution (just hand to others).

This document can be viewed on scribd.com: keyword "re1776" ]
MOST CREEPY!

(Crowned with obvious and traitorous *Freemasonic Cult Symbolism* all around their charming decoy/fraud puppet.)
This map shows a growing number of states that have passed and propose resolutions to assert the Tenth Amendment and the Bill of Rights of the Constitution.

The Tenth Amendment is part of the Bill of Rights and was ratified on December 15, 1791. It states the Constitution’s principle of Federalism by providing that powers not granted to the national government nor prohibited to the states are reserved to the states and to the people. It is based on an earlier provision of the Articles of Confederation: “Each state retains its sovereignty, freedom, and independence, and every power, jurisdiction, and right, which is not by this Confederation expressly delegated to the United States, in Congress assembled.”

On this resource page, you will find links to the various bills and proposed bills by the states, articles covering this important topic, and related videos.

Washington, New Hampshire, Arizona, Montana, Michigan, Missouri, Oklahoma, California, and Georgia have all introduced bills and resolutions declaring sovereignty under the Tenth Amendment. Colorado, Hawaii, Pennsylvania, Arkansas, Idaho, Indiana, Alaska, Kansas, Alabama, Nevada, Maine, and Illinois are considering such measures.

**States’ Rights and Sovereignty: Bills Passed and Proposed**

For details on the particular bills and resolutions introduced by the above states, check out the following:

**Washington**

**New Hampshire**

**Arizona**

**Montana**

**Missouri**

**Oklahoma**

**California**

**Georgia**

**Articles**

- Increasing Number of States Declaring Sovereignty
- Oklahoma House defends its sovereignty from D.C. intrusion
- 21 States Claiming Sovereignty
- Our 10th Amendment Sovereignty Resolve, Will Defeat the New World
- States Rights, 10th Amendment & Secessionist Movement 2009
- New Hampshire and Washington legislators reaffirm states' rights UPDATE: 8 more states
- States take the tenth
- State Sovereignty Movement Quietly Growing
- Federalism and the 10th Amendment
States prepare to combat stimulus strings

Assert sovereignty with 10th Amendment

David M. Dickson (Contact)
Friday, February 20, 2009

Worried the federal government is increasing its dominance over their affairs, several states are pursuing legislative action to assert their sovereignty under the 10th Amendment of the Constitution in hopes of warding off demands from Washington on how to spend money or enact policy. The growing concerns even have a handful of governors questioning whether to accept federal stimulus money that comes with strings attached.

The sentiments to declare themselves legally independent from Washington have swept across as many as a dozen states, renewing a debate over so-called unfunded mandates that last raged in the 1990s. The states question whether the U.S. government can force states to take actions without paying for them or impose conditions on states if they accept certain federal funding.

"We are telling the federal government that we are a sovereign state and want to be treated as such. We are not a branch of the federal government," said Arizona state Rep. Judy Burges, who is leading an effort in her state to pass a resolution called "Sovereignty: the 10th Amendment." Ms. Burges was inspired to action by a pair of Bush administration initiatives: The No Child Left Behind education law of 2002 and the Real ID Act, a 2005 law that established national standards for state-issued driver's licenses and identification cards.

In other states, lawmakers say they are bracing to repeal federal mandates to spend their money that they expect will emanate from Washington once President Obama begins delivering some of the big-ticket programs promised during his presidential campaign.

Oklahoma state Sen. Randy Brogdon introduced a resolution that he said would enable his state to "reclaim its 10th Amendment right to reject any and all acts of Congress that go beyond its enumerated powers in violation of the 10th Amendment."

Other states pursuing sovereignty resolutions are Hawaii, Michigan, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire and Washington. Similar measures are likely to be introduced in more than a dozen other states, analysts said.

"The states are sending a message," said Robert Alt, a legal scholar at the Heritage Foundation.

The nearly $800 billion stimulus bill also has raised worries.
States prepare to combat stimulus strings

Assert sovereignty with 10th Amendment

David M. Dickson (Contact)
Friday, February 20, 2009

Mr. Perry sent Mr. Obama a letter Wednesday certifying that Texas also would accept stimulus money. “I remain opposed to using these funds to expand existing government programs, burdening the state with ongoing expenditures long after the funding has dried up,” Mr. Perry wrote, clearly expressing his opposition to unfunded mandates.

Mr. Obama has promised that his administration will use federal funds to support his administration’s initiatives. “[Mr. Obama does] not support imposing unfunded mandates on states and localities. They strongly support providing necessary funding for programs such as No Child Left Behind,” his campaign literature said.

The states are resting their efforts on the 10th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, which states: "The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

Mr. Brogdon said prospects for passage of his resolution are "grand," noting that a similar bill passed the Oklahoma House by a 97-3 vote last year and that Republicans captured a majority of the Oklahoma Senate in the 2008 elections. He predicted that the Legislature would easily override a veto from Gov. Brad Henry, a Democrat.

Mr. Obama proposed a slew of expensive programs during his presidential campaign, ranging from health insurance to policies addressing alternative energy and climate change. "The states are worried that these programs would increase the overall regulatory burden without providing the funding," Mr. Alt said.

Some analysts dispute the financial impact of recent unfunded mandates on state budgets. Tad DeHaven, a budget analyst at the Cato Institute who recently completed a two-year stint in Indiana’s budget office, said he hasn’t “heard states clamoring about unfunded mandates the way they were during the 1990s. What you hear more today are states begging for federal money.”

The reality is that states are being “hypocritical,” he said, noting that about a third of average total state spending comes from the federal government.
The biggest federal grants involve Medicaid, the federal-state program that provides health care for the poor.

States were adding Medicaid benefits when times were good. Now the federal government must bail the states out through the so-called stimulus bill because the states' revenues have taken a hit and they must operate under a balanced-budget mandate,” Mr. DeHaven said.

In 2007, according to the Congressional Budget Office, states collectively spent $1 billion for unfunded federal mandates from programs enacted under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, said Brian Riedl, a budget analyst at the Heritage Foundation.

But, states are scapegoating their budget problems on Washington, Mr. Riedl said. "It's tough to be sympathetic for states and localities when they got $467 billion in federal grants last year," he said.

Mr. Riedl rejected the notion that the No Child Left Behind Act is an unfunded mandate. States are free to opt out of this and other federal programs by not accepting federal money in exchange for federal regulations. States have effectively decided that the federal dollars are worth the strings attached to them, Mr. Riedl concluded.

But the sovereignty resolutions under consideration in the states will have the force of law. Even if they did, said Mr. Alt, "through the supremacy clause in the U.S. Constitution, so long as a federal statute is constitutional, it would trump state law."

Mr. Riedl also rejected the notion that the No Child Left Behind Act constitutes an unfunded mandate. States are free to opt out of this and many other federal programs by not accepting federal money in exchange for federal regulations. States have effectively decided that the federal dollars are worth the strings attached to them, Mr. Riedl concluded.

Mr. Riedl acknowledged that Medicaid, which was created in 1965, does represent a major unfunded mandate. But he said that "a majority of state Medicaid spending is on populations and benefits that states have created and could reduce at any time."

The other major unfunded federal mandate that predates the 1995 law is the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). However, federal funding for IDEA has grown 71 percent faster than inflation since 2001, Mr. Riedl said.

Mr. Brogdon of Oklahoma did not take issue with that. "Federal law does not trump the Constitution," he said.

He suggested that if he becomes governor, the federal courts likely will get a case involving the 10th Amendment and congressional powers.
50 Reasons to Oppose Fluoridation

Dr. Paul Connett
Professor of Chemistry
St. Lawrence University, NY 13617
315-229-5853 pconnett@stlawu.edu

Abstract Water fluoridation is the practice of adding compounds containing fluoride to the water supply to produce a final concentration of fluoride of 1 part per million in an effort to prevent tooth decay. Trials first began in the US in 1945, but before any of these trials were complete, the practice was endorsed by the US Public Health Service in 1950. Since then fluoridation has been enthusiastically and universally promoted by US health officials as being a "safe and effective" for fighting tooth decay. However, even though most countries worldwide have not succumbed to America's enthusiasm for this practice, their teeth are just as good, if not better, than those countries that have. The "50 Reasons" offered in this article for opposing fluoridation are based on a thorough review of the scientific literature as regards both the risks and benefits of being exposed to the fluoride ion. Documentation is offered which indicates that the benefits of ingested fluoride have been exaggerated, while the numerous risks have been downplayed or ignored.

Introduction

This document, titled "50 Reasons to Oppose Fluoridation," has an interesting history. In October 2000, Dr. Hardy Limeback and I were invited by Ireland's Ministry of Health and Children to present our concerns about water fluoridation to a panel called the "Fluoridation Forum." We accepted. Ireland is the only country in Europe which has mandatory fluoridation and currently, over 70% of the Irish population is drinking fluoridated water.

When fluoridation opponents in Ireland heard that we had agreed to testify they were furious. They believed that this forum had been set up by the government merely to appear to deal with growing discontent about fluoridation. Opponents believed that most of the Forum panel members had been hand picked to "whitewash" fluoridation, and by testifying, Dr. Limeback and I would give an illusion of legitimacy to an illegitimately established process and any product it produced.

We were in a dilemma. Although we also suspected that the opponents were correct in thinking the Forum was merely a rubber stamp for government policy, we both had a strong desire to bring the best science available to the panel. Had we chosen not to appear, proponents could have argued that there was no valid, scientific case to be made against fluoridation.

In the face of fierce opposition we proceeded to testify. In my testimony, however, I explained that many citizens felt the forum was "fixed." Then I offered the panel a challenge that could demonstrate to the Irish people and to us that the panel was truly going to perform an objective review of the issue. I presented the "50 Reasons to Oppose Fluoridation" and asked the panel to prepare a written, scientifically documented response and to make it publicly available.

Initially, the panel agreed and set up a sub-committee to do this. Forum minutes over the next year indicate several exchanges about how much progress was being made with the task. However, shortly before the Forum report was completed, it was announced that the panel didn't have time to complete its answers. The cover excuse was that most of the 50 reasons were actually addressed in their 296 page report. This was blatantly untrue. Subsequently, a group of 11 scientists, including Dr. Limeback and myself, issued a detailed critique of the Forum’s report which can be accessed at http://www.fluoridealert.org/irish.forum-critique.htm

It now has been three and a half years since the "50 Reasons to Oppose Fluoridation" was presented to the Fluoridation Forum, and even though the Irish Minister of Health and Children, Mr. Michael Martin, has been questioned about this document in the Irish parliament, there still has been no formal answer to the questions. Meanwhile, citizens in other fluoridated countries (e.g. Australia, Canada, New Zealand and the United States) have asked their own local, state and federal health officials to respond to the "50 Reasons" document – also to no avail.

The 50 Reasons (updated April 12, 2004)

1) Fluoride is not an essential nutrient (NRC 1993 and IOM 1997). No disease has ever been linked to a fluoride deficiency. Humans can have perfectly good teeth without fluoride.

2) Fluoridation is not necessary. Most Western European countries are not fluoridated and have experienced the same decline in dental decay as the US (See data from World Health Organization in Appendix 1, and the time trends presented graphically at http://www.fluoridealert.org/who-dmf.htm). The reasons given by countries for not fluoridating are presented in Appendix 2.)

3) Fluoridation's role in the decline of tooth decay is in serious doubt. The largest survey ever conducted in the US (over 39,000 children from 84 communities) by the National Institute of Dental Research showed little difference in tooth decay among children in fluoridated and non-fluoridated communities (Hileman 1989). According to NIDR researchers, the study found an average difference of only 0.6 DMFS (Decayed Missing and Filled Surfaces) in the permanent teeth of children aged 5-17 residing in fluoridated or unfluoridated areas (Brunelle and Carlos, 1990). This difference is less than one tooth surface! There are 128 tooth surfaces in a child's mouth. This result was not shown to be statistically significant. In a review commissioned by the Ontario government, Dr. David Locker concluded:

"The magnitude of [fluoridation's] effect is not large in absolute terms, is often not statistically significant and may not be of clinical significance" (Locker 1999).

4) Where fluoridation has been discontinued in communities from Canada, the former East Germany, Cuba and Finland, dental decay has not increased but has actually decreased
5) There have been numerous recent reports of dental crises in US cities (e.g. Boston, Cincinnati, New York City) which have been fluoridated for over 20 years. There appears to be a far greater (inverse) relationship between tooth decay and income level than with water fluoride levels.

6) Modern research (e.g. Diesendorf 1986; Colquhoun 1997, and De Liefde, 1998) shows that decay rates were coming down before fluoridation was introduced and have continued to decline even after its benefits would have been maximized. Many other factors influence tooth decay. Some recent studies have found that tooth decay actually increases as the fluoride concentration in the water increases (Olsson 1979; Retief 1979; Mann 1987, 1990; Steelink 1992; Teotia 1994; Grobler 2001; Awadja 2002, and Ekanayake 2002).

7) The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC 1999, 2001) has now acknowledged the findings of many leading dental researchers, that the mechanism of fluoride’s benefits are mainly TOPICAL not SYSTEMIC. Thus, you don’t have to swallow fluoride to protect teeth. As the benefits of fluoride (if any exist) are topical, and the risks are systemic, it makes more sense, for those who want to take the risks, to deliver the fluoride directly to the tooth in the form of toothpaste. Since swallowing fluoride is unnecessary, there is no reason to force people (against their will) to drink fluoride in their water supply. This position was recently shared by Dr. Douglas Carnall, the associate editor of the British Medical Journal. His editorial appears in Appendix 3.

8) Despite being prescribed by doctors for over 50 years, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has never approved any fluoride supplement designed for ingestion as safe or effective. Fluoride supplements are designed to deliver the same amount of fluoride as ingested daily from fluoridated water (Kelly 2000).

9) The US fluoridation program has massively failed to achieve one of its key objectives, i.e. to lower dental decay rates while holding down dental fluorosis (mottled and discolored enamel), a condition known to be caused by fluoride. The goal of the early promoters of fluoridation was to limit dental fluorosis (in its mildest form) to 10% of children (NRC 1993, pp. 6-7). A major US survey has found 30% of children in optimally fluoridated areas had dental fluorosis on at least two teeth (Heller 1997), while smaller studies have found up to 80% of children impacted (Williams 1990; Lalumandier 1995, and Morgan 1998). The York Review estimates that up to 48% of children in optimally fluoridated areas worldwide have dental fluorosis in all forms and 12.5% with symptoms of aesthetic concern (McDonagh, 2000).

10) Dental fluorosis means that a child has been overdosed on fluoride. While the mechanism by which the enamel is damaged is not definitively known, it appears fluorosis may be a result of either inhibited enzymes in the growing teeth (Dan Besten 1999), or through fluoride’s interference with G-protein signaling mechanisms (Matsu 1996). In a study in Mexico, Alarcon-Herrera (2001) has shown a linear correlation between the severity of dental fluorosis and the frequency of bone fractures in children.

11) The level of fluoride put into water (1 ppm) is up to 200 times higher than normally found in mothers’ milk (0.005 – 0.01 ppm) (Ekstrand 1981; Institute of Medicine 1997). There are no benefits, only risks, for infants ingesting this heightened level of fluoride at such an early age (this is an age where susceptibility to environmental toxins is particularly high).

12) Fluoride is a cumulative poison. On average, only 50% of the fluoride we ingest each day is excreted through the kidneys. The remainder accumulates in our bones, pineal gland, and other tissues. If the kidney is damaged, fluoride accumulation will increase, and with it, the likelihood of harm.

13) Fluoride is very biologically active even at low concentrations. It interferes with hydrogen bonding (Emsley 1981) and inhibits numerous enzymes (Waldbott 1978).


15) Fluoride has been shown to be mutagenic, cause chromosome damage and interfere with the enzymes involved with DNA repair in a variety of cell and tissue studies (Tsutsui 1984; Caspary 1987; Kishi 1993 and Mihashi 1996). Recent studies have also found a correlation between fluoride exposure and chromosome damage in humans (Sheth 1994; Wu 1995; Meng 1997 and Joseph 2000).

16) Fluoride forms complexes with a large number of metal ions, which include metals which are needed in the body (like calcium and magnesium) and metals (like lead and aluminum) which are toxic to the body. This can cause a variety of problems. For example, fluoride interferes with enzymes where magnesium is an important co-factor, and it can help facilitate the uptake of aluminum and lead into tissues where these metals wouldn’t otherwise go (Mahaffey 1976; Alain 1996; Varner 1998).

17) Rats fed for one year with 1 ppm fluoride in their water, using either sodium fluoride or aluminum fluoride, had morphological changes to their kidneys and brains, an increased uptake of aluminum in the brain, and the formation of beta amyloid deposits which are characteristic of Alzheimers disease (Varner 1998).

18) Aluminum fluoride was recently nominated by the Environmental Protection Agency and National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences for testing by the National Toxicology Program. According to EPA and NIEHS, aluminum fluoride currently has a “high health research priority” due to its “known neurotoxicity” (BNA, 2000). If fluoride is added to water which contains aluminum, than aluminum fluoride complexes will form.

19) Animal experiments show that fluoride accumulates in the brain and exposure alters mental behavior in a manner consistent with a neurotoxic agent (Mullenix 1995). Rats dosed prenatally demonstrated hyperactive behavior. Those dosed postnatally demonstrated hypoactivity (i.e. under activity or “couch potato” syndrome). More recent animal experiments have reported that fluoride can damage the brain (Wang 1997; Guan 1998; Varner 1998; Zhao 1998; Zhang 1999; Lu 2000; Shao 2000; Sun 2000; Bhatnagar 2002; Chen 2002, 2003; Long 2002; Shivarajashankara 2002a, b; Shashi 2003 and Zhai 2003) and impact learning and behavior (Paul 1998; Zhang 1999, 2001; Sun 2000; Ekambaram 2001; Bhatnagar 2002).

20) Five studies from China show a lowering of IQ in children associated with fluoride exposure (Lin Fa-Fu 1991; Li 1995;
Zhao 1996; Lu 2000; and Xiang 2003a, b). One of these studies (Lin Fa-Fu 1991) indicates that even just moderate levels of fluoride exposure (e.g. 0.9 ppm in the water) can exacerbate the neurological defects of iodine deficiency.


22) In the first half of the 20th century, fluoride was prescribed by a number of European doctors to reduce the activity of the thyroid gland for those suffering from hyperthyroidism (over active thyroid) (Stecher 1960; Waldbott 1978). With water fluoridation, we are forcing people to drink a thyroid-depressing medication which could, in turn, serve to promote higher levels of hypothyroidism (underactive thyroid) in the population, and all the subsequent problems related to this disorder. Such problems include depression, fatigue, weight gain, muscle and joint pains, increased cholesterol levels, and heart disease.

It bears noting that according to the Department of Health and Human Services (1991) fluoride exposure in fluoridated communities is estimated to range from 1.6 to 6.6 mg/day, which is a range that actually overlaps the dose (2.3 - 4.5 mg/day) shown to decrease the functioning of the human thyroid (Galletti & Joyet 1958). This is a remarkable fact, particularly considering the rampant and increasing problem of hypothyroidism in the United States (in 1999, the second most prescribed drug of the year was Synthroid, which is a hormone replacement drug used to treat an underactive thyroid). In Russia, Bachinskii (1985) found a lowering of thyroid function, among otherwise healthy people, at 2.3 ppm fluoride in water.

23) Some of the early symptoms of skeletal fluorosis, a fluoride-induced bone and joint disease that impacts millions of people in India, China, and Africa, mimic the symptoms of arthritis (Singh 1963; Franke 1975; Teotia 1976; Carnow 1981; Czerwinski 1988; & Joyet 1989). According to a review on fluoridation by Chemical & Engineering News, "Because some of the clinical symptoms mimic arthritis, the first two clinical phases of skeletal fluorosis could be easily misdiagnosed" (Hileman 1988). Few if any studies have been done to determine the extent of this misdiagnosis, and whether the high prevalence of arthritis in America (1 in 3 Americans have some form of arthritis - CDC, 2002) is related to our growing fluoride exposure, which is highly plausible. The causes of most forms of arthritis (e.g. osteoarthritis) are unknown.

24) In some studies, when high doses of fluoride (average 26 mg per day) were used in trials to treat patients with osteoporosis in an effort to harden their bones and reduce fracture rates, it actually led to a HIGHER number of fractures, particularly hip fractures (Inkovaara 1975; Gerster 1983; Dambacher 1986; O'Duffy 1985; Hedlund 1989; Bayley 1990; Gutteridge 1990. 2002; Orcel 1990; Riggs 1990 and Schnitzler 1990). The cumulative doses used in these trials are exceeded by the lifetime cumulative doses being experienced by many people living in fluoridated communities.

25) Nineteen studies (three unpublished, including one abstract) since 1990 have examined the possible relationship of fluoride in water and hip fracture among the elderly. Eleven of these studies found an association, eight did not. One study found a dose-related increase in hip fracture as the concentration of fluoride rose from 1 ppm to 8 ppm (Li 2001). Hip fracture is a very serious issue for the elderly, as a quarter of those who have a hip fracture die within a year of the operation, while 50 percent never regain an independent existence (All 19 of these studies are referenced as a group in the reference section).

26) The only government-sanctioned animal study to investigate if fluoride causes cancer, found a dose-dependent increase in cancer in the target organ (bone) of the fluoride-treated (male) rats (NTP 1990). The initial review of this study also reported an increase in liver and oral cancers, however, all non-bone cancers were later downgraded – with a questionable rationale - by a government-review panel (Marcus 1990). In light of the importance of this study, EPA Professional Headquarters Union has requested that Congress establish an independent review to examine the study's results (Hirzy 2000).

27) A review of national cancer data in the US by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) revealed a significantly higher rate of bone cancer in young men in fluoridated versus unfluoridated areas (Hoover 1991). While the NCI concluded that fluoridation was not the cause, no explanation was provided to explain the higher rates in the fluoridated areas. A smaller study from New Jersey (Cohn 1992) found bone cancer rates to be up to 6 times higher in young men living in fluoridated versus unfluoridated areas. Other epidemiological studies have failed to find this relationship (Mahoney 1991; Freni 1992).

28) Fluoride administered to animals at high doses wreaks havoc on the male reproductive system - it damages sperm and increases the rate of infertility in a number of different species (Kour 1980; Chinoy 1989; Chinoy 1991; Susheela 1991; Chinoy 1994; Kumar 1994; Narayana 1994a, b; Zhao 1995; Eibetieha 2000; Ghosh 2002 and Zakrezwskia 2002). Whilestudies conducted at the FDA have failed to find reproductive effects in rats (Sprando 1996, 1997, 1998), an epidemiological study from the US has found increased rates of infertility among couples living in areas with 3 or more ppm fluoride in the water (Freni 1994), and 2 studies have found a reduced level of circulating testosterone in males living in high fluoride areas (Susheela 1996 and Barot 1998).

29) The fluoridation program has been very poorly monitored. There has never been a comprehensive analysis of the fluoride levels in the bones, blood, or urine of the American people or the citizens of other fluoridated countries. Based on the sparse data that has become available, however, it is increasingly evident that some people in the population – particularly people with kidney disease - are accumulating fluoride levels that have been associated with harm to both animals and humans, particularly harm to bone (see Connett 2004).

30) Once fluoride is put in the water it is impossible to control the dose each individual receives. This is because 1) some people (e.g. manual laborers, athletes, diabetics, and people with kidney disease) drink more water than others, and 2) we receive fluoride from sources other than the water supply. Other sources of fluoride include food and beverages processed with fluoridated water (Kiritu 1996 and Heilman 1999), fluoridated dental products (Bentley 1999 and Levy 1999), mechanically deboned meat (Fein 2001), teas (Levy 1999), and pesticide residues on food (Stannard 1991 and Burgstahler 1997).

31) Fluoridation is unethical because individuals are not being asked for their informed consent prior to medication. This is standard practice for all medication, and one of the key reasons why most of western Europe has ruled against fluoridation (see appendix 2).
As one doctor aptly stated, "No physician in his right senses would prescribe for a person he has never met, whose medical history he does not know, a substance which is intended to create bodily change, with the advice: 'Take as much as you like, but you will take it for the rest of your life because some children suffer from tooth decay.' It is a preposterous notion."

32) While referenda are preferential to imposed policies from central government, it still leaves the problem of individual rights versus majority rule. Put another way -- does a voter have the right to require that their neighbor ingest a certain medication (even if it's against that neighbor's will)?

33) Some individuals appear to be highly sensitive to fluoride as shown by case studies and double blind studies (Shea 1967, Waldbott 1978 and Moolenburg 1987). In one study, which lasted 13 years, Feltman and Kosel (1961) showed that about 1% of patients given 1 mg of fluoride each day developed negative reactions. Can we as a society force these people to ingest fluoride?

34) According to the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR 1993), and other researchers (Juncos & Donadio 1972; Marier & Rose 1977 and Johnson 1979), certain subsets of the population may be particularly vulnerable to fluoride's toxic effects; these include: the elderly, diabetics and people with poor kidney function. Again, can we in good conscience force these people to ingest fluoride on a daily basis for their entire lives?

35) Also vulnerable are those who suffer from malnutrition (e.g. calcium, magnesium, vitamin C, vitamin D and iodide deficiencies and protein poor diets) (Massler & Schour 1952; Marier & Rose 1977; Lin Fa-Fu 1991; Chen 1997; Teotia 1998). Those most likely to suffer from poor nutrition are the poor, who are precisely the people being targeted by new fluoridation programs. While being at heightened risk, poor families are less able to afford avoidance measures (e.g. bottled water or removal equipment).

36) Since dental decay is most concentrated in poor communities, we should be spending our efforts trying to increase the access to dental care for poor families. The real "Oral Health Crisis" that exists today in the United States, is not a lack of fluoride but poverty and lack of dental insurance. The Surgeon General has estimated that 80% of dentists in the US do not treat children on Medicaid.

37) Fluoridation has been found to be ineffective at preventing one of the most serious oral health problems facing poor children, namely, baby bottle tooth decay, otherwise known as early childhood caries (Barnes 1992 and Shiboski 2003).

38) The early studies conducted in 1945 -1955 in the US, which helped to launch fluoridation, have been heavily criticized for their poor methodology and poor choice of control communities (De Stefano 1954; Sutton 1959, 1960 and 1996; Ziegelbecker 1970). According to Dr. Hubert Arnold, a statistician from the University of California at Davis, the early fluoridation trials "are especially rich in fallacies, improper design, invalid use of statistical methods, omissions of contrary data, and just plain muddleheadedness and hebetude." In 2000, the British Government's "York Review" could give no fluoridation trial a grade A classification – despite 50 years of research (McDonagh 2000, see Appendix 3 for commentary).

39) The US Public Health Service first endorsed fluoridation in 1950, before one single trial had been completed (McClure 1970).

40) Since 1950, it has been found that fluorides do little to prevent pit and fissure tooth decay, a fact that even the dental community has acknowledged (Seholle 1984; Gray 1987; PHS 1993; and Pinkham 1999). This is significant because pit and fissure tooth decay represents up to 85% of the tooth decay experienced by children today (Seholle 1984 and Gray 1987).

41) Despite the fact that we are exposed to far more fluoride today than we were in 1945 (when fluoridation began), the "optimal" fluoridation level is still 1 part per million, the same level deemed optimal in 1945! (Marier & Rose 1977; Levy 1999; Rozier 1999 and Fomon 2000).

42) The chemicals used to fluoridate water in the US are not pharmaceutical grade. Instead, they come from the wet scrubbing systems of the superphosphate fertilizer industry. These chemicals (90% of which are sodium fluorosilicate and fluorosilicic acid), are classified hazardous wastes contaminated with various impurities. Recent testing by the National Sanitation Foundation suggest that the levels of arsenic in these chemicals are relatively high (up to 1.6 ppb after dilution into public water) and of potential concern (NSF 2000 and Wang 2000).

43) These hazardous wastes have not been tested comprehensively. The chemical usually tested in animal studies is pharmaceutical grade sodium fluoride, not industrial grade fluorosilicic acid. The assumption being made is that by the time this waste product has been diluted, all the fluorosilicic acid will have been converted into free fluoride ion, and the other toxics and radioactive isotopes will be so dilute that they will not cause any harm, even with lifetime exposure. These assumptions have not been examined carefully by scientists, independent of the fluoridation program.

44) Studies by Masters and Coplan (1999, 2000) show an association between the use of fluorosilicic acid (and its sodium salt) to fluoridate water and an increased uptake of lead into children's blood. Because of lead's acknowledged ability to damage the child's developing brain, this is a very serious finding yet it is being largely ignored by fluoridating countries.

45) Sodium fluoride is an extremely toxic substance -- just 200 mg of fluoride ion is enough to kill a young child, and just 3-5 grams (e.g. a teaspoon) is enough to kill an adult. Both children (swallowing tablets/gels) and adults (accidents involving fluoridation equipment and filters on dialysis machines) have died from excess exposure.

46) Some of the earliest opponents of fluoridation were biochemists and at least 14 Nobel Prize winners are among numerous scientists who have expressed their reservations about the practice of fluoridation (see appendix 4).

47) The recent Nobel Laureate in Medicine and Physiology, Dr. Arvid Carlsson (2000), was one of the leading opponents of fluoridation in Sweden, and part of the panel that recommended that the Swedish government reject the practice, which they did in 1971. According to Carlsson:

"I am quite convinced that water fluoridation, in a not-too-distant future, will be consigned to medical history...Water fluoridation goes against leading principles of pharmacotherapy, which is progressing from a stereotyped medication - of the type 1 tablet..."
3 times a day - to a much more individualized therapy as regards both dosage and selection of drugs. The addition of drugs to the drinking water means exactly the opposite of an individualized therapy“ (Carlsson 1978).

48) While pro-fluoridation officials continue to promote fluoridation with undiminished fervor, they cannot defend the practice in open public debate – even when challenged to do so by organizations such as the Association for Science in the Public Interest, the American College of Toxicology, or the US Environmental Protection Agency (Bryson 2004). According to Dr. Michael Easley, a prominent lobbyist for fluoridation in the US, “Debates give the illusion that a scientific controversy exists when no credible people support the fluorophobics’ view” (See appendix 5).

In light of proponents’ refusal to debate this issue, Dr. Edward Groth, a Senior Scientist at Consumers Union, observed that “the political profluoridation stance has evolved into a dogmatic, authoritarian, essentially antiscientific posture, one that discourages open debate of scientific issues” (Martin 1991).

49) Many scientists, doctors and dentists who have spoken out publicly on this issue have been subjected to censorship and intimidation (Martin 1991). Most recently, Dr. Phyllis Mullenix was fired from her position as Chair of Toxicology at Forsythe Dental Center for publishing her findings on fluoride and the brain; and Dr. William Marcus was fired from the EPA for questioning the government’s handling of the NTP’s fluoride-cancer study (Bryson 2004). Tactics like this would not be necessary if those promoting fluoridation were on secure scientific ground.

50) The Union representing the scientists at US EPA headquarters in Washington DC is now on record as opposing water fluoridation (Hirzy 1999). According to the Union's Senior Vice President, Dr. William Hirzy:

"In summary, we hold that fluoridation is an unreasonable risk. That is, the toxicity of fluoride is so great and the purported benefits associated with it are so small - if there are any at all - that requiring every man, woman and child in America to ingest it borders on criminal behavior on the part of governments."

Conclusion

When it comes to controversies surrounding toxic chemicals, invested interests traditionally do their very best to discount animal studies and quibble with epidemiological findings. In the past, political pressures have led government agencies to drag their feet on regulating asbestos, benzene, DDT, PCBs, tetraethyl lead, tobacco and dioxins. With fluoridation we have had a fifty year delay. Unfortunately, because government officials have put so much of their credibility on the line defending fluoridation, and because of the huge liabilities waiting in the wings if they admit that fluoridation has caused an increase in hip fracture, arthritis, bone cancer, brain disorders or thyroid problems, it will be very difficult for them to speak honestly and openly about the issue. But they must, not only to protect millions of people from unnecessary harm, but to protect the notion that, at its core, public health policy must be based on sound science not political expediency. They have a tool with which to do this: it's called the Precautionary Principle. Simply put, this says: if in doubt leave it out. This is what most European countries have done and their children's teeth have not suffered, while their public's trust has been strengthened.

It is like a question from a Kafka play. Just how much doubt is needed on just one of the health concerns identified above, to override a benefit, which when quantified in the largest survey ever conducted in the US, amounts to less than one tooth surface (out of 128) in a child's mouth?

For those who would call for further studies, I say fine. Take the fluoride out of the water first and then conduct all the studies you want. This folly must end without further delay.

Postscript

Further arguments against fluoridation, can be viewed at http://www.fluoridealert.org. Arguments for fluoridation can be found at http://www.ada.org and a more systematic presentation of fluoride’s toxic effects can be found at http://www.Slweb.org/bibliography.html
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APPENDIX 1. World Health Organization Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMFTs</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Water/Salt Fluoridation Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurich, Switzerland</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1992-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK (England &amp; Wales)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1996-97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1988-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX 2. Statements on fluoridation by governmental officials from several countries

**Germany:** "Generally, in Germany fluoridation of drinking water is forbidden. The relevant German law allows exceptions to the fluoridation ban on application. The argumentation of the Federal Ministry of Health against a general permission of fluoridation of drinking water is the problematic nature of compuls[ory] medication." (Gerda Hankel-Khan, Embassy of the Federal Republic of Germany, September 16, 1999). www.fluoridealert.org/germany.jpeg

**France:** "Fluoride chemicals are not included in the list [of chemicals for drinking water treatment]. This is due to ethical as well as medical considerations." (Louis Sanchez, Directeur de la Protection de l'Environnement, August 25, 2000). www.fluoridealert.org/france.jpeg

**Belgium:** "This water treatment has never been of use in Belgium and will never be (we hope so) into the future. The main reason for that is the fundamental position of the drinking water sector that it is not its task to deliver medicinal treatment to people. This is the sole responsibility of health services." (Ch. Legros, Directeur, Belgqua, Brussels, Belgium, February 28, 2000). www.fluoridation.com/c-belgium.htm

**Luxembourg:** "Fluoride has never been added to the public water supplies in Luxembourg. In our views, the drinking water isn't the suitable way for medicinal treatment and that people needing an addition of fluoride can decide by their own to use the most appropriate way, like the intake of fluoride tablets, to cover their [daily] needs." (Jean-Marie RIES, Head, Water Department, Administration De L'Environment, May 3, 2000). www.fluoridealert.org/luxembourg.jpeg

**Finland:** "We do not favor or recommend fluoridation of drinking water. There are better ways of providing the fluoride our teeth need." (Paavo Poteri, Acting Managing Director, Helsinki Water, Finland, February 7, 2000). www.fluoridation.com/c-finland.htm

"Artificial fluoridation of drinking water supplies has been practiced in Finland only in one town, Kuopio, situated in eastern Finland and with a population of about 80,000 people (1.6% of the Finnish population). Fluoridation started in 1959 and finished in 1992 as a result of the resistance of local population. The most usual grounds for the resistance presented in this context were an individual's right to drinking water without additional chemicals used for the medication of limited population groups. A concept of "force-feeding" was also mentioned.

Drinking water fluoridation is not prohibited in Finland but no municipalities have turned out to be willing to practice it. Water suppliers, naturally, have always been against dosing of fluoride chemicals into water." (Leena Hiisvirta, M.Sc., Chief Engineer, Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, Finland, January 12, 1996.) www.fluoridation.com/c-denmark.htm

**Denmark:** "We are pleased to inform you that according to the Danish Ministry of Environment and Energy, toxic fluorides have never been added to the public water supplies. Consequently, no Danish city has ever been fluoridated." (Klaus Werner, Royal Danish Embassy, Washington DC, December 22, 1999). www.fluoridation.com/c-denmark.htm

**Norway:** "In Norway we had a rather intense discussion on this subject some 20 years ago, and the conclusion was that drinking water should not be fluoridated." (Truls Krogh & Toril Hofshagen, Folkehetsla Statens institutt for folkeheise (National Institute of Public Health) Oslo, Norway, March 1, 2000). www.fluoridation.com/c-norway.htm

**Sweden:** "Drinking water fluoridation is not allowed in Sweden...New scientific documentation or changes in dental health situation that could alter the conclusions of the Commission have not been shown." (Gunnar Guzikowski, Chief Government Inspector, Livsmelde Verket -- National Food Administration Drinking Water Division, Sweden, February 28, 2000). www.fluoridation.com/c-sweden.htm

**Netherlands:** "From the end of the 1960s until the beginning of the 1970s drinking water in various places in the Netherlands was fluoridated to prevent caries. However, in its judgement of 22 June 1973 in case No. 10683 (Budding and co. versus the City of Amsterdam) the Supreme Court (Hoge Road) ruled there was no legal basis for fluoridation. After that judgement, amendment to the Water Supply Act was prepared to provide a legal basis for fluoridation. During the process it became clear that there was not enough support from Parliament [sic] for this amendment and the proposal was withdrawn." (Wilfred Reinhold, Legal Advisor, Directorate Drinking Water, Netherlands, January 15, 2000). www.fluoridation.com/c-netherlands.htm

**Austria:** "Toxic fluorides have never been added to the public water supplies in Austria." (M. Eisenhut, Head of Water Department, Oesterreichische Vereinigung fur das Gas-und Wassertech Schuberting 14, A-1015 Wien, Austria, February 17, 2000). www.fluoridealert.org/c-austria.htm

**Czech Republic:** "Since 1993, drinking water has not been treated with fluoride in public water supplies throughout the Czech Republic. Although fluoridation of drinking water has not actually been proscribed it is not under consideration because this form of supplementation is considered as follows:

(a) uneconomical (only 0.54% of water suitable for drinking is used as such; the remainder is employed for hygiene etc. Furthermore, an increasing amount of consumers (particularly children) are using bottled water for drinking (underground water usually with fluor)
(b) unecological (environmental load by a foreign substance)
(c) unethical ("forced medication")
(d) toxicologically and physiologically debatable (fluoridation represents an untargeted form of supplementation which disregards actual individual intake and requirements and may lead to excessive health-threatening intake in certain population groups; [and] complexation of fluor in water into non biological active forms of fluor." (Dr. B. Havlik, Ministerstvo Zdravotnicvi Ceske Republiky, October 14, 1999). www.fluoridealert.org/czech.jpeg
Fluoridation was a controversial topic even before Kubrick's Base Commander Ripper railed against "the international communist conspiracy to sap and impurify all of our precious bodily fluids" in the 1964 film Dr Strangelove. This week's BMJ shouldn't precipitate a global holocaust, but it does seem that Base Commander Ripper may have had a point. The systematic review published this week (p 855) shows that much of the evidence for fluoridation was derived from low quality studies, that its benefits may have been overstated, and that the risk to benefit ratio for the development of the commonest side effect (dental fluorosis, or mottling of the teeth) is rather high.

Supplementary materials are available on the BMJ’s website and on that of the review’s authors, enhancing the validity of the conclusions through transparency of process. For example, the “frequently asked questions” page of the site explains who comprised the advisory panel and how they were chosen (“balanced to include those for and against, as well as those who are neutral”), and the site includes the minutes of their meetings. You can also pick up all 279 references in Word97 format, and tables of data in PDF. Such transparency is admirable and can only encourage rationality of debate.

Professionals who propose compulsory preventive measures for a whole population have a different weight of responsibility on their shoulders than those who respond to the requests of individuals for help. Previously neutral on the issue, I am now persuaded by the arguments that those who wish to take fluoride (like me) had better get it from toothpaste rather than the water supply (see www.derweb.co.uk/bfs/index.html and www.npwa.freeserve.co.uk/index.html for the two viewpoints).

APPENDIX 4. List of 14 Noble Prize winners who have opposed or expressed reservations about fluoridation.

1) Adolf Butenandt (Chemistry, 1939)
2) Arvid Carlsson (Medicine, 2000)
3) Hans von Euler-Chelpin (Chemistry, 1929).
4) Walter Rudolf Hess (Medicine, 1949)
5) Corneille Jean-François Heymans (Medicine, 1938)
6) Sir Cyril Norman Hinshelwood (Chemistry, 1956)
7) Joshua Lederberg (Medicine, 1958)
8) William P. Murphy (Medicine, 1934)
9) Giulio Natta (1963 Nobel Prize in Chemistry)
10) Sir Robert Robinson (Chemistry, 1947)
11) Nikolai Semenov (Chemistry, 1956)
12) James B. Sumner (Chemistry, 1946)
13) Hugo Theorell (Medicine, 1955)
14) Artturi Virtanen (Chemistry, 1945)

APPENDIX 5. Quotes on debating fluoridation from Dr. Michael Easley, Director of the National Center for Fluoridation Policy and Research, and one of the most active proponents of fluoridation in the US (Easley 1999). Easley’s quotes typify the historic contempt that proponents have had to scientific debate.

“A favorite tactic of the fluorophobics is to argue for a debate so that ‘the people can decide who is right.’ Proponents of fluoride are often trapped into consenting to public debates.”

“Debates give the illusion that a scientific controversy exists when no credible people support the fluorophobics’ view.”

“Like parasites, opponents steal undeserved credibility just by sharing the stage with respected scientists who are there to defend fluoridation”; and,

“Unfortunately, a most flagrant abuse of the public trust occasionally occurs when a physician or a dentist, for whatever personal reason, uses their professional standing in the community to argue against fluoridation, a clear violation of professional ethics, the principles of science and community standards of practice.”
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MEGA CRIMINALS – ALL OF THEM!
Including their Bosses!

Soldiers – Now you have a real good mission before you. Point the guns at them, not us and you will be rewarded much more than if you choose to shoot at all the innocent people.
A solution to paying off our nation’s trillions in foreign debts is by drilling the following massive reserve under US soil that has been covered up by big oil, the mass media, and the government for over a decade.

The Bakken Oil Reserve Formation: A shale formation stretching North Dakota and Montana may have an estimated 3 to 4.3 billion barrels of technically recoverable oil, according to a U.S. Geological Survey assessment.

Known as the Bakken Formation, the find would make the recoverable oil in North Dakota and Montana the largest U.S. oil reserves outside Alaska.

The recently released assessment shows a 2,800 percent, or 28-times increase in the amount of oil recoverable from the Bakken Formation, compared to the agency's 1995 estimate of 151 million barrels of oil. (now 3 to 4.3 billion barrels)

According to the USGS, the dramatically increased estimate of recoverable oil in the Bakken Formation results from new geological models, advances in drilling and production technologies, and recent oil discoveries.

"The Bakken Formation estimate is larger than all other current USGS oil assessments of the lower 48 states and is the largest 'continuous' oil accumulation ever assessed by the USGS," said a news release making the announcement.


For more info search: http://www.worldnetdaily.com
Hard Truth for Americans to recognize they are being conquered & nearly already destroyed; this is the criminal “new world order”’s agenda.

For much information see obamacrimes.com or the new “Obama Deception” (a most charming Hitler) documentary at TheObamaDeception.net (an infowars.com production), 911Blogger.com, Rense.com, or whatreallyhappened.com

also: www.notseenoncorporatemedia.com – Their “New World Order” affects the whole world negatively. Help educate everyone about the horrible “New World Order” elite agenda by emailing this PDF to everyone.
We should not let the government print cash & own most everything. Defend yourselves & others, not them!

Illegitimate Military Complex Frontman Puppet pResident-Fuhrer & Simply not Born in the US
It’s a shame that to become president one has to be pre-selected and chosen by war criminals and the criminal elite.
So,

What are you going to do today?
WASHINGTON – A U.S. senator has weighed in on the
continuing controversy over Barack Obama's eligibility for office
by saying he has never seen proof the new president was actually
born in Hawaii.

"Well, his father was Kenyan and they said he was born in
Hawaii, but I haven't seen any birth certificate," Sen. Richard
Shelby, R-Ala., told constituents in Cullman County. "You have
to be born in America to be president."

Where's the proof Barack Obama was born in the U.S. or that he
fulfills the "natural-born American" clause in the Constitution?
If you still want to see it, join more than 250,000 others and sign
up now!

WND has reported on multiple legal challenges to Obama's status
as a "natural born citizen." The Constitution, Article 2, Section 1, states, "No Person except a
natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this
Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President."

Some question whether he was actually born in Hawaii, as he insists. If he was born out of the
country, Obama's American mother, the suits contend, was too young at the time of his birth to
confer American citizenship to her son under the law at the time.

Other challenges have focused on Obama's citizenship through his father, a Kenyan subject to the
jurisdiction of the United Kingdom at the time of his birth, thus making him a dual citizen. The
cases contend the framers of the Constitution excluded dual citizens from qualifying as natural
born.

(Story continues below)
Here is a partial listing and status update for some of the cases over Obama's eligibility:

- New Jersey attorney Mario Apuzzo has filed a case on behalf of Charles Kerchner and others alleging Congress didn't properly ascertain that Obama is qualified to hold the office of president.

- Philip J. Berg, a Pennsylvania Democrat, demanded that the courts verify Obama's original birth certificate and other documents proving his American citizenship. Berg's latest appeal, requesting an injunction to stop the Electoral College from selecting the 44th president, was denied.

- Leo Donofrio of New Jersey filed a lawsuit claiming Obama's dual citizenship disqualified him from serving as president. His case was considered in conference by the U.S. Supreme Court but denied a full hearing.

- Cort Wrotnowski filed suit against Connecticut's secretary of state, making a similar argument to Donofrio. His case was considered in conference by the U.S. Supreme Court, but was denied a full hearing.

- Former presidential candidate Alan Keyes headlines a list of people filing a suit in California, in a case handled by the United States Justice Foundation, that asks the secretary of state to refuse to allow the state's 55 Electoral College votes to be cast in the 2008 presidential election until Obama verifies his eligibility to hold the office. The case is pending, and lawyers are seeking the public's support.

- Chicago attorney Andy Martin sought legal action requiring Hawaii Gov. Linda Lingle to release Obama's vital statistics record. The case was dismissed by Hawaii Circuit Court Judge Bert Ayabe.

- Lt. Col. Donald Sullivan sought a temporary restraining order to stop the Electoral College vote in North Carolina until Barack Obama's eligibility could be confirmed, alleging doubt about Obama's citizenship. His case was denied.

- In Ohio, David M. Neal sued to force the secretary of state to request documents from the Federal Elections Commission, the Democratic National Committee, the Ohio Democratic Party and Obama to show the presidential candidate was born in Hawaii. The case was denied.

- In Washington state, Steven Marquis sued the secretary of state seeking a determination on Obama's citizenship. The case was denied.

- In Georgia, Rev. Tom Terry asked the state Supreme Court to authenticate Obama's birth certificate. His request for an injunction against Georgia's secretary of state was denied by Georgia Superior Court Judge Jerry W. Baxter.

- California attorney Orly Taitz has brought a case, Lightfoot vs. Bowen, on behalf of Gail Lightfoot, the vice presidential candidate on the ballot with Ron Paul, four electors and two registered voters.

In addition, other cases cited on the RightSideofLife blog as raising questions about Obama's eligibility include:

- In Texas, Darrel Hunter vs. Obama later was dismissed.
- In Ohio, Gordon Stamper vs. U.S. later was dismissed.
- In Texas, Brockhausen vs. Andrade.
- In Washington, L. Charles vs. Obama.
- In Hawaii, Keyes vs. Lingle, dismissed.

WND senior reporter Jerome Corsi had gone to both Kenya and Hawaii prior to the election to investigate issues surrounding Obama's birth. But his research and discoveries only raised more questions.

The governor's office in Hawaii said there is a valid certificate but rejected requests for access and left ambiguous its origin: Does the certificate on file with the Department of Health indicate a Hawaii birth or was it generated after the Obama family registered a Kenyan birth in Hawaii, which the state's procedures allowed at the time?
Soldier doubts eligibility, defies president's orders

'As an officer, my sworn oath to support and defend our Constitution requires this'

By Bob Unruh
© 2009 WorldNetDaily

A U.S. soldier on active duty in Iraq has called President Obama an "impostor" in a statement in which he affirmed plans to join as plaintiff in a challenge to Obama's eligibility to be commander in chief.

The statement was publicized by California attorney Orly Taitz who, along with her DefendOurFreedom.us Foundation, is working on a series of legal cases seeking to uncover Obama's birth records and other documents that would reveal whether he meets the requirements of the U.S. Constitution.

"As an active-duty officer in the United States Army, I have grave concerns about the constitutional eligibility of Barack Hussein Obama to hold the office of president of the United States," wrote Scott Easterling in a "to-whom-it-may-concern" letter.

(Story continues below)

Obama "has absolutely refused to provide to the American public his original birth certificate, as well as other documents which may prove or disprove his eligibility," Easterling wrote. "In fact, he has fought every attempt made by concerned citizens in their effort to force him to do so."

Taitz told WND she had advised Easterling to obtain legal counsel before making any statements regarding the commander-in-chief, but he insisted on moving forward. His contention is that as an active member of the U.S. military, he is required to follow orders from a sitting president, and he needs – on pain of court-martial – to know that Obama is eligible.
Here is a partial listing and status update for some of the cases over Obama's eligibility:

---

**where's the proof Barack Obama was born in the U.S. or that he fulfills the “natural-born American” clause in the Constitution? If you still want to see it, join more than 250,000 others and sign up now!**

Taitz said other legal cases questioning Obama's eligibility filed by members of the military mostly have included retired officers, and courts several times have ruled they don't have standing to issue their challenge.

Easterling, however, is subject to enemy fire and certainly would have a reason to need to know the legitimacy of his orders, she argued.

"Until Mr. Obama releases a 'vault copy' of his original birth certificate for public review, I will consider him neither my Commander in Chief nor my President, but rather, a usurper to the Office – an impostor," his statement said.

Easterling said he joined the Army at age 40 after working in Iraq as a contractor.

'I chose to work … to support my troops and then left that lucrative position when the Army raised its maximum enlistment age to 40. Upon completion of basic training, I entered Officer Candidate School and commissioned as a 2LT in August 2007. After completing the subsequent basic officer leadership courses, I was assigned to Ft. Knox and shortly thereafter deployed to Balad, Iraq," he wrote.

"I implore all service-members and citizens to contact their senators and representatives and demand that they require Mr. Obama prove his eligibility. Our Constitution and our great nation must not be allowed to be disgraced," he wrote.

Taitz said Easterling is among the plaintiffs she is assembling for a new legal action over Obama's eligibility. Others include a list of state lawmakers who also would be required in their official position to follow orders of the president.

"My conviction is such that I am compelled to join Dr. Orly Taitz's lawsuit, as a plaintiff, against Mr. Obama. As a citizen, it pains me to do this, but as an officer, my sworn oath to support and defend our Constitution requires this action," he said.

Easterling was "saluted" in a forum on Taitz' website.

"Lt. Easterling. As a retired US Army SFC, I salute you sir as a true American patriot and hero! Thank you for your unselfish service to our country. It is rare to find someone today with such moral courage to do the right thing regardless of repercussions," said one contributor.

"Said another, "For your voluntary service to our country, we owe you a debt we can never pay."

As WND reported yesterday, U.S. Sen. Richard Shelby, R-Ala., said during a meeting with constituents in Cullman County he has never seen proof the new president was born in Hawaii.

"Well, his father was Kenyan and they said he was born in Hawaii, but I haven't seen any birth certificate," Shelby said. "You have to be born in America to be president."

Shelby's office later stated the senator is confident of Obama's vetting process, although it did not elaborate.

WND has reported on multiple legal challenges to Obama's status as a "natural born citizen." The Constitution, Article 2, Section 1, states, "No Person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President."

Some of the lawsuits question whether he was actually born in Hawaii, as he insists. If he was born out of the country, Obama's American mother, the suits contend, was too young at the time of his birth to confer American citizenship to her son under the law at the time.

Other challenges have focused on Obama's citizenship through his father, a Kenyan subject to the jurisdiction of the United Kingdom at the time of his birth, thus making him a dual citizen. The suits contend the framers of the Constitution excluded dual citizens from qualifying as natural born.

Here is a partial listing and status update for some of the cases over Obama's eligibility:

- New Jersey attorney Mario Apuzzo has filed a case on behalf of Charles Kerchner and others alleging Congress didn't properly ascertain that Obama is qualified to hold the office of president.

- Philip J. Berg, a Pennsylvania Democrat, demanded that the courts verify Obama's original birth certificate and other documents proving his American citizenship. Berg's latest appeal, requesting an injunction to stop the Electoral College from selecting the 44th president, was denied.
Leo Donofrio of New Jersey filed a lawsuit claiming Obama's dual citizenship disqualified him from serving as president. His case was considered in conference by the U.S. Supreme Court but denied a full hearing.

Cort Wrotnowski filed suit against Connecticut's secretary of state, making a similar argument to Donofrio. His case was considered in conference by the U.S. Supreme Court, but was denied a full hearing.

Former presidential candidate Alan Keyes headlines a list of people filing a suit in California, in a case handled by the United States Justice Foundation, that asks the secretary of state to refuse to allow the state's 55 Electoral College votes to be cast in the 2008 presidential election until Obama verifies his eligibility to hold the office. The case is pending, and lawyers are seeking the public's support.

Chicago attorney Andy Martin sought legal action requiring Hawaii Gov. Linda Lingle to release Obama's vital statistics record. The case was dismissed by Hawaii Circuit Court Judge Bert Ayabe.

Lt. Col. Donald Sullivan sought a temporary restraining order to stop the Electoral College vote in North Carolina until Barack Obama's eligibility could be confirmed, alleging doubt about Obama's citizenship. His case was denied.

In Ohio, David M. Neal sued to force the secretary of state to request documents from the Federal Elections Commission, the Democratic National Committee, the Ohio Democratic Party and Obama to show the presidential candidate was born in Hawaii. The case was denied.

In Washington state, Steven Marquis sued the secretary of state seeking a determination on Obama's citizenship. The case was denied.

In Georgia, Rev. Tom Terry asked the state Supreme Court to authenticate Obama's birth certificate. His request for an injunction against Georgia's secretary of state was denied by Georgia Superior Court Judge Jerry W. Baxter.

California attorney Orly Taitz has brought a case, Lightfoot vs. Bowen, on behalf of Gail Lightfoot, the vice presidential candidate on the ballot with Ron Paul, four electors and two registered voters.

In addition, other cases cited on the RightSideofLife blog as raising questions about Obama's eligibility include:

- In Texas, Darrel Hunter vs. Obama later was dismissed.
- In Ohio, Gordon Stamper vs. U.S. later was dismissed.
- In Texas, Brockhausen vs. Andrade.
- In Washington, L. Charles Cohen vs. Obama.
- In Hawaii, Keyes vs. Lingle, dismissed.

WND senior reporter Jerome Corsi had gone to both Kenya and Hawaii prior to the election to investigate issues surrounding Obama's birth. But his research and discoveries only raised more questions.
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Bob Unruh is a news editor for WorldNetDaily.com.
Universal People's Statement of Freedom

Lovers of Freedom have continuously struggled against the forces of tyrannical governments for multitudes of centuries in the ever deceptive and animated contest between the spirit of enslavement versus the spirit of liberty. When in the course of world events it becomes clear government has become intentionally deceitful, destructive, and murderous - using any form of falsehood, manipulation of media, or theater as cover for an array of crimes - as well as for the next form of government, it is a matter of self-defense for the people of the nation and the world to throw off a corrupt system of government enslavement; and with expediency set then widely announce another special date, apart from July 4th, that will in the future echo worldly celebrations of the cause of liberty. The people should subsequently convene in large numbers on that date and also through the public global computing system of the time, for a convention of the people. The result of the open convention is to have authored, selected, and begun the implementation of a more protective system of shared rights, law, governance, and justice for the current and future generations; of any nation; for the collective happiness of all.

The laws of a Republic should be written clearly in the form of a constitution. However when once trusted political figures individually or as a whole concert of corruption, or the distribution of wealth or establishment system as a whole, betrays the trust of the citizenry by operating outside of the rules and constraints listed in such a constitution, then the citizens of the broken republic should take the stated recommendations of their previous declaration of independence & constitution, along with methods of self defense, back into their own hands. More than responsibility, it is the most sacred duty of the spirit of liberty and justice for all.

By the year 2008, through a persistent stream of offensive actions, including 9-11-2001, the organization widely known as the “United States Government” has declared itself murderous; while bankrupting the working class of America and instituting fascist rule. It has become evident to multitudes of people of every nation that the current government is allied with a cabal of corrupt international banking, war-mongering, so-called “freemasonic”, “illuminati” or “new world order”, interests. Therefore the “United States Government” has severely turned away from serving its sacred cause of freedom! Therefore the “United States Government” has failed and is de facto illegitimate as of the time of the announcement of this declaration at the start of the new people’s convention. Revolution is the only possible cure for fascism; and it has already gone beyond the need for a once independent people to have a fresh start! The people's convention date will be decided by the truth movement.

Consequently, All of its previous authority over the citizenry is thereby removed by this declaration; and All freemasonic governments worldwide should be dissolved entirely by the great many world’s people. All existing mob-ridden local governments over the individual and the municipalities should be fully dismantled, re-instituted, and rebooted with a fully transparent, efficient, protective, and accountable system model the people's convention democratically selects. All civil rights & laws should be voted for in a true 1-person-1-vote democratic and transparent form - as we always carry a vital responsibility to together choose what has control over our lives and what would ensure us maximum safety & happiness.

This progressive change of government should be enabled and empowered by the entirety of all national and returning armed forces together with all non-terminated local police and the widespread armed citizenry. No more system tyranny.

As we acknowledge this world-wide published declaration in multitudes, we pledge allegiance to each other. We are firm in our disassociation from the previous “United States Government” or any corrupt power. In unison We proclaim to disallow that organization to silence us, to control the mass media or the internet, to suppress us, to spray chemicals upon or conduct any experiments on us, to detain us, to imprison us, to terrorize nor genocide us, to tax us, to bill us, to create dollars or borrow credit, nor counterfeit paper money, to legislate for us nor to adjudicate any proceedings, to direct our armed forces, to make war, or make agreements in our name, nationally or internationally. We are fully aware of our God-given rights including dissent.

Addendum: Initial action list for the people's convention (initial recommended date: July 5th, 2009; place: St. Louis, MO)

- Withdrawing our businesses and franchises from federal or state-wide taxation of the “United States Government” and its equally corrupt mother companies, the United Nations, International Monetary Fund, and World Bank.
- Create a new fully accountable system of money and taxation commissioned by the improved freshly-chartered citizen’s government to: pay off all national debts, redistribute wealth fairly, fund the new government, and reinvigorate the economy.
- Build a beautiful new national capitol city designed by non-freemasonic architects and constructed by the many hands of the nation within a large, and voted-upon, national forest or parkland. A new capitol is essential to mark the change in thinking.
- Close and refurbish Washington DC, and possibly convert the former capitol into a city-wide orphanage and school campus for the nation's underprivileged citizen children; dedicated in honor of patriots who lived bravely before us without shame.
- Establish a new passport identification, numbered as chronologically signed, and imprinted inside a new & improved Bill of Rights - to be made available for all listed and future citizen signatories of this declaration of freedom from system tyranny statement; that anyone and everyone around the world is invited to recognize and support together forevermore. (All inmates individually reviewed for possible release.)
- Project Fresh Start – Organic Home Gardening Incentive Program, using a portion of elite reclaimed wealth, to finally solve world hunger.
Democracy arises out of the notion that those who are equal in any respect are equal in all respects; because men are equally free, they claim to be absolutely equal. Republics decline into democracies and democracies degenerate into despotisms. - Aristotle

If the world knew how to use freedom without abusing it, tyranny would not exist. - Tehyi Hsieh

The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banking was conceived in inequity and born in sin... Bankers own the earth. Take it away from them and leave them the power to create money, and, with a flick of a pen, they will create enough money to buy it back again... Take this great power away from them, or if you want to continue to be the slaves of bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, then let bankers continue to create money and control credit. - Sir Joseph Stamp, former president of the Bank of England

Yes, we did produce a near perfect Republic. But will they keep it, or will they, in the enjoyment of plenty, lose the memory of freedom? Material abundance without character is the surest way to destruction. - Thomas Jefferson

To me the sole hope of human salvation lies in teaching. - George Bernard Shaw

The empires of the future are the empires of the mind. - Winston Churchill

"I have unwittingly destroyed my country." - Woodrow Wilson {After signing the Federal Reserve Act in 1913}

The basic idea behind teaching is to teach people what they need to know. - Carl Rogers

Awaken people's curiosity. It is enough to open minds, do not overload them. Put there just a spark. - Anatole France

Education...beyond all other devices of human origin, is a great equalizer of conditions of men -- the balance wheel of the social machinery... It does better than to disarm the poor of their hostility toward the rich; it prevents being poor. - Horace Mann

There is no education like adversity. - Benjamin Disraeli

It is the supreme art of the teacher to awaken joy [life, liberty, happiness...] in creative expression and knowledge. - Albert Einstein

We think of the effective teachers we have had over the years with a sense of recognition, but those who have touched our humanity we remember with a deep sense of gratitude. - Anonymous student

Soldiers Code
1. I am an American soldier - A protector of the citizenry, sworn to uphold the Constitution of the United States.
2. I will treat others with dignity and respect and expect others to do the same.
3. I will honor my country and my fellow soldiers; those who are not traitors to the Bill of Rights & Constitution.
4. I am proud of my country and its flag. I wish to look back and say that I am proud to have served my fellow citizens as a soldier.
5. Lastly, no matter what the situation I am in, I will never do anything for pleasure, profit, or personal safety which would disgrace my country, my uniform, my unit, my high school class, and the people of my country.
TYRANNY GETS A FACE-LIFT

The Obama Deception is a hard-hitting film that completely destroys the myth that Barack Obama is working for the best interests of the American people.

The Obama phenomenon is a hoax carefully crafted by the captains of the New World Order. He is being pushed as savior in an attempt to con the American people into accepting global slavery.

We have reached a critical juncture in the New World Order’s plans. It’s not about Left or Right: it’s about a One World Government. The international banks plan to loot the people of the United States and turn them into slaves on a Global Plantation.

Covered in this film: who Obama works for, what lies he has told, and his real agenda. If you want to know the facts and cut through all the hype, this is the film for you.

WATCH THE OBAMA DECEPTION AND LEARN HOW:

- Obama is continuing the process of transforming America into something that resembles Nazi Germany, with forced National Service, domestic civilian spies, warrantless wiretaps, the destruction of the Second Amendment, FEMA camps and Martial Law.

- Obama’s handlers are openly announcing the creation of a new Bank of the World that will dominate every nation on earth through carbon taxes and military force.

- International bankers purposely engineered the worldwide financial meltdown to bankrupt the nations of the planet and bring in World Government.

- Obama plans to loot the middle class, destroy pensions and federalize the states so that the population is completely dependent on the Central Government.

- The Elite are using Obama to pacify the public so they can usher in the North American Union by stealth, launch a new Cold War and continue the occupation of Iraq and Afghanistan.

see: www.TheObamaDeception.net (for high quality trailer)
( or PrisonPlanet.tv or infowars.com ) 1776, 2009 - Freedom lives. It's time to globalize this message.