

Recovered from:

[http://web.archive.org/web/20060217092331/http://survival.anomalies.net/timetravel/Ti
meTravelBoards.zip](http://web.archive.org/web/20060217092331/http://survival.anomalies.net/timetravel/Ti
meTravelBoards.zip)

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 13:46

Please be patient.. I am experimenting with OCR on my scanner. If this works I will be able to load all of the postings on here:

(It is going to take me some time.....)

hmmm..looks like it is better than nothing. please dont post on this thread till I have all the pages on. thanks! This thread was one of the shortest I have so Iam doing it first.

I guess Olav will be posting: "IAM FROM 2036"

and "TITORS 2036"

I will be posting:

"Is Titor a fraud?"

"Titors civil war-what was it exactly?"

"Titors IBM 5100"

"The jump video"

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud?

- Time Travel - Is Titor a fraud?

Page I of 27

Posted by Matt Felsted on 05-20-2001 10:10 PM

A

To me Titor sounds like a fraud... he sounds like one of those religious cult leaders that is trying to get

someone else to come with him to the future using his "Time Machine." I'm just concerned that someone

might want to go with him, and something bad might happen.

His story is almost impossible to believe, so if he really were from the future, no one would believe him.

If you're not a fraud Titor, please just ignore this message. Also, if you're not a fraud, do you have a

picture of your "time machine?"

Posted by Alien Rhodus on 05-20-2001 10:53 PM

He already said he wasn't taking anyone and he posted images on this very website just go to <http://www.artbell-corn> and click on whats new and then Photos from John The Time Traveller.

Posted by Robert Livingston on 05-21-2001 01:05 A?vl

Well, I don't know about Titor, but I'm from the year 2098! And in this future we're slaves! By the way

I'mjokine. just pointing out how anyone can make a story, and hoax pictures.

Posted by David Hill on 05-21-2001 06:20 AM

In trying to cut through the story elements and "science" presented in Titor's story, perhaps it might be worth while to take the facts and come up with a composite of who wrote it.

The facts (as I see them) are as follows:

1. The story comes from one person or a small group of very close friends or associates. (This may seem redundant but I point out that no one seems to have come forward yet to confess and the story combines a series of creative skills. For the sD Dake of argument, I will call this single person or group of people "John").
2. John has access to a computer, scanner and multiple E-mail addresses. I would also assume John is aware those addressess would fall under scrutiny and attack as the story went on.

[June 21, 2001: Message edited by: Pamela]

[June 21, 2001: Message edited by: Pamela]

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 14:08

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 2 of 2

3. John knows how to tell a good story and if multiple people are writting, they are fairly good at writting as "one" person.
4. John's postings were sent at random. (He must have a lot of free time.)
5. John is familiar with Florida.
6. John owns a black-on-black 66 Corvette. (I use the word own because no one in their right mind would let a friend put "anything" in the front seat of their own 66 Corvette to pull off a hoax. How exactly would that go? "Hey Bill, can I put this prop (and a firearm) in the front seat of your classic Corvette and pull off a huge internet hoax?")
7. John has access to or owns a 1980s Chevy truck with a blue interior. (If it is one person, would they own both of these cars?)
8. John also owns or has access to the following items: A pistol holster, radiation detector, a shotgun, various army/navy surplus items and camping gear.

9. John or his freind smokes cigars.
10. John knows how to change images on his computer and has some sort of digital camera.
11. Except for the typos (intentional or not), John has information or a working knowledge of old IBM computers. (He may also have one if the physical evidence pans out. I also find this one interesting because John seems to be very confident about the "special" abilities of the computer that other people have confirmed in much earlier posts when John was here.)
12. John has a knowledge of science and physics. (In spite of the current comments on John's knowledge, no one is bringing up when John was right. The one that impresses me the most is the "time equation challange John was given and apparently passed with flying colors early in the posts.)
14. John also has some sort of a backgroud or interest in civics, history, mechanical warefare and a host of other things.
15. John has the ability to "make" a time machine with individual parts that no one has been able to identify yet and submit multiple pictures for scrutiny.
- This is only a start but at this point I start thinking that the odds of John's story being something else start to approach the odds that one person or a group of people are just pulling our legs.

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-21-2001 06:47 AM

Good morning Matt;

You said: "To me Titor sounds like a fraud... he sounds like one of those religious cult leaders that is

trying to get someone else to

come with him to the future using his "Time Machine." I'm just concerned that someone might want to

go with him, and

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 14:25

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 3 of something bad might happen. "

Apparently you believe him to be a fraud. The truth is, I do not believe he is a time traveler either, but

then there is a lot of information that COULD BE true.

Also in your above statment (plus the subject line) you're slightly contradictory of yourself. First you state in the subject "Is he a fraud". Then you make the case that you're worried because someone "migi go with him". If he is a fraud, obviously, no one is going anywhere (including him). Personally, while I believe him to not be a time traveler, I am open to the possibilities that he may be. that, we've attempted to show some things from his "past" which will become "our future". If the majority of these things start hitting as true - then there is a much greater probability he was real.
Rick

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-21-2001 06:49 AM

Actually, he didn't post the photos on this site, Keith Rowland did I And we've had them on our sites for weeks now. <http://www.anomalies.net>
<http://survival.anomalies.net>
Art's site only just posted them less than a week ago. (Finally).

Posted by Brad Persons on 05-21-2001 07:25 AM

as I've stated in other threads, I do believe it would be a good idea compile Titor's information and try to create a profile. Getting all the info in one place would be the best way to find any 'golden b.b.'s' instead of digging through past threads or relying on memory of past posts.

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-21-2001 10:17 AM

I actually have the vast majority of everything he has posted publically at this point. However, I do NOT have dates/times/ and post numbers etc in the text file. If I have time to do it, I will clean up the file and post it as a long text file capable of being downloaded and searched. However, no promises. That is a LOT of work, and I've so far, ONLY been able to get through a little under 50% of the currently available material myself, and that took.... I think 18 hours of work.
By the way, Friday Nights show with Art Bell, Art mentioned John the time traveler. Also I'm listening

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 14:27

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 4 of 27

to a "time traveler" that is claiming "HGH works" and Art will be "re-emerging" in a second comeback,
a movie will be made, and most importantly, something about "martial law being declared in about 18 years from now...."
 $2001+18=2019$. Isn't that AFTER nuclear war??? Anyway, so many predictions, so little "time".

Posted by Matt Felsted on 05-21-2001 04:26 PM

Rick Donaldson

I'm sorry, I didn't contradict myself, I just wasn't clear. When I said that someone might go with him, I didn't mean travel through time. I meant that they would be tricked into thinking that they were going to go into the future. This is when a typical cult leader would have the followers blindly following them, and this usually isn't good... But Titor says he doesn't want to take anyone along, so he shouldn't be anything to worry about.

Posted by Brad Persons on 05-21-2001 04:57 PM

No matter what his intentions WERE, it doesn't really matter. Even if he hasn't gone back to the future

(er, yeah) he hasn't posted here in forever. He's gone. Has been for some time. And never was there

anything in his posts that suggested he was looking for followers, or anything resembling a cult.

I still haven't ruled out the possibility of him being a real traveler, but at this point I certainly don't

believe it. As convincing as his story may have been, I gotta have proof, as do most of the folks here discussing his story.

Posted by Julie Watts on 05-21-2001 06:32 PM

R

Regardless, this is still a great read!!

Makes one think, ...anything is possible.

Some of his story was real nice and fuzzy, with the parts of how family is so important.

That could only be a good thing.

So, whether it is a hoax or not, I still enjoyed it all!

Posted by Maisha Akma on 05-21-2001 06:52 PM

(~

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 14:32

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 5 of 27

I wonder if anyone here might think that if all POSSIBILITIES exist NOW... that perhaps someone wants us to FOCUS on the POSSIBILITIES that JOHN presents... and if enough people FOCUS on this POSSIBILITY... we would make it happen.. Perhaps we all need to FOCUS on a NEW POSSIBILITY. Could WE ALL BE Manipulated.. or USED here!

Posted by David Matijasevich on 05-21-2001 06:59 PM

That is true, this is a very good read. Whether or not John Titor is a Time Traveller(I don't think he is) he has gotten many, many people to read his story and some even to believe him. I think Titor should try to get this story published.

Posted by Brad Persons on 05-21-2001 09:14 PM

If anything, John's stories would tend to make most folks focus on ways to prevent his version of the future from happening. Compare it to Art's Great Experiments with Neil Slade. For any results to happen it took many millions of folks focusing on one thing in an affirmative manner. John's stories of war and strife don't encourage us to focus on these things at all. And as I said before, any focus it has been given has been in the interest of disproving it, or averting it if possible. The greatest likelihood of all is that John is an extremely creative mind, who decided to have a little fun, or to experiment with what he could get away with. Until some hard proof surfaces, whether it be the infamous video, or the test from the label of the IBM computer or anything else, that's the only reasonable conclusion I can come to. Hell, there's even an offchance he really believes he is one. ..which I'm not sure is a possibility that has been discussed yet. Stranger folks are out there.

Posted by Ryan Ruck on 05-22-2001 05:13 PM

Hi all,
I have been reading the Titor threads for a while. The other night I decided to go look at the photos that have been posted at http://www.anomalies.net/time_traveler/john.html again. I noticed something interesting that is in a couple of the pictures. The box that the "time travel machine" is in seems to have

a company logo on the front that has been badly painted over. I'm not a computer graphics expert, but I was able to enlarge the last photo enough to make out the first letter of the company name which is the letter "C" followed by what is either the letter "I" or "h". That's about all I could get out of the photo, but perhaps someone out there with more graphics experience can figure out the whole company name. Another thing I would like to point out is that, in my opinion, if this machine were such an important device; I would think that it would have been constructed with a more durable case like this: <http://www.galls.com/shop/viewProductDetail.jsp?item=BG083> instead of an off-the-shelf tool box.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 14:42

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 6 of 27
Compare the "time travel machine" to some of the military equipment here: <http://brielcasc.yahoo.com/olTrder> 10 . The battery recharger has a tougher case than this "time machine"! One could argue that this is the best thing that they had available to use, but if they are manipulating black holes then how much more effort would it have taken to use a different, more durable case than a tool box. I would also like to point out that nearly all military field equipment, including electronics, have a CARC olive drab paint job and not a flat black paint job, as you can see from the military equipment photos from above. Just some things to take into account considering the validity of the story!

Posted by Jim Houlahan on 05-22-2001 07:14 PM
Ryan,
The unit's model number is C204. Could that be the logo you're seeing?
A more sophisticated case might attract attention. Stealth is key when you're a time traveler, right?
Imagine you had to travel back to 1940 and your "time machine" was as big as the C204. How would you disguise it yet make it portable?
Posted by Edgars Freibergs on 05-22-2001 08:38 PM

Something came to mind tonight as I reread some of the Titor posts.

Wouldn't the mere bulk of the Time Machine bring a hell of a lot of unwanted attention. I can just see John at used car dealership in 1998 switching cars for the time period he is in and then asking the dealer "Pardon me can you help me move this 500 lb box into my new car, be very careful not to drop it!" Then repeating this process with each car change. Someone eventually is bound to ask questions with this sitting in his car.

EF

Posted by Ryan Ruck on 05-22-2001 08:58 PM

Jim,

No, I wasn't seeing the model number. The logo was too long. In addition to it being too long it also appeared to have about two lines of text. I don't know if you have ever seen the type of label that has the company name on the first line and the city and state it was made in on the second line. That is consistant with the way several tool companies print their label. Also, why would the model number to be put on the outside where they would then paint over it. Printing the model number on the outside would lead to your point about stealth. Having the model number on the outside would make the case

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 14:47

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 7 of 27

stick out more than a plain flat black paint job.

I agree that stealth would be important, but what if the case was dropped and caused the device to

malfunction. That could cause the singularities to escape, at worst, and at best it could cause too much

damage for it to work properly. As a result he could be stuck in 1975, get taken to the wrong time, or

even materialize inside of something solid! That would be a lot worse than having a person think you are

carrying a strange looking box. I think I would take durability over stealth in a situation as important as

my life. It also might be different if the case were some bright color like bare metal, but if it were painted olive drab it still wouldn't stand out a whole lot even if it was a bit different from the time period it was in.

Edgars,
I'm sure that John could have come up with a creative answer such as it being tools or equipment that he uses for a job he has. Also, it could be possible that he would leave the "time machine" in a secure location and then retrieve it later.

[Edited by Ryan Ruck on 05-22-2001 at 09:03 PM]

Posted by Kevin Spooner on 05-22-2001 09:15 PM
Oh oh another JT thread. Yet this one is different. It appears to me from the original thread-maker that he's asking John Titor to prove he's not a fraud. Kind of reminds me of the witch-hunt days, you know, Salem and all that.
If John's a Time Traveller, and he left for his future home as indicated weeks ago, then how do you expect him to answer you? He's not here, if that's the case. What you will get is not John and irrefutable proof but those who are pro, those who are con, and those who are open to it.
Are we to assume then that because he won't defend your question of him that he must be a fraud? That's the witch-hunt theory for you.
To me the question of John T and in fact Time travel itself as portrayed could not be decided until proof is obtained (just as in Alien / UFO topics). That proof, for most, myself included, would not be concrete until I see, touch and experience a time travel device or have it absolutely proven true or impossible by top scientists reporting to Senior Government teams who sign a declaration of fact and demonstrate it themselves.

Until then, peace. ~

Posted by Andrew Boughton on 05-22-2001 09:56 PM
The logo on the last picture of the time machine appears to read CTR.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 14:52

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 8 of 27

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-23-2001 07:33 AM

I have come to the conclusion John Titor is NOT a "fraud". I use that term in the respect that fraud

generally means something to do with criminal activity. Technically speaking, it could mean trickery,

swindling, cheating, misrepresentation.

Over the course of the past months that I have followed this story and tried to interject some reasoning

into it, I've discovered that one thing stands out, above everything else. John Titor told a good enough

story that we can not prove it true and we can not yet prove it false.

At this point in time, while I personally still believe him to have NOT been a time traveler, I will say

that there is NO FIRM evidence either way. That gives me a 50/50 on him.

In addition, I contacted some friends in the "business" and asked some pertinent questions about

"Tipler" and his theories. The physicist that wrote back tells me that Frank Tipler is considered a "kook"

in the field.

While this in itself is sufficient to have me lean more towards fakery, I have to counter that with people

like Galileo, and Copernicus. Both of these men, in their day were prosecuted as heretics by the religious

leaders of the day. They were also looked upon as "kooks" by others in their own fields. Today, they are

looked upon as heroes, as inventor of the telescope, and the Sun-centered solar system (instead of Earth-centered).

In other words, just because a scientist has come up with what appears to be a "kooky" idea, doesn't

mean it is WRONG. According to current thinking and physics, (and this partially according to the

physicist that wrote back) it is a matter of conservation of mass and energy. We know that certain laws

are not going to be broken given our current knowledge, and the laws of conservation of mass and

energy is one set of rules that is apparently impossible to break.

The scientist says, "I do not believe in time travel for the following reason: energy conservation.

Suppose that the mass of the Universe was M at $t = -100$. I live at $t = 0$

and want to go back to $t = -100$. I would then have introduced my mass, m , at that time, so that now the

Universe at $t = -100$ is $M + m$ in contradiction to what we just said above, that it was M at $t = -100$."

What he is saying is that mass is a given, and introducing more mass into that space contradicts the laws of conservation. (I'm of the opinion that this may be true, but, doing so uses energy, and this would mean that for a given mass, that an equivalent amount of energy must come from somewhere, perhaps T-100 in his case?) Anyway, even given the fact that some respected folks believe that Frank Tipler is a kook, I can't honestly say I know enough about Tipler myself to summarily rule him a kook, nor can I do the same for his ideas given the state of Science over the course of the past couple thousand years. If John was a fraud, he was a harmless fraud in that he wasn't attempting to get people to "follow him" - even though some have implied that he has. He never asked for money, he never even asked us to believe him. He merely put the information out for us to examine - which this group has done well. So... fraud? Not in the criminal sense of the word. Fake? Perhaps, but we will see what comes to light in the next couple of years.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 14:57

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 9 of 27
Posted by Pamela Moore on 05-23-2001 09:21 AM

Rick,
I don't think Tipler is a kook. and scientists have been wrong before, many times in fact. Anyway He has all of John's information. diagrams, pictures etc. I have confirmed he has received them and we shall see from there. I bought Tipler's latest book and I have read it. now I am on to a new book written by Kip Thorne "black holes and time warps" it is pretty good!

Maybe the information, even if it may be fake, will inspire Tipler to something totally new! You never know how things will work out. it has inspired me toward more research in Physics especially blackholes. If I am in contact with

someone working even now on sending signals through time.
There will always be new research, new discoveries, and people will always call other people kooks. or
kooky (right Darby??? hhahah ®) But the discoveries will still come!
Whether John turns out to be a fake or not I would still like John very much. he was fascinating to talk
to. I felt close to him. maybe we were close to the same brain wave frequency or something.
And his family (if they really exist) need not to worry they will always be safe...always.
sincerely,
Pamela

Posted by Derrick Donovan on 05-23-2001 10:31 AM

ok his time gizmo looks like an old radio with things glued on it plus if he was from the future im sure
they could just build an old corn and there would most likiy be ruiz

Posted by Brad Persons on 05-23-2001 10:44 AM

is probably one of the biggest yet most overlooked problems we have. (definition of the word 'is' for
example.)

To say John was a fraud implies that he was out for monetary or other gain, which simply isn't true. He
never once asked for anything, be it money, belief, or even respect. If anything all he did was subject
himself to the endless questions and even attacks from folks on this board.
Even if we were able to prove his story false, fraud would still be the wrong word. Because even if he it
was all an elaborate lie, it wasn't used to get ANYTHING from anybody. Hoax, liar, or worse perhaps
(again, that's assuming we could prove it false.) But not fraud.

In reality there is no hard evidence either way, as Rick pointed out. So that makes it even more incorrect
to call Titor a fraud.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:02

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 10 of 27

Posted by David R Ferguson on 05-23-2001 01:05 PM

Brad, you are absolutely right. Boomer has done nothing fraudulent here at all. Titor is either a real time

traveler or he is not. Neither of these has been conclusively proven to be correct. Even if Boomer is not a real time traveler (which I have my doubts he really is), that does not make him a fraud or even a liar. He may actually believe he is a true time traveler, and in this case that would make him delusional. Does that mean he is dangerous? No, as he has not done anything to try and hurt anyone else by taking their money, asked them to do anything, etc., etc. I dare say there are many people out there who live in fantasies of their own creation, and live out their entire lives in respectability. One could submit that Clayton Moore, who played The Lone Ranger in the 50s television series, was one such fellow. Long after the series was canceled he went around the country making appearances as The Lone Ranger because he believed in what The Lone Ranger stood for. When once asked by a reporter late in Moore's life what the connection was between The Lone Ranger and The Green Hornet, without missing a beat Clayton Moore responded with "Britt Reid, the Green Hornet, was the son of Dan Reid, who was the nephew of John Reid, the Lone Ranger. And Dan Reid's horse was named Victor." Let's again posit that Boomer is not a time traveler nor is he delusional, and submit that he made up the entire story. Does that make him a fraud, or even a liar? No, it does not, unless we define that anyone who has ever done any type of play acting is a liar and a fraud. John Titor is not a fraud.

Posted by Ryan Ruck on 05-23-2001 01:06 PM

In my opinion, I agree that the Titor story could be true or it very well could be false. Personally, I'm leaning more towards the side of false since there have been some things that have put doubt into my mind. I agree that John wasn't trying to gain anything, so far as I can tell. Not to play semantics but <http://www.djctionary.com> labels a fraud as the following:

fraud (frod)

n.

1. A deception deliberately practiced in order to secure unfair or unlawful gain.
2. A piece of trickery; a trick.
3.
 - a. One that defrauds; a cheat.
 - b. One who assumes a false pose; an impostor.

[Middle English fraude, from Old French from Latin fraus, fraud-.]

Now, according to definitions 2 and 3b., John Titor can indeed be labeled as a fraud (IF the whole story

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:09

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 11 of 27
is false). As I said before I'm still waiting to see compelling evidence in either direction.
But my gut tells
me that this story, although interesting and detailed, is false.
Brad,
By the way, the definition of the word "is" is as follows ®:

is (iz)
v.
Third person singular present indicative of "be".
[Middle English from Old English; see es- in Indo-European Roots.]

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-23-2001 01:28 PM
Derrick.... please, my friend, read ALL the messages in the list, all of the threads then
come back and
make that conclusion.
Number 1. I'm a ham radio operator, and I have been involved in radio for over 30 years.
There is NO
SUCH DEVICE as that thing in the photos that is a 'radio'. I have seen, touched,
repaired and drooled
over thousands upon thousands of radios. The device is NOT a radio. So forget it.
You're out of your
league on this one. I *AM* an electronics engineer, and I *AM* the radio expert here. I
will put MY
abilities against ANYONE on this board, INCLUDING Mr. Bell himself. My
knowledge of radio
systems, antennas and the associated electronics, as well as what they look like go well
beyond normal
people. It has been my LIFE for 30 years.
Number 2. You have based your statement on nothing other than an opinion. So far,
99% of the people
here have at least provided some kind of facts to back up their claims, or their opinions.
You made a
statement with no data to back it up. Certainly you, like the rest of us is more than
entitled to your
opinion. But, if you make blanket statements, expect a challenge.
So - here is your challenge. PROVE they would have "ruiz" in the future about time
travel. PROVE they

would use whatever they can get their hands on to build such a device (especially after a nuclear war!).

You can't prove these things, so might as well give up now.

Posted by David R Ferguson on 05-23-2001 02:04 PM

Ryan Ruck, I do not want to appear to be playing semantics, either, but I went to your source you cited and found the meanings of the following words from your list which you said could be used to label Boomer as a fraud:

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:14

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 12 of 27
trickery n I: verbal misrepresentation intended to take advantage of you in some way [syn: hocus-pocus, slickness, hanky panky, jiggery-pokery, skulduggery, skullduggery] 2: the use of tricks to deceive someone (usually to extract money from them) [syn: chicanery, guile, wile, shenanigan] im-pos-tor (m-pstr)
n.
One who engages in deception under an assumed name or identity.
I then further found the following word:
de-cep-tion (d-spshn)
n.
The use of deceit.
The fact or state of being deceived.
A ruse; a trick.
Notice in particular that one who uses trickery does so usually to extract money.
Boomer has not done this. Neither do we know that he is using an assumed name (he claimed John Titor is his real name), nor has he clearly been demonstrated to be deceptive because that definition brought us back to ruse, or trick, which we showed he has not engaged in doing. Nor has Boomer's story been shown to have caused him to be taking advantage of anyone.
Now maybe I can be accused of mincing words here, but I still hold that it has not been proven that Boomer is a fraud by any definition. His story may be just that, a story, but so are many great works of

literature.

Nice job going to and using the on-line dictionary! And we also do not know what being "alone" means, do we?

Posted by Edgars Freibergs on 05-23-2001 02:54 PM

Pam and Others...

You might want to add a few more books to the reading list, many of Titor's explanations of time travel and alternate universe theory was written about in the 80s.

The books of Fred Alien Wolf Ph.D

Posted by Pamela Moore on 05-23-2001 04:01 PM

Edgars,

Yes, I have a couple books by Fred Alien Wolf.

The one I like the most is "Parallel Universes-the search for other worlds." That one is pretty well highlighted up. hehehe ~lhmmm..I have been thinking. ..I think I need more bookshelves!

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 15:20

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 13 of 27

Posted by JeffBorgus on 05-23-2001 04:30 PM

Brad—misuse of language is one of the biggest problems these days? Wow. I'm glad you pointed that out. Let's all make it our number one goal to save humanity by protecting the English semantic rules!

David—you forgot to place a period in your middle initial.

I'm kidding guys, but seriously. Do we need the little word games?

-Borgus

Posted by Pamela Moore on 05-23-2001 04:36 PM

Edgar,

I see Art has Michio Kaku as a guest Thursday night.

His book "hyperspace" is my favorite. I don't want to miss that show. QI have his first three books listed

there. Don't have the last one on superstrings though.

Guest: Michio Kaku

Professor of theoretical physics

Book: Visions: How Science Will Revolutionize the 21st Century
Book: Hyperspace: A Scientific Odyssey Through Parallel Universes, Time Warps and the Tenth Dimension
Book: Beyond Einstein: The Cosmic Quest for the Theory of the Universe
Book: Introduction to Superstrings
Website: <http://www.dorsai.org/~rnkaku/>
E-mail: mkaku@aol.com

Posted by Brad Persons on 05-23-2001 04:37 PM

David has done a much more thorough job of responding to Ryan than I ever could. I will however add my two cents..
The most commonly used and accepted meaning of the word fraud -thanks largely to the FBI I would imagine- is what you have listed as 'no. 1' from the online dictionary. Not only is it the most commonly used definition, the thread started with a post that implied that definition, as did the post immediately before mine. That is what I based my previous post on. Why my post inspired you to go to so much trouble to find a way to justify using the fraud label, I don't know.
My problem with describing Titor as a fraud is simply that it implies he was something that none of his posts justify. Had he crusaded for followers or tried to sell plans to build his time machine then the word fraud would apply, and I would be the first in line pointing it out. As has been stated many times, he did nothing of the sort. He simply told a story, and left it up to the reader to determine it's truth or value.
Whether it's true, or was a sociology experiment, or a creative writing assignment (which wouldn't

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:25

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 14 of 27
surprise me, BTW) or if he was bored or even if he was delusional, none of that justifies the word fraud.
I happen to agree with you. There are things about his story that lead me to believe that it is false. But

without verifiable proof either way I can't say that for sure. In fact nobody really can, and that makes it even more ridiculous to use the word fraud.
Definition of the word bored: Taking this much time to explain something like this.

Posted by Brad Persons on 05-23-2001 04:48 PM

Actually Jeff, it is a big problem. Politicians deliberately misuse the language all the time to manipulate the public or to justify their behavior. People have been killed over simple misunderstandings. Heck, look at our constitution. Pretty straight forward right? Yet our lawmakers and the supreme court find ways to make laws that are blatantly unconstitutional fly every day. Relative to the discussion of Titor it probably is pretty insignificant, but at the same time I think using the word fraud unfairly taints the discussion.

Posted by JeffBorgus on 05-23-2001 06:02 PM

On the contrary, I think one of the biggest problems with humanity is gullibility (ability to be easily tricked or duped).

Too many people simply cling to something and then seek out any evidence to support their views, ignoring the rest. They also think, "If there is no obvious reason for a person to lie, then it must be true."

We cannot ignore examination of John's true motivations for telling us his story. This includes ALL

possibilities, not just those that support your faith in him.

Motive does not have to be monetary. Not everyone is guided by money or possession of objects. Many

people seek acceptance and validation, or fame. Or to compensate for a psychological need created from childhood or loss.

First we need to examine John's motives that he stated, and then look at those possibilities which he did

not state in the boards. These are motives for him telling the truth, and also motives for him to lie.

Stated motives:

1. John stated that he wanted to learn about the people in this time period.
2. John stated that he was on a mission to save his struggling society of 2036.
3. John stated that he did not care about our worldline.
4. John stated that he cares about us in the forums as a family.
5. John stated that he did not want us to believe him.

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 15:30

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 15 of 27

Other possible motives:

1. To spread important info. The story is a lie to hook us into his ideas about time travel. If he would

have passively posted his ideas on these boards we would not have paid attention to him so intently.

2. To strengthen ego and self esteem. He seeks attention to raise his self esteem.

3. Fame. His exaggerated sense of self importance motivated him to create an avenue to seek respect and attention from large numbers of people. Or, to fulfill a lack of love and respect in his life.

4. Accidental role-playing. He did not just begin by saying "I am from 2036." He began on the Time

Travel Institute forum by adding his theories to a discussion. Later, he began to slowly release his story.

He may have just happened on the chance to fool a bunch of people for entertainment.

5. Intentional deception. He wanted to expose how gullible people really are.

6. To change time line. Preventing something, or creating a new series of events.

7. To escape. Using his time machine as a renegade traveler.

There are many more possible motivations for John to lie, as well as motivations for him to tell the truth.

THIS is what we should be focusing on here. NOT wasting a whole page on the definition of the word

"fraud."

[Edited by JeffBorgus on 05-23-2001 at 06:17 PM]

Posted by Edgars Freibergs on 05-23-2001 07:56 PM

John Titor had a mission to come back 2001 and log on to the time travel message bases and plant

enough information in those forums. To cause a single reader who would come across them, preferably a

offduty relaxing physics lab tech to read and take in the information, and the next day while conducting

a experiment to think in a radical new direction based on a silly time travel post he/she came across the

night before. Thus causing the "breakthrew" John Titor spoke of that would eventually led to time travel

in 2036.

Maybe just maybe John Titor's reason for posting was to make his reality come about.

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-24-2001 07:32 AM

The idea that semantics can cause problems is very true, and the use of the language is EXTREMELY

important because words DO HAVE MEANINGS. Unlike Ex-President Clinton's belief that they do not

have meanings unless you assign one.

The fact is, if you misuse a word enough, it takes on a new meaning. The fact is, if you create words and

use them over and over applying a meaning to them, they become words. (Examples: Cool!, Ain't,

Bogue [anyone remember that one from the 70s?]).

Point being, words ARE very important to the discussion of the material at hand and misusing the

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 15:36

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 16 of 27

language will give different people, different ideas of "the story". This is precisely why we all need to be

on the same sheet of music when discussing this material.

On another subject, Edgars, you hit the nail on the head with one of your comments. Let me quote:

"John Titor had a mission to come back 2001 and log on to the time travel message bases and plant

enough information in those forums. To cause a single reader who would come across them, preferably a

offduty relaxing physics lab tech to read and take in the information, and the next day while conducting

a experiment to think in a radical new direction based on a silly time travel post he/she came across the

night before. Thus causing the "breakthrew" John Titor spoke of that would eventually led to time travel

in 2036. "

Indeed John DID say he had a "hidden agenda" for stopping in our "time zone". He never elaborated on

what that was, and this I think was one of the things that set Javier off... hidden agendas ~ evil to him.

To me, that isn't what it means. I have many "hidden agendas", none of which will harm anyone here on

this list. However, unrelated to this list, I have hidden agendas that would harm folks if they got too

close to the work at hand. That is, unfortunately, the nature of the beast and working for the government.

But, then, are those things evil? No, they are simply things that must remain out of public view to prevent the release of things like critical information associated with National Security.

All of us in the

government are under such constraints all the time, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

This is one of the things that has always bothered me about John "working for the military" and being a

"time traveler". In my thinking this would be a HIGHLY classified piece of information, and you would

not divulge this information to ANYONE, especially NOT to those with whom you will be interacting

personally on another time line. It puts YOU at risk, your equipment at risk, it puts your mission at risk,

and it might even put your OWN TIME LINE at risk.

Let's assume though, once again for the sake of argument John was indeed a time traveler and most

everything he told us had some truth to it. Taking what Edgars stated above, I can say in absolute

CERTAINTY that this has ALREADY HAPPENED: "To cause a single reader who would come

across them, preferably a offduty relaxing physics lab tech to read and take in the information, and the

next day while conducting a experiment to think in a radical new direction based on a silly time travel

post he/she came across the night before. "

Why can I say this? Because I have already been in contact with several people who are in the physics

fields personally, and some not as directly. I've contacted mathematicians. Pamela has been in contact

indirectly with Frank Tipler (by the way Pam, I have little information on him, so I do not consider him

a "kook" either. I was merely pointing that out in the other thread that some folks think of him that way).

The fact is, people in my own industry here, have been reading this material, some quite avidly, and

have made comments to me about it. It is interesting the minds it has opened up to the possibilities, even

though many of them believe it is impossible given current technology, they are CONSIDERING the

IDEA of TIME TRAVEL.

This means, that whether John intended to do so or not, he DID have an effect on this time line. While a

lot of folks consider Mr. Bell to be a "kook" as well, an awful LOT of government folks listen to him

live, at night. Many of us catch him on the internet. There are a vast number of intelligent folks out here,

who listen partially for the entertainment value, some listen more for the "what if ideas.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:42

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 17 of 27
So - assuming John was a TT, then Edgars hit the NAIL on the HEAD. John accomplished his mission.
(Now... before you think I have changed sides again, I haven't. I'm still of the opinion that it could go either way, I lean to the "he wasn't a tt" side, but believe without a doubt we can PROVE it by following the "predictions" I've compiled so far.)

Rick

Posted by Albert Cattoir on 05-24-2001 06:46 PM
I see you people somewhat are not relaxed about this topic anymore.
Mistakes about what the TT said are being made.
Perfection is not required, but please try to remember what the TT posted.
John Titor is a real name, he said.
I am not critizing so much as concerned that postings are getting off what he really posted.
I can only say that there are inconstitencies (spelling?) with some of what he posted.
I try to imagine this future world as pointed out by the TT and sometimes I think it is possible, even if we all hold opinions about it.
I just wonder with all the information out there, that some of the story is exactly that.
I see the CIA report about the world in 2015, and comment to myself that these are only opinions by some respected people and others have some slightly different opinions, but for the most part, it is always a somewhat pessimistic future.
I will refer back to that article and list the link again as it is quite a long article to digest and read:

Global Trends 2015:
A Dialogue About the Future With Nongovernment Experts
<http://www.oclc.org/cia/publications/globaltrends2015/index.html>
But some are experts, whatever that means. I do not quite believe in experts, except that some people know more than others.
And there are other opinions are parts of that reading.
I guess one can also buy it.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 15:47

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 18 of 27

Posted by Albert Cattoir on 05-24-2001 07:00 PM

Oh! Here I am on this topic. Can not remember where someone posting anymore.

I suggest that if one has a FM-AM tuner as in a music stereo system that one can hook up the tape rec

(tape something) outputs on the back of it and hook it up by cords to the VCR - tape recorder and tape

the Art Bell show Thursday nite.

I wish I would of done that with the Nanotech show.

Anyway, at EP speed and the line inputs instead of the TV tuner, most VCR have line inputs just to

record sound, one can set the VCT to record all five hours of the show. (Well, in my case.)

You know that the VCR is an excellent sound tape recorder, just do not have a video to go with it.

Actually in some respects, it is better than the old reel to reel tape recorders.

Well, I think that I will do that, since I seem to be up all night fixing computers at the moment now.

This time, a back up will be made.

Video cards, especially when the drivers are only made for Win95 OSR2 version and higher, seem to

mess up my computer.

I am getting use to fixing my computer. Practice makes perfect.

Oh! Well!

Posted by David Hill on 05-24-2001 11:34 PM

After listening to Art's guest tonight (Thursday), it sure is interesting that what he describes is almost

exactly what Titor describes as the inner workings of his time machine.

Oh well. I'm sure he's just full of Hawking Radiation and Titor's just lucky to have "guessed" the science

before anyone was talking about it.

Anyone else notice his supposed patch appears to be a trip through a ringed black hole?

Hmmmm....

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-25-2001 12:17 AM

David H,

I agree about the interesting TT machine. In fact Boomer's two Kerr black hole idea isn't new. The

difference is that Boomer suggests that they are micro-singularities powered by very normal energy

levels (supplied by a small battery). I've had many specific problems with Boomer's machine - energy being one of the chief objections. As Dr. Kaku said tonight, it takes the energy of stars - not a cute pink bunny - to create a CTL.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:52

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 19 of 27

Posted by Pamela Moore on 05-25-2001 12:20 AM

Darby,
what if the battery only powers the computer? or the electrical parts of the machine?
what if the true energy is in the microsingularities?

fEdited by Pamela Moore on 05-25-2001 at 12:26 AMI

Posted by Kevin Spooner on 05-25-2001 02:32 AM

What if John Titor, having spent so many years intrigued with Time Travel, having developed so much theory started using boards like this one, not because he actually was a time traveller, but because he wanted to pit his theories against other minds. In so doing so, over many years, he developed what he believed to be sound theory. It is possible. Then, lets also say that perhaps John Titor no longer desired to stay in the current zone or world, or in fact felt he did not belong. Having used others to develop answers to critical issues surrounding the topics (and in that sense, perhaps even throwing odd-ball side-issues to fool us) he succeeded to the point where he had reached the moment in all great experiments where it needed verification. At this time, he, having been self assured of no longer requiring to stay in this time zone or worldline, put theory to practice. If that is so, he went forward, switched his unit on following procedures he had worked out, and left. I don't find that at all impossible. Some years back I remember following the story of Ong's Hat and became intrigued with other worlds. Being a computer tech (hey -how is that computer anyway?) I find the logistical and technological concepts fascinating.

This is perhaps how it could be if John Titor wanted the perfect cover up, not being privy to Scientific circles, to develop theory, construct equipment, and finally leave. If that were so, I would say that John Titor is not a fraud but left it so that having used all of us to pit his theories, he's abandoned us. Or did he. Ahahahahahahah. The other concept is that he is indeed intrigued but as yet has not left. In that concept he could be anyone including a rampant student getting more and more involved in what started out as a practical joke now becoming very serious.

Posted by JeffBorgus on 05-25-2001 03:50 AM

Kevin-your scenario is interesting. Maybe the only trip he actually made was from 2001 to 1998.

Posted by Jerad Riggin on 05-25-2001 07:47 AM

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 15:59

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud?
He could just be using another nickname.

Page 20 of 27

Posted by David Hill on 05-25-2001 07:56 AM

Another chapter in the Titor Story:

1. ...the old picture was that there is a dot at the center of a black hole but now we believe there is a ring at the center.
2. Centrifical force keeps the ring together.
3. ...the spinning black hole would be the frame. If you walked through it you would be in another universe.
4. ...we physists NOW BELIEVE in a multiverse or many universes.
5. ...you may be able to fall into the ring without being torn apart by the baick hole...
6. ...RECENT DESIGNS (as opposed to old designs) now say you can go backwards in time.
7. The river of time would fork in two directions and you would have two parralle universes—you would atually have killed somone else's parents so there is no paradox.

8. Black holes can be opened with negative matter (whatever that is) These black holes would not have event horizons and be transversible.

Are these previous postings from John Titor our supposed time traveler? No, they are paraphrased

quotes from Michio Kaku on Art's show last night.

In my opinion, the automatic BS meter appears to be swinging more wildly on the credibility scale. Can

all of this be discounted with Hawking radiation or "we've known that for years" comments?

Interesting how many times he used the phrase "we now believe" and "recent designs".

So what do we have now... a bored guy who has a Corvette, knows how to tell a good story, knows who

to draw and paint on a computer and now... can guess what scientists will be talking about a few months

into the future about time travel. Now that I think about it, right or wrong, at least Titor attempted to talk

about how his machine worked and it now appears he may not have been making it up. yeah...happens all the time.

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-25-2001 08:12 AM

Jerard, We DO NOT know he isn't still here, posting under another name.

I'm listening to the show from last night, right now. Takes me a few hours to catch up to everyone else.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 16:09

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 21 of 27

(I need my rest at night... I'm old).

Rick

[Edited by Rick Donaldson on 05-25-2001 at 08:55 AM]

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-26-2001 03:09 AM

David,

You have to finish Dr. Kaku's thoughts:

He was talking in terms of large black holes, which are relatively stable and for which the Hawking

Radiation is measured in micro-degrees kelvin and not millions of degrees as is the case of small

unstable black holes;

He was also talking in terms of this technology being available several thousands of years in the future,

not less than 35 years from now.

He was talking in terms of a society that can harness and manipulate the power of whole stars.

None of the information that Dr. Kaku spoke about last night is new. It's been "out there" for years and

is readily available to anyone here, including Boomer. He knew just enough about physics to tell a good

story but not enough to fill the voids between theory and his description of his device.

He was caught

flat footed with the Hawking Radiation when I first posed the problem...so he dismissed it.

[Edited by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-26-2001 at 03:16 AM]

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-26-2001 03:37 AM

Wonder Woman,

The wiring trace in his diagrams (vague though they are) indicate that the battery is connected to the

containment vessels and every other sub-structure of the device. They don't indicate a battery connection

to the computer, but I made an assumption that it was.

However...it's a black hole. The singularity, which lies within the event horizon, can't power anything.

Nothing can get out. He promised that no matter falls in (which isn't possible, but the devil gets his due

here). So...

We do have Hawking Radiation - very powerful (too powerful in this case)- but I don't think that his

device is going to run on gamma and x-rays. In fact, his diagram shows the x-rays being vented. Now...if

he had shown a nuclear reactor vessel in the Chevy that captured the x-rays to boil water, turn a

turbine...

No.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 16:14

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 22 of 2'

Posted by David Hill on 05-26-2001 07:08 AM

Boy Darby. I'll bet watching Star Trek with you is whole bunch of fun. Isn't the idea to keep the story

going?

Here's my theory:

John Titor secretly began talking to physicists before he left and spillin' the beans on how time travel

works so the war was sure to start here. Art knew about it but waited to post Titor's stuff until he heard back that there was something to it. (The warp man or wave shifter burned Art before...right?)
Bingo, Kaku calls Art and says it is real and they're using Titor's material to rewrite the book on time travel. KLaku puts up a new web site with "new" time travel theories and Art puts Titor's stuff up (3 weeks later) after hearing it might be true. Oh yea.. ..Kaku comes on a week later to basically confirm everything Titor said.
Now that's a post!
Here's a better idea:
Why doesn't Art spend one hour with Pamela, Darby, JC and Rick (or anyone else who spoke with Titor directly) and we can all find out if "you" people are real or if a little John Titor is in each one of you.
See you at the Star Trek convention.

Posted by Albert Cattoir on 05-26-2001 09:57 AM

Oh, its a mindset. A positive mindset.

Your face can reach my fist.

As for theoretical particle physicists, they just need a job.

I guess the only way these physicists will prove multiple anything is for all of them to go in to the parallel universe.

In a way, we are all working for the same thing.

Decrease or eliminate nuclear weapons that probably will be used some day.

Rather perform miracles with the "Holographic Universe" then some half-baked multiple parallel universe stuff.

In the end, too bad, you lose.

Oh, you will create multiple universe.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 16:20

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 23 of 27

God is rolling his eyes.

Deep-down inside, you type of people really do want a War, don't you?

You do not fool me, I have been around too long, as long as you people exist, I guess I will have to stick around!

You type of people will get what you want in your subconsciousness, I am not your slave with your thoughts.

Liberals better start thinking about that.

Some in my area dam well know that their face wants to meet my fist.

Too Bad!

Posted by Edgars Freibergs on 05-26-2001 12:36 PM

Has science given us a rational explanation as to why we (all of us) are currently traveling threw time?

No I haven't read one or seen one have you? Time travel is possible all of us do it each and everyday so much so we take it for granted.

Until Science reasonably and rationally explains OUR time travel, then we can not logically dismiss John Titor's claim.

To use a symbolic example Titor is claiming racing down the track at 230mph. An yet others cry its not

possible, its not possible, when they have no understanding of the mere very basic laws of why or how

the car works in the first place.

I have my doubts about Titor story at some degrees, but would be fool to dismiss his claims out right.

For we have not to understand Our time travel, in order to test his claim either way.

Edgars F

Posted by Albert Cattoir on 05-26-2001 03:25 PM

I apologize.

What is taught in high school is still atoms.

It was on the news the other day.

I also learned that.

It is a way to use the basic energy that makes up the Universe, particles, that is.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 16:25

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 24 of:

I still just believe that everything is energy, more as physicist David Bohm refers to along with a

neurologist that came up with the same theory.

I hope that humankind does not blow its self up because of attitude.

I sure it can be used, but what for. I took the same courses in college.

Later, after almost 30 years, I am thinking something different.

It should all be explained as energy, to me.

It is too easy when younger to be influence by the thought patterns of people who should know better than the current way that the energy of the Universe is explained. Particles, do you really think that particles make up your consciousness or yourself? What does your inner voice really tell you, or have you lost contact with it? It is still there, as always, with Heart. That is where God is.

Posted by Albert Cattoir on 05-27-2001 12:16 PM

Titor is getting quite a bit of attention though.

Have a nice Holiday!

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-28-2001 03:59 AM

David,

Sorry about Star Trek - ®

If by stating "having a bit of Titor in each of us" you mean that "we" are a group who are Boomer I

assure you that we aren't. I can't speak for JC but Pamela, Rick and I are not one person and none of us

are hiding out as Boomer's ghost writer. Honest. I haven't had any contact with Boomer other than what

you see in the posts here. I never talked to him privately nor did I have any other form of private

communication with him.

If you are hinting by the word "real" that one or more of us aren't who or what we say we are I can only

speak for myself. What you see is what you get - and it really is me, or at least as much of "me" as I

wish to post in a BBS forum.

I hope that that answers any questions that you have.

Posted by Javier Cortez on 05-28-2001 12:48 PM

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 16:31

Post to Post-Is Titor a fraud? Page 25 of 27

Que tal Primo,

You know me... You know I'm not that @#~°/o#@ Titor. It's okay, you can speak for me, cause I know me and you are cool @.

Plus I don't know how longer I will be here anyways. I may get deleted.

Btw, I just had this vision that within 2 months Titor will be proven a fraud.

Then again, I had a vision last year that I won 11 million dollars. Too bad I played those numbers on the

wrong day ~. It was Saturday's, not Wednesdays. I been kicking my self ever since.

Posted by Kevin Spooner on 05-29-2001 03:02 AM

That's funny.

Who thinks who is John Titor in disguise? Hmm.

Seriously examine the word 'fraud' and perhaps JT may not fit the absolute criteria of the rather assertive term.

Geez John. Now I understand it. Toss a coin, my brains only big enough for one of me. Gosh darn it, my singularity has locked in reverse...

ahahahahahahahahahahah!!!

Oh well... can't say John's a fraud, can't even say he's a little bit of a liar, can't even say there was deceit.

Can't say there was or there was not. It's not game-play is it.

The answer is... if you run two time-line options through twin harmonised, bi-polarised singularities you

create a stable neutral through which you can use the harmonics of to steer a course in whatever

angulized time-world-direction is preset by the stabilized result as in directly mapping to your original

time and world zones thereby inducing a safe and sure methodology of returning from your own source-

time and world irrespective of your relative current locale. By inputing your current locale in time and

zone you create a triangulized map.

Gotcha!

Posted by Ruby Glasgow on 05-29-2001 03:25 AM

John is a fraud. Educated, but looking for publicity of some sort. Everyones only feeding him. He wont

stop until you do. Dont be an idiot, this thread needs to end! Look at all the views to replies- you figure!

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 16:36

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 26 of 27

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-29-2001 08:35 AM

Edgars said: "I have my doubts about Titor story at some degrees, but would be fool to dismiss his

claims out right. For we have not to understand Our time travel, in order to test his claim either way. " -

This is exactly - almost exactly anyway, what I've been trying to say all along.

That we really do NOT have an idea whether there can or can NOT be time travel. We can postulate,

guess, surmise and hypothesize all we wish, but until someone DOES it, or PROVES it CAN NOT

happen, ANYTHING is possible.

Those who attempt to debunk this, without any evidence are just as bad as those who simply "believe"

without evidence. We all should be "in the middle".

Ruby said: "John is a fraud. Educated, but looking for publicity of some sort. Everyones only feeding

him. He wont stop until you do. Dont be an idiot, this thread needs to end! Look at all the views to

replies- you figure!"

What evidence do you have he is a fraud? Certainly, he is educated - but so am I.

Feeding him how? By

discussing the possibilities he was telling the truth? By discussing the holes in the theory he posted? By

discussing the facts as we know them???

And... I'm a bit confused about this issue of "he won't stop until you do". John stopped post back in

March. What is it you're talking about him "stopping"??? Some how I find it simply ridiculous someone

would state something as a fact without evidence. In other words, stating he is a fraud then requires you

show us how he is a fraud. You see, until you can prove it, it remains uncertain.

Obviously we can not say he is NOT a fraud either, but based so far on the science he posted, it all

connects. It is real, the theories are out there. They aren't proven theories in any respect, but the things

he posted "as fact" are VALID THEORIES.

What this means is, regardless of whether you wanna believe him or not, the science is SOUND.

What is UNSOUND are the people who continually attack those of us who are trying to keep an open mind, and examine BOTH sides of these issues (even though Javier has repeatedly and consistently accused us of being "one-sided", that is simply not the truth). We are trying in whatever way we can to examine the information that has been provided, and to make a determination BASED ON THE FACTS what is real and what is imagined.

So far, it has been an unsuccessful attempt on most of our parts. However, in attempting to locate John Titor, some of us has indeed found information that might account for his location, HOWEVER, some of those accounts are CLOSED. They are not being paid for. The "freebies" are still there, BUT INACTIVE.

That tells me, for a FACT, John is not the person doing all the "hits" on the site. In truth, I think we could ask the sysops to give us some stats as to who really IS hitting the site, and they can confirm or deny that the thousands and thousands of hits are from US, and those lurkers out there who are interested in the subject. I know for a FACT some certain scientists are monitoring this very thread, but will not post for fear of getting "too involved" (Hi GUYS!!!!!!)

At the same time we have all the "non-believers" as some folks might call them, debunkers, folks with little or not scientific background, or STRONG religious backgrounds who are watching as well. Some

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 16:40

Post to Post - Is Titor a fraud? Page 27 of 2

are doing so simply to make statements like Ruby said, that JT is a fraud. But, then without providing ANY evidence that he is.

I forget who said it earlier in one of the threads - but to paraphrase it: because you say something, does not make it true. Because you call someone a liar, does not make them a liar.

At this point, I can't say John is a time traveler (I hope to prove one way or the other with his statements

but it is GOING to take a LOT of time to do it). We certainly can NOT say he ISN'T a time traveler. In fact, if you examine everything we know... you simply can NOT make a decision one way or the other.. yet.

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 16:55

Ok, thats all I have of this thread..
on to the next one!

Posts: 170 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Parallel Universe (Page 1)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 2 pages long: 1 2 [next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Parallel Universe

Roel van Houten

Junior Member posted 20 December 2000 18:10

Hi everyone,

I have been reading the posts by Timetravel_0 with great interest. Most of his posts sound very plausible, but I'm too sceptic to believe that he actually is a timetraveler. However, I have too little knowledge to disprove his story. Besides, it's not my intention to disprove anything.

I have been thinking about the concept of the parallel universe theory and I came up with the following question: If parallel universes do exist, did they all start simultaneously? I mean, let's assume that the universe originated from a singularity. Were there any parallel universes at that point? That would not be very logical and it would also imply that there is a parallel universe in which our universe never existed.

In my opinion, parallel universes can only exist if they are not really parallel to oneanother. The way I see it, our time line is like a branch on an enormous tree that originated from one single point. With every possible outcome of an event, a new branch is created. Assuming that this is true, traveling back in time would not take you to another timeline but back along your own "branch". The only danger of timetravel in that case would be traveling back to the future, because there is a chance that you take a "wrong turn" and end up in another future.

I also think timetravel does affect the future in the specific "branch" that you are in. Then again, there would always be another branch that branched off BEFORE the point to which you traveled back. And that branch would be almost identical, except for the fact that your timetravel never took place.

Perhaps it's better if I'd draw a diagram. I guess it's difficult to visualize just by reading this post. It all seems logical to me. As I already said, I do not have enough knowledge of quantum physics and timetravel to support my theory, so any comments are more than welcome.

Greetings from rainy Amsterdam,
Roel

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 20 December 2000 20:22

Hi Roel,
This statement made by timetraveler_0 has really interested me and i have asked him to explain it a little further.

"Yes, this is true. If I go forward on this world line, the future will not be my future. I get home by going back to 1975 before I arrived and then going forward to 2036."

I have written him a letter, and forwarded another guys letter to him.
But I have not heard from him since dec. 13th I am getting a little concerned about it.
Im hoping he is just busy.He was just busy last time I had not heard from him for awhile.but I do think about his safety.

I dont know why but I had to read that statement over and over and over to myself.
he goes back before he arrived....before he arrived.... there is something about that statement. I hope he explains what he meant to me soon.

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 20 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

mokrie dela
Member posted 21 December 2000 01:41

Roel, A very interesting visual you painted; however don't forget that if you look at trees, branches brush against each other constantly. I visualize hundreds of springs like inside of a well made chair or couch that also brush against each other while moving tight then loose. Each one a seperate dimension but they brush each other. Also each spring a timeline that touches when compressed. I honestly believe that some human disappearances are related to these moments of future touching past or one dimension touching another. Then again I really don't know anything. I just theorize. P.S. Hi Pamela! You are the hub of the wheel and hold all us spokes together. what ever happened to Time 02112? Is he still around? I saw another name as discussion lion tamer.

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 21 December 2000 08:00

("@^@")

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Junior Member posted 21 December 2000 16:45

Hi, I was pleasantly surprised by the quick reply. Thank you!

I thought Timetravel_0 had already returned to the future (for as far as this applies, because I'm still not convinced). But I guess I must have misinterpreted some of his words, because I just read a post that was dated 21st of december.

I personally don't think that timelines "brush" against eachother. To be honest, I can't think of an event that would confirm your theory. Can you be more specific about what you mean?

Greetings from cold and rainy Amsterdam...

Roel van Houten

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 21 December 2000 18:29

Roel, Don't ask. Yes it can happen but without a mathematical formula to make all these hard nose scientists happy there's no point in discussing it. It's like seeing a UFO, unless everyone you know, and everyone you'll ever meet sees it with you, don't even try to talk about it.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 21 December 2000 21:29

The statement:

"Yes, this is true. If I go forward on this world line, the future will not be my future. I get home by going back to 1975 before I arrived and then going forward to 2036." is interesting to me, as well.

I think it refers to a time line/time branch reference point used for the return trip. He arrived in 1975 from 2036 ("The first 'leg' of my trip was from 2036 to 1975." and "I was sent back to 1975 to get a computer system and take it back to 2036.")

From 1975, he then came forward to our time. In order to get back to his correct time, he has to retrace his path: from now to 1975 to 2036. Apparently, our time line (branch) does not touch his time line (branch) after 1975, therefore, he has to go back on our branch in order to go forward on his branch.

Then he came forward

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 21 December 2000 22:27

Sort of makes you dizzy. Like I mentioned before, when we put someone's face on a milk carton, (missing person) we have to distribute them in every dimension and throughout timelines. I'll bet there are some unwilling travelers in insane assylums.
IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Junior Member posted 22 December 2000 17:39

mmmmh, perhaps they should start putting faces on milkcartons in other countries first, before they start putting it on milkcartons in other timelines... we don't have those milkcartons with missing people on them over here in Holland. ;-)

I get your point. Personally I have my doubts about someone ending up in another timeline just like that. How would something like that happen? The only way someone could end up in another timeline would be an abduction by a timetraveler. That WOULD explain two phenomena: 1) alien abductions, it's been said before that ufo's and aliens could very well be travelers from the future. In that case alien abductions could be explained as people being taken to another time or timeline... 2) Often people see doubles, look-a-likes, of someone they know, while that person is somewhere else at that moment. Perhaps these doubles are in fact people from another timeline?

I remain sceptic about the possibility of timetravel and the existance of parallel universes, however it is an interesting subject and I like discussing it.

Greetings, from cold, freezing and rainy Amsterdam :-)

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 23 December 2000 12:39

Yes, aliens could be our decendants, a thousand years from now. However I still believe doorways or rifts, ripples or what ever you want to call them, open doorways on occasion and some poor unsuspecting jerk walks through without ever seeing it. Poof, missing person.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 25 December 2000 01:53

Years ago, I read that events can result from future actions, or the cause can happen before the result. I'm beginning to accept that possibility.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 25 December 2000 01:55

Correction: "...or the result can happen 'before' the cause."

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Junior Member posted 25 December 2000 19:55

Hi NoTime,

Could you elaborate on that? From my point of view causes in the future cannot have a result in the present.

I also believe that the future is not yet determined... That would somehow rule out the possibility of timetravelers from the future entering our present. My guess is that all possible futures have already "branched out". It's only a matter of choice whether certain events take place or not.

I wish I was able to utilize the idle 70% of my brain. This matter is reaching over my head! Anyway, I'll give it another thought.

Greetings from Amsterdam

Roel

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 25 December 2000 20:26

If you were to enter a time machine right now and travel back to 1900, the people of that time may say you couldn't be from the future because you haven't even been born yet. Their perspective is the same as yours. They are living out their time as if the future is open and empty space not yet used. And yet here we are. Possibly 100 years from now there is someone that has traveled "back" to observe US.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 25 December 2000 21:02

Roel

Alien abductions. Missing persons. Every imaginable statistic is watched these days. Some where somebody knows how many cups of coffee were consumed between 9 and 10 AM for each day of the week. Somebody keeps track of the daily number of dog bites in NYC. So you can bet that who goes missing, when and where is all on disk somewhere. But like cold weather at the beach, nobody likes to talk about it. I've never seen a single book or article on the subject and I read just about everything. We could say that the journalism on the subject is as missing as the supposed "non-victims".

So whats my point? I dunno. It gives me the same feeling you'd get when your oil light keeps flashing at odd intervals but your engine checks out "ok".

Doppelgangers are in a way the opposite of missing persons. I've seen other people that look, act move and sound so much like myself it's literally made my hair stand on end.

Many times over the years I had people say they have seen me places where I know I have never been.

As for parallel worlds all I can say is "believe it !"

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 26 December 2000 22:16

Shadow, You crack me up! You have a great dry sence of humor. I've never thought of doppelgangers as the opposite of missing persons-that's really funny. I hope your a stand up comic, it would be a shame to waste your cleverness on just us.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 27 December 2000 01:04

Morkie

Logic is serial. Humor is parallel.

Comic relief is never wasted. It is the acknowledgement of waste itself.

We laugh we cry
tis but the essence
of that gone by.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 28 December 2000 01:27

Roel: I suppose that a future action might cause a result in the present if time is not serial in the sense that we are used to thinking it is. Also, if we eventually learn to manipulate time like we manipulate so many other things, then we can probably change events in the past. That would be the same principle as a future action causing a result in the present.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 28 December 2000 23:55

Good Point there NoTime!
you can add my vote to that one!

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Junior Member posted 29 December 2000 11:53

I'm not sure if I understand you correctly. I've tried to visualize time as something non-linear, but I find it difficult to grasp. In my opinion events take place in a certain order and events in the past, present and future do not exist parallel to one another. But I'm not sure if that's what you meant...?

Roel

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 29 December 2000 20:42

I often visualize 'time' as a very large, intricate Tree...as our perception moves up a major trunk...and off on any given smaller branch (kinda like an inch worm traveling up a tree) our perception of the whole changes yet the Tree remains.

With that as an analogy...needing to return to a point in the past and retrace one's steps ..to be sure one ends up on the correct future branch....makes sense...as does parallel universes...and the past changing from a future perspective.

Be safe and dream sweetly all. Light and Love in the coming year to each.

WS

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 01:19

If, as some believe, everything exists in one spacious present (or one NOW), then the "past", "present", and "future" all coexist at once in that timeless realm. Maybe the larger part of ourselves resides in that realm and a part of ourselves exists as physical humans in what we call our lifetimes. Our physical selves that live in the 3 dimensional universe are subject the laws and conditions that make up the physical universe, such as the natural sciences (physics, chemistry, etc.), emotions (joy, sorrow, etc.), human interaction, -- and TIME.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 11:47

Greetings and happy holidays everyone. I am very surprised and delighted to see the conversation going in the direction it has on this thread. Unknowingly, you all have stepped into the real mystery of time travel that remains speculative in 2036. Based on a couple of questions I see here, I will try my hardest to describe what we in 2036 think space-time looks like and how it behaves. Please keep in mind that I realize how easy it is to dismiss what I say. First, I'm trying to do this from memory. Imagine you are back in 1911 trying to explain a jet engine to the Wright brothers. However, there are some very basic properties of quantum theory that support this model today. I appreciate the fact that you are reading this with an open mind.

(If parallel universes do exist, did they all start simultaneously? I mean, let's assume that the universe originated from a singularity. Were there any parallel universes at that

point? That would not be very logical and it would also imply that there is a parallel universe in which our universe never existed.)

It is thought that the event called the “Big Bang” was the start of not only this worldline or universe but all worldlines and all universes that make up the superuniverse. It is also thought that the superuniverse can be imagined as an expanding sphere with the big bang in the center.

Individual worldliness (or timelines as you call them) can be imagined as lines originating at the center and “trending” toward spiraling around the sphere until they reach the edge. The individual worldlines expand in length and widen as you follow them from the center. Each individual “moment” or “event” on a world line has infinite possibilities or outcomes. Imagine this as a single point with infinite lines shooting away from it, which in turn are made up of points with their own possibilities and outcomes. Now, remember, these individual worldliness with all these points and possibilities are defined by their ability to hold there inhabitants to timelike trips only (no faster than light travel).

Now consider the reality of a spinning or electrified black hole (Kerr). Penrose diagrams of these oddities show mathematically that you can make simulated spacelike trips (faster than light) through the singularity without being destroyed. In order to do this without wiping out most modern physical laws, you must travel to an alternate worldline or universe. Therefore, if multiple worldlines exist, infinite worldlines exist.

In trying to imagine a superuniverse with infinite possibilities and worldlines, I think of a room with mirrors on all the walls. You are aware of your captivity but as you look in the distance, you see an infinite number of “yours” in an infinite number of mirrored rooms. The gravity distortion machine allows you to “step” out of your room and into another next to you. The closer you are to your original room, the closer it looks like yours, the farther away, the stranger it looks to you.

(...If I go forward on this world line, the future will not be my future. I get home by going back to 1975 before I arrived and then going forward to 2036.)

A few people have asked me about this statement so I will try to clarify it.

On my worldline (A) in 2036, I was given a mission in 1975.

I turn my machine on and jump to another worldline (B) in 1975 with about a 2% divergence from (A).

From the very point I turn my machine off on (B), I create a new worldline just because I’m there. This line can be described as (C) and started when I got to (B).

I am now doing my mission on line (C) in 1975 when I discover a very a good reason to go forward on (C) and see what happened. I turn my machine on and go forward on (C) to the year 2000.

When I turn it off, I start another line called (D). So from my perspective, here we are on line (D) in the year 2000. In order to go home to line (A) I must turn my machine on and go back on (D) until I reach (C) which in turn would take me back to (B) which in

turn takes me to a point before I arrived on (B) then I go forward from the point I arrived on (B) back to (A).

If all this isn't enough to get your head spinning...here are some issues we're dealing with in 2036.

1. Did your worldline (D) exist at all before I got here from (C)? (personally I don't see how it couldn't)
2. What happens at the end of a worldline at the edge of the superuniverse?
3. If there are infinite worldlines and infinite possibilities and an edge to the superuniverse, doesn't that mean occurring events on worldliness are staggered as they reach the edge? (time could end at any moment without warning).

Happy new year everyone!

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten
Junior Member posted 30 December 2000 13:16

Hi Timetravel_0.

Your first question seems quite easy to answer. If I understand you correctly you traveled from 1975 (B) to 2000 (C) which started a new worldline (D) because you arrived there.

This worldline (D) would not have existed if you didn't arrive here. We would have continued our lives in worldline (C) or any other given worldline that originated from (C).

Your second question is similar to the question people are asking themselves right now. What happens at the end of our (conventional) universe. I can't answer that one for you. You'd have to travel to the future to find that out. The same applies for the third question.

Please understand and respect that I do not believe you to be a timetraveler. Why? Lack of evidence! I also understand that if you were indeed a timetraveler, you'd have no interest in sharing evidence with us.

Furthermore I think the story about the IBM 5100 is very odd. We don't rely on old technology to solve our new problems now, so why would that be any different in the future?

You also said that you were in fact able to travel into the future. If you travel 60 years ahead, don't they know the answers to your questions there? Or have you forgotten them once you return in your own worldline?

Once again, please respect my scepticism! I do appreciate your posts and I learned a great deal from them, as you obviously gained a lot of knowledge about timetravel.

Greetings from Amsterdam.

Rainy in the past, rainy in the present and probably rainy in the future.

Hapy New Worldline to all! :-)

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 13:37

To: Roel van Houten

Thank you for trying to answer those questions but I really do not expect that anyone can. I thought I would share with you things we wonder about. Your logic about me is quite correct but again I must state that I am not trying to get you or anyone else to believe or buy anything.

As far as evidence goes...I have however decided to try an experiment with you that may be more convincing. It involves the travel of information at faster than light. In fact, I have dropped at least three little gems like this that no one else has picked up on.

You said you are confused by the 5100 story. I will explain further. In 2036, it was discovered (or at least known after testing) that the 5100 computer was capable of reading and changing all of the legacy code written by IBM before the release of that system and still be able to create new code in APL and basic. That is the reason we need it in 2036. However, that information was never published by IBM because it would have probably destroyed a large part of their business infrastructure in the early 70s. In fact, I would bet the engineers were probably told to keep their mouth's shut.

Therefore, if I were not here now telling you this, that information would not be discovered for another 36 years. Yet, I would bet there is someone out there who can do the research and discover I am telling the truth. There must be an old IBM engineer out there someplace that worked on the 5100. They just might not have ever asked if I hadn't pointed it out.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 23:04

Well, Happy New Year(s) to you too TT_O!
(Did worldline D exist before I got here?)

I think there is a common misconception regarding the many worlds interpretation of quantum physics. It is believed that at each decision branch point an entire new copy of

reality is made that includes and accomidates that change. Branch after branch add infinitum is said to be added creating eventually an infinite number of self contained worlds.

Branch points are sometimes called "collapse of the wave function". By design or accident the word "collapse" might give us hint as to what really happens. Using Websters definition #4, collapse means to fold up into a compact unit. I'm saying simply that the branch points, eleminate or condense into one, all the alternate possibilities that DON'T happen. The advance of time is not the addition of worldlines but the elemination (by passage or condensation) of worldlines. Quantum potential grows like weeds when no one is observing, but upon observation-realization the harvest is made and a bushel of possibilities turns into a single kernel of actuality. World lines may be quite sparce given the enormity of the universe.

According to this you might say that line C has been eleminated and replaced with an identical copy (D) that adds and accomidates you. This is very much like pulling up a copy of an Excel spreadsheet, changing a few numbers, hitting the recalc button and saving back to disk.

Getting to the end of time there will be fewer staged ends that need tidying up. Whadda think?

IP: Logged

Dr. Bean
unregistered posted 05 January 2001 19:26

Tap-tap-tap-tap click-clickbuzzzzzzzzzz click hmmm click*click bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzclick
IP: Logged

Trott
Junior Member posted 05 January 2001 22:42

Mr. TT_O,
I realize that you said you are not a physicist, but I was curious if you are from the future: What is the current status of string theory? I would imagine that if string theory is the true theory of everything that the answer to all questions which deal with the nature of time would reside bundled in that theory. Since strings as the building blocks of everything would in fact be the building blocks of space and time as well. Actually, the way you described time is similar to the way I have viewed it myself. I was thinking of how point particles interact. One picture of the interaction deals with advanced and retarded potentials. Since there are two solutions to Maxwell's equations: retarded potentials propage at the speed of light whereas the advanced potential travels faster than light. Picture two particles in free space, one particle emits a "signal" to the other via the retarded potential. Both particles are moving forward in time. After some time passes the second particle detects the "signal" of the other particle and "decides" to "meet" with the other particle, it sends a subsequent signal back in time to the other particle via the advanced potential that the two should "meet" in the past particle's future. The other particle in the past receives the "signal" and the two particles "meet".

The picture of time that I have thought about is time being an infinite number of points (i.e. all possible events) interconnected via retarded and advanced potentials since everything is made of particles. I believe it would be impossible to create a paradox in such a theory just as it is impossible to generate a paradox in the multiverse interpretation of quantum mechanics. Likewise, one could picture time in this theory as not really having the meaning which we normally picture it having with the divisions between past, present, and future. In other words, it is like your life history is a wall of cubby holes representing the events of your life from birth to death and you are given a flashlight and asked to shine the light in each hole in order for that event to take place. You could start in the middle and move forward or start at the last cubby hole and move backward with the flashlight shining in each hole. But as long as you shine the light in at each hole every event in your life takes place.

I suppose that there would be a subset within this infinite set of all possible events in which the connections between events were such that the timeline would look like the universe never came into existence since nothingness must also be a possible event. There is something about this idea that I find pleasing. I guess it is because it seems to incorporate everything and at the same time nothing =). I suppose it is not really correct to call this a theory since theories must inevitably be testable, I guess I should just call it an idea of one possible picture of time for I do not see how one could ever test this idea.

[This message has been edited by Trott (edited 05 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 06 January 2001 13:10

((I realize that you said you are not a physicist, but I was curious if you are from the future: What is the current status of string theory?))

Who doesn't love string theory? Please forgive the next few comments, I'm trying to be cryptic and jump starting my memory at the same time. In 2036, string theory still dominates physics due to its continued "effect" of encompassing other physical properties from unrelated fields. A great deal of the theoretical mathematics behind time travel was discovered by testing various ideas in string theory and eliminating the anomalies. As I recall, it was this original work that led to the final proof that six dimensions do indeed curl up to give us our observable universe. This in turn supported more of the theoretical math behind time travel...etc. It's ironic that the beauty of string theory gives future engineers the confidence to create the distortion unit even though the final proof is still unknown. You're a physics student, have you ever heard the Princeton String Quartet play?

IP: Logged

timetravel_1

unregistered posted 06 January 2001 13:58

TT_0:

I believe you, I don't know why but I believe you, but I think the other guys need a real clue for believe you, so, I think you can take a photo of your clothes and post it, or your

credencial, because if you work for the goberment, you need to had a credential of the gob in the future, and of curse you need to have clothes from the future, or you travel nude?

And what about the social system in the future, its so like socialism, only there one thing wrong, on socialism theres no religion, so please tell me, in the future the church stop to steal money, and manipulate people, or how works the structure of the church in the future?

I had just another question, what happen in the future whit mexico and the latinamericans.

Atte: a fan of you, TT_1.

IP: Logged

Trott
Junior Member posted 06 January 2001 20:40

Mr. TT_0,
I am familiar with the Princeton String Quartet. They are physicist who are working on string theory at the Advanced Institute of Physics at Princeton University in New Jersey.

You mentioned a divergence from time lines. How is it possible to measure such a divergence? I would assume that it would be impossible to calculate how causes of one single event would propage into the future. Does not chaos theory make such determinations impossible? Even if I gave you the exact position and velocity of all objects in the universe (which is impossible(I can not even give you the exact position and velocity of a single object due to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle)) you could not tell me what the future holds. Of course this results from the fact that the objects do not represent individual closed systems but in fact can interact.

IP: Logged

Trott
Junior Member posted 06 January 2001 20:53

P.S.
You said 6 curled up dimensions. The current theory suggests that there should be at least 7 curled up dimensions. It was discovered by Ed Witten that if you added an additional dimension that the 5 slightly different versions of string theory would combine into a single theory, which is often called M-theory.

I think it would be interesting if one of these extra dimensions was timelike. There are very few people investigating this possibility.

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 07 January 2001 01:38

Trott:>"I think it would be interesting if one of these extra dimensions was timelike. There are very few people investigating this possibility"
But of course there is Trott!
Dr. David Anderson% "Time Travel Research Center" subscribes to this, and calls it a "CTC" (Closed Time-Like Curve)
and it is based on some known principles that other physicists(like Tipler) have attempted to prove in support of this theory.
<http://time-travel.com>

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten
Junior Member posted 12 January 2001 15:33

Although I don't have enough knowledge to define and completely understand the physics of timetravel, I do have one question.
What happens to your anti-matter (anti-particles, or whatever) when you timetravel?

My sincere appologies if this is a stupid question :-)

Greetings from rainy Amsterdam!

IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 14 January 2001 17:50

Dear TT-0

Imagine if you will a pair of parallel lines seperated by a constant gap of one foot from infinity to your left to infinity to your right along the parallel lines. Now imagine a third parallel line intersecting both lines at right angles forming a semi rectangle. Let the top parallel line that extends to infinity both to the right and left be labeled x1 and let the other line that is parallel to x1 be labeled x2. Let the third line that intersects parallel lines x1 and x2 perpendicularly be labeled y1. Now if line y1 intersects lines x1 and x2 at right angles then the angle between y1 and both parallel lines x1 and x2 is 90 degrees. If one one pivots line y1 45 degrees to the right the angle between y1 and both x1 and x2 will diminish to 45 degrees. If we decrease the angle between y1 and both parallel lines x1 and x2 again by an additional 22.5 degrees the angle between y1 and both parallel lines x1 and x2 will diminish to 22.5 degrees. Now if we were to decrease the angle between y1 and both parallel lines x1 and x2 an additional 22.5 degrees the angle between y1 and both parallel lines x1 and x2 will diminish to zero and therefore merge into one single straight line. Thus at an infinite distance all parallel lines merge into a single straight line. Now imagine that we place a basket ball between the two parallel lines that extend to infinity so that the top and bottom tangent of the spherical basket ball are inline with the two parallel lines that are seperated by a foot of space. We accelerate the basket ball along the path of the parallel lines using the parallel lines to guide the basketball along the trajectory of the parallel lines keeping the ball within the confines of the parallel lines. We will accelerate the ball so that in exactly two hours we

will accelerate the ball to an infinite speed. In the first half hour we accelerate the ball from 0mph to 100mph. In the following half of an hour we accelerate the ball to 200 mph. We are now at 1 and 1/2 hours and we have reached a speed of 200mph. Now in the next fifteen minutes we accelerate the ball from 200mph to 300mph. We are now at 1 and 3/4 hours and have attained a speed of 300mph. Now in the next 7 and 1/2 minutes we accelerate the ball to 400mph. We are 7 and 1/2 minutes away from two hours and have attained a speed of 400 mph. If we continue 100mph to the ball every in half the time up to two hours at the two hour mark we will have attained a speed of infinity and will have reached the end of the parallel lines. Since we have already showed that all parallel lines merge at infinity the ball will have compressed to an infinitely small point upon attaining the infinite velocity. Now the infinite velocity that we speak of here is not infinity mph but the velocity of light. Light being the maximum velocity of the present to infinite future portion of the universe light may be considered an infinite speed because any velocity greater than light is beyond the largest numerical finite velocity within the present to infinite future. Thus any velocity greater than light is beyond finite and therefore transfinite.(there is a difference between the actual definition of infinity and the definition of transfinite but in this posting for simplicities sake I use both terms interchangeably). Since all parallel lines merge at infinity and at infinity the space between the parallel lines is in the form of an infinitely small point all points along all parallel lines from infinity in the left to infinity to the right coexist within that infinitely small omnipresent point that marks every point within the four dimensional expanse of the present to future infinite space. If you want to return to your own world line with a zero divergence my suggestion is to focus whatever your energy source is to an infinitely small point. This will bring your ship in phase to every point in all universes by bringing your ship in phase to the omnipresent point. Your world line represents just one of the parallel lines that make up the entire universe. It is however unbreakable and still connects you to your world line. By compressing the field to a singularity you bring your worldline inphase with your original world line automatically enabling you to freely navigate your worlds history with a zero divergence factor. Good luck --happy sailing.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Trott

Junior Member posted 14 January 2001 20:44

What do you mean what happens to your anti-particles? You like most matter we are familiar with are made of regular particles, primarily up, down quarks and electrons. That is all. It is interesting to note that some people interpret anti-particles as regular particles going back in time.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 14 January 2001 22:25

A Question for TT_O;

The artificial singularity you travel with, you say it forms a local gravity field. Does it physically reduce the size of nearby objects during operation? And if so by how much?

If the electron injection system alters the shape of the field, would that not force the unit to accelerate through space as well as time?

IP: Logged

The Doctor

Moderator posted 15 January 2001 01:42

If there was one question that you could ask to distinguish a "time traveler", what would that one question be, and the answer thereof? What is the only question that a "timetraveler" could answer correctly. You say forecast a future event? No. A true psychic could do that or just someone gifted in history. The question is define "time". If you are a traveler you will know the answer correctly and be able to explain it correctly. But if you know the answer to compare to the participant's answer then you must be a traveler as well. You will know that the future of this dimension has not been written yet. But you have seen shadows of other worlds mimicing our history. Thus, you forecast your own in relation to the shadow. But then you find other realities following identical histories with your own to a point, then enacting a random event unforeseen which offsets the history of that dimension from your own. You sit back, disturbed by what you have seen, and wonder which was actual the future of your reality. Discovery arises. The answer became simple. None of the shadows were your own, and your perception of time has changed completely! You feel like you have started all over again. What is the definition of "time"?

...~The Doctor~...

"There is no time to waste, only time to change"... "The sum of all knowledge is that you and your reality do not exist; only thought and imagination are real, and therefore...I am."~ Magi Systems Forums~

IP: Logged

DrMises

Junior Member posted 15 January 2001 05:02

Doctor,

Sounds like a temporal version of Plato's allegory of the cave. It seems an agreeable position, really.

I must confess, however, to being a little confused. According to your argument, someone would have to travel through time in order to be able to understand time to such an extent as to sufficiently define it?

I understand that, in order for someone to understand a concept, that person must have lived in a time in which it is understandable. If you take the position that we currently

live in a time in which time is not understandable, then anyone who can adequately define time is indeed a time traveler.

However, it can also be argued that we exist on the cusp of such understanding and the concept is indeed understandable, but the technology is not quite widely accessible. Similar to DaVinci, who had a rudimentary understanding of aeronautics, but no airplane technology, or a person living in the early 1900's who could understand horseless transportation or even the workings of the internal combustion engine without the luxury of ever having ridden in a car, we may be able to define time without directly experiencing it's travel.

Or maybe I just misunderstood!

Whew! I really rambled on that one! Thaks for your sustained attention!

Theo

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 15 January 2001 13:36

RGRUNT:

Thank you for considering the problem of returning home. You seem to have stumbled on an intuitive proof of some of the physics of time travel. You are correct, getting back to the worldline of origin is easier than picking an exact destination on a different worldline.

I wrote down the graphic you outlined. If y1 starts perpendicular to x1 and x2 and is rotated, where is the center of rotation? I imagined it between x1 and x2. If this is so, wouldn't y1 end up parallel between x1 and x2 with each one being 6 inches away from y1 on either side?

SHADOW:

((The artificial singularity you travel with, you say it forms a local gravity field. Does it physically reduce the size of nearby objects during operation? And if so by how much?))

Actually, there are 2 singularities in the unit. The gravity field is manipulated by three factors that affect it in distinct ways. Adding electric charge to the singularities increases the diameter of the inner event horizons. Adding mass to the singularities increases the area of gravitational influence around the singularities. Rotating and positioning the polar axis of the singularities affects and alters the gravity sinusoid. The effects of the gravity produced by the unit do not have enough time to significantly alter physical objects within a reasonable distance from the outside of the sinusoid. No, things do not get smaller.

((If the electron injection system alters the shape of the field, would that not force the unit to accelerate through space as well as time?))

There is no relative movement in space due to three main factors. Large, kinetic energy inducing effects of the gravity field are compensated for by the interaction of the singularities. The mass of the unit and any objects inside the sinusoid do not exhibit any huge increases on the departure worldline during travel. The observed path of the traveler is obtained by changing the gravity, not by moving the vehicle. The black hole comes to you.

((The question is define "time"))

To me, time has two definitions.

I see time as a mathematical component of a 10 dimensional super universe. It is a variable I use to define my location and existence.

I also see time as a metaphysical compromise our senses use to define the area of collective existence God has placed us in.

When I can measure and sense time, I know I am not with God.

IP: Logged

This topic is 2 pages long: 1 2

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Close Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

[Hop to: Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Parallel Universe (Page 2)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 2 pages long: 1 2 [next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Parallel Universe

rgrunt

unregistered posted 15 January 2001 14:54

Dear TT-0,

I apologize for the confusion. I am still in the process of honing my descriptive writing skills. The center of rotation is where y1 intersects x2, not in the center of x1 and x2. In truth it does not matter but I find that it is easier to visualize the results if you allow the pivoting point or fulcrum of y1 to be located at the intersection of x2 which is the bottom of the two parallel lines x1 and x2. (y1 being a vertical line.) If you know draw it out one can see graphically that the angular decrease of the angle between y1 and the parallel lines x1 and x2 are still symetrical. By rotating the perpindicular line y1 90 degrees in a clockwise direction the angle between y1 and x2 will diminish to zero. This can be seen graphically if one draws this representation on paper. One will also notice that the angular decrease between line y1 and parallel line x1(which is the top parallel line that is parallel to the bottom parallel line x2) is always simultaneous to the angular decrease between the same y1 and x2. We can assume that there will always be an intersection between line y1 and parallel lines x1 and x2 and so long as line y1 is straight those angles will always be equal. So if line y1 is pivoted 45 degrees to the right then at the intersections of (y1,x1) and (y1,x2) the angle will be 45 degrees. When you are drawing this out remember that the pivot point of y1 is at the intersection (y1,x2). Thus the intersection y1 and x2 remains fixed at you location and the intersection of (y1,x1) accelerate to your right such that the intersection (y1,x1) travel an infinite distance to the right along parallel line x1 arriving at an infinite distance at the instant that y1 has pivoted ninety degrees to the right. In the model you drew out the pivot point was between lines x1 and x2 at six inches. That would result in the intersection (y1,x2) accelerating to an infinite distance to the left along parallel line x2 while intersection (y1,x1) speed off to an infinite distance to the right along parallel line x1. Still the intersection angles between lines (y1,x1) and (y1,x2) diminish to zero at 90 degrees rotation of line y1 in a clockwise manner. (If you pivot line y1 in a counter-clockwise manner the same will result but instead intersection (y1,x1) will accelerate to the left instead of the right.)According to the above model the aceleration of the intersection (y1,x1) to the right accelerates exponentially to an infinite velocity and distance as line y1 rotates 90 degrees at a fixed velocity (or constant velocity). That means that 90 degrees of constant rotary acceleration is equal to infinite exponential

linear acceleration. Thus for every revolution of a spinning mass there are four periods of infinite exponential linear acceleration. If we use the spinning minute hand of a clock and allow the 12 O'clock to represent the starting point of our measurement then we will have our first exponential infinite acceleration in fifteen minutes as the minute hand reaches 12:15. The second will be reached at 12:30 and the third at 12:45 and finally the fourth at 1:00 O'clock. If we can devise a way to convert constant rotary acceleration into linear acceleration without losing any energy we can enable a mass to accelerate to an infinite velocity or put out an infinite kinetic potential. If rotating electric fields or magnetic fields can be rotated at a fixed velocity at one full revolution per second and if we can convert this rotary motion of electric fields into linear motion in the form of electrical output then we will have an electrical output of infinity four times each second. That means if we were to take an oscilloscope and measure the electrical sine wave of our linear electrical output that the sine wave will reach a peak amplitude of infinity four times a second so long as our electric field makes a full revolution once every second.

What does everyone think? Again this is based on a lot of assumptions and I could be wrong so feel free to tear it apart and find the flaws. But be sure to post them otherwise I won't learn anything. I need your help and input to assist me in finding out more accurate info. So long as you all place your opinions of my models on the forum I will continue to put my ideas out here. You scratch my back, and I'll scratch yours.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen e-mail addresses are rgrunt@yahoo.com and schasteeneg@hushmail.com

IP: Logged

Tired
unregistered posted 15 January 2001 19:57

Now I know why they didn't used to let you out of highschool if you flunked English.
IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 16 January 2001 14:26

With all due respect I graduated highest with the highest grades in my school. I went through a year of college before joining the United States Marine Corps where I now serve in the most prestigious technical field the Corps has to offer--the Airwing. The marines that go into the airwing are the top ten percent of the American population when it comes to intelligence. I however do apologize for my bad spelling and grammar for as usual I am on a timer and have an average of anywhere from ten minutes to one hour to type in this information and hit the 'submit reply' button before I lose all that I have typed and have to start all over again. So I ask that you overlook any spelling errors for the time being and take into consideration the information that is being transmitted. If you were offered gold in a beat up box would you reject the gold because it was delivered in an ugly box. Or could you care less what the container looks like in that the

gold within that container will make you a rich man? What I am delivering is either gold or fools gold. If I am right in my theories then the gold is pure and worth something. If I am wrong then the gold is fools gold and worth much less but still something in that you can learn from my mistakes.
sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten
Junior Member posted 16 January 2001 14:50

Hi,
I get the funny feeling that my question about anti-particles and antimatter was indeed a stupid question :-)

I already mentioned that I'm not very familiar with physics, let alone the physics concerning timetravel. However, I was under the assumption that there is a theory that claims that every single particle has its anti-particle. I remember reading an article or a post that compared matter in a particular timeframe with an ocean. If matter from another timeframe came into this timeframe, the "ocean" would flood.

I realize that this sounds very stupid, but please bare with me. Physics is not my league and English is not my native tongue :-)

Anyone care to elaborate on this subject? Thanks.

Greetings from rainy Amsterdam

IP: Logged

Trott
Junior Member posted 17 January 2001 12:14

It is assumed true that every particle has a corresponding antiparticle. Actually, some particles are their own antiparticle. There is very little physics knowledge of time travel. It is true that there are a number of various situations in general relativity which allow for time travel, but very few people completely understand it.

I am not exactly sure what you are referring to with the over flowing? Conservation of energy can be violated for short times. To be truthful conservation of energy only applies to closed systems. If wormholes do exist and connect between parallel universes then our universe is not in fact a closed system and there should be no violation, I am not sure if this is what you are referring to.

IP: Logged

djayr42
Member posted 17 January 2001 08:31

Mr. Schasteen,

As long as you can get your main point accross, your grammer and spelling do not bother me. The poeple who are going to pick on how the message looks instead of its concept may not be worth responding to. If don't understand what you are reading, ask. You will be answered.

IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 17 January 2001 15:40

Thanks Mr. Jay,
(I don't know your last name). I will try to be as clear as possible. A lot of the times I post things for people who are involved with my project. I sometimes use the forum to transmit bits and peices of technical information as a way to transfer data to my counterparts in different parts of the globe. I don't work with too many professors so I donnot worry about grammar(except when I post to a professor). It is much cheaper a method o communicating data then making a long distance telephone call. And calls are taken more seriously then postings on the internet. Thusly the internet is a low profile means of communication. Hushmail and transmissions of this nature are the most likely routes that would be scanned for information pertaining to national security but I still use that means of transmitting personally discovered information that is too sensitive to be posted over the public forum. There are few messages that I send over the hushmail that I do not send accross the regular forum. My philosophy is that theory is not dangerous if persons don't know how to apply the theory. Some theories can be extremely dangerous if persons know how to apply it, especially if those persons do not know how to apply that theory safely. This is why I usually don't work on my own projects. I merely do the thinking and provide the self made schematics of my devices. I provide explanations to the developer and let them do their job. They have the engineers and software to do all the complex designs. And they are located on the other side of the country so if I did by mistake give the designs to a device that puts out a little too much power I will have time to hit the deck. (that last line was just a joke.) My devices are pretty safe. At most I believe that the limitations in the material will not allow the production of too much electrical energy, for the materials in the generator will melt down at around 3,000 volts at less then 100 amps. This is just a guess so don't quote me.

sincerely,
Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 17 January 2001 15:41

Thanks Mr. Jay,
(I don't know your last name). I will try to be as clear as possible. A lot of the times I post things for people who are involved with my project. I sometimes use the forum to transmit bits and peices of technical information as a way to transfer data to my counterparts in different parts of the globe. I don't work with too many professors so I donnot worry about grammar(except when I post to a professor). It is much cheaper a method o communicating data then making a long distance telephone call. And calls are

taken more seriously than postings on the internet. Thusly the internet is a low profile means of communication. Hushmail and transmissions of this nature are the most likely routes that would be scanned for information pertaining to national security but I still use that means of transmitting personally discovered information that is too sensitive to be posted over the public forum. There are few messages that I send over the hushmail that I do not send across the regular forum. My philosophy is that theory is not dangerous if persons don't know how to apply the theory. Some theories can be extremely dangerous if persons know how to apply it, especially if those persons do not know how to apply that theory safely. This is why I usually don't work on my own projects. I merely do the thinking and provide the self made schematics of my devices. I provide explanations to the developer and let them do their job. They have the engineers and software to do all the complex designs. And they are located on the other side of the country so if I did by mistake give the designs to a device that puts out a little too much power I will have time to hit the deck. (that last line was just a joke.) My devices are pretty safe. At most I believe that the limitations in the material will not allow the production of too much electrical energy, for the materials in the generator will melt down at around 3,000 volts at less than 100 amps. This is just a guess so don't quote me.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

This topic is 2 pages long: 1 2

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Close Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

[Hop to:](#) [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#)[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Author Topic: The Jump Video-postings

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 17:06

threads will be posted here...please be patient.

Posts: 183 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 24, 2001 06:23

JUST A NOTE:

These files have been OCR scanned so it did not pick up such things as smiley faces and such so you will see whatever it converted it into such as a @ or a ~.

Post to Post - The Jump Video

Posted by Anthony Reed on 04-01-2001 05:28 Pm

The Jump Video.

I saw the video of the jump. I was a bit impressed by it. It seems to be real. I do know that just about anything can be faked but there are some details to look for. When the guy goes in to the light (gateway he distorts (his image), this I would expect even if it were a fake. But he also steps over a threshold. A detail that would most likely be missed. I can not say what the laser is other then a scanning device of some kind and what it is scanning for I do not know. It seem real to me. If you can see details to say this is a fake or to say it is real, I would like to hear them here. If think this is a fake please say why you think so. And if you might know why or how it was done. If you have seen something like this before, would you describe it here.

A. Reed

Here is the link if you have not seen it: http://www.anomalies.net/time_traveler/

[Edited by Anthony Reed on 04-01-2001 at 05:33 PM]

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 04-02-2001 10:00 AM

I have watched it a few times now. When I first watched it, all I saw was a bright flash then a ripple effect. Later, I watched it on my home machine, which is considerably more impressive than this POS I have at work. In any case, the next few times I looked at it, I was sufficiently impressed to think it possible.

What I found funny though, was the yellow thing in the guy's hands. That is a multimeter. A voltmeter/ammeter/ohmmeter we use in electronic work. At least it LOOKS just like one I have on my bench at home.... The other thing he has, is a laser in his hand. Radio Shack... 20 bucks for the laser. about 40 for the meter.

Posted by Albert Cattoir on 04-02-2001 10:07 AM

He walks on the floor and not into a gateway. If you look close enough he enters nothing. Just special effects to me.

Posted by Agustin Barajas on 04-02-2001 03:19 PM

I agree with Albert, the ripple effect viewed is similar to that rendered in Turok 2 for N64. Any Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 2 of 14 videogame today on the market uses this effect. It can probably be created on any Mac with sufficient power. The video looks cheap and not very realistic at all (if that can be said about a time jump). Nice try.

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-02-2001 05:46 PM

I have examined the video over and over here is what I think.....
The man is using the laser to detect gravity waves in the area. gravitational waves, ripples in the fabric of space.
gravity interacts with matter, space and time.
really dense compact objects such as black holes really warp space.
using minute fluctuations in beams of laser light he can detect the pulsing of gravity waves, gravity waves become fainter as they move away from their source, with the laser you can determine the direction a gravity wave is moving and trace it back to its source.
black holes distort gravity which distorts time. at their center is a singularity, we are talking microsingularities.
if you bombard the singularity with electrons you can alter the size of its event horizon, by overlapping these fields from two singularities you can travel back and forth through time. which he is doing with the second instrument. the event horizon is enlarged.

a blackhole spinning and has an electrified feild you can safely pass through its massive gravitational feilds.

as the hole closes you can see the distortion by observing the bricks on the fireplace, and the radiation

like waves as it closes back to where it was. hows that for an explanation? ~

-pamela

Posted by Anthony Reed on 04-02-2001 05:57 PM

So what you are saying is that some board person took the time and effort to make a fake video, a board

worker from Idustrial Light and Magic perhaps? I know 2 ways it could be faked, but it would be a largi

effort to do so. So if it is a fake, then why was it done knowing the amount of work involved? If they ar

applying for ajob doing special effects they are not in the right place. Also it may or may not be a

"time" jump and I use the word "gateway" for lack of a better term.

A Reed

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-02-2001 06:08 PM

((I agree with Albert, the ripple effect viewed is similar to that rendered in Turok 2 for N64. Any

videogame today on the market uses this effect. It can probably be created on any Mac with sufficient

power.)))

Agustin,

please provide a video of this videogame on anomalies I want to compare the two for light density, warp

effects and ultraviolet inconsistencies.

Or if you can create it on a mac i would very much like to see it and compare it with the time jump

Post to Post - The Jump Videovideo.

thanks!

-narnfila

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-02-2001 11:12 PM

a

Pamela,

Page 3 of 14

Interesting post. ...and quite a stylistic change. Word count up, choice of words very different from past

posts, lack of capitalization. Was this ghost written? John isn't lurking is he?

Posted by Adam Tipton on 04-03-2001 12:30 AM

A

I looked at the video and it looks like he walks into the special effect and you can see him turn around,

as if to walk back to the camera to turn it off.

Any one else see it this way?

Not trying to debunk, just my input, I find the story entertaining witch is what its all about.

Posted by Jay Richards on 04-03-2001 04:44 AM

Um, he has to scan around to find whatever he's scanning for... OH! Well ain't that special - the camera

just so happens to be pointing right at it already!

Instead of scanning with the LASER detector, why didn't he simply look to see where the camera was

pointed at?:-)

The video is totally fake. For an amateur, it's not too bad and congradulations... but the special effects

are really very amateurish.

Such a video is easy enough to fabricate by any reasonably skilled person with readily available tools.

Such a person wouldn't need to be very board, and a worker from ILM would have at least made it seamless.

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-03-2001 05:48 AM

Darby,

Post to Post - The Jump Video

"Was this ghost written? "

I am not familiar with this phrase what does it mean?

Jay,

Scanning a second time may be for demonstration only. After all. ..Iam ONLY guessing, based on knowledge,experience, and examination.

I am not really sure what he is doing with the laser.. just making a guess. ®

Page 4 of 14

sincerely,

Pamela

Posted by James Boley on 04-03-2001 06:45 AM

If this "jump" was made by the infamous John Titor, where is his pickup he supposedly needed to jump?

Where is his large time travel machine that fits in the cab of the pickup?

Where is this computer he supposed to come back and get?

Other than that, the video was cool. I don't think it is authentic, nor do I think we have enough to judge

its authenticity.

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-03-2001 07:08 AM

James,

This video is not from John he has said so himself.

but he did think it was interesting.

sincerely,

Pamela

Posted by Bob Marz on 04-03-2001 08:37 AM

Emmett: I agree. After just reading Pamela's post above that was the first time I found myself thinking

Pamela IS Titor... not that the two were co-conspirators. But this "slip" must surely be purposeful since it's so blatant.

Which answers the previous post concerning motivation for such an intricate presentation. The obvious answer is HOAX, for the joy of pranksterism.

Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 5 of 14

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-03-2001 10:17 AM
Pamela.

By "ghost written", I meant you writing for John with his input. The words sounded more like Boomer than yours. And, no, I don't think you're Boomer.

Posted by Agustin Barajas on 04-03-2001 10:40 AM
Originally posted by Pamela Moore:
quote:

Agustin,
please provide a video of this videogame on anomalies I want to compare the two for light density, warp effects and ultraviolet inconsistencies.
Or if you can create it on a mac i would very much like to see it and compare it with the time jump video.
thanks!
-pamela

I found the link for you. The movie is in quicktime format.
ign64.ign.com/reviews/I969.html
Scroll to the bottom of the page and look for Adon's Opening Sequence

The timejump video is a very bad special effects job. Someone admit to that.

Someone said they know of two ways of creating this effect. ..I'm at a college where Graphic Information Technology majors sit next to me. I constantly ask them questions about these effects. They ARE NOT too tough to produce given the know how and adequate computing power. Again, como se dice G4?

[Edited by Agustin Barajas on 04-03-2001 at 10:47 AM]

Posted by Lee Braaten on 04-03-2001 01:06 PM

I'd have to agree w/what Adam had said earlier. Watch his legs, he enters the light, you can see him turn to his right, and walk towards what would be the right of the camera, back towards it. Fake... but neat to watch.

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-03-2001 01:30 PM

Augustin,

Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 6 of 14

I went to your link:

<http://ign64.ign.com/rcviews/1969.html>

and downloaded the movie, it was very interesting but the quality of light didnot even come close to the

anomalies movie, this was more of an animated cartoon, good graphics but it was nothing like the anomalies video.

I would really like to see something close to it. even the movie sliders didnt have as good special effects

as I feel this movie does.even the Philadelphia experiment II special effects of a wormhole were not as

good as this movie. If it is fake I would really like to know how he did it.

does anyone have anything else close to it?

how does the appearance of turning around mean the movie was fake? don't understand that take of it.

I am looking at details here:

..shadows..•reflections....distortions...light quality, intensity and behavior-.the way the light is reflected

off of the bag and his glasses..the distortion of the hole as it collapses..the radiation like waves and

sucking up of the light as it gets smaller..the way he is distorted as he goes through the light—which

direction the distortion goes and how it is divided...the quality and the intensity of light the way it

interacts with his shirt and the color of it on the shirt..the color change as the hole closes and opens is it

the same. ..the way the hole expands and collapses..how the light looks around the extended hand. ..the

circular innner light compared to the outer light..is it the same as a black holes would be..does it follow

the behavior of a black hole...or wormhole...does the first opening of the light bouncing with the hand

indicate that the light comes from the device extended or someother source... slowing and stopping the

video to look for other sources of incoming light besides the hole..anything the light is reflected

from..any evidence of video overlay-does the light seem to engulf the subject if so from any certain side

and the behavior of the light around the subject, ..when he turns around is he closing the hole???, ..what type of light is it-regular light ultraviolet light...different spectrums....etc....etc.... Hey...where is George Lucas and Steven Spielberg when you need them?? ~1 sincerely,
Pamela

Posted by Kevin Spooner on 04-03-2001 06:25 PM

@

Interesting video. It has been on my mind for a while (the day it came out!) And I just wondered.

Notice the fish-tank on the right. Notice how it became part of the light? Notice how at the end it, the

bricks, the floor, and everything but Mrjumpstart time traveller was perfectly in place afterwards?

If one was to argue that inanimate proximity objects can not go through time as in this video, then our

Mr Jumpstart TT would have entered the next timeline/world naked for all to see and none of his

equipment to hand. For that matter, if he firstly came from some other time/world, he would have had no

instruments to go back with (or did he reinvent it here.)

Just a penny's worth for consideration. Mind you, if I'm right, there's a few people I wouldn't mind

Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 7 of 14

jumping into my living room right now.... oh to dream

Posted by Chris Weagel on 04-03-2001 11:24 PM

Hello.

I have seen the video of the jumper, and have been following the John Titor story since the begining.

The website which features this clip also features the stills and scans that, to my knowledge, have been

credited to Titor. The video, however, has been around, on its own, on the net before this connection to

Titor.

The reason I stress this lack of connection is that the video is a total fraud while Titor's evidence has yet

to come in.

All of the effects in the video can be performed using Adobe After Effects, or a similar program, with a

very little investment of time.

The bright flash, a standard lens flare effect, and the ripple near the end, are extremely standard effects,

and as seen here, they have been used with relatively little skill.

Aside from that, look at the edges of the figure, especially around his hat after he turns his back to

camera. Notice the constant flutter. This is because he has been chroma-keyed (bluescreened) onto the

background of the room. This allows for the background to have the ripple effect added at end.

There are some other pointers to the nature of the hoax, like the timecode in the bottom right, and the

waving nature of the figure when he "crosses the threshold".

While this clip is fake, I do look forward to seeing John titor's video, if it ever surfaces, and will reserve

judgement until that time.

Chris Weigel

Posted by Anthony Reed on 04-04-2001 03:26 PM

That was very thoughtful Chris. Lets say it is a fake. Now then the question is why.

What is there to gain

for the person who faked it? Any thoughts? And I am not too sure that it is a "time traveler" so much as

a dimensional gateway or teleportation of some type.

Posted by Agustin Barajas on 04-04-2001 04:55 PM

The reflection maps are easy to create within any computer these days. Though this may appear

convincing to some, I assure you, the effect is easy to create. This is nothing more than a hoax and a bad

one at that. It reminds me of a bad 80s sci-fi flick.

Posted by Javier Cortez on 04-05-2001 07:11 AM

Posts: 183 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 24, 2001 06:24

Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 8 of 14

Anthony,
((What is there to gain for the person who faked it? Any thoughts? And I am not too sure that it is a "time traveler" so much as a dimensional gateway or teleportation of some type.))
With all do respect, your questions seem to stem more from disappointment. Then from an objective mindset as you are attempting to portray in responds to Chris's fine detective work @.
And here's why?
First of all, it's clear to practically everyone that it's a fake, so let's not even go there and consider that the person in the jump could be any kind of traveler (temporal or dimensional) or other wise. Second, what do all hoaxers desire when they create things that they know are fake? Attention? Fame? Cause trouble?
So not nessacarly because you think they might not have something to gain, automatically makes it real.
Try putting your self in their shoes. What could you accomplish by having people believe you were a Time Traveler? Just listen to Art Bell's show, you'll hear some Yahoo claiming to be the Anti-Christ or being a Time Traveler. But you don't hear Art being gullible. Sure, keep an open mind, but when you know he's lying or it's a fake, that's taking it to far.

Think about that...
-J.C.

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-17-2001 04:49 PM
Pamela?

How are you? And where's the video? We need some excitement back on the TT threads - something controversial so we can get the discussion going again.

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-17-2001 07:07 PM
Darby,

(Pamela?) lam still here.
(How are you?)fine.
(And where's the video?)I don't know.
-pamela

Posted by Phil Fiord on 04-17-2001 08:04 PM

Post to Post- The Jump Video Page 9 of 14

The JT Jump video is less important as proof of TT, but is important to fueling discussion and putting John Titor to bed one way or the other.
The other jump video from anomalies was pretty cool, but sadly fakish. I do not desire to register to get a copy of another video at the above posted URL, so can I be directed to a place to see it without having to register?

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-18-2001 02:12 AM

I have a question.. ...who deleted these letters out of my posting and put stars in there place?? this is very unusual.

Is this ajoke? I copied and pasted it below:

((a blackhole spinning and has an electrified feild you can safely p*** through its m***ive gravitational feilds.

as the hole closes you can see the distortion by observing the bricks on the fireplace, and the radiation

like waves as it closes back to where it was. hows that for an explanation?

-pamela

04-02-2001 05:46 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit)

Sysop: Sorry Pamela, I have fixed it now and you should not see that problem in your future posts.

Peace, Mary

[Edited by Mary Rowland on 04-18-2001 at 05:56 PM]

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-18-2001 05:02 PM

Phil,

Agreed, ..the video might not prove anything but it would re-charge the thread. God forbid ~ it might

even becon Javier to make his return...

Pamela, can you follow up with Boomer's parents?

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-22-2001 03:51 PM

Darby,

I am sorry. I have tried to get ahold of John's parents numerous times and there is NO RESPONSE.I

dont know what else to say. It looks like we may never see the video.

I will tell you something and I am not afraid to broadcast it but I am having trouble with my email especially when I write to them I am getting email error messages. I am not sure what that means..maybe somebody else took the video I do not know. I feel the email maybe under watch. I do not know the truth in this area. I am not sure why I cannot get ahold of them. I can only state what I know. I have been trying to get ahold of Frank Tipler also but my emails come back to me and I have checked and other people have emailed him with no problem, maybe someone else can email him for me from this site and lead him to the anomalie site. John wanted me to contact him but I can tell you right now its not gonna be me. I was looking forward to his response to John's diagrams, maybe if all of you wrote him some would get through, just a thought.
sincerely,
pamela
[Edited by Pamela Moore on 04-22-2001 at 03:59 PM]

Posted by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-22-2001 06:29 PM

Pamela,
Thanks for trying. Maybe a phone call? Snailmail?
Frankly, I don't think that were going to see any video - I don't think there's any video to see.
I think that Boomer's real name is Dr. U. Ben Hade.

Posted by Pamela Moore on 04-22-2001 06:48 PM

Good ole Darby,
Nothing is for certain.
Things could change in a moments notice.
You may be right.
You may be wrong.
You may be both.
God! I am starting to sound like Albert! hahahaah
Hi Albert! ~

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 04-26-2001 08:36 AM

Chris,
I need to say something here about your comments about "the site that features" the "fake video".
I help run that site. The web master received this video from a friend of his, but the webmaster believes

I posted the Titor pictures on anomalies.net, before that video came along.
So.... not sure if you were unclear about where the pictures came from or not, but they came from me.

Rick

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 04-26-2001 08:39 AM

Darby,

In relation to Titor's "real name". I'm beginning to believe that his real name does start with "Doctor"

and his first name is David.....

I'll explain later.

Rick

Posted by Craig Coon on 04-30-2001 10:49 PM

r49

Something that I thought about while I was reading these posts was that both JT and the guy in the video

were using lasers to detect some sort of gravity distortion. OK, now.. ..in JT's photo, (its been a while

since Ive looked at it, I may not remember correctly) JT or his instructor is holding a cigar or blowing

the smoke through the laser beam to make it visible. In the video, the beam is just flying around all over

the place with nothing to reflect the beam off of to make it visible. Unless Im missing something about

laser beams here, that is the one piece of evidence that makes the video a nice piece of work, but not

THAT nice.

Craig

Posted by Steven Antle on 05-04-2001 10:06 PM

If you do your homework you will realize that high output lasers can be seen in the visual

spectrum.http://lfw.pennnet.com/Articles/Article_Display.cfm?

Section=Articles&Subsection=Display&ARTICLE_ID=98014

Posted by Jerad Riggin on 05-06-2001 12:51 AM

Looks extremely fake to me. Good job for an amateur as others have said tho :P

Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 12 of 14

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-07-2001 07:23 AM

The video with the guy doing a "jump" is NOT John Titor or his instructor

As a MATTER of fact, I now KNOW the name of the person that actually filmed the video, if he U

being honest with me.

He has contacted me in email is planning on giving us more information regarding both the video and

the "technology" used in the video.

At this point, understand I am as skeptical as I have ever been, but will keep an open mind about the material being presented.

The individual in question DID send me photographs of the equipment being used (which proves to ME that the stuff I saw in the video is the same stuff as what he photographed, therefore, he is in all probability the same individual in the movie - I also have a picture of the person himself in another setting). Anyway, I am convinced the person who was involved in the video is the same person writing to me right now.

Next, the machine is NOT a TIME MACHINE... rather it is a "dimensional shifting" device of some sort.

The story is fantastic, but, is very well thought out, and possible.

As facts become available, and I am authorized by the individual writing to me to do so, I shall release that information here on this thread.

Also, please see <http://www.anomalies.net> for another discussion of the video.
Thanks
Rick Donaldson

Posted by Craig Coon on 05-07-2001 11:23 AM

Hey Rick, I was assuming that the beginning of your post was directed at me assuming that I thought

that the video was of JT. I know that it isn't. Maybe I should've explained a little more about what I

meant, but I get tired of explaining crap all the time. I just wish we could all read minds....wait....no.

Forget that last part. LOL What I meant was that in the picture that was posted among the others of JT,

there is one of him or his instructor blowing cigar smoke into the path of the laser to make it visible. As

for the guy who posted immediately following my post.....well.....dude, your link is broken, so up

until now, I still don't think you can see lasers. Maybe there is a certain kind or whatever that give off

light that is visible to the naked eye, but to me, that one in the video looked like the kind you get at

Kmart or whatever, and I know for a fact that you can't see those.....

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-07-2001 12:24 PM
Hi Craig,

I think after I posted, I re-read the whole shebang the other day, and figured that out. I just didn't correct myself. I really wasn't directing that at you specifically, though I was trying to clarify the information, since several folks have indeed confused the Titor posts and photographs with the video.

Speaking of the video, I am in contact with the author of said video and it is promising to be very, very interesting. Perhaps MORE so than the Titor information! I will be posting a large portion of the information on the anomalies.net site if and when I receive permission. Until then, stay tuned.

A little information on lasers. You are actually very correct. Laser light can not normally be seen if it is projected in a clean atmosphere. In fact, even visible red, green or other lasers are only visible at the point of "impact" - where they are aimed.

To see visible laser light you must, and I repeat MUST, project the laser through a 'dirty atmosphere' that contains particles such as dust (smoke) that the laser will reflect off of, thereby making it visible.

How do I know this? I've played with dozens and dozens of them, both visible and invisible and under no circumstances other than dust particles in the air, was I ever able to see the lasers. The one exception was when shining a laser at a cloud base. In that case, the laser is invisible until it hits a cloud bank (or even fog) in which case it DOES become visible.

One other thing I will point out regarding that photograph. The laser is BENT. Light travels in a straight line, and under no circumstances here on the planet (except specific instances of electromagnetic influence, and mirrors, or other refracting surfaces) will light bend.

Gravity is one of the few things we have not yet fully explained and CAN bend light, but it takes a good amount of gravity to influence light beams. The Earth's gravity can bend light (and does), but over long distances. That laser in the photo is bent strongly, well beyond anything which I've been able to do on my own.

Now, someone said "I can duplicate that!" I of course asked him to show me (and I had already thought of it, but knew it wouldn't work). He used a piece offiberoptic cable.

Indeed you CAN see the laser but ONLY IN THE ACTUAL BEND OF THE LIGHT FIBER! You could not see it in the straight piece of fiber. I suppose if we did a time-lapse photo we might be able to see the light in the whole fiber.... but I defy anyone to sit there long enough in the dark without causing any fogging on the film, or movement (blurred effects).

So, Craig, I agree with you on the seeing of the laser. From experience, you can not.
Rick

Posted by Rick Donaldson on 05-07-2001 01:30 PM

By the way. Video taping gear tends to pick up lasers better than the human eye, due to the fact that the video detection devices are generally more receptive to certain light frequencies than the eye is.

Post to Post - The Jump Video Page 14 of 14

On the other hand, you CAN see visible lasers, as I think it was Steve, that pointed out. However, as I said before generally it requires that small amounts of dust (you know the kinds, like you see floating in the air in sun beams!) before you can see it.

Posts: 183 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 24, 2001 06:25

Done.

Posts: 183 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

All times are Pacific Time

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 2)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

Fast

Member posted 11 November 2000 12:41

to TT0:

--The C204 unit

is accurate from 50 to 60 years a jump and travels at about 10 years an hour
at 100% power.

--" 10 years an hour?

from 2036 wouldnt that take three+ hours??

how could you survive with merly the pocket of air you caught in your vehicle's field??

Fast Out

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 11 November 2000 18:46

To Curious:

(If you change "vehical" do you have to re-calibrate the unit?)

Yes. But its a function of the VGL system. A gravity baseline is taken and rechecked
every thime the unit is used. A new vehicle would alter the gravity signature.

(What kind of coils are used to contain, and maintain the singularity?)

I am not a phycist so I can not answer that to your level of sophistication. The
singularities are held in an enclosed magnetic field.

(Can your unit also dimensionally travel?)

No. However, the longer the unit is on past a safe divergence confidence, the "stranger" the world line becomes. The unit I have is safe to about 1% for every sixty years at max power.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 11 November 2000 18:56

TO Shadow:
(Have you seen the movies 'Terminator' and 'Terminater 2'?)

Yes. I've seen those movies. Very entertaining.

(If your conveyence is accurate to one second then you must have a reason for picking the particular second or at least particular day or week, you did.)

My goal was to reach a certain date and time which is converted into seconds for the computer to make its calculations. I do not pick the second. Its more important to have a low divergence confidence number.

(Let me guess, you are here NOW to look at the unusual hung election we have going on. Or maybe this is the trigger event in the comming world wide econmic meltdown? Some other pivot point in history? Fess up. Why now?)

I would use the word "elections" a bit cautiously. Perhaps its easier now to see a civil war in your future?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 11 November 2000 19:07

To Fast:
(if you journeyed here from 2036(i think) to get a computer system,why is it you are posting on a time travel message board information as to how you arrived here? treason!)

Why would it be treason?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 11 November 2000 19:11

To Fast Out:

(10 years an hour? from 2036 wouldnt that take three+ hours?? how could you survive with merly the pocket of air you caught in your vehicle's field??)

Yes...that's about right but my initial trip was to 1975, not 2000. I guess its a question of how many technical details you really want or you feel I'm making up. We do take additional 02 and the air pocket is a bit larger than you might think.

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 11 November 2000 20:09

Timetravel_O I am surprised they went with the VGL system over the "time lock" system. It must be more accurate as far as timeline divergance. No direct lateral travel huh? That means some places are not easily accessable.(there is a safe place to hide, LOL) One last question, Can anybody operate the 204 unit? Or is it safe guarded by a "key of some kind? Thanks for answering. Yes, you would be welcome at my home.
Curious

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 November 2000 20:28

TT0:

the chinese were said to threaten death by torture to those who gave away the secret of silk making...why not time travel also?

i now understand the oxygen part,thought i found a slip in your trip(to coin a phrase) to our(or 1975...) time...

why is it you traveled to 1975 anyway??

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Searcher of Truth

unregistered posted 12 November 2000 13:22

Time travel_0,

I followed the link to your pictures and if time travel is not a secret in your "time" then why do the top of your pictures say "restricted file"??

restricted file means LIMITED TO AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL ONLY.

Have you considered the possible adverse consequences the person might face that you had post them for you since you remain covert? or don't you care since it is not your world line. what about the people that read the information and attempt to build one?

what if they get injured in the process because they are not knowledgeable enough to handle the forces they are playing with at this "time".
what is your true purpose for posting assumingly "restricted" government documents on a public bulletin board where everyone can see it?
Did your Unit Commander authorize such a thing or is it something you decided to do completely on your own?
Have you considered your actions and probable outcome carefully?
-SOT

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 12 November 2000 14:06

To Seeker of Truth. The chances of one of us building a C204 are slim to none. (and Slim's dead) Who's to say that someone that will read this board, will be the one to actually invent the means for the C204 (or other units) to be operational? The divergance factor is so low, everbody will forget about this in a short time. I wouldn't worry about it When Timetravel_0 goes back, this will be nothing but a memory...

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 12 November 2000 16:41

(Have you considered the possible adverse consequences the person might face that you had post them for you since you remain covert? or don't you care since it is not your world line. what about the people that read the information and attempt to build one? what if they get injured in the process because they are not knowledgeable enough to handle the forces they are playing with at this "time".)

Yes, I have considered it but it is very easy to remain hidden behind a veil of disbelief. The people who understand what they are seeing are not aggressive. Everyone else just finds them entertaining. The obvious first answer is that the only world line of consequence is my own and I don't care what happens here. That however, is not the case. I have shown these documents in order that people might consider the possibility. I do not expect people to believe them.

(what is your true purpose for posting assumingly "restricted" government documents on a public bulletin board where everyone can see it?)

The restricted nature of the documents I posted refers to the departmentalized nature of the technical information. The manual is supposed to remain with the unit. The current F-16 fighter jet probably has an operations manual. All the information in it is not secret but no one wants it to "walk" away from the plane.

(Did your Unit Commander authorize such a thing or is it something you decided to do completely on your own?)

I am here for personal reasons. For a few months now, I have bee trying to alert anyone that would listen to the possibility of a civil war in the United States in 2005. Does that seem more likely now? Actually it's quite amazing to see what's happening. I have been

trying to get people to pay attention for the last few months but to see it unfold is very interesting. Before I leave, I'll try and post my report.

I am curious... will anyone be upset if Florida's votes are not counted in the Electoral College because of the current "confusion"?

(Have you considered your actions and probable outcome carefully?)

Yes.

IP: Logged

Curious
unregistered posted 12 November 2000 17:22

Timetravel_0, People have been "warned" of the upcoming events (or high probability of) for sometime. The date seems to move up and down the timeline a little, but the outcome seems to be the same. Some of the "new age crackpots(?)" have already said this. A few have even given an estimate of when (some have been close to the year you stated). From what I understand, it is coinciding with a natural disaster (or cosmic event). I hope not be around then. Have a safe trip. Good luck.

Curious

Pardon my intrusion on your post, I thought it would be more suitable to include the following information here, as it is synonymous with your post, and placing it at the end of this thread where the conversation has taken a different direction altogether would not be in sync with the current flow of thought.

p)'i4q4-----

Flood forthcoming

Monday, November 27 2000 @ 03:26 AM EST
Contributed by: Porfiry

Global warming could be on the verge of triggering a rise in sea levels that would flood huge swathes of the Earth's most densely populated regions, says an unpublished report from the world's top climate scientists. Caused in large part by the melting of Greenland's ice sheet, this process would take a thousand years or more but would be "irreversible" once under way.

The report, due to be published next May by the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), is being read by the world's governments. The final draft seen by New Scientist suggests that dozens of the countries meeting this week to agree on global warming limits through the Kyoto Protocol may face being wiped off the world map.

Four years ago, the IPCC forecast that sea levels could rise by half a metre in this century and by a maximum of between 1.5 and 3 metres over the coming 500 years. The new assessment suggests an eventual rise of 7 to 13 metres is more likely. This is

enough to drown immense areas of land and many major cities. These rises will occur even if governments succeed in halting global warming within the next few decades, the report says.

Two factors are causing the rise: the slow spread of heat to the ocean depths and the destabilising of major ice sheets. It will take about a thousand years for warming in the atmosphere to reach the bottom of the oceans. The resulting thermal expansion "would continue to raise sea levels for many centuries after stabilisation of greenhouse gas concentrations". Even if global warming is halted within a century, thermal expansion will eventually raise the oceans by between 0.5 and 4 metres.

Even more alarming is the fate of the ice that covers Greenland. Among all of the world's ice sheets, this is now thought to be "the most vulnerable to climatic warming". It contains enough snow and ice to raise sea levels by about 7 metres if it melts. And this looks increasingly likely to happen.

Models show that after any warming above 2.7 °C, "the Greenland ice sheet eventually disappears". Nearly all predictions show Greenland warming more than this, says the report, and the faster the warming, the faster the melting. An extra 5.5 °C would cause sea levels to rise by 3 metres over a thousand years. An 8 °C warming would cause a 6-metre rise in sea levels in the same time.

The report's authors are not allowed to discuss their findings until publication. But Jonathan Gregory of Britain's Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research in Bracknell, who co-authored the chapter on sea level, told New Scientist recently that once under way, the disintegration of the Greenland ice sheet would be "irreversible this side of a new ice age".

The fate of the West Antarctic ice sheet, which is perched on submerged islands, remains controversial, says the report. If it melted, it would raise sea levels by a further 6 metres. Some experts quoted in the report predict that the sheet could entirely disappear within 700 years. Others, supported by the authors, expect that the sheet will contribute "no more than 3 metres" to sea level in that time.

If sea levels were 10 metres higher than today by the year 3000, it would cause the inundation of a total area larger than the US, with a population of more than a billion people and most of the world's most fertile farmland.

Fred Pearce

From New Scientist magazine, 25 November 2000.

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 27 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 12 November 2000 17:44

TimeTravel_0-

is there some reason you are not responding to any of my e-mails lately?

I have deleted all of your e-mails from my computer to protect you. no one will ever know your e-mail address. that is my promise to you.

if your not going to reply to me can you just answer me one question....was that you I seen? or you can e-mail me if you still can.

sincerely,

pamela

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 12 November 2000 20:53

timetravel_0-

OK.

your friend,

pamela

IP: Logged

daViper

unregistered posted 13 November 2000 23:04

Contrary to popular belief, and certain speculations here, the plain simple fact is, there ARE no paradoxes. There never have been and there never will be. The term itself is an oxymoron and an invention of the intellect of the human mind, in search of creative thought and outright fantasy. The Universe exists without either the need for, or possibility of them.

Once one comes to this simple realization, one can then put the concept of "Time Travel" in its proper perspective. Namely, that as is usually thought of, it doesn't exist. Never has, never will. For the simple reason that our invented concept of time itself is fundamentally flawed. Time is not an entity. It is a unit of measurement invented by man, to define the interval between cause and effect. Like Meter. Or Yard. Or Foot. Or pound. Can we "Meter" travel? or "Foot" travel? Or perhaps "Acre" travel? Gets silly doesn't it. Why does anyone think you can actually "Time" travel?

Interesting that the so called "Twins Paradox" was not discussed in depth here, but then it's really not a paradox at all. It is only believed to BE one by people who do not understand the simple laws of physics and the Theory of relativity.

If anyone is interested, I can show you how it CANNOT be a paradox. Unless you already know. In which case you wouldn't be here speculating on the "how" of something that doesn't exist in the first place.

It isn't that Time Travel is not possible, it's that there is NO SUCH THING in the first place. Never has been. Never will be. Like the Gods of MT. Olympus. Or the Fire Breathing Dragons of old. And Pegasus. Or the Earth as the Center of the Universe. It's mythology. Based on INNOCENT ignorance. It's a skewed concept created by a lack of

understanding of the fundamental Theories of relativity (General and Special), in the first place.

And it doesn't require "Multiple" Universes to solve. If there are multiple universes, than there MUST be an INFINITE number of them. In which case, all things that have ever happened, will happen, are happening Now. If THAT'S the case, what makes anyone think they have anything resembling free will at all?

Alas, I'm afrid even THIS attempt to resolve the so called "paradoxes" of Time Travel is also a pursuit in search of what is a non-existant problem in the first place. Another lapse into inventing a new mythology.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 13 November 2000 23:24

TimeTravel_0

Checked out the picture and documents (Pamala?) posted. Your '67 Chev looks remarkably new.....hmmmm

If the manual is leggit and thats a real working time machine in your front seat, the OBVIOUS conclusion, if not the correct conclusion, is that you are either in the employ of the US Army or you stole the friggen thing. I hardly think you picked it up for 20 bucks at the surplus store.

Looks like you've got a little baby field model there, the owners of which would surely have bigger and badder ones to come and collect it with. But you would know all about that. What you might not know is that the people who actually run things around now, will snatch you right out of your shoes at the first possible instant they get wind of you and your ah..... thing.

The one thing that you are REALLY REALLY NOT supposed to do is show up with something that might be percieved to be "dangerous". You had better hide real good or get lost, one.

Been nice 'talking' to ya. Why not just spill the *entire* pan of beans before you split? Anything to piss off the rich folks, I say.

IP: Logged

Janus

Member posted 14 November 2000 15:25

I hope 'Mr. O' is still there...

I'd like to believe you. What I need is one more prediction - you've predicted an American civil war in 2005; in order to fully believe you, I need one more unambiguous prediction like that. Something like, maybe, who will win the 100m in Salt Lake City. Really, anything like that, if I see two predictions like that come true you will have my unwavering belief. I'm a rationalist but not an idiot - the problem with most people who

claim to time travel is that they have no evidence to back it up. What I ask for would be enough evidence for me, and probably most people.

[This message has been edited by Janus (edited 14 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 15 November 2000 13:56

Mr. DaViper,
A broken clock tells the right time twice a day.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 15 November 2000 14:12

To: Shadow
If this shows up twice, I apologize.

(Your '67 Chev looks remarkably new.....hmmmm)

Thanks. I sold it when I arrived here. It attracted a great deal of attention. Perhaps that's why it was still around in 2036.

(...you are either in the employ of the US Army...)

More or less correct.

(Looks like you've got a little baby field model there, the owners of which would surely have bigger and badder ones to come and collect it with.)

Why would they come looking for me? I'm expected back but I will only have been gone a few seconds from their point of view.

(What you might not know is that the people who actually run things around now, will snatch you right out of your shoes at the first possible instant they get wind of you and your ah..... thing.)

Stupidity and greed are fairly predictable for a period of time.

(The one thing that you are REALLY REALLY NOT supposed to do is show up with something that might be perceived to be "dangerous".)

Have you considered that your society might be better off if half of you were dead?

(Why not just spill the *entire* pan of beans before you split? Anything to piss off the rich folks, I say.)

I'm thinking about it. I'm waiting for my family to buy up all the good stuff cheap first...(joke).

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 15 November 2000 14:20

To Janus:

(I'd like to believe you. What I need is one more prediction - you've predicted an American civil war in 2005; in order to fully believe you, I need one more unambiguous prediction like that.)

I appreciate the position you are in but you must realize that I am not affected in the least if you believe me or not.

(Something like, maybe, who will win the 100m in Salt Lake City.)

Off the top of your head, can you tell me if it rained in Atlanta this time last year? Do you think time travelers carry a sports reference with them?

(Really, anything like that, if I see two predictions like that come true you will have my unwavering belief.)

It is a mistake to give anyone your unwavering belief...but you will find that out yourself in 2005.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 15 November 2000 14:33

To: Curious

(One last question, Can anybody operate the 204 unit? Or is it safe guarded by a "key of some kind? Thanks for answering. Yes, you would be welcome at my home.)

The unit has two security systems to protect it from "most" people. One, it has a code that must be entered correctly. Second, and probably more effective now, the unit can not be used by anyone who can't add and subtract.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 15 November 2000 14:41

To Fast:

(i now understand the oxygen part,thought i found a slip in your trip(to coin a phrase) to our(or 1975...) time... why is it you traveled to 1975 anyway??)

The first "leg" of my trip was from 2036 to 1975. After two VGL checks, the divergance was estimated at about 2.5% (from my 2036). I was "sent" to get an IBM computer system called the 5100. It was one the first portable computers made and it has the ability to read the older IBM programming langages in addition to APL and Basic. We need they system to "debug" various lagacy computer programs in 2036. UNIX has a problem in 2038.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 15 November 2000 20:29

For totally selfish reasons I would like to know how one would communicate across time periods? Think of the grief one could save themselves by simply by calling yourself at 6AM from 6PM and getting the lowdown on the days pitfalls. It is tough for me to visualize how, from our perspective, the future is frozen solid such that you could knock around here for a week or two and yet scarcely a blink of an eye has passed in 2036.

If you want to get peoples attention, it seemes to me that a pocket full of real US currency and coins dated 2001 and up would cause a stir. It could be used as a standard test to see who is braindead and who can add 2+2.

You speak of 1 & 2 % "divergence" as being unimportant. I don't get it. Like for example if OUR IBM5100 is 1% different than the code you have to run on it, it ain't gonna work right. One percent in the FL vote count would be important. If I could see only 99% of the cars on the road it would be important to me. So, what exactly is X% divergent, and why is it not a major problem?

Janus is a Scientist. Don't mess with him!

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 15 November 2000 21:39

Oh, sorry Janus, forget I said that. I must have gotten your post mixed up with somebody eleses.

To TimeTravler_0

The trouble with having the "half the population dead" is that before the fact, one cannot tell to which half ones' self belongs. The politics of who gets thrown out of the lifeboat and who gets to stay is not topic for polite conversation. So lets ask the question in the affirmative. " What does one have to do in order to stay on the lifeboat?"

I don't imagine you have much a fondness for the CJD (mad cow) prion. Any advise on how to defeat or avoid this plague?

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 16 November 2000 12:35

_0 ---Just popped in for a minute. If your on the level could you be so kind as to drop me off in 1880. No, I'm not kidding. If you pick up hitch hikers I'd really like a ride. Also, I've missed you Pamela and Time and the ever evasive Doc.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 16 November 2000 12:43

Mokrie!!!!!!

{{{{{HUG}}}}}}

I missed you to. I was wondering what world you fell into (heheheh.)

hey now...only so many of us can fit into the 4x4! I asked first! I get the front seat!!!! heheh.

Doc if you are out there somewhere you need to respond! we are worried about you. alot of people have written you and you have not responded. your friends care about you!

sincerely,

pamela

IP: Logged

daViper

unregistered posted 16 November 2000 16:42

Shadow:

There is only ever one "time period" to communicate in. This one. The "now".

In the classic so called "twins paradox", the two are always in the same time line.

Visualize the traveling twin doing this travel always in sight of Earth. Orbiting the Sun at near the speed of light. He is always visible from Earth via telescope and radio. Although there will be some doppler shifting in his image and radio signals, communication is nevertheless still possible. Just difficult. He is traveling for what seems to be his Earth bound twin's span of 50 years. During his voyage, he ALSO counts the Earth rotating around the Sun 50 times! Yup. That's the way it works. Yet when he finally lands back on Earth, he has aged only 5 years! Relativity has slowed his BIOLOGICAL clock, relative to his twin. Not time itself. Just like it slows the atomic clock on the moving jet plane, relative to the one left on the ground. Gravity has little or nothing to do with it. It is the difference in RELATIVE velocity that produces what gets measured as time dilation. The same is true for the twins. Actually, neither twin left the other's time line. Both were always in the same one. Both counted 50 revolutions of the Earth around the Sun, but the travelers biological CLOCK ran slower. That's all.

There is no paradox. And communication across "time periods" is only ever communication across the same time period.

Where I am now, it's 11:30 AM. But in Japan, it's 4:30 TOMMORROW MORNING. But me and the Japanese are still in the same time line, time period (in the greater sense), and can communicate with each other just fine.

The past has gone by. The future hasn't happened yet. And all the near light speed travel or power sources that alter matter can't change that. It's a rather fundamental law of the universe.

Even Einstein only ever said time running backwards during faster than light travel, MIGHT be possible. He NEVER said for sure that it was true or for certain WOULD occur. And even withdrew further and further from this original position as he got older.

In the pure scientific sense, we need to always be vigilant as to the difference in what constitutes hypothesis, and what constitutes theory.

Good science always tries to DISPROVE hypothesis. Not prove it. Failure to DIS-prove it is what then results in what becomes evolving theory. And still only theory at that.

Enjoy.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 16 November 2000 23:33

DaViper....biological clock ran slower?
Can you explain this concept further?

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 17 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 17 November 2000 09:34

It is thought that being close to a gravitational field has a biological effect on all matter including cells. The effect is to slow the movement of electrons in the orbits of their nucleus which thus slows the mechanical and biological functions of the observer close to the gravity.

Thus the passing of time is a local phenomenon depending on how close you are to a gravitational source.

This is one example of a theory involving "time shells" progressing in size and intensity around a gravitational point from all matter. The more massive the object, the larger and more influential the time shells around it (like an onion). Another offshoot of this theory is that kinetic energy is actually the conversion of stored energy in the atom as it passes through time shells in a gravitational field.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 17 November 2000 12:01

Time travel_0-

Would this serve a purpose to put cloned or donated organs in a field to keep it as fresh and unaged as possible until ready to transplant into the new recipient patient?

In response to your last e-mail...

you never know what my future will hold.

maybe I'll be visiting YOU. (maybe in one of those advanced distortion units.)

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 17 November 2000 19:12

To daViper

Here's the way I see it if I want to "foot travel or acre travel" or even frothing at the mouth mad dog banana split travel then I will just get on with it. I don't need you to tell me I can't. Heck if I want to pretend that I'm Superman and fly around the sun 50 times to save Lois Lane.....oh excuse me THAT ONE was YOUR idea.

We got a guy on here whom I believe to be an actual time traveler, somebody that knows about gravic shells. artificial singularities, computers and the history of the next 36 years. Somebody who has "been there done that" and I don't really want to hear you spouting off about what you learned in 6th grade science class. I took the same class 35 years ago. Here is a news flash for you; Einstien was out of date 60 years ago.

You say there is no possibility of any time paradox ever occurring. How in heck would you know? Even if you are right on this one, its a lucky guess on your part. You don't know. I don't think you could think your way out of a paper bag.

You said that it is "tomorrow in Japan". Does that mean that it is yesterday in Spain? Good grief, get a grip. If you would think a little more yourself, maybe you would be less inclined to tell everybody else how to do it.

I do advise however, that you could stick around and learn something.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 17 November 2000 21:11

Shadow,

I have to hand it to you that was funny!banana split travel...hehheh

your a good person I can tell.

I personally cannot understand why someone posts on a timetravel web site that doesnot beleive time travel is or ever will be possible. but Im glad they do because I do learn things from them.

I think it a little humorous..... although it would be foolish to beleive everyone that says they are a time travler that shows up on here, and we have actually disproved several fake attempts. I beleive if a real time traveler actually showed up... nobody would even beleive them. there is only a couple of people on here who even asked this guy questions. even though this web site gets an average hit of about 98 people in a 12 hour period.

how could you ever come to the knowledge of the truth if you dont ask questions?

my question is....do we really beleive time travel is possible or do we have to be one who experiences a little of it first before we will even consider it?

Is time travel ever going to be a possibility in our future? if the answer is yes but not for thousands of years...well if they travel back to our time then it now becomes a possibility in our time as well.

DaViper? why is it you have not attempted to Disprove why the diagrams could not work?

why have you not argued against the use of singularities? or why it could not be possible for him to be here? Is it because you don't understand what it is you are seeing? I want to hear the arguments why nobody beleives this could be a possibilitydont any of you people have an opinion?

In our current view of things The possibility of it not being true is pretty high for a time traveler to openly expose himself in this manner..but..what if in his world time travel is not a secret?

In relating to a time traveler from a future time may be like relating to an alien from another country,another dimension or even another world. you first have to understand the environment from which they came. depending on how far in the future it would be.. their thinking would not be the same on certain issues because of how they were raised in their environment.

I think it is as he has said though that some people would not beleive it even if the machine was right in front of them.

Just consider for a moment.... what if it was true? what a great opportunity you have all lost.

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 18 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

Curious
unregistered posted 17 November 2000 23:22

My opinion is that there is a high probability that he (Timetravel_0) is as he has represented him self. And to add to that, what makes anybody so sure there hasn't been others? How would you know? Do you think they will show you a time travel visa? It is sometimes amazing how great the human mind is able to except new ideas. The (energy field) diagram in the manual looks very similar to some diagrams that were perported to be from the Philadephia Project.(from an Al Beilek video tape) Just my opinion, I could be wrong.....

IP: Logged

Dr Light

unregistered posted 19 November 2000 06:15

Now then..

If i go back to the year 1960 and give the scientist community there a book on advanced electronics in computers , would it not be that they would READ this book and design say, a pentium 3 chip in 1960 that would forward our technology advances 40 years?

if that is so how would this timeline be like? Would we have far better microprocessors here in 2000?

The materials needed to produce a chip like the P3 for example were availiable (to an extent) in 1960. So it would not be unheard of for me to jump back in time , give a book on microprocessors to IBM and see what they come up with...

A thought...

Did anyone witness the giant computer "boom" of the late 60's? Computer technology went from basic 286 processors to great big polygon crunchers in the early 90's. In the space a short 10 years microprocessor speeds have doubled every six months...

Technology is advancing at an incredible rate which leads me to think that perhaps we were visited by timetravelers in the 60's or thereof, in order to further the advancement of the human race. How does one explain the massive technology jumps from the moonlanding to our present time?

Seems to quick to go from a 286 to a 1 GHZ...

I think we had help , but thats my opinion.

Insights? Opinions?

Thankyou.

Dr Light

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 19 November 2000 19:35

according to TT0,our technology would not change in the least..you would return you
your original dimension and the book would(POSSIBLY) advance some other
dimension's technology...

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Dr Light
unregistered posted 20 November 2000 12:14

But if the parallel worlds theory is true , that would make the book in question be
relevant to ALL worlds including the one i just came from..
Therefore that would make technology jumps for all of the worlds, not just every
dimension besides mine.

Perhaps it would evolve differently for my dimension but there is still the fact that it
WOULD evolve because i went back and changed history for every world.

But....
that's my opinion...

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 20 November 2000 12:20

I still say "Something" of a very peculiar High Strangeness did occur in Roswell in the
fourties, and whatever it was, it had something to contribute to our current Time-Line,
which altered it indefinately.

IP: Logged

Dr Light
unregistered posted 20 November 2000 12:35

A few words..
i just finished reading the whole two pages and i have come to this conclusion.

Pamela...your a champion...no really...and thank you for the links.

and Mr_0....good luck my friend....see you in 2036.

P. Light

Thanks you

IP: Logged

Dr light

unregistered posted 20 November 2000 05:39

You sold your 67' when you got here?

how do you expect to get back?!

Also, how have you overcome the problem of housing , food , job ,social security number , tax...or perhaps you are not here for that long.

Also how did you get on the net and have access to a scanner to reproduce your work on the net?

So many questions and things to do in this time..yet you have the time to post your C204 manual on the web.

I am not trying to disprove you Mr _0, but the people in power (pamela) urges us to ask relevant questions surrounding your existence in this time. This world is run on the economical side of things and i am just curious to how you happened to blend in to "our" way of living.

The way i see it..is that you MAY be a T.Traveller judging by your grasp on quantumn mechanics and your vivid picture of the future but.....

This world is run on the basis of "guilty until proven innocent" Myself..i would like to believe and your diagrams and schematics seem to confirm this. BUT there are skeptics out there who might think your a looney as you have seen.

I myself believe in timetravel and as the theory goes.." if , at any point in history we will be able to T.T , we are being visited by others right now , from the future."

If it makes no matter that you are here telling us about your revolutionary new T.T device...what would happen if we SUPPOSEDLY built a prototype ...as my argument would state about the P3 and the 286..we would fast forward events in time.....36 years or so , with the development of a prototype c204 right NOW , using the skematics you have given us.But as pamela said..."..dont any of you people have an opinion?"

I am not out to shatter your reputation on this forumn.. but i just want simple answers.

Thank you

Dr Light

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 20 November 2000 17:16

To: Dr. Light.

(You sold your 67' when you got here?)

how do you expect to get back?!)

The unit is portable between vehicles. It is very heavy and requires a "stiff" suspension. The unit is currently in a 4WD.

(Also, how have you overcome the problem of housing , food , job ,social security number , tax...or perhaps you are not here for that long.)

I am currently living with my parents on this world line. They know exactly who I am and how I got here.

(Also how did you get on the net and have access to a scanner to reproduce your work on the net?)

There are numerous ways to do that. Any local printing shop allows you access to a computer system.

(So many questions and things to do in this time..yet you have the time to post your C204 manual on the web.)

What suggestions do you have?

(This world is run on the economical side of things and i am just curious to how you happened to blend in to "our" way of living.)

You don't think you could blend into 1970? What difficulties would you expect to have that couldn't be overcome?

BUT there are skeptics out there who might think your a looney as you have seen.)

I have nothing to sell nor do I want anyone to believe in me or take some action. What other people think of me does not affect me in the least.

(If it makes no matter that you are here telling us about your revolutionary new T.T device...what would happen if we SUPPOSEDLY built a prototype ...as my argument would state about the P3 and the 286..we would fast forward events in time.....36 years or so , with the development of a prototype c204 right NOW , using the skematics you have given us.But as pamela said..."..dont any of you people have an opinion?"")

What you do on your world line is your own business. I can't think of any better way to start a war than for someone to figure out how to make a time machine. Go for it.

(I am not out to shatter your reputation on this forumn.. but i just want simple answers.)

I will be happy to answer "almost" any question you have.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 20 November 2000 23:07

TT_0

I STRONGLY

urge you to contact me before you leave us! I must make contact with you before you go.

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 20 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 3)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

Dr Light

unregistered posted 21 November 2000 01:10

So Mr_0

Perhaps you would like to explain to me how your parents fully understand HOW you got here , since you are a T.T, you showed them you c204 and they believed you totally?

I do not know your age so i am assuming that you would be over 40 years old.

How would your parents react to seeing you in 2000 as a 40 year old man? That would surley be enough evidence to show you are a true time traveller...

What exactly does the year 2000 have for you?

If you say the year 2005 is the war where 3 billion people die..you only have 5 years left then you will merely whisk off to 2036 with your IBM 5100 p.c,leaving our timeline in ruins and you would only be away for a few seconds...

What we do on our timeline IS our own buisness ,but we would appreciate it if you do not jeopardize our position here by giving someone the information/skematics to build a time machine that you say would "start a war"

So what your saying is that the development of the C204 is the reason the "war" started? So why are you trying to put us through your timeline and kill us too? Or are you trying to change history here and hope it will work on the parallel worlds theory and turns out well for you in the end?

As Pamela said you have an obligation to this world line. We may be in infintley spaced world lines but that does not mean you should put us through your problems too.

What if you never showed up and gave us the skemtics? Are you trying to AVOID or START a war of massive consequences?

Your argument is taken entirely out of context when i compare it to my previous argument about the P3 and the 286 processor
"What we do is our buisness" but you are here causing controversy and "hoping?" perhaps someone does create the c204?
So you are willing to sacrafice billions of lives and hope that everything is fine and dandy when you get back.
If events DO change here...they will change for you too.

BUT...
thats my OPINION.

Remember just answers please..

Thanking you,

Dr P.Light

(p.s pamela ,your opinion please)

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 21 November 2000 10:29

Dr. Light,
There is a thin line between question and accusation. I'm pretty sure TT-O DOES NOT check in here seeking abuse or accusal.

The reason this board is here is to determine the the plausabiliy and possible usability of time travel. If there is no such thing, or even the perception that there is no time travel and no time travelers then we need to unplug this board and for all of us to 'go home'.

The point is not is Mr. TT-O for real but rather is what we THINK we are doing here for real.

As TT-O says how we take care of our own time line IS OUR BUSINESS. Doing so in stark ignorance can lead to problems for those who put a priority on getting through the day alive.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 21 November 2000 10:41

All of the questions asked by Mr. Light have been answered in one way or another. I believe Pamela has that information if she has not released it already.
You assume I am here to start a war?

Consider this: You are a time traveler who wishes to go back in time to 1941 because your grandparents live close to Pearl Harbor in Hawaii. You realize you can't stop the war but you may be able to help them prepare for it. Strangely, December 7th comes and goes with no sneak attack. As the war in Europe rages on, Japan fails to join the axis power, there is no war in the Pacific and the United States remains neutral. Then, you watch as Germany begins to develop the atomic bomb... all by themselves.

For a change, I have a question for all of you. I want you to think...think very hard. What major disaster was expected and prepared for in the last year and a half that never happened?

As far as war goes, I have faith you are quite capable of starting one all by yourself. I am hard pressed to accept any criticism on my outlook on that subject. Growing up might have been a vastly different experience for me than it was for most of you. Personal responsibility, determination, honor, friendship and self-reliance are not just words we try to live up to or fantasize about. On my world line, life is not easy. We live in a world recovering from years of war, poison, destruction and hate. All of it, courtesy of the thinking and actions of people that live right now in the same world you do, worrying about which stocks to buy or whether or not a stranger is lying to them on the internet. I believe that hardship and challenge develop character and community. My first experience with war came when I joined a shotgun infantry unit at the age of thirteen. In the 4 years I served as a "rebel", I watched hundreds of people get shot, burn and bleed to death. I know exactly where I was and every detail of the exact moment the first nuclear warheads began falling on Jacksonville. I know the pain and regret of not acting soon enough to enjoy a relationship as a loved one dies of brain cancer from a war that gained nothing.

How can you possibly criticize me for any conflict that comes to you? I watch every day what you are doing as a society. While you sit by and watch your Constitution being torn away from you, you willfully eat poisoned food, buy manufactured products no one needs and turn an uncaring eye away from millions of people suffering and dying all around you. Is this the "Universal Law" you subscribe to?

Perhaps I should let you all in on a little secret. No one likes you in the future. This time period is looked at as being full of lazy, self-centered, civically ignorant sheep. Perhaps you should be less concerned about me and more concerned about that.

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 21 November 2000 13:17

Think, think, think, what major disaster didn't happen last year. No y2k computer meltdown! Are you intimating that your people fiddled with time and saved us from that one? If so how could I complain other than to say that MY y2k preparations in

themselves have turned into a MAJOR PERSONAL disaster that continues to this day.
Ky-sur-sur-rah, ay?

Reading your posts I get conflicting ideas about what effect our timeline has on yours. Didn't you say that "another you" was here now as a two year old child? Is the 'Everret Many Worlds' interpretation of quantum mechanics it the correct one then? You seem to look at us as representative of your ACTUAL predecessors. There is something a bit unnerving about that. In fact the main reason why I think you might be genuine is that I have trouble thinking LIKE you do. I would expect this of an encounter with a "Chrono-alien".

It seems to me that there would be some effect from one parallel world to another. Therefore what you do in this time line would have at least INDIRECT consequences in all future lines. The idea of many time lines I can 'get', but infinite ones? Perhaps on the parallel axis they just keep getting more different until they are seen as completely different alien worlds.

Is it possible to communicate between times and parallel worlds without physically going to them? Im getting a little sick of talking to myself...ha ha ..(I think).

So we are all lazy and selfish around these times. Not exactly a news flash bud. Oh, did I leave out ignorant too? Hey it fits the profile. The word you are looking for however is POWERLESS. That is why we now, in general don't give a flying crap about what goes on. There ain't nothing we can do about it aside getting our teeth kicked in for trying.

Let me put it this way. The wrong people got all the money.....already. The rest of us are mostly concentrating on not starving or freezing to death. What do you want anyway? The disaster you have suffered in your time (and ours to come I suppose) got its start long long before today. We were warned decades ago.....yeah now I see your point.

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 21 November 2000 13:37

To: TimeTravel_0
Refer to post above.....forgot to stick your name on it again.

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 21 November 2000 13:47

To: TimeTravel_0
Has the mystery of UFO's been solved by 2036? Crop circles? I figure it is all "parallel worlder" stuff but is anything specific been determined?
BTW
The one way questioning going on here is mostly because you already know lots more about us than we can ever know about you.

Let us know when you plan to check out.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 21 November 2000 14:41

A.) Russia has "ALWAYS" been considered the first Country, to be suspect of a probable Nuclear Attack against the USA, and I, for one have NEVER relinquished the thought that inevitably, this will happen while I remain alive to witness this unfourtunate trajedy come to fruition.

*Why have they been stockpiling Nuclear arms purchased from their Diplomatic relations with China, and protesting our "Star-Wars" defense systems during this plot?

We never seen it comming?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 21 November 2000 21:31

There have been a great many questions piling up that I do plan to get to. If anyone sees a question I have answered before, please feel free to repeat the answer.

I do not plan on leaving this world line for a while yet. I very much enjoy spending time with my family.

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 21 November 2000 23:01

To TT_0, How is it, that in the future they can produce and contain an artificial singularity, by complex computer systems, but can't reproduce a basic pc (IBM 5100). Or is it easier to just "borrow" these items from an alternate time line? Or did you use the lack of a computer system as an excuse to vist your family?

Curious

IP: Logged

Dr Light

unregistered posted 22 November 2000 12:57

To T.T_0,

So if we are a bunch of sick lazy ignorant stupid etc etc's.....what are YOU doing among us?

Do you like our artificial foods? Or is it the meaningless piles of "junk" we churn out year after year? Perhaps it is the choked atmosphere? And we also turn an "uncaring eye" away from the millions suffering too?

Perhaps this time is just like yours.....

We still have war , hate and all the above.

Humph. I didnt know that what we think is so technologically advanced and superior (myself excluded)is looked upon as ,for want of a better word, "Sh*t"

I agree with Shadow.

Can we help but to eat these foods , drink this water? Survival is the key word here.

But another question arises..

Do you think i am accusing you? I meerly went and posted a message about my opinions on the subject.I happen to strongly believe in Time travel and i also believe YOU.They are only QUESTIONS as i have stated Mr_0. I also dont think you are here to start a war, of all things. if it was for my family, i would go though time too.

Or else what would i be doing here?

As soon as anyone here on this forumn starts talking in terms of "not believing you" you get aggravated? I dont wish for that to be.

These are questions , and nothing more. Tell me if i have hit a soft spot. Im not trying to but it might seem that way.

Life here in 2000 is not at all easy for us here too. A handfull of people run this timeline....

(Sigh)

Regards,

Dr. P.Light

(P.S) There are a few questions (not accustaions as you out them) i would like to ask about the workings of the C204 unit before you leave us. I will post them up later.

IP: Logged

IIIIIIII

unregistered posted 22 November 2000 14:32

What Can we do to help you here in this time line. Who is responcible for the disaster to come? Can you tell us if the world has been unified under one single goverment in your time. To you have the means to calculate interactions over the range of the universe so that to enable which alterations need to be made at which points in the present universe at given times in order recreate an optimal time period for yourself when you return. Remeber this no matter what generation one goes to their is alwas trechory for it is sin in the human race that enables such degradation. What do you think about our time period? Have you tried any of our fast food? What technologies were lost as a result of the war? Who won the war? My favorite junk food is M&M's. What ebergery source did you use for your traveling technique. Did you use acceleration or compression as a stabilizing force. How did you overcome the temperral turbulence caused by the affects

of time dialation? Was topology a big part of it? Are you seeking to return to a parallel dimension? In the present. Has anyone actually created a true interdimensional device. Or has only parrellel frames past frames been obtained within the worldline of the negative universe? What do you need to fix your ship? Has your resurfacing in the past resulted in the creation of a parallel entity as a result of the transfer of a phantom point of entity equivalence across the intersimensional barrier resulting in the abstraction of an existant entity out of the fractional entity less then zero. Have you figured a way to counter this energy?

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 22 November 2000 16:44

11/20/00 - Mon/Tue

Guest: Dr. Eugene Mallove

Dr. Mallove, Editor-in-Chief and Publisher of Infinite Energy Magazine will discuss cold fusion and new energy technology. Dr. Mallove holds a Master of Science Degree and Bachelor of Science Degree in Aeronautical and Astronautical Engineering from MIT and a Science Doctorate in Environmental Health Sciences from Harvard University.

Book: Fire from Ice: Searching for the Truth Behind the Cold Fusion Furor

Website: www.infinite-energy.com

(Click on the Windows media icon above, to listen to the pre-recorded broadcast.)
I posted this elsewhere, however I wanted to ensure that TT_0 would review this, and post his comments accordingly...

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 22 November 2000 20:58

Pamela: 1.What exactly would an observer see as they saw you arriving in this time? and exactly what would they see as you departed? would you just appear suddenly or slowly? would you look like a heat mirage for awhile? any light effects? or hazy misty shimmering distortion?

Time travel_0- The observation of time travelers "appearing" suddenly in a world line do not happen very often. There are two cases and two points of view to consider. In the first case, the time machine does not move as it goes from one world line to another and then returns. The people watching on the original world line would wave good bye and watch as the machine is turned on.

There would be a static discharge and the air would appear to "ripple" as if it were getting denser. Then, it would stop and the machine will have appeared to have gone nowhere. If the machine doesn't move its position from world line to world line, the observer would not see it disappear at all. In the second case, if the machine is moved, it would disappear from the viewpoint of the observer and return in a different location based on where it was moved and turned on from the destination world line. In that case, the rippling seems to dissolve the machine and it disappears. If that happens while you are watching it leave and you expect it to return, you know it was moved or had a serious malfunction. It is actually quite dangerous to get too close to a distortion unit as it enters or leaves a world line. It vents radiation and has a very strong localized gravity field. Personally, I worry about that a great deal.

Pamela:2.What is the dimension of the field around the car? How many feet out from the car would you say it goes?

Timetravel_0-It can be adjusted to some degree. The CG (center of gravity) is adjustable within about 4 feet and the unit is effective about 10 to 12 feet in either direction from there. The vertical distance is quite a bit shorter and is determined by sensors in the unit.

Pamela:3.approximately in inches how much of the ground is taken with you in one trip?

timetravel_0-Depending on weather or not you are going forward or backward, the footprint of the unit is different. I wouldn't quite say it "scoops" up the ground cleanly. It sort of vibrates it loose and takes it along for the ride. It looks like someone raked the ground an inch or so deep with a small hand hoe or shovel. The negative ergosphere "scoops" up the front and back areas of the field. The positive ergosphere leaves a longer area near the center of mass. Its about a cubic foot of dirt spread out over six square feet or so.

Pamela:4.If they put the device in a house and turned it on what do you think would happen?

Timetravel_0-It might not be as destructive as you think. Depending on how close any object is to the field, it might not do any damage at all except for the floor.

Pamela:5.what would happen to a bird or small animal that ran across the field right when it was producing the field to travel?

Timetravel_0-It would be quickly spread out over the lateral length of the gravity field. Imagine being squished and stretched at the same time. I would imagine anything left after that would be vaporized and generate static electricity.

Pamela:6.how hot would you say the temperature gets on the outside of the car while in operation?

Timetravel_0-Very! hot. Depending on the power setting, 100 to 120 degrees is average.

Pamela:7.is the car in drive mode when the device is activated or is it totally turned off?

Timetravel_0-The car is off and the brake on.

Pamela: 8.has the device been tested on ships and airplanes?

Timetravel_0-Not that I'm aware of. Its important that it remain as still as possible so the gravity sensors can get a good lock. The divergence confidence would be way off if the vehicle was moving.

Pamela:9.do you wear special uniforms when you time travel? what do they look like and does your group have a timetravel emblem or group name?

Timetravel_0-I wear a standard civilian service uniform during instruction and training. Its sort of a cross between an army uniform and overalls. We do have a quarter master who distributes clothing appropriate to where ever we are going. There is a patch. It is round and has a graphic of a Kerr singularity (sort of looks like an eye with gravity waves around it) with two spiral paths running through it's center. One path represents the "safe" way and the other is the path to God. The bottom of the patch has my unit number along with "Temporal Recon" printed on it. However, we remove any identification and patches before we go anywhere.

Pamela:10.Can you tell us what you know about the famous Philadelphia experiment?

timetravel_0-I am aware of the basic story but I don't have any other information to prove or disprove it.

Pamela:11. How long do we have to talk to you before you leave?

timetravel_0-I'll be around for a while yet.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 22 November 2000 22:13

Leader of a troupe of time traveling Irish Army ants:
Corporal_O'Kerrants.
If you see him
It ain't 'em, but "EM"

IP: Logged

TimeMaster 1a
Member posted 23 November 2000 06:10

TimeTravel_0:
I have been looking over your time displacement unit and find it interesting.
This is a list of the basic components as I see it.

1. Singularity drive houseing.
2. Singularity magnetic field coil.
3. Magnetic field generator / regulator.
4. Electron mass injection manifold.
5. Electron regulator.
6. Electron condenser.
7. Singularity ??? senser.
8. Singularity cooling housing.
9. Singularity cooling pump.
10. Singularity cooling supply.
11. Gravity senser unit.
12. Battery supply.

Can you verify these components?
What type of senser is number seven?
Why are the four atomic clocks not shown?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 25 November 2000 09:10

(Can you verify these components?)
That looks correct. I must apologize for the poor quality of the information. There's a running inside joke about the technical issues. If the unit has a serious problem its not as if anyone can use those drawings to take the electron manifold off the singularity housing with a flat head screw driver.

(What type of senser is number seven?)

That sensor detects various parameters from the singularity.

(Why are the four atomic clocks not shown?)

There is another page that depicts the computer and clock systems. That technology is not new and not very interesting.

There is a cut-a-way drawing of the entire unit that I will probably post before I leave. I'll send it to Pamalea.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 25 November 2000 13:57

To Shadow:

UFOs are as much as a mystery to me as they are to you. Would you be surprised to know that there are a great many people who don't believe in time travel on my world line?

I do believe UFOs are a real mystery but I also know that chaos theory dictates that no matter what technology or knowledge we have, there are always unknowns.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 25 November 2000 13:59

To: Curious

On my world line, it is known that the 5100 series is capable of reading all the IBM code written before the widespread use of APL and Basic. Unfortunately, there are none left that anyone can find on my world line.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 25 November 2000 14:01

To: Dr. P.Light

It is quite difficult to get used to some of the communication patterns I have come across here. Confusing conversational conflict for anger seems to be a common and typical problem. Why does the expression of differing emotion seem to threaten so many people? I do not feel accused nor was I trying to accuse anyone. Your opinions are as valid as anyone's and I do not suggest you change them because of anything I say. I never said I was here to start a war although I have strong opinions about what a war would do for you. I am not aggravated by words.

Imagine you are Jewish and you are able to travel back in time to Germany in 1935. All around you are the patterns of thinking and action that will lead to a great deal of harm, death and destruction in just a few years. You have the advantage of knowing what will

come but no one will listen to you. In fact, they think you're insane and the situations you describe could never happen.

What I feel is not anger, it is sadness that you can not see what I see.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 25 November 2000 14:03

To: IHHHHHHH

I appreciate your offer for help but I am quite alright. The responsibility for the "disaster" is your own but I do not consider it a disaster. Rebirth is often painful. My world line is not unified under a single government but I would say it is closer to a unified purpose. Isn't that what you want anyway? No, I do not have the ability to make calculations that would effect world lines to my advantage. Besides the fact that manipulating people for personal gain is wrong, I am of the belief that it is best to make the world line you are on now better.

I like the incredible freedom you have on this world line but I see it as a trap and I fear the cost is the loss of your sense of connection with family and community. Yes, you can self actualize your ambitions but at what cost to the people around you...or yet to be born? The incredible availability of art, literature and limitless resources is hardly taken advantage of as I imagine the number of people sitting in front of their TVs.

No, I have not tried any fast food. Thinking about where the food came from, how it was shipped and treated absolutely terrifies me. I have tried to tell people about CJD disease and it seems to be "catching on" in Europe.

Technologies themselves are not lost but some of the older tools and techniques have been lost. I think there is more detailed information about the war posted earlier. The energy stored in the singularity is used to create the distortion fields. That energy is created in a particle accelerator. I'm not sure what you mean by "temporal turbulence". What effect would that have that would need to be overcome?

When I leave, I will return to 2036. The computer I have is expected there. I am unaware of any "true" interdimensional device available on this world line now. I would imagine the effects of such a device would be hard to hide.

My "ship" is not broken. I am here by choice. Don't you find current events interesting? Again, I'm not sure I understand your last question. Perhaps we should agree to the definitions of a few terms and basic physics before I try to answer that.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 25 November 2000 17:08

I am unaware of any "true" interdimensional device available on this world line now. I would image the effects of such a device would be hard to hide.

TT_0 I recommend that you read the following archived posts. Although there are some interesting information about "Existing" portals that traverse the Space-Time continuum, Time~Travel capability is just one ability that these devices are capable of, and the most interesting factor resides with the fact that they may even pre-date mankind's own history? (perhaps.)

<http://www.xone.net/tti/board/ubbhtml/Forum1/HTML/000308.html>

"Utilizing The Earth's Energy Grid To Navigate Time Vortex's."

<http://www.xone.net/tti/board/ubbhtml/Forum1/HTML/000345.html>

"Time Port Area in Marfa Texas."

<http://www.xone.net/tti/board/ubbhtml/Forum1/HTML/000339.html>

"History of Unknown Origins & New Beginnings."

<http://www.xone.net/tti/board/ubbhtml/Forum1/HTML/000045.html>

"Stargate in the Andes."

Questions, Comments, Concerns?

I would like your comments of this TT_0

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 25 November 2000 17:44

to TT_0 and the curious

The fact that better equipment is supposed to get the reverse-time traveler closer to their actual past indicates that there is an ACTUAL SPECIFIC past that he is aiming for. So if in practical application the traveler ends up 2% divergent upon arrival in the past and if he stays in that line he finds that it is not a line at all, but that going forward, divergence approaches infinity. If this is so, the only way to get back to one's original future is to have left some kind of specified object or condition there as a marker. Reverse time divergence is an artifact of the machine you use and not a built in natural law of physics.

In this idea the past is exactly behind you and the future is always and literally "right around the corner", It also suggests that the self is fractionalized over several to many parallel time lines even though we may only *realize* one line at once. Organic psyche may be what LIMITS divergence (of time lines). Also if this theory is correct we may be 2% divergent from TT_0 but going forward in this time line he is 98% divergent from us. My logic is pretty good here, how's my facts?

BTW Someone just gave me a working IBM 5160. Should I save it or toss it?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 26 November 2000 16:32

To the reader: feel fortunate you are reading this I had a hard time posting it hopefully it will appear this time. More questions from me to Timetravel_0 and his answers.
(can you tell us which of our foods are poison and why?)(What can we do to prepare ourselves for the coming war.)

I tried to consolidate your questions into a basic list. I hope this helps.

1. Do not eat or use products from any animal that is fed and eats parts of its own dead.
2. Do not kiss or have intimate relations with anyone you do not know.
3. Learn basic sanitation and water purification.
4. Be comfortable around firearms. Learn to shoot and clean a gun.
5. Get a good first aid kit and learn to use it.
6. Find 5 people within 100 miles that you trust with your life and stay in contact with them.
7. Get a copy of the US Constitution and read it.
8. Eat less.
9. Get a bicycle and two sets of spare tires. Ride it 10 miles a week.
10. Consider what you would bring with you if you had to leave your home in 10 min. and never return.

(Can you tell us exactly what event started the war? Is there any way we can stop it?)

The war is a result of faulty politics and desperation from Western leadership during the US civil war. Yes, I suppose you could stop it.

(Are some states or countries safer than others?)

Take a close look at the county by county voting map from the last elections.

(Did they use biological and chemical weapons in the war besides nukes? Did they use mind control weapons?)

Yes there were bio and chem weapons used. No mind control weapons but there are new "non-lethal" weapon systems that turn out to be quite lethal.

(Have you discovered the cure for cancer or aids yet?)

Aids...no. Cancer...some progress.

(If our leaders of this world line were reading this posting and beleive who you say you are.....what would you say to them right now?)

Revel in your confidence today because you will not win tomorrow.

(What is the main message you want to convey to us at this time?)

Please, please wake up. Look at the sign posts around you now.

(Can you explain this further when you talked of your patch and the two spirals one was the "safe" way and one was the way to God. Can you expound on that I didnt quite understand it.)

The safe way is the one calculated to take you in time where you want to go, the other path will take you to God (death). Both are equally accepted and anticipated before each trip.

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 26 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 26 November 2000 17:51

ive been gone for 4 days and i get back and TT0 is leaving and there are people in doubt over his story??

I have been reading that around 2011 there is some new world order-type government in place...TT0,can u verify?

Also,a while back(page 2 of the posts) you said something was wrong with UNIX in your time...what is wrong?

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 26 November 2000 18:01

"--Perhaps I should let you all in on a little secret. No one likes you in the future. This time period is looked at as being full of lazy, self-centered, civicy ignorant sheep. Perhaps you should be less concerned about me and more concerned about that.

"

who's to say that in your own time-line's future,your not considered barbaric and immature in your own arrogance with the notion that 'yes we survived...we can handle another war...now lets use the technology that STARTED the bloody war and go back into someone else's time-line and start a war there too...perhaps then we will have parallel companions with the same motives?'

War is the darkest of all Arts.The Age which follows includes those who practice another of the darkest:arrogance.

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Dr. Light

unregistered posted 27 November 2000 01:37

To: T-T-0

Just a quick question before i jet,

Does the events happening in the Middle east concerning the Arabs and Jews have anything to do with the coming war? I mean to say that since the jewish population seems to have quite considerable power now in 2000, would they mount a force against other countries or am i under the beief that the war will result from the U.S government election?(among other things).

On another previous note,

You must understand that we cannot just willingly give in our skepticism just because a person comes onto a bulliten board and announces that he/she is from 2036?

Tell me T-T_0, when you first typed a message here announcing your arrival...did anyone believe you? We have had proven "false" time traelers here before as you may have heard. ut your considerable grasp of quantumn physics and distortion fields seems to justify you.

Seems like your the ..."real deal"

P.S--I KNEW that particle acceleraton technology would be part of time travel!!!
Makes sense doesnt it!

P.P.S-- Singularity cooling pump?
What do you cool the singularity with?

IP: Logged

Dr .P Light
unregistered posted 27 November 2000 04:01

To TT-0

Just an afterthought i forgot to add to my previous post..

How much more advanced are the computer hardware and software systems in the future?

Are we looking at 110Ghtz computers with stamped silicon system technology as opposed to 200 million microtransistor configurations?

Or do we have the usual "crawling along with hardware advances every 6 months?"

Please feel free to go into details.

Dr P.Light

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 27 November 2000 16:03

pamela

Member posted 08 April 2000 18:23

This is interesting: http://www.damanhur.org/time/html/kindred_spirit_s_article.htm
click on "interview with a timetraveller."

"tales of the unexpected" is his recall of his travels.

Do you remember this one Pamela? <http://tectime.cjb.net>

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 27 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

IIIIIIII

unregistered posted 27 November 2000 22:57

Dear Time0,

if one found a method to pry apart a magnetic field would this result in a containable release of energy. Would the energy channeled through the tear be in the form of a tachyon field with the forward edge of the tachyon field at a velocity of light. Would the internal tachyons within the tachyon field have a velocity greater then light even though existing in the present subluminal time frame?
inquisitively.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 28 November 2000 01:29

TT_0

What can you tell us about
"Space Time Transposing" ?
IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 28 November 2000 01:51

Originally posted from the coast to coast forum by: Alan Troidl
<http://post.coasttocoastam.com/showthread.php?threadid=355>

Time travel has been taking place for thousands of years.
The foremost device used was the pyramids around the world
used by ancient civilizations. This was for time travel and not so much as a burial site as
commonly believed. The physics behind this is the sacred geometrics of the pyramid
shape.

The geometric shape of the pyramid allows for the concentration of "White Light" as
well as "Dark Light".

The dark light was conduited from the area in the pyramid know as the "well" which no
one could understand its use as it is a hole that suddenly stops with no apparent purpose
or use.

Above the King's Chamber are huge layered stomes with air spaces. One side shiny and
smooth and the other side dark and rough. This is a capacitance machine for the separate
collection of the 2 light sources.

These 2 light sources, one coming from the earth and the white light from the cosmos
above criss crossed but did not intersect each other at the point of the sarcophagus. This
technology allowed for time travel and the opening of the "third eye".

As well, the design of 2 pyramids intersecting base to base
to give you the design of the 6 point star, (or the star of david), is the sacred symbol and
geometry to enter into zero point time or Christ Consciousness.

There are modern day devices that impliment these principals
for time travel.

By having your own brain operating at the same harmonic frequency as obtained
through man made devices, can give you
the same ability to do conscious time travel.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 28 November 2000 02:01

There is a foretelling of a significant Time Divergence that is believed to occur during the year 2012 according to the ancient Mayans, yet you claim to be from 2034, and posses a working Time Travel device...

What can you tell us would happen to you, or anyone els who were to use your device, or another one similar in capabilities to travel to the yr. 2012???-----

(also I would like you to tell us what you know, or have been told what takes place in "2112")

incidentally, since it is common knowledge that many events within our cosmos are cyclical, interesting enough the "Photon Belt" returns to our solar system every 26,000 yrs. and in the given Time frame since it's last presence in our solar system, that would place it's peak convergence upon us again at 2012 coincidence?

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 28 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 29 November 2000 02:22

TT_0 so many questions, yet you seem to have more "Time" than most to address them, so while I await your replies to my other posts, here goes another....

It's no big secret among those who are "In the Know" of our current worldline's attempts to control the weather i.e. HAARP & Chemtrails, so What can you tell us about the future of weather control such as the following...

bronze flying globes that float in the air over the earth, and are aligned in grid formations, and lasers joining them together in the air, churning out snow storms, rain, or whatever else they decide to induce, to be created in the given space provided???

-----BTW; I traveled to the past, and grabbed an earlier comment you posted.

Does anyone remember this one?

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 02 November 2000 01:00

I saw the posting requesting the basic systems for a gravity distortion system that will allow time travel. Here they are:

1. Magnetic housing units for dual microsingularities.
2. Electron injection manifold to alter mass and gravity of microsingularities.
3. Cooling and x-ray venting system
4. Gravity sensors (VGL system)
5. Main clocks (4 cesium units)
6. Main computer units (3)

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 29 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 29 November 2000 09:29

I hate to sound sarcastic but didn't you some what gloss over the most critical piece of time-travel equipment? I don't see the \$10,000,000+ dollars listed anywhere, that it would take to buy and fabricate all that other stuff.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 30 November 2000 19:43

time 02112:

this web site from Nov. 1, 2000 at 10:00pm

till Nov. 30, 2000 at 7:38pm

has been accessed: 4026 times.

I was curious how many hits it got in about a month. well there it is... heheheh

if it continues it will be well over 48,312 a year.

I thought it was interesting. there seems to be a lot of people interested in time travel but few post.

-pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 30 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 01 December 2000 14:09

Perhaps it is better to understand if one understands that all fractional entities less then one is equal to zero spacially and less then zero temperally when measuring the temperal fractional entity in terms of spacial fractional entity known as a plancks length. Of course I could be misinterpiting the definition of a plancks length. Please feel free to correct me if I am incorrect in assuming that what I have gathered as the definition of a temperal zero, and a spacial zero; and the plancks temperal length, and a plancks spacial length as being the same conscept: is wrong. Otherwise the ofore mentioned point of view ought to be considered. (If there is any confusion as to what I am talking about please have a look see under rgrunts time travel posting in order to aquire the basic train of thought behind the above mentioned statement.)

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 01 December 2000 23:04

timetravel_0 said he would be back he is just a little busy right now.

patience is a virtue. time takes... time.

-pamela

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 02 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com
unregistered posted 04 December 2000 15:36

Thanks for the knowlege Pamela. I really think that the design or basic model that Time-o gave sounds legit but I think that if he could replace the particle accelerator with a an electromagnetic device that uses only one kind of particle-a magnetic photon- that the energy would be much more stable and easier to control. I also believe that such a replacement would require much less accuracy and would be at the minimum as efficient if not more efficient then the energy production that he is intent on using. A gravity generator would also be just as affective in extracting the necessary energy required to power the device. This will require alot more effort such as discovering how to make a gravitational generator.
sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 06 December 2000 21:36

(What is the popular music like?)

Most of the same music you enjoy is available on archive. However the days of mega-stars playing multiple track studio produced music and lip synching on a huge stage are pretty much isolated to your time period. Like everything else, music is much less centralized. The general trend is away from "computer generated" music and more toward real people playing real instruments. Music is much more of a personal experience. More people know how to read music and play together in small groups.

(Are there any social prejudices)

Yes there are. However, as odd as it may sound, it serves a useful purpose in my time. First, you must realize that your experiences with "prejudice" and mine are different. I would characterize the intolerance you have here as a result of ignorance and fear. I have observed that people with unfounded and irrational fears about their fellow man in this time have the luxury of not having their beliefs tested.

After the war, much of the prejudice you have now was swept away by simple necessity. People had to work and fight together just to survive. This has a way of opening a person's eyes as to the value of fellow human beings.

What difference does the color of a man's skin make when you are both fighting against the same enemy to survive or find water or grow food? On my world line, if a man doesn't pull his weight in the community, then we feel prejudice towards him as a

burden to us. This feeling of shame he experiences then makes him realize his responsibilities.

(What is the health care system like)

You would probably not like it at all. I would compare it to what you see in Western movies. We do have hospitals but there are more family doctors and house calls as compared to what you are used to. There is no real organized health care. If you get a serious disease, you die. This however has had a tendency to strengthen the general genetic pool. Doctors are more concerned about things like broken legs, snake bites and delivering healthy babies.

(What is the entertainment industry like, movies, tv, radio, internet?)

Again, entertainment is less centralized. There are “movies” and “tv” but everything is distributed over the net and more people produce their own “shows”.

(How difficult is it for someone to start from nothing and get a job and make a living for themselves?)

Very easy. If you can work with your hands and get along with other people, you will always find work.

(If a group of people were to travel forward in time to avoid a situation, would they be still be able to live in relative peace? Or would they be looked down on for that? Should they just keep that a secret to make things easier?)

From a physical standpoint, I suppose that would work if you were trying to escape a natural disaster. But you must consider that trying to “escape” by time traveling has it's own problems. I'm not exactly sure what you mean by being looked down on.

(I have been reading that around 2011 there is some new world order-type government in place...TT0,can u verify?)

On my world line in 2011, the United States is in the middle of a civil war that has dramatic effects on most of the other Western governments.

(Also,a while back(page 2 of the posts) you said something was wrong with UNIX in your time...what is wrong?)

Yes...and with your's too. I have to believe there must be a UNIX expert out there someplace that can confirm that. I'm not exactly sure what the technical issue is but I believe some sort of UNIX system registry stops in 2038.

(Does the events happening in the Middle east concerning the Arabs and Jews have anything to do with the coming war?)

Yes.

(I mean to say that since the jewish population seems to have quite considerable power now in 2000...)

I'm not sure what power you are referring to.

(Tell me T-T_0, when you first typed a message here announcing your arrival...did anyone believe you?)

I don't know if anyone believes me now. But you must realize that I don't expect anyone to believe me or does it affect me one way or the other. I enjoy these conversations too. What would you expect a time traveler to say or do in your time?

(What do you cool the singularity with?)

Very highly technical, cooling stuff. [sorry, I don't get much of a chance to be a wise guy]

(How much more advanced are the computer hardware and software systems in the future?)

Good question! I would say the biggest difference is in the reliability of the hardware and software. It absolutely amazes me how willing people are here to accept computer and software failures on such a regular basis. I was very surprised to see how prolific it is. You can look forward to very stringent manufacturing parameters and programming discipline. Think back to the early days of the computer and how much work and cleverness it took to fit those programs into such small areas of memory. Has more and cheaper memory brought better programs or just more programs?

As far as technical specifics, I'm afraid I can not go into too much detail. However, I will tell you that processor speed and memory size take dramatic leaps forward.

(if one found a method to pry apart a magnetic field would this result in a containable release of energy. Would the energy channeled through the tear be in the form of a tachyon field with the forward edge of the tachyon field at a velocity of light. Would the internal tachyons within the tachyon field have a velocity greater than light even though existing in the present subluminal time frame?
inquisitively.)

Hmmm... I afraid my notebook on Maxwell's equations isn't with me and I must say again that I am not a physicist. As far as tachyons goes, it's my understanding that they can not exist at all unless they are created and "traveling" faster than light. As far as time travel goes, I'm afraid tachyons are nearly useful for describing various quirky effects of strange matter.

Time 02112 has asked me some questions that I must give further thought to. I will post again as soon as I get a chance.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 08 December 2000 01:08

Timetraveler_0-

I thought people might be interested in seeing the drawing of the patch you sent me that you wear on your uniform. minus the unit number and the name TEMPORAL RECON. I know you said you didnt care if i posted it or not. for anyone who would like to see it Doc has posted it on his site here:

<http://pub2.ezboard.com/bmagisystems>
then click on "timelords anonymous"
and it is after your other pictures on the
"anonymous gravity/time device pictures"

I tried to do a direct link but it didnt work.

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 4)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

Time02112

Member posted 09 December 2000 15:37

...and another one, (Cleaned & Enlarged) version here:>

http://www.egroups.com/files/tapten/TAP-TEN/TT_0/T-T+Insignia.jpg

IP: Logged

Roby

unregistered posted 10 December 2000 12:07

I was just wondering who won the Super Bowl in the year 2001?

IP: Logged

Tiger Cohen

Junior Member posted 10 December 2000 09:24

Hello TT_O!

I have read some of the concourse up to the end of this topic's dialogue. I find your answers to questions posed, quite ... um, shall we say ... creative. To say the least you are a very imaginative person.

I am not here debunking your travels or to discount your stated technology, but I do have just one question.

1. If your machine is capable of traveling back through time from future earth to now, I wonder how your vehicle landed on this earth at all? You obviously know that this earth and your earth do not occupy the same quadrant of space. Our earth is rotating along it's axis at 1600kph and moving on an elliptical orbit around a sun in a solar system which itself is circling and expanding the galactic center of our galaxy which is itself ever-expanding outward. In truth your machine should (but doesn't) also have some kind of 'warp' capability incorporated in it in order to go back in space to the point where we

are now. It's a wonder that your vehicle didn't end up in deep space or caught in the gravity well of some other stellar mass. You would also need a life support system to sustain you until you were within earth's atmosphere.

Oh, I know that you may claim to have access to galactic stellar cartography from this period of space and time, but how would you account for the earth's rotational speed as well as the moon's gravitation effect and lastly the avoidance of man made structures which are not totally mapped even by our geosynchronous comsats of this day?

I'm not worried about pieces of dirt within your temporal field or even the odd stray cat being dispersed by your resulting static electricity, but I am curious as to how you overcome all of these obstacles from the contoured seat of what you claim is just a time machine?

Pamela seemed concerned with the 'go nowhere ripple effect' that might occur when a time machine left and then returned, but I find even that logic flawed. I maintain that a time machine would imprint itself spatially upon a certain time period. Einstein's Theory of Relativity would dictate that even if you left in such a machine for two minutes, this time would continue to advance and this world would still move through space and rotate. Therefore a time machine would NOT appear to stand still but rather would (depending upon the time interval) appear to suddenly defy gravity as it disappeared and then, free of the gravitational momentum, would reappear in near or far space. If you think my logic is faulty, then try jumping high in the air from the back of a flatbed truck while it moves along at freeway speeds.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 10 December 2000 11:00

I will try to answer all of your questions however, I have noticed that there seems to be a difficulty in entering a conversation in the middle. Most of the following questions I have answered at length in previous posts. I understand how important it is to have these answers when new people read portions of the posting but I find it tiring to keep repeating myself. Any suggestions would be appreciated.

(I was just wondering who won the Super Bowl in the year 2001?)

I do not answer questions like this. Although I don't really know the motivation for the question...I can guess. If a time traveler had knowledge of your future, and you could only ask one question, would this be it? Besides, can you tell me if it rained in New York on June 4th 1932? You are from their future so should you know that?

(I have read some of the concourse up to the end of this topic's dialogue.... 1. If your machine is capable of traveling back through time from future earth to now, I wonder how your vehicle landed on this earth at all? You obviously know that this earth and your earth do not occupy the same quadrant of space.... You would also need a life support system to sustain you until you were within earth's atmosphere... and lastly the avoidance of man made structures...)

This is actually a very good question that parts and pieces of the answer are scattered around in previous postings. I am often surprised that it is not the first one asked. You are correct, this problem is actually the most difficult part of time travel. Although some of your assumptions about matter displacement are a bit off, the problem is real. Inside the displacement unit are a series of very sensitive clocks and gravity sensors. This system is called the VGL (variable gravity lock). In simple terms, before the unit "leaves" a world line, it takes a base reading of the local gravity and adjusts the Tipler sinusoid to "lock" into that position. Although the temporal physics of this statement are wrong, in effect, it holds you to the "Earth". During travel, it periodically checks to see that the field has not varied. If it does, it stops and reverses course or drops out at that point. Buildings and other terrain features are avoided in the same way. Yes, we do bring oxygen in the vehicle with us but we do not lose atmospheric pressure.

(Pamela seemed concerned with the 'go nowhere ripple effect' that might occur when a time machine left and then returned, but I find even that logic flawed. I maintain that a time machine would imprint itself spatially upon a certain time period.)

I'm not sure what you mean by imprint?

(Einstein's Theory of Relativity would dictate that even if you left in such a machine for two minutes, this time would continue to advance and this world would still move through space and rotate.)

Yes that is correct.

(Therefore a time machine would NOT appear to stand still but rather would (depending upon the time interval) appear to suddenly defy gravity as it disappeared and then, free of the gravitational momentum, would reappear in near or far space.)

Please see VGL system above. Also, please be aware that from the viewpoint of the time traveler, the displacement unit actually left and then returned. The viewer does not experience this. Think of it as a GOSUB statement in a computer program.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 10 December 2000 11:32

from an e-mail to timetraveler_0 and his answers. (TTO-If any of this is different from what you sent me please let me know.this is copied and pasted.)

>1. what type of money system do you have on your world line? meaning how do you buy and sell things? Do you have the same type of money or do we have a cashless society? such as smart cards, credit cards or ID biochips.

Its not very different than it is now. Yes, we have money and credit cards. However, like everything else, the monetary system is decentralized. Banking is based mostly around the community structure. There are no multinational banking or computerized economic systems. There are also no income taxes.

>2. do you still use radio transponders to ID your cattle and other farm animals?

Some of the larger farm communities use electronic markers and fences. I am not a farmer so I don't have very many details about that.

>3.Is the Global Positioning Satellite system still in place around the Earth?

Oh yes! In fact, the unit I have with me works here. I'm not sure why that surprises me. There are also a great many communications and internet satellite systems.

>4.Do you still have the American Flag as your flag? does it look different or is there a new flag?

Yes, we still have the flag. There is a debate about changing it from 50 stars to 5.

>5.Is there some states or areas that have new names ? is there a NewDenver, Kansas by any chance?

Not that I can think of... No major cities anyway. I am aware that some smaller towns changed their names after the war and most universities have the word "fort" before them on my world line.

>6. How many weeks of training is required before you timetravel? to what extent does the training involve? Are you able to make basic emergency repairs to the unit?

I started training on the recommendation of my PS officer when I got out of school in 34. I graduated in March of 35. Actual hands on training started soon after that and I left 2036 in April. No, there are not very many repairs I can make to the unit. The unit is built very well but the tolerances are very small. I could probably repair the electrical systems and it will accept inputs from older computers.

>7.Are you able to fish or are the waters polluted from fallout from the war?

Yes, we can fish. There are some areas that are still too dangerous to spend a lot of time in so we can't fish in those areas.

>8.Are you aware of any time travelers from our time or other world lines that have entered your world line? Have you spoke to any of them?

No I am not aware. But I accept the possibility.

>9.What type of public transportation is used to get around in your cities?

A high speed train system connects the larger cities. Roads are still used for cars and many people ride horses and bikes.

>10. What things do you think you will take back with you from this time?

Books! I'm also taking copies of family photos that were lost during the war.

>11. How many weeks have you been in this world line? when did you arrive?

That I must keep to myself right now.

>12. What kind of books do you like to read? What kind of music do you like? do you play a musical instrument?

I read a great deal of history. I like piano classics and some older rock and roll. (why did that type of music go so far down hill?) No, I don't play any instruments myself.

>13.Are you concerned about our government investigating you or trying to seize you or your device?

Not really. In order to find me, they would have to believe time travel is possible.

>14. are you videotaping or taking pictures of the events going on here to bring back with you to your time?

Oh yes.

>15. what is your favorite food to eat? here and in your world line.

Its very hard for me to find food here. It all scares the Hell out of me. I've found a couple of local farms where I am resonably sure the raw food is safe and my mother started a garden for me.

>16.does the cure for cancer have any thing to do with genetics?

Again...I'm no expert. I believe there is a great deal of progress in treating the cancer cells with modified viruses. So I guess the answer is yes.

>17.Do you have an increase in tornados and earthquakes than what we have now? is the average temperature in Florida in your time about the same as it is here? or is it different?

That's one area I've decided not to talk about...sorry. The average temperature worldwide is a bit cooler.

>18. are any of the other 7 time travelers with you in this world line or are you by yourself?

No. They are off doing something else I'm sure.

>19. are there time travel web sites in the future? does this one still exist?

Time travel is a major point of social discussion. I'll have to check when I get back. Most of the larger servers that were in the major cities were lost or destroyed. I'll try to bring a copy back with me. Perhaps you can check that in your 2036.

>20.do you feel any connection at all to your other 2 year old self on this world line? does it seem like you or someone totally different? who does he think you are when you talk to him?

He calls me uncle. Yes, there is a connection. He feels like a younger brother. Sometimes I get mixed emotions watching him and realize I'm watching the origins of my personality. I tend to playfully criticize my father about that.

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 10 December 2000 13:00

Time Travel_0, some more questions for you. What is the education system like? For children? For adults? Is there more home schooling then there is now. What are the class sizes like? Is there any emphasis on a subject or subjects that are don't exist now?

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 10 December 2000 13:10

Are you going to take your family away with you to protect them from the coming events. Is this a temptation for you? Aren't you worried about somebody finding your ship?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 11 December 2000 12:58

Reflecting upon the words timetravler_0 has shared with me personally and what I have posted of some of our conversations and watching the news everyday seeing what is happening now in Florida and the courts has made me think deeply upon the United States and the US constitution. What the US was founded on originally.

I found a web site that has the US constitution and the bill of rights here:

<http://www.nara.gov/exhall/charters/charters.html>

for anybody interested in reading it.

I printed out the whole constitution including the bill of rights and then sat down and read the whole thing out loud to myself thinking deeply on the rights our founding fathers were trying to protect.

For those of you U.S. citizens who can't remember the constitution beyond the words, "we the people." it's probably time to take another look.

You never know what the future will be like.

or how it will change.

The future is up to us.....

At the beginning of the constitution the first three words "WE THE PEOPLE.." was written in large letters on purpose.

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

Dr Light
unregistered posted 11 December 2000 05:27

TT-O,
Could you elaborate on my previous questions..

I.E

How and why do the arabs/jews become entangled in the civil war of the U.S.A?

Also, about my previous question.. If you would look around you may notice that jewish people seem to hold "considerable" power concerning major political positions both in America and Israel. For instance the latest technology concerning "defensive" technology which you might think is reserved only for the superpowers, somehow made its way into Israel's hands...

My point is that they seem to be an "underhand" world power that no-one seems to recognise,(or so it seems)

Also about the Arab side of affairs, they seem to be holding Biological weapons and thermonuclear weaponry. Do they end up launching these weapons against America or any other power?

I hope that cleared up my points somewhat.

Thanks,

Dr.P.Light

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 12 December 2000 20:36

To TT-0

"Show me the money!" More impressive than the pix of your TT machine would be a clear photo of your post 2000 pocket change and paper currency.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 12 December 2000 21:01

yes,im with shadow.show me the money

i remember reading somewhere online that somebody met their future self and got a dollar's worth of quarters from the future...

did they ever finish the 50 State Quarters,or did war break out and they halted it??

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 13 December 2000 03:15

Count me in on that on also (Good point)

while your at it, why not give us all a video, and provide us with a Link that would allow us to watch online, demonstrating your machine in use?

(perhaps as a parting gift.)

I still (patiently, patiently, PATIENTLY) await answers to several previous questions.

IP: Logged

Trintius

unregistered posted 13 December 2000 08:17

TimeTravel_0 a few questions,

- Just wondering who actually wins the US election, it's fair question and im assuming they have Encyclopedia's in the year 2036 so you have no excuse for not knowing the history of your own country?

- Secondly, thanks to national pride I must ask what becomes of Australia during and after the war?

Thanks for your time.

P.S - Dr Light stop peppering the poor time traveler with monotonous questions about arabs and jews, we all know you guys are gunna take over the world one day so theres no need to rub it in

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 13 December 2000 12:44

Well...it looks like the election is almost over. I have been quite busy in the last few days and I appreciate everyone's patience. I should be thanking you for listening and even if everything I have said before means nothing, I expect it has at least been entertaining. I went through the postings looking for questions I have not addressed yet. I hope I got to all of them. I get my email remotely through about three different systems and I use one of your hand-held computer units to write with. In a few days, I would like to send Pamela a list of questions of my own. As you know, one of my areas of expertise is in history and the information I have gathered has been invaluable. Although I am not leaving right away, I would like to include some of your thoughts in my report.

(Who's to say that someone that will read this board, will be the one to actually invent the means for the C204 (or other units) to be operational? The divergence factor is so low, everybody will forget about this in a short time. I wouldn't worry about it When Timetravel_0 goes back, this will be nothing but a memory...)

This statement is quite insightful. One of the reasons I have been communicating this way is so that others that do not post who I have directed here can see some of the information I have shared with you.

(You speak of 1 & 2 % "divergence" as being unimportant. I don't get it. Like for example if OUR IBM5100 is 1% different than the code you have to run on it, it ain't gonna work right. One percent in the FL vote count would be important. If I could see only 99% of the cars on the road it would be important to me. So, what exactly is X% divergent, and why is it not a major problem?)

The divergence measurement refers to the local gravitational field as compared to the point of origin. It is nearly an empirical indicator of overall change in a world line. Some things that are quite different on one world line have very little effect as time passes and the world lines appear to "converge" again and look very similar. World line changes are not exponential, they act more like chaotic attractors with varying effect depending on their size and location.

(Reading your posts I get conflicting ideas about what effect our timeline has on yours. Didn't you say that "another you" was here now as a two year old child? Is the 'Everret Many Worlds' interpretation of quantum mechanics it the correct one then? You seem to look at us as representative of your ACTUAL predecessors. There is something a bit unnerving about that. In fact the main reason why I think you might be genuine is that I have trouble thinking LIKE you do. I would expect this of an encounter with a "Chrono-alien".)

You are correct in your thinking. This world line is not mine but it looks very similar. It may be compared to reading two books that are the same. I can jump back and forth between them and still see the same story. I do not consider you true representatives of my world line but I do know something of how the story ends. In consideration of

Everret-Wheeler, the reason we don't know if Schrodinger's cat is dead is because it might have had a time machine.

(Russia has "ALWAYS" been considered the first Country, to be suspect of a probable Nuclear Attack against the USA, and I, for one have NEVER relinquished the thought that inevitably, this will happen while I remain alive to witness this unfortunate tragedy come to fruition.)

You are also correct but I want to add a twist to your thinking. Russia's enemy in the United States is not you, the average person. Russia's enemy is the United States government.

(The fact that better equipment is supposed to get the reverse-time traveler closer to their actual past indicates that there is an ACTUAL SPECIFIC past that he is aiming for. So if in practical application the traveler ends up 2% divergent upon arrival in the past and if he stays in that line he finds that it is not a line at all, but that going forward, divergence approaches infinity.)

Yes, this is true. If I go forward on this world line, the future will not be my future. I get home by going back to 1975 before I arrived and then going forward to 2036.

(Also if this theory is correct we may be 2% divergent from TT_0 but going forward in this time line he is 98% divergent from us. My logic is pretty good here, how's my facts?)

Your deductions are quite accurate. (I'm not stating yet that I did... but) what if I told you I did go forward to "your" 2036 and it looks nothing like mine. It is quite possible.

(BTW Someone just gave me a working IBM 5160. Should I save it or toss it?)

Toss it. The 5100 is the interesting machine.

(Does the events happening in the Middle east concerning the Arabs and Jews have anything to do with the coming war? I mean to say that since the Jewish population seems to have quite considerable power now in 2000, would they mount a force against other countries or am I under the belief that the war will result from the U.S government election?(among other things).)

Real disruptions in world events begin with the destabilization of the West as a result of degrading US foreign policy and consistency. This becomes apparent around 2004 as civil unrest develops near the next presidential election. The Jewish population in Israel is not prepared for a true offensive war. They are prepared for the ultimate defense. Wavering western support for Israel is what gives Israel's neighbors the confidence to attack. The last resort for a defensive Israel and it's offensive Arab neighbors is to use weapons of mass destruction. In the grand scheme of things, the war in the middle-east is a part of what's to come, not the cause.

(What can you tell us about
"Space Time Transposing" ?)

Perhaps you could describe what you mean? It appears to be space travel or the effect of similar atomic particles that seem to be related over great distances. Again, please forgive my ignorance, I am not a trained physicist.

(There is a foretelling of a significant Time Divergence that is believed to occur during the year 2012 according to the ancient Mayans, yet you claim to be from 2034, and possess a working Time Travel device... What can you tell us would happen to you, or anyone else who were to use your device, or another one similar in capabilities to travel to the yr. 2012???-----also I would like you to tell us what you know, or have been told what takes place in "2112")

In my 2012, I was 14 years old spending most of my time living, running and hiding in the woods and rivers of central Florida. The civil war was in its 7th year and the world war was three years away. Yes, there are unusual events in 2012 but they do not cause the world to end. Unfortunately, I have decided not to discuss events that you or I can do anything about. It is important that they be a surprise. Perhaps you are familiar with the story of the Red Sea and the Egyptians?

(It's no big secret among those who are "In the Know" of our current world line's attempts to control the weather i.e. HAARP & Chemtrails, so What can you tell us about the future of weather control such as the following...

bronze flying globes that float in the air over the earth, and are aligned in grid formations, and lasers joining them together in the air, churning out snow storms, rain, or whatever else they decide to induce, to be created in the given space provided???)

I must admit I am unfamiliar with most of what you have asked here. I am aware of the Harp system but I don't know how they would control weather with it.

(What is the education system like? For children? For adults? Is there more home schooling then there is now. What are the class sizes like? Is there any emphasis on a subject or subjects that are don't exist now?)

The education system has been through many changes. School in 2036 is no longer a political indoctrination system and students "learn how to learn". Since community activity varies from place to place, the emphasis on basic reading, math and language is augmented with skills particular to the community. One school may emphasis farming while another teaches woodworking. Having children is a bit different and less common in 2036. A typical school day involves a setting very much like it was 100 years ago with smaller classes and few administrators to teachers. Other areas of study that are less common now are history, citizenship and personal economics.

(Are you going to take your family away with you to protect them from the coming events. Is this a temptation for you? Aren't you worried about somebody finding your ship?)

No I am not taking them with me but I am trying to prepare them for the future as a promise to my Grandfather in 1975. I am not really that concerned about the "time machine". It is quite safe.

(How and why do the Arabs Jews become entangled in the civil war of the U.S.A?)

They are not directly involved but political situations are dependant on Western stability, which collapses in 2005.

(Also about the Arab side of affairs, they seem to be holding Biological weapons and thermonuclear weaponry. Do they end up launching these weapons against America or any other power?)

Not against America but they are used against each other.

("Show me the money!" More impressive than the pix of your TT machine would be a clear photo of your post 2000 pocket change and paper currency.)

I am disappointed that you feel I am trying to impress you. Why would I bring money from 2036 with me? Besides, isn't that something that could easily be faked? Now if I told you I was your cousin's brother and I knew about that scare on your left leg...that would convince you.

(while your at it, why not give us all a video, and provide us with a Link that would allow us to watch online, demonstrating your machine in use?
(perhaps as a parting gift.)

That is an interesting idea. I will look into how to do that.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 13 December 2000 18:12

While your at it TT_0, don't forget to place a v-cam inside with you, so we can observe the video images that appear through your eyes as you travel, as well as another observing yourself, and a third one outside of the field, observing you depart, and return. I'm talking 3 V-Cams, to give us these different observations with, which means you have to leave & return to us, to deliver the images.

This would be more valuable than giving us picture of future money, also it would really be way cool, if you could send us video images of the earth below, while observing from an aircraft, what this future landscape of yours looks like, after this great flood of the East & Western U.S. coastal areas that is supposed to take place.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 13 December 2000 18:19

BTW TT_0, whatever you can arrange would be appreciated, if you cannot get access to an aircraft, you "DO" have access to remote Sattalight imagery, so either way, you must be capable of this permitting you have the free will to leave & return as you wish.

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 13 December 2000 19:03

TT_O, an easy example (or proof) would be to video tape the jump to 1975 and give the video recorder and tape to your self (the you that has already been there/just arrived). That way you don't have to jump back and fourth. The video tape and recorder would then be available to you now. you could send the tape to someone (when you leave, we don't need any more time-loops). That way there is no danger of divergance in this time-line. Just a thought.

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 13 December 2000 22:07

Dear timetravel-0,

Do you believe in the lord Jesus Christ as your personal saviour? Would it be safe to assume that the substance of time itself might be classified as the sum of two equal opposite forces acting in direct canscelation? If the person or persons responsible for the development of time travel technologies were posting on this sight would you let them know that they were or are responsible. If so would you do so directly or would you hint it only. Or would you use a third option that is to be careful not to say anything that would point out the person or persons responsible neither to the persons responsible themselves nor to the other persons that are on this forum? In short will you say something a coded message that the person or persons responsible only will be able to pick up on or recognize to know that they are the ones responsible for the development of the technologies. It can be anything that the person or persons will recognize as indisputable evidence not as your being valid but as them being the ones responsible for the development? If you are not willing to answer this please just be straight forward about this and answer that you are unwilling to let the persons no who they are. If you have any questions as to my identity ask pamela for she knows who I am and is free to oblige you the information. If you wish to answer this privately even if the answer is no fill free to e-mail me at rgrunt@yahoo.com . I believe that is all the questions I have for know please have a good trip back and thanks for visiting our time line it has been an honor. On behalf of us all I welcome you to return any time you wish. Goodluck and Godspeed. Live long and live well.

sincerely,

Lcpl Edwin Gary Schasteen U.S.M.C. Active

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 13 December 2000 23:52

(Here are Timetraveler_0's questions to everyone on the forum that he sent to me.)

TTO:

Sure thing, here are your questions. my answers follow.

sincerely,

pamela

Dear Pamela,

In my last posting, I said I would come up with questions of my own and send them to you to post. I would appreciate it if you would do that and answer them yourself. I would like to include the opinions of the people I have met in my report when I return home.

Thanks for the help.

1. According to the Constitution, who do you think has the final word on choosing a President and why?
2. Do you think the Electoral College should be continued?
3. Why do you think the Bill of Rights was written?
4. What is your opinion of the second amendment to the Bill of Rights?
5. Does anyone know what industry is the largest US political contributor?
6. Does anyone know who owns the majority of the solar power research and development companies?
7. Imagine you have all the money and power you desire. What do you see yourself doing?
8. What is the longest distance you ever ran and/or walked at one time without stopping?
9. What is the longest period of time you went without food?
10. Do you know what Cholera is and how to avoid getting it?

TTO:

To tell you the truth politics never really interested me that much...until now. As I can see now how the corruption of it can ruin an entire nation.

So I don't really have a lot of info in that area. My interests were more in Medical research and other areas of science. Infact I only became interested in timetravel and quantum physics because of the many experiences I started having as I have shared some of those with you. But I will answer the best I can.(good thing I printed out the constitution and the bill of rights!)

- (1. According to the Constitution, who do you think has the final word on choosing a President and why?

2. Do you think the Electoral College should be continued?)

I think the people should have the final word on who should become president. whoever has the most votes should win.

(I had to go back and add this...I think every last vote should count. absolutely none thrown out. a system should be in place to eliminate ballots that cannot be read such as if the chad is not fully pierced you should see a light blink and maybe a small beeping sound heard to redo it. I think a whole new voting system is needed.)

(3. Why do you think the Bill of Rights was written?)

To protect the rights of the people and to keep them free.

(4. What is your opinion of the second amendment to the Bill of Rights?)

I believe it is to keep the government from becoming corrupt and taking over and enslaving the people under tyranny.

(5. Does anyone know what industry is the largest US political contributor?

6. Does anyone know who owns the majority of the solar power research and development companies?)

sorry, I don't know the answer to these two questions.

(7. Imagine you have all the money and power you desire. What do you see yourself doing?)

Hmmm, I'm not really a power, money hungry person. but if I had more money my heart will still be in helping other people. The greatest joy is knowing you've made a difference in someone else's life. That you played a part in creating a ripple of good that ripples out from generation to generation. every time you help someone you not only help them but every person they touch from that moment on. I'd probably be more free to do more research. and make new and exciting discoveries. I'd spend more time doing things that mattered for eternity and not just to pay bills and taxes. I'd also be more free to learn, explore and grow. as well as helping others do so.

one thing I would get though...I would DEFINETELY get a new computer!!

(HEEEHEE) mine's a piece of crap! I could stay on longer before it crashed or froze up on me with an error message!

(8. What is the longest distance you ever ran and/or walked at one time without stopping?)

hmmm without stopping at ALL? I don't know.

I walked a 20 mile walkathon once. (I think for cerebral palsy, or muscular dystrophy)

I don't think we stopped much but we stop at the little booths they had set up along the way to get water to drink.

(9. What is the longest period of time you went without food?)

4 days.

(10. Do you know what Cholera is and how to avoid getting it?)

Cholera is an acute bacterial infection of the small intestine.

The disease is caused by water and food that have been contaminated by feces of persons previously infected. The symptoms are caused by toxic substances produced by the infecting organism which mostly causes watery diarrhea and depletes the body of fluids and minerals.

How to avoid getting it? There is currently a cholera vaccine available for people traveling to areas where the infection is endemic.

Other preventive measures you could take would include drinking only boiled or bottled water and eating only cooked foods.

Treatment includes administration of antibiotics to destroy the infecting bacteria and to restore the fluids and electrolytes with intravenous solutions.

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 14 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 14 December 2000 10:01

If an advertisement is a snow job then public education is a fullblown blizzard. We are for example taught in high school that there are *THREE* branches of government. There are *FOUR* branches of government in the US. The fourth being "We the People". To say that that fact gets "glossed over" would be quite the understatement. Every citizen of the US is an official part of the the Official Government. HOWEVER we get to vote only once every two years and it seems that even then our overlings have a bit too much trouble "counting" the votes.

What was the catch word last election? "Disenfranchised". Don't they friggen wish. The People will be heard from.....sooner or later.

IP: Logged

TimeMaster 1a
Member posted 14 December 2000 10:54

There is an article in Discover magazine that express physicist Julian Barbour theorizes of time. Anyone searching for an understanding of the true nature of time would do well to read this article. Barbour is a theoretical physics that has devoted nearly 40 years to the study of time. There are also links to his home page at discover.com, look under current issue.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 14 December 2000 21:13

Question #7 What would I do with plenty of money & power? First I build a bomb shelter, then think about it.

Oh yeah, TT-0, just supposing I do have a scar on my left leg, *exactly* where would it be located? And wouldn't my cousins' brother just be another cousin?

You got me on the pocket change. If one were not trying to prove anything I guess they would have left their wallet in the future. But doesn't that mean that you would have to bring some antique money back here with you? Like when I go to France I can buy Franks when I get there. But if one were to exchange 2036 dollars for 2000 dollars well lets just say they wouldn't be going anywhere for a while.

Ok, so gold is gold and that would solve the exchange problem.

IP: Logged

earthship

Junior Member posted 15 December 2000 02:26

TT 0

Time - I don't believe it actually exists. Or rather, it exists as a series of "now moments" for eternity (that's a paradox if i ever saw one).

My question is; SHOULD we try to avoid this pending calamity (ww3) in our future? It seems that to bring about true change, some growing pains are needed to be experienced.

IP: Logged

Dr Light

unregistered posted 16 December 2000 02:48

To- Trintius

.....Damn straight...!!!

(laughs)

Operation Domination is in action!!

P.S-----To any American posting here...

Exactly how many Americans voted for the president out of the entire U.S.A?

Thanks for your...(ahem)..."time"

Dr.P.Light

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 16 December 2000 03:56

Hey! Hows come nobody but me has taken the time to answer timetraveler_0's questions?

Do you really think that is fair?

He has tried to answer all of our questions.

he only asked ten. we have asked him alot.

shadow answered one.

sincerely,

pamela

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 16 December 2000 17:08

Some answers for TT_0:

1. According to the Constitution, who do you think has the final word on choosing a President and why?

I would say that the senate could use article 1 section 3 and appoint a president pro tem. or they can use the 20th amendment section 3. this could have been used in the recent case and a run off election could have been done.

2. Do you think the Electoral College should be continued?

Yes, it can disagree with the popular vote. I thought the popular vote was more democratic.

3. Why do you think the Bill of Rights was written?

To preserve order and protect the people and to give them a sense of participation in the formation of policy in government.

4. What is your opinion of the second amendment to the Bill of Rights?

It seems as though I suffer from being myopic as well, the right to bear arms is all I ever heard. I think it has been taken out of context as an excuse to have a gun and do anything you want with it. I think there should be some sort of responsibility amendment.

5. Does anyone know what industry is the largest US political contributor?

I thought it was the tobacco industry.

6. Does anyone know who owns the majority of the solar power research and development companies?

Other major power companies, P.G.+E, Con Edison. Dow Chemical also is in there.

7. Imagine you have all the money and power you desire. What do you see yourself doing?

Two things. Manufacturing electric cars - the right way. Research in my own lab.

8. What is the longest distance you ever ran and/or walked at one time without stopping?

Along time ago, 15 miles - a walk-a-thon for muscular dystrophy. More recently, 1 mile to and from work. I like to see the stars in the early morning while going to work.

9. What is the longest period of time you went without food?

7 days, just to see if I could. 24 hours no water no food, 168 hours no sleep. Not in that order and not all at once :-)

10. Do you know what Cholera is and how to avoid getting it?

Not sure on this one. I think it has something to do with un-purified water. You would need to boil the water or add a purifying agent to it.

Hows that Pam? :-))

[This message has been edited by djayr42 (edited 16 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 16 December 2000 21:04

djayr42,
Ok,.. I feel a little better now.)
-pamela
IP: Logged

Trintius
unregistered posted 16 December 2000 23:10

quote:

Originally posted by Dr Light
Exactly how many Americans voted for the president out of the entire U.S.A?

Shouldn't be 'how many Americans voted for the correct president

Amazing country though, they've proved -

- They can't design ballot papers
- They can't read ballot papers
- They can't use ballot papers
- They can't count
- They don't want to count
- They file law suits against each other because they can't count
- It takes them 2 months to elect a head of state
- Their head of state can't read ballot papers or count
- etc etc etc

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 17 December 2000 17:21

What ever happened to "Exentuate The Positive" ??

Frankly, I've heard enough negativity as to what we "Cannot" do, or what others have "Failed" to do. Why not discuss what we "Can" do, or what we can attempt to do that may lead to success in spite of all these failures?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 17 December 2000 20:24

Trintius:

America may have some problems ,as all countries do, but its still a great country.
its a beautiful country.

I always liked this popular song:

"I am proud to be an American
where atleast I know Im free
and I honor those that fought
to give that right to me.

And I'd gladly stand up next to them
and defend her still today,
There aint no country like it,
God bless the USA!"

Its unethical to down someone elses country infront of them. Almost everybody is proud of their own countries. no matter what has happened in the past.Iam sure you are proud of Australia. Changes may need to be made here but I still love America.
I agree with you Time02112 I would much rather think on the positive.

sincerely,

pamela

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 17 December 2000 20:40

TT-0

I think you know very well the answers to the questions you have asked. You just want to gauge the quality of our replies, or just remind us that we **SHOULD** be up to speed on our constitutional rights and responsibilities.

A young person should want to survive and live for better days ahead. At some point, however, an older person will realize, especially in the face of disaster, that better days are **NOT** on the horizon.....ever. What you are forecasting for 95% of the present population is 20 years of hell followed by survivors in the rubble. I've already put in my 40 year shift of work and worry. Why should we fret over politics on our way to slaughter? Isn't that like telling the Captain of the Titanic, that all he has to do to save the ship is to back up really fast after the collision?

It was obvious 5 to 10 YEARS ago that we've been **SOLD OUT**. For us, the game is over. I should offer an excuse for apathy? It should do for a reason at least. Actually TT-O, maybe in you we can see that eventually **SOMEBODY** finds their friggin guts and puts an end to the madness.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 17 December 2000 23:38

Don't give up shadow. even in the midst of caos there is always hope. our end doesnt have to be like his end.

there are some people even as we speak that are actively making a time machine.

If this outcome for us would actually be true we have time on are side now.

the outcome of this time is **UNKNOWN**.

If there is one timetraveler.. there are many.

we can change the future, Shadow.

next comes the brave souls that are willing to try.

as he said when he uses his machine that going to another time line or dieing is both equally accepted.

How brave are we? I am not afraid of dieing.

I have done it before.

When the time comes....I will go.

I will be willing to go and make it right.

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 18 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

Dr Light

unregistered posted 18 December 2000 01:23

To Trintius,

You do not realise how long i laughed after reading that post but let me assure you I found it.... mildly amusing.

Thank you.

I will be back with more questions for T-T0 later in the week

Dr.P.Light

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 19 December 2000 09:53

for all we know,it was TT-0 who indirectly started the war.perhaps the TT-0 who traveled to HIS timeline was captured and they assimilated his General Electric Time Machine which spurred the war?
when did he say the war started,btw.

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 19 December 2000 11:28

Pamela,

Thanks for the encouraging words. I do lean to the dark side. It comes from hours, days, and years of "partial successes".

I'm sorry to hear that you keep dieing all over the place. Fortunately I haven't had a lot of practice at it lately. But do keep up the good work, you are the heart & soul of this board. I rank no higher than jestser and deservidly so.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 19 December 2000 15:12

Shadow,

I know you are much more than that! I have read your postings on this forum. They are very intelligent and insightful.

I don't keep dying over and over but I have had a near death experience. And it has erased my fear of death. I know there is much more to this world than what we can see.
sincerely,

pamela
IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 20 December 2000 01:24

I think it was interesting as I was reading my NEWSWEEK I received today on page 55.
in the article "36 days:The Fallout"
were these words in a box amongst all the
little boxes of pictures.
"After this story,
what could come
next to keep the
spoiled media
beast interested?
civil war? "
just had to share that with you.
sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

Fast
Member posted 20 December 2000 19:50

last night i felt terrible,and had a fever.i fell asleep at 9PM and slept until 2PM this
afternoon.
during my sleep,i had a dream of me,in my English Class.my english teacher brought
her child to school.her child had been a government experiment gone wrong.they had
tried to combine oranges and a baby to make an orange baby.her baby had orange hair
with a blue stripe down the middle,and had orange skin and orange-blue spiral eyes.my
grandmother was also in the dream and she said we had all came from potatos
anyway.my mother was there too and she said 'why are there still potatos here if we
came from them,and why would we be eating them?'
then i woke up...
is it possible to have acid flashbacks while your asleep??

IP: Logged

mokrie dela
Member posted 21 December 2000 01:44

Yes
IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 21 December 2000 04:00

"Paradox", as an English word, has it's origins in Latin and Greek.

But alas, it is still just a word in any language, regardless of how it is spoken, ancient or modern. And thus a verbal utterance that represents the conveyance of a concept. As all words are.

Generally, it refers to the "idea" of the existence of a problem that has no solution.

But again alas, this is nothing but an invention of the mind of man. Nothing that actually occurs in the Universe.

There are no paradoxes in the Universe.

The so called "grandfather" paradox has not, does not, and cannot ever occur simply because the situation that defines it is not possible in the first place. There is no past where/when your "grandfather" is "alive" for you to ever encounter. Any more than there is a future where your "grandson" travels back from to encounter you.

If there is "Time Travel", it requires much more sophisticated concepts than this simple non-existent "problem".

And...

The so called "twins" paradox is actually not a paradox at all once one understands the idiosyncrasies of Relativity. Many think they do, but few actually do. Those who consider the "twins" paradox to actually BE a paradox, are among those who don't.

Hint: Think about what constitutes a "year", as opposed to watching the hands of your wristwatch move. Remember, "year" is again a word that conveys the concept of a single revolution of the Earth around the Sun.

Both "twins, the Earthbound one AND the travelling one will still have lived in a Universe where the Earth went around the Sun the SAME number of times.

The traveler's BIOLOGICAL clock would run slower, as do physical clocks under the circumstances, but the Earth will have orbited the Sun the SAME number of times from BOTH of their points of view! Even while the traveler's watch ran slower.

Time dilation, as a proven effect of differing relative velocities may be an unusual idiosyncrasy inherent in the properties of relativity, but...

There is no paradox here.

And it most certainly does not constitute Time travel in and of itself.

There is no paradox ANYWHERE in the Universe for that matter.

How could there be?

The very concept is itself absurd. A mind game. A modernist mythology. Right up there with Jupiter governing the Universe from Mt. Olympus, or Noah's Ark.

You believe in the "Great Flood" perhaps. Gee, where did all the water come from? (Descended from nowhere out of space?) Where did it go? (Evaporated back into nothingness into space?) Do you have any idea how much water it would take to cover the Earth up to the top of Mt. Everest and beyond? Or how thick the cloud cover would have to be that contained the water that constituted the rain that had to have fallen to make a flood THAT big?

About as much as it takes to drown the idea that "paradoxes" actually exist, from my calculation.

Peace.

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

[Hop to: Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums:](#)[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: Ultimate Bulletin Board, Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 5)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 21 December 2000 10:59

DaViper

Very interesting argument but I have a couple of questions. You described the word paradox as, "...it refers to the "idea" of the existence of a problem that has no solution." Actually, the #1 definition I read in the American Heritage Dictionary is "...a seemingly contradictory statement that may nonetheless be true",

Also, what exactly is your definition of "time travel"? I was taught that time travel is strictly a local observation that can only be measured by the experience of an individual or single particle. Under that definition, the "twin paradox" (time dilation due to acceleration or gravity) and even sleeping can be considered time travel. You appear to be arguing against dematerialization and/or spacelike trips under the limits of special relativity in a single worldline.

I do agree that the "grandfather paradox" is not possible simply because the classic problem is presented as an observer's issue magnified to a universal issue. Your statements about observation are correct when you isolate the experiences to a single worldline. However, the reason there are no paradoxes is because the universe doesn't care how we react to its handy-work. In a Universe made up of infinite worldliness (superuniverse), everything is possible and has a 100% probability, therefore...no paradoxes.

"You believe in the "Great Flood" perhaps. Gee, where did all the water come from?"

I believe the explanation for the "great flood" stories originate with the changes that occurred near the Mediterranean at the end of the last ice age. Even on this worldline, there is a great deal of evidence to support the fact that sea levels did change radically in isolated areas worldwide. I also heard someplace that if the ice mass on Antarctica melted today, sea level worldwide would rise about 100 feet. I'm not exactly sure that's true but still... Mt. Everest might be a bit of a stretch.

I do however agree with you that there are no physical paradoxes but for the opposite reasoning.

Peace to you also.

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 21 December 2000 18:42

Also flooding could easily occur with monsoon rains lasting anywhere past 5 days in a region of low ground. And don't forget in those days, "the world" was defined as that small region only. These people had never been to Switzerland! (Hi Time, missed you you ole rattle snake.)

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 22 December 2000 12:06

Anyone ever heard of "Pangia"

it referrs to when the earth, or "Gia" was once pne singl large body of land.

when I find more info on this latter, I will edit this post, and include the links. meanwhile if anyone else wishes to make any additional comments about pangia, and how the water vovered the remaining 3/4 of the land mass around the geosphere, please by all means, share with us what you have to offer.

What do you suppose would happen during the next "Great Flood" now that the former Pangia is broken into the current continetal land structures of today's earth?

~just a thought~

p)'i4q4

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 22 December 2000 15:28

That's right Time, I also heard that origionally the continent of Africa was connected to America by a connecting land mass. I believe that's part of the Atlantis theory, that it was the connecting land. I know there are some incredible things underwater off of Biminy. (can't spell it or find it) There are huge greek collums with huge hands at the top holding some sort of ball. Also what looks like roads made of hand cut flat stones that would break a fork lift. What I would'nt give to time travel to before that sunk and see it it all it's glory. It's must have been beautiful beyond comprehension.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 22 December 2000 21:16

I had a flashback once, not too long ago, just a few seconds ago in fact. It had to be a flashback. I saw a former Governor of Texas, Bush I believe, saying "I wish I were a dictator but this is not a dictatorship!" Also he mentioned something to the effect of "You are aware of the fact that any recession that may come along next year or so, is Bill's fault, really." FWEEEEET! Hey pal, can I interest you in a little tax cut? Very popular in this election year you know. (Hey babe you wanna count some ballots? Your dimples are soooo cute." "Chad, I think I might be pregnant.") If I'm laid off do I still get my multimillion dollar tax cut, huh Gorge? Huh Huh? George? GEORGE!?!?....." just wait your turn sir, the President Elect is busy playing with his yo-yo right now." This only thing missing out of national politics right now is a Ryder rental truck full of whoopee cushions.

Yes, speaking of The Flood. The real flood can't hold a candle to the flood of bull**** that is coming down the pike these days. Are they TRYING to whizz us off, or do they deserve an Oscar for acting as though they were. There are other possible explanations. Like Terminal Stupidity. Or the desire for population reduction (you). Or how about creepy aliens, time traveling spooks, or maybe even that half spoiled turkey sandwich you had for lunch.

Of course the screamingly hysterical part of it is that they act like we ain't supposed to notice. Right. "Waiter, check please!"

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 22 December 2000 21:35

It's ok, we can wait while you extract that wild hair from your butt.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 22 December 2000 22:59

DaViper,

Just because you cannot figure out where the water came from for the Great Flood is not a good enough reason to conclude that it never took place.

In the ancient lore and legend of every culture you will find a story of a disastrous flood. These accounts vary but in distant parts of the world these stories agree on one point. far back in history there was a cataclysmic flood which wiped out all but a handful of people.

From the indians of north and south america to the Islanders of the Pacific and from the chinese to atleast 40 aboriginal races we find the elements of a great flood described. Geologists of today all over the Earth find a layer of sediment which gives evidence of a worldwide flood.

There are several different theories of where the water might have come from.

Here is the one I have heard:

The Earth had quite a different climate before and after the flood.

for in Genesis 2:5-6 it states that the lord God had not caused it to rain upon the earth...but there went up a mist from the earth and watered the whole face of the ground.

They argued that Genesis 1:6 described God separating the waters on the earth from the waters above the Earth, which could describe a canopy of water vapor. Our Earth could have been in effect a giant canopy enclosed garden watered by gentle mists which came out of the ground from the reservoirs of water below the surface.

If the Earth before the flood had been surrounded by a canopy of water vapor above the Troposphere it would have compressed the air beneath and raised the average atmospheric pressure, just how much would depend on how much water the canopy contained.

This increased pressure could have resulted in a greater oxidation rate, a much more efficient metabolism and stronger, healthier people.

The shielding of water vapor canopy could have eliminated almost all genetic mutation from the harmful solar radiation.

there would be benefits of living under increased atmospheric pressure. During the aquanaut program it was discovered a cut on an aquanaut's hand healed completely in 24 hours while submerged in a diving bell.

back then the current land mass was joined together in a huge continent.

The Earth before the flood was a single land mass riding on a blanket of superheated steam and with an overhead curtain of water vapor protecting it from harmful solar rays.

After the flood the vapor canopy was gone the Earth's climate was changed.

Atmospheric pressure dropped to what it is today.

without the water vapor canopy the Earth received more radiation from the sun and genetic mutations occurred. man's lifespan was greatly reduced, and they obviously did not live as long after the flood.

the other theory that goes with it sometimes is "the fountains of the deep" were also let loose. Gen 7:11 Which combined with the collapse of the water vapor canopy, produced a great amount of water. the ripping apart of the crust would have triggered tsunamis of unparalleled magnitude, sweeping the Earth with walls of water from the existing oceans.

The initial rupture of the earth's crust would have spewed a tremendous jet of superheated steam high above the earth's ionosphere. the vapor blanket resting on the air above the Earth would have been overwhelmed by the intensity and heat of this supersonic blast and would have collapsed as sheets of worldwide rain.

The jet of water which gushed high above the earth's atmosphere would have encountered frigid temperatures converting the water almost instantly to ice crystals. When the water vapor canopy which covered the Earth up to that point collapsed in rain, ending the green house effect, the temperatures on earth would have been reduced to much the same as they are today. immediately after the flood, the ice crystals formed high above the earth's stratosphere would have fallen, dumping immense quantities of ice on the earth's polar regions and northern latitudes. This would explain an enigma which has long perplexed the discovery of animals which had been quick frozen in Siberia and Alaska some still with undigested food in their stomachs and mouths. there had to be an abrupt and extremely sudden change in temperature, from near tropical to extreme cold within a matter of minutes.

I kept this in my mind, and a couple of years ago I saw an article in the newspaper where scientists had found a couple miles long of water vapor in the upper atmosphere that was forming over a specific area and they didn't know what it was doing up there. If I find the article I will post it I did cut it out and save it.

nobody knows for sure where the water came from and can only theorize but there was plenty of evidence that it took place. I have read several scientific theories of the water vapor canopy. I could go on and on but i just dont have time. I have several books that mention it. I just pulled a few things out of the books for you to think on. This is just one of several theories I have heard.

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

mokrie dela
Member posted 23 December 2000 12:31

Wow, what can be added to that! I do recall reading that "radiolaria", a fossilized form of tiny sea life has been found in layers of dirt at high elevations in the darndest places around the earth. I know nothing of biblical things but when you find petrofied itty bitty fishies in mountain ranges, something incredible happened involving a whole lot of water.

IP: Logged

earthship
Junior Member posted 23 December 2000 12:49

Thanks for that Pamela.
Has anyone read "An Ascension Handbook," channeled material from Serapis, by Tony Stubbs ? Lots of interesting ideas in there.

warren

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 23 December 2000 19:02

Well ,I found the article but unfortunately I didnot cut out the date with it.
It was in the Repository Newspaper . the author is Randolph E. Schmid
associate press writer. here it is word for word:
VAPOR FLOWS FOUND IN THE ATMOSPHERE

WASHINGTON- Massive rivers of vapor, some carrying as much water as the Amazon, have been discovered in the lower atmosphere.

Reginald E. Newell, of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, said thursday he was surprised to find the flows while analyzing satellite data.

His findings are reported in Geophysical Research Letters, published by the American Geophysical Union.

A half-dozen vapor rivers carry water from the equator toward the poles in relatively narrow streams, Newell explained in a telephone interview.

"I expected to see things following air masses, which usually have much larger horizontal widths. The fact that it's concentrated was a surprise to us. " said Newell. The flows "look like a river," he said.

The newly discovered rivers do follow these general principles, but move the moisture in narrow streams rather than having it spread out over a large air mass.

They seem to generally trend toward the poles, Newell explained, though he has found a couple of cases in which the stream encounters a typhoon, "gets entangled in itself and goes back equatorwards."

The rivers also display waves in their movement, he said, though why this should occur is not clear.

The researchers calculated the length of some of these rivers of vapor at as much as 4,800 miles with a width of 420 to 480 miles.

What does this mean to the world's weather and climate? Newell and his associates are trying to figure that out.

"We haven't solved the relation between these rivers and fronts, highs and lows and the rest of the synoptic (weather) pattern," Newell said.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 23 December 2000 20:08

Morkie!

Hair extracted. I'm much better now. Thank you.

Hear no evil. see no evil, speak no evil. WHEN will I EVER learn?

WE do digress from time travel don't we. The earth is going to fall over any minute now.....scratch that.....any TIME now.

My theory on Earth Changes was dismissed because it "rested on shakey ground". I too will wait for a time.

IP: Logged

mokrie dela

Member posted 24 December 2000 12:14

Shadow, Don't feel bad, I live on shakey ground myself. As they used to say, you threw a hissy fit. HAHA We take turns on this board. Your always interesting with or without hairs; and your opinion is always important wether provable or not. Heck, I never shut up and I can't prove anything myself.

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 24 December 2000 15:12

Well as far as I can tell, it seems that only two of us here are willing to answer TT_0's questions. (Three - Shadow answered at least one question.) What's wrong? Are you afraid of being judged in some way? Most of the people who post here on this forum seem to enjoy playing around (and sometimes bickering and putting each other down). So what is the problem? It seems as though when you find out someone is observing you and says so, you clam up. Yes I know that implies that you believe his claims or you don't think he is worth responding to. Yet most of you will take the time to bicker. You could just tell him that you don't want to answer any of his questions. You can't even do that instead you will ignore those questions and write a lengthy reply to someone else just to get your point of view across. Well that is what TT_0 is asking for. Why do you find so hard to answer him? It implies that you believe him more then your willing to admit. And you don't like the idea of being classified by an observer from a future time. I think he is right, on the whole, "sheep" - bickering sheep. Ok, you can blast me by being honest in answering TT_0 questions. (That is the only basting that is acceptable.)

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 24 December 2000 16:52

Hi djayr42!
Actually there was another man who answered all of the questions and sent them to me to forward to timetravler_0 in private.
which I did.
wether you beleived he was real or not,
I think it was only common curtesy to answer the questions after he answered ours. and that had to take a lot of his time to answer all of our questions and debating on how much he wanted to share. when every word you say can have consequences.
Its no big deal ,he was just curious about how we feel about things as we are about him. Maybe people are just too paranoid.
It is kind of interesting though...is this how we would treat a person from another world if we ever met one?
Well anyway..hope everyone has a great Christmas!!!!!!
sincerely,
Pamela

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 25 December 2000 21:27

TT-O
I'm surprised that they haven't cought you yet. I'd give dollars-to-donuts that the US military is into time travel already....since the mid sixties I'd guess. Not that its any of my business, nor do I want it to be. Maybe they don't care. Maybe there is already intertime treaties of noninterference.
If it doesn't make it less convient for the rich to ripp-off the masses it could well remain a non-issue.

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 26 December 2000 03:00

Timetravel_0:

I think we're probably closer than you think here. I'll certainly buy your explanation of time travel as purely relative to the observer. I'm also not sure we're that far apart on the reason there are no paradoxes. (Your Dictionary definition is of course the correct one, I was merely making a simplification of it for my own purposes.)

As to the possibility of multiple universes, well, it gets used a lot to try to explain things that can't be explained but to me it's a cop out due to lack of evidence and the very fact that it GETS used so much as a way to explain that which is otherwise currently unexplainable. I need more evidence. The existence of multiple universes leads me to believe that if there is more than one of them, there must therefore be an infinite number of them. If there are an infinite number of them, then everything that can happen, has already. I dislike this theory for two reasons. 1. It destroys the necessity for free will thereby making all decisions made by choice inherently moot. 2. It goes against "Occam's Razor". The principle that the simplest explanation is probably the best one. I really don't see the Universe needing to be so complicated as to require infinite universes just to solve the concept of paradoxes.

Peace.

I thought it interesting that my little "Flood" analogy sparked such conversation.

By all means many cultures refer to "Great Floods" in their history. And Local phenomena ARE the reason these persist in mythology.

Pamela, you've been reading the propaganda of the "Young Earth Creationists" I see. Their web sites are all over the place. Unfortunately, these theories they propound are not only NOT POSSIBLE, but have long since proven to be so.

Unfortunately many of these, like the so-called "Dr." Kent Hovind have fabricated their own "degrees" in higher education. Hovind for instance, started a "University" in his living room, awarded himself a "Doctorate" in Theology, and uses this to tout his self professed "expertise" in geological and biological matters.

The "Vapor Cloud" myth is a fairly old one trotted out to answer the "Where did the water come from?" question. But YOUR explanations are right out of the Creationists handbook 101. And equally mythological as they are without foundation or acceptance by the Scientific Community at large.

Think about it. If it never rained before the flood, what did plants live on? As to the Vapor Cloud it self, it's already been calculated that to produce the water necessary for world wide full deluge, the cloud would be so thick as to block out the sun entirely. Meaning it MUST have been dark always before the so called "Great Flood".

Preposterous. Every Creationists argument on this issue is totally debunk-able. Not just because it isn't so, but because it can be PROVEN to be not so.

May I suggest you do some browsing around the various Talk Origins websites where the real scientists hang out and you'll begin to see how truly silly some of these Literal Scripture interpretations really are.

Not that I'm arguing against (or for) the existence of God, just that if you want to view the Bible as an informative and inspirational document, may I suggest that you at least study the differences where metaphor is used instead of an intended depiction of reality.

Genesis has TWO depictions or accounts of Creation. The Creationsts won't tell you about the second one because it is contradictory to THEIR view. And supports the concept of Evolution. It's called selective intepretation. And they engage in it all the time.

Or as the old song goes,

"Some Things That You Libel,
To Read In The Bible,
It Ain't necessarily So."

Good luck, and

Peace.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 26 December 2000 11:01

DaViper,

I thought I already answered the questions you posed on this particular theory to me in my last posting. I apologize I didnt have more time to post more info on it. It was only one theory out of many that I have heard. I mentioned this one because of the article I read two years ago in the city newspaper.

The book the info came from was "A scientific approach to biblical mysteries."by Robert W. Faid.I have another book called "Beyond Star Wars."which I cannot locate at this moment. which is a scientifically based book discussing the many theories of ancient mysteries around the world. and it also mentions the water vapor canopy. both of them mention the rain falling for forty days and nights from the canopy and the rest of the water coming from the fountains of the earth being broken up.

I have never heard of the "young earth creationists." what is their web site? I would like to check out their theories.

One thing is certain though, DaViper, there was a flood for the evidence was left in the Earth. How it happened rests now in theories because noone knows for sure.

You know when it comes to Ancient Events most of the time all you ever have are theories because none of us were there at the time. and many things are not in existance at this time that were there in their time.Theories are formed and based on evidence

found at the time and from piecing together writings or anything else found from the time period.or things found in the Earth.

someday we shall all know the truth. Maybe someday somebody will go back and "check it out" and see for themselves. I am not afraid to study anything or research any theory. I piece it all together as I go keeping everything in mind. I see things from many different angles. and eventually the truth will be known.

Peace to you always.

-Pamela

(Robert W. Faid-a nuclear scientist and consultant to the nuclear power industry, has developed patented processes which have been used to protect nuclear power plants around the world against earthquakes and flooding.)

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 26 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 27 December 2000 15:01

Hey Pamela if you want to believe in mythology as opposed to science and fact it's OK with me. To each his/her own so to speak.

I prefer knowledge however over the fabricated ideas of those who adjust theory to suit their particular religious beliefs.

Sure there have been floods. There's probably one going on right now somewhere. But...

AY NO TIME was there ever a flood that covered the entire Earth. There isn't enough water for there to ever have been. And no hocus pocus "vapor cloud" that could ever contain the amount of water needed to produce a rainfall of that proportion has EVER covered the earth.

But if you choose to believe this, fine. All the belief in the world cannot make it so.

The whole comment was an analogy in the first place.

I thought we were discussing time travel paradoxes. That's the title of the board anyway.

Peace.

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 27 December 2000 17:00

Sorry I have been out for a while. Does anyone know whether the forces exerted by a universal flooding could produce the force needed to separate all the continents in a period of a couple of months. Given the amount of water on the earth now if the land masses were but one land mass and there was one huge earth quake that cause all the land masses to spread at a constant velocity to their present location in a period of three months or so would the kinetic force mediated through the water cause universal

flooding by generating huge waves of water covering the land. How fast would a land mass have to travel across the earth for there in order to cause the ocean in the direction of travel flow up and over the entire continent of the united states from east coast to west coast? How high would the wall of water be? Does the needed velocity match the biblical time period for the flood? If the continents were to have traveled at the necessary velocity to cause the water to wave over from the pacific ocean to the atlantic ocean for period of time that Noah's flood was stated to have lasted in the bible could the continents have reached their present location from the pangea in that period of time at the calculated velocity. If not how far could the continents have traveled. How much heat would have been generated by the friction of the water over the continents surface if the water flowed over the earth. Would it have been enough to produce steam at the calculated pressure? If all the above proves true then is it possible find evidence in the soil for such events? If anyone is motivated enough to run a computer simulation and plug in all the variables in order to calculate the above hypothesis I would appreciate it. I do not have enough computer knowledge to run the simulation. Whoever comes up with the answers to the questions above has the right to the discovery naturally so have at it.

God bless you all and Peace,
sincerely,
Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

shadow
unregistered posted 27 December 2000 19:35

to rgrun
a computer sim ain't going to predict ancient earth geologic changes any better than it can predict next years weather

go to the library, open a textbook on geology and all your answers will there, indexed and categorized

alternatively there is a cool website on the subject, I believe it is [http://www.tomato-wizzard.com\(ic\)](http://www.tomato-wizzard.com(ic))

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 27 December 2000 20:06

Pamela
Nine out of ten theories are eventually proven false. Let the people who make them up defend them. The Earth's history is unimaginably long and complex. It may indeed be harder to find something that has NOT happened over its 5 billion years.

There are a million ways to be wrong and only one way to be right. Daviper will run circles around you because he's got this million to one rule on his side.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 27 December 2000 21:41

Shadow,
Then he will get VERY DIZZY! hehehehehe
I am not here to defend or prove anything. I simply mentioned one theory out of many I had heard.
I dont immediately disregard a theory because it may clash with any beleif system I may or may not have. he's just plain silly! heheheeh. but it was fun!
IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 27 December 2000 21:52

Shadow,
p.s. I cant get your tomato-wizard link to work! And I wanted to see it!
sincerely,
pamela
IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 28 December 2000 05:11

The laws of physics have nothing to do with a belief system.
They are what they are whether one believes them or not. All the old belief that the world was flat didn't make it so.

All the belief that the earth was the center of the Solar System and Universe couldn't make it so.

And all the belief in the world that a "universal flood" EVER existed can't change the laws of physics that make such an event utterly impossible.

Where did all the water go when this "flood" was over? Evaporate into space? Sorry not possible under the laws of physics that are governed by the very gravity of the earth itself. Water which is heavier than air, evaporated into the vacume of space and left the earth's atmosphere behind? Sorry no dice. It just doesn't work like that as any meteorologist can tell you.

The story is based on local phenomenae at the time it originated. It probably looked to the inhabitants at the time that the "whole world" was flooded but the reality of physics is that it is not, never was, and can never be possible. (Barring collisions with several thousand Comets that is. Which would wipe out all life, change the entire structure of the mantle itself and cause evolution to start all over again.)

There is NO evidence this has ever happened in this manner.

The belief stems from the desire to insist on a literal interpretation of the Bible that the earth is but 6-8 thousand years old.

But it isn't just that meteorology, geology, palentology, astronomy, biology, physics, quantum mechanics or cosmology each show that this is impossible, it's that ALL these sciences agree thru related and intertwined studies that the aforementioned is simply not possible.

If one wants to toss aside ALL of these studies and the verifiable evidence they produce in favor of a mythology based on a single text that has NO proof, than I guess one is free to do so.

But an Ostrich is free to stick his head in the sand also.

Peace.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 28 December 2000 06:08

"They are what they are whether one believes them or not. All the old belief that the world was flat didn't make it so."
Isnt that amazing? but yet thousands of years before they came to the conclusion that the earth was flat it was already stated that it was indeed round!
Isaiah 40:22 "...the circle of the Earth.." heheh

For some reason this subject is an offense to you so I will not discuss it with you any longer.
All science also agreed that nothing could go faster than the speed of light. Scientists beleived and accepted this theory as true for years even based other theories on it. but in the light of new evidence the theory was proved wrong.(Just this year)
I want to think beyond the current theories. For I see them for what they are..theories only, not concrete facts.Thats why I like to research many different theories and maybe even come up with some of my own.
I respect your beleifs and theoris as I do all others.
peace.
In search of truth always,

pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 28 December 2000).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 28 December 2000 14:36

Not to create dissention for I am a man of science but in my own town there were discovered dinosuar bones that were carbon dated to be 80 million years old. The bones were discovered in a farming area close to bisbee AZ. Now a christian farmer went home slaughtered one of his cows took a bone from it and snuck into the escavation site one that night and buried the cow bone so that the scientists would discover it the following day. And the scientists did. They carbon dated the cow bone and their results stated that the bone was over 50 million years old. Further more the scientist identified the cow bone as being from a dinosuar. They presented their findings that week and the farmer came publicly to dispute them pointing at his cow bone saying that the bone was not 50 million years old that and preached creation. Thge scientists debated claiming that they carbon dated the bone and this evidence proved them wrong. The farmer stated that the evidence couldn't be right. The scientists argued with the man. And finally the man stated "that bone can't be 50 million years old, I snuck that bone in yesterday it's my cow bone My cow ain't 50 million years old." everyone laughed and the story spread throughout our town and the scientist left our town in shame and completely humiliated and bewildered. They could no longer use their arguements to sustain the hoax of evolution for in one fowl swoop by a genius farmer their entire arguement was brought to ruins.

IP: Logged

tomboy
unregistered posted 28 December 2000 20:50

Hey TT_0
Can u take some photos' of the future while ur there?

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 29 December 2000 11:44

Timetraveler_0~
When it is beginning to rain....
it is time to go rainbow gazing.

~pamela

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 29 December 2000 16:15

Pamela:
I'm not sensitive about it at all. And I also respect the religious beliefs of others. (I get a kick out of some of the stories I see preffered from time to time.) :-) But when hypothesis are profferred to suport religious belief that can be proven to be scientifically incorrect, one needs to realize that while religion is a personal matter, one cannot cancel the laws of physics in order to cling to beliefs that simply are not true.

The only people that see conflict between religion and science are staunch religionists. Sagan, Einstein et al were both believers in God. Hawking is a pure Agnostic. Which means that while he does not firmly accept the existence of God, he doesn't reject it either.

Science is not attempting to disprove God (some scientists MAY be atheistic) but Science itself takes no stand on the existence of God. He either is, or He isn't. To science, it matters not either way.

Hey, maybe God DID create the Earth. But it's a simple fact that He did not create it in what WE refer to as "6 days" as is metaphorically described in Genesis.

If one's faith is truly strong, all the scientific FACT in the world shouldn't be able to shake it. Even when preposterous claims are made but such as 'rgrunt' above.

His story is an old one and is without basis in fact. It has been circulated by the "Creationists" for many years. If 'rgrunt' did just a little research, he would find that CARBON dating is not used in Paleontology for dating things from MILLIONS of years ago. Other radio-isotope methods are used. There are 5 all in all. Each has it's own period of effectiveness depending on the half-life or decay rate of the isotope involved.

No scientist would even TRY to date a 50 million year old sample with Carbon dating. And any story that claims someone did is pure fabrication and bunk since no scientist would ever claim that he has.

By all means, please keep searching for the truth. But don't take someone else's word for anything. Do the research. The web is full of good science and "snake oil" salesmen like 'rgrunt'.

I wish you peace and success in your search for truth.

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 29 December 2000 16:29

P. S. Pamela:
By the way, think about this.

Physicists for quite some time now have understood radioactive decay quite well. In fact so well, we've been able to construct clocks based of the decay of various elements.

Since these clocks are SO accurate, they are used by NASA to time events in the travel of our space vehicles. The precision involved in sending the Pioneer, Voyager, etc Spacecraft to the outer planets for picture taking is so intricate that only atomic clocks will do.

If our understanding of radioactive decay was flawed, then these clocks would not work as we intend them too, and those planetary fly-by events we all remember NEVER took place since the craft would have missed the targets by millions of miles.

Mr. 'rgrunt' has some homework to do.

By the way, Evolution is observable not only in Nature but reproducible in the laboratory. Those who claim it doesn't exist are either too afraid to admit they are wrong, or just plain too stubborn to accept reality.

It's a scary thing to be proven wrong. Once one realizes it, one is stuck with the idea that other things one believes in MAY be wrong also. This is hard for some people to accept since it shakes the foundation of their whole belief system.

But an open mind and a willingness to actually learn will always get one through the tough spots.

I have no idea how or why the Universe came into existence, but I'm not going to worry about it. And I'm for sure NOT going to buy into ideas of how it happened that simply are not so, and can be proven to BE not so.

I bid you a good day.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 29 December 2000 17:46

im sure that a group of scientists could tell the difference between a 'fresh' cow bone and a fossilized 50 Million Year Old Dinosaur bone...

Fast Out

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 29 December 2000 19:02

Fast:

Yup! (heh heh).

Without even having to resort to quantum decay timelines.

Fossilization is a process where actual organic tissue is replaced by inorganic mineral deposits leaving a remnant of the original in it's original form, but with no organic material intact.

In short, a true "fossil" is actually a form of stone, (like the "trees" in the Petrified Forest), while a bone is...well, a bone!

Only a blind idiot couldn't tell the difference. (Actually, a "blind idiot" could weigh the two and tell the difference for that!)

Peace.

And EVERYONE have a Happy New year.

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 29 December 2000 19:32

P. S. S.
And finally just one more...

(Couldn't resist on this next-to-next-to-last-day before the TRUE millenium.)

To all:

For the sake of pure information and learning, may I present the following links which will hopefully lay to rest the question of the difference between metaphor and actual history in attempts to understand the writings in the Bible.

Here's what we know on:

THE AGE OF THE EARTH

<http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Hangar/2437/apprage.htm>

THE RELIABILITY OF RADIOMETRIC DATING

<http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/8851/radiometric.html#reliability>

...and since I brought up "Dr" (sic) Kent Hovind earlier, here's a link to some of his foolishness: http://www.onthenet.com.au/~stear/kent_hovind's_challenge.htm

(Please, please, take note of the arguments HE presents and truly foolish they are from a purly LOGICAL standpoint, even before you get to the science parts that show what a ignoramus he actually is.)

He's the SOURCE of much of the foolishness that the likes of the 'rgrunts' of the world are pushing on us in the name of "science".

Ha! LOL

and finally, some humor for you. (Shades of the type of stuff 'rgrunt' has posted above.)
http://www.onthenet.com.au/~stear/icr_suckered_by_april_fool's_joke.htm

Enjoy all.....

IP: Logged

P.Light
unregistered posted 30 December 2000 04:10

To Anyone reading this...

What happened to the man of the moment T-T-0?!

All of a sudden i come back to check on the state of the nation and i find all you people talking about "great floods" and carbon dating! LOL!

Quite ammusing!

Anyhoo...it would be nice if we focus on the topic people!

Cheers,

P.Light

IP: Logged

Trott

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 07:45

Mr. O,

I just read your postings. Something did catch my eye. You mentioned that the physics behind time travel will be realized within the next year at CERN. Currently, the project being run at CERN is the LEP, the large electron positron collider. It was scheduled to be shut down this past November but was not due to some potential evidence of a missing component of the Standard Model, the Higgs Boson. As you may or may not know, the Higgs boson is the theorized mechanism by which particles acquire mass. I will not mention more of this but suffice it to say that I am aware that for an object to travel at the speed of light it would have to be massless(that is to say if the photon is in fact a massless spin 1 boson as assumed). But in order to tip the light cone, you would need to travel faster than light.

While I do believe that time is not as fragile as some colleagues believe, I do find it interesting that someone would attempt to contaminate the time stream before a point in time at which time travel is possible. Actually, all current feasible theories of time travel negate the possibility of travelling back beyond the point at which the time machine was constructed.

IP: Logged

in the know

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 09:40

AH! Is that the official story then? When did Mr. O arrive at this board? Nov. 2, 2000 I see.

Hmmmm....then again, maybe it had absolutely nothing to do with the diagrams CERN received in Nov.---but then again---

you never know.

good day!

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 10:28

(I think you know very well the answers to the questions you have asked. You just want to gauge the quality of our replies, or just remind us that we SHOULD be up to speed on our constitutional rights and responsibilities.)

It would be nice to be able to remind everyone about their rights and responsibilities but I am not here to judge you. I am not capable of that nor would I want that in return. As you know, my interest is in history and in the paradox of thought. I do however, find it interesting how important the Constitution became to the average US citizen's life, if even for a short moment.

(A young person should want to survive and live for better days ahead. At some point, however, an older person will realize, especially in the face of disaster, that better days are NOT on the horizon.....ever. What you are forecasting for 95% of the present population is 20 years of hell followed by survivors in the rubble. I've already put in my 40 year shift of work and worry. Why should we fret over politics on our way to slaughter? Isn't that like telling the Captain of the Titanic, that all he has to do to save the ship is to back up really fast after the collision?)

It saddens me that you do not realize your true worth as a keeper of information and experience. Perhaps the end that we fear will open your eyes to your true value as an individual. Young people need wisdom. The captain of the ship knows where the lifeboats are.

(When it is beginning to rain....
it is time to go rainbow gazing.)

I like the lyrics. They remind me of some other songs that are oldies but goodies from where I come from...anyone know these?

...gotta be home, by sunset. She asked me to give her a ride, said she had to go,
dropped her off by the trism through the atmosphere...by prism. Gotta keep movin , it
was the human race to get away, sun bends light through a prism, she bent herself
through the trism... ...she pulls the lever and then bright light.

-- or this --

Waiting for bus number 99, goin' to the store for hotdogs and wine when all of the
sudden I felt real cold and wound up in the belly of a UFO... ...Movin through the
spheres at faster than light on our way to some planets that were out of sight... [well it
had been 987 years in outer space when I got back, I couldn't seem to find any of my
friends to tell my interesting stories to.]

(Currently, the project being run at CERN is the LEP, the large electron positron
collider. But in order to tip the light cone, you would need to travel faster than light. I
do find it interesting that someone would attempt to contaminate the time stream before
a point in time at which time travel is possible. Actually, all current feasible theories of

time travel negate the possibility of travelling back beyond the point at which the time machine was constructed.)

I'm pretty sure they have a number of experiments going on at the same time at CERN. The one I'm referring to involves very high energies using protons. From my historical perspective on my worldline, I do recall the issue was a point of contention about 18 months ago or so. There were some scientists who thought the experiments were too dangerous to try. The time travel I refer to does not require faster than light travel and due to multiple world "reality", paradoxes do not occur. Natural time machines do exist. Please check these web sites for the basics...on both ends of the scale.

<http://www.leonllo.freesevers.com/blackworm.html>

<http://www.geocities.com:0080/Area51/Station/5763/time.html>

IP: Logged

Trott

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 11:57

Hi Mr.O,

It is true that CERN has 4 detectors/experiments but they are all centered around the LEP experiment. There are no experiments at CERN which deal with accelerating protons at this time. There is a planned experiment in 2005, when the Large Hadron collider takes over the tunnel at which the LEP is located. The experiment you refer to is not at CERN it is at RHIC in Brookhaven National Lab on Long Island, it is an attempt to create a quark gluon plasma, a form of matter which would have been present shortly after the big bang but before condensation of quarks into particles like protons and neutrons.

I am aware of the possibility of using wormholes to time travel, however you are still unable to travel back beyond the point of the creation of the wormhole. Even the Tipler cylinder does not allow a traveller to go back beyond the point at which the cylinder was made. It has been my view that in order to have controlled time travel you would need to have a description of the quantum structure of space-time, otherwise I do not see how you could undertake the calculations that would be needed. One reason it is not certain that a wormhole could be used to travel through time is because it is believed that quantum fluctuations around the mouth of the wormhole would act to collapse it. Just as in a similar fashion quantum fluctuations around the event horizon of a black hole act to make it radiate particles and eventually evaporate.

If you are a time traveller from 2036, how do you plan to retake your place there. Your presence in this time frame would, as you have pointed out, cause a "temporal divergence" from the natural sequence of events. If you believe in the multiverse theory, may I ask you if you have memories of an unknown uncle being around while you were young?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 13:17

To Trott:

I fear our conversation is in danger of turning due to an effect that is quite common on these boards. I realize what I'm saying is quite hard to swallow and it causes debate, weather serious or entertaining. It is even more difficult when you come into the middle of a conversation or a series of questions that are a few weeks old.

Your points are all quite valid and I have discussed them at length on this and other boards for quite a while. I do not wish to antagonize you however, we both know the Tippler cylinder is only a thought experiment to explain the very real physics behind Kerr black holes. As to your other comments, again, they are all true as defined by the limits of spacelike trips on single worldlines. It does not account for travel between worldlines.

I have never claimed to be a physicist or an expert on what the CERN laboratory is doing at any given moment so I feel it is pointless to argue about what they may be doing in the future or what "breakthroughs" they will or might have. My comments about the CERN lab are in reference to particle accelerators in general and other questions that have come up in the past. The major physics break through for controlled gravity distortion does happen at CERN in your future. Heck, we haven't even touched on "Z" field compression yet.

I suppose I could say that I was the one that traveled in time and convinced them to change their experiments but even I would have a hard time believing that one and I do not wish to insult your intelligence.

Just curious...what is it that interests you about time travel?

IP: Logged

Trott
unregistered posted 30 December 2000 14:10

I am a graduate student in physics. I feel that the concept of time is in need of a lot more understanding. Because of that my interest in time travel is purely scientific. I am much more interested in the nature of time itself.

I must admit however that time travel would be the greatest technological breakthrough in all history. With such a machine all questions could be answered objectively.

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: Ultimate Bulletin Board, Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 30 December 2000 23:26

I apologize for wasting this much space but I thought some of you would be interested in seeing this after reading some of things I've been saying in the last few months.

Below is the address to the news site and a copy of the text.

<http://www.telegraph.co.uk/et?ac=004071676359148&rtmo=r9XahmDX&atmo=rrrrrrrqq&pg=/et/00/12/31/wcia31.html>

This is the world in 2015

By James Langton in New York

Global Trends 2015 - Central Intelligence Agency [CIA]

CIA

International Insitute for Strategic Studies

THE world is on the brink of a new era that may resemble the script of a James Bond film in which international affairs are increasingly determined by large and powerful organisations rather than governments, according to a study just published by the CIA in Washington.

Click to enlarge

[Large graphic]

These could include alliances between some of the most powerful criminal groups such as the Mafia and Chinese triads. Such groups, according to the CIA, "will corrupt leaders of unstable, economically fragile or failing states, insinuate themselves into troubled banks and businesses, and co-operate with insurgent political movements to control substantial geographic areas".

The agency adds: "Their income will come from narcotics trafficking; aliens smuggling; trafficking in women and children; smuggling toxic materials, hazardous wastes, illicit arms, military technologies, and other contraband; financial fraud; and racketeering."

The 70-page report, Global Trends 2015, will be required reading for the new president, George W Bush, and his senior policy advisers. It suggests that the early years of the coming century are likely to be filled with both potential and peril.

Compiled with help from think tanks in America and the International Institute for Strategic Studies in London, the report projects a future in which globalisation, whether

in the shape of the European Union, the International Monetary Fund, giant corporations or terrorist gangs, plays an increasing part in the lives of ordinary people.

"Governments will have less and less control over flows of information, technology, diseases, migrants, arms, and financial transactions, whether licit or illicit," it concludes.

In addition to confronting the growing economic and military power of China and India and the continuing decline of Russia, the CIA says: "Between now and 2015 terrorist tactics will become increasingly sophisticated and designed to achieve mass casualties."

In particular it notes the growing threat of biological and chemical weapons and "suitcase" nuclear devices against the United States. In addition, it expects rogue states such as Iraq and Iran to develop long range missiles in the near future.

Iran, it says, could be testing such weapons by as early as the coming year, and cruise missiles by 2004. Iraq could have missiles capable of hitting America by 2015, with both nations developing nuclear, chemical and biological warheads.

Potential flashpoints have a familiar ring and include India and Pakistan, China's relations with Taiwan, and the Middle East, where the best that can be hoped for is a "cold peace".

Elsewhere, the world population will grow by more than one billion, to 7.2 billion, most of the increase coming in the mega-cities of the developing world. In Europe and Japan, an ageing population and static birthrate means that allowing more immigration may be the only way of meeting a chronic shortage of workers.

The gloomiest predictions are reserved for Africa, where Aids, famine, and continuing economic and political turmoil means that populations in many countries will actually fall. At least three billion people will live in regions where water is in increasingly short supply.

On the other hand, there is good news on energy supplies. "Energy resources will be sufficient to meet demand," the study says. The CIA report is most optimistic on the world economy, which it says has a potential for growth not seen since the 1960s. Computer technology represents "the most significant global transformation since the Industrial Revolution".

"At the same time, genetically modified crops will offer the potential to improve nutrition among the world's one billion malnourished people. China's economy will grow to overtake Europe as the world's second largest but still behind the United States. Russia's economy will contract to barely a fifth of America's.

The study expects the European Union to narrow the economic gap with America. It points out, however, that "lingering labour market rigidity and state regulation" mean that "Europe will not achieve fully the dreams of parity with the US as a shaper of the global economic system".

The 2015 report is an update of a 1997 CIA study into the world in 2010, which it admits failed to anticipate the global economic crisis that occurred between 1997 and 1998 which had the hardest impact in the Far East and Russia.

The new survey suggests a number of alternative scenarios, none of which makes happy reading. These include a trade war between Europe and America, and an alliance between terrorist organisations to attack the West. Most alarming of all, it raises the possibility of economic stagnation, followed by America abdicating its role as the world's policeman.

At the same time tensions begin to grow in the Far East, where China orders Japan to dismantle its nuclear programme, leaving, the report says, no alternative but for "US re-engagement in Asia under adverse circumstances at the brink of a major war".

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 30 December 2000 23:59

check this out..

words to the wise from a proclaimed time traveler from the year 2036

url: <http://www.p3n.org/pn120100.shtml>

things concerning TT_0 pop up everywhere..

who knows whos listening...

Fast Out

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 31 December 2000 12:26

So it seems to me that this is one possible, most likely scenario.

In about 4 years the voting system in this country will touch off a civil war. (Or at the very least the civil disobedience of many.) Because people will be divided about who should have power to do things, nothing will be done. When our foreign obligations become lax and we cannot hold up our end of an agreement, (in the far and mid east) they will see that as opportunity to move in on this country. They will feel that they have the right. This is going to take about 10 years for people to get angry enough to do something with more impact. During that 10-year period there will be groups (like organized crime) that will see the division of the people as an opportunity to get rich and/or get power. This will help the in those who seek to hurt this country. By the time we realize what is coming it is already too late, having been distracted by our own civil war and others with in who sought control. Basically we weren't looking and got hit.

Doses this seem close? It has been the pattern for other countries in the past.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 31 December 2000 12:43

I'm flattered and a bit overwhelmed. I can honestly say I've never quite had this experience before. I appreciate the news posting. Thank you Time 02112

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 31 December 2000 11:00

Well...you're getting closer people. Here's another one I found today. Again, I apologize for taking up this much space but I thought you'd want to see this.

<http://www.observer.co.uk/life/story/0,6903,416412,00.html>

Science 2001

A machine called Z

Under a ring of water in a sealed chamber in the middle of the New Mexico desert lies the heart of a machine that could change the world

Michael Paterniti

Sunday December 31, 2000

It is never night inside the Machine. Even after the sun has set on the mesa and Jimmy Potter and the frogmen and the men in white jumpsuits and the men in blue jumpsuits have showered, packed up, and gone home; even as yawning, befuddled scientists - with names like Jim Bailey and Mark Derzon and Melissa Douglas - sit in offices in a nearby building, trapped by their own reflections and in the blackened windows; and even as this oesophageal dark falls over coyote and jackrabbit and moves everything towards sleep and dreams, towards the deepest centre of the night, the Machine is awake.

Its 36 Marx generators are set in a ring like a metallic Stonehenge. The 20 Rexolite disks of the vacuum chamber look like flying saucers. Its vast, concentric pool of five-weight oil and deionized water seems bottomless - real oil and real water, in half-million-gallon tanks that sit one inside the other like a wheel within a wheel. Even now, there are depths in the Machine, invisible worlds revealing themselves, the secret body of the universe floating up. Deuterium, tritium, helium.

It begins with the flip of a cyber switch in the control room at the north end of the hanger. Before a bank of computer screens, a man clicks a mouse, and then electricity, quietly sucked off the municipal power grid in Albuquerque, floods into the outer ring of Marx generators. Which is when the Machine takes control. A siren sounds, red lights flash, doors automatically lock. The frogmen and the white and blue jumpsuits clamber over the high bay, down metal steps, and retreat to a copper-coated room behind a foot of cement.

Another switch is flipped, another mouse clicked. To the piercing sound of an alarm, a countdown in the Marx generators ensues, or rather a count up, in kilovolts, comes in a monotone, almost hollow voice beneath the frantic alarm. The man in the control room on a tinny loudspeaker, the Machine speaking through the human.

'Twenty kV...'

'Thirty kV...'

'Forty kV...'

At 90, the floodgates open: a pulse of electricity surges out of the Marx generators toward an inside ring of giant capacitors and then through a series of gas switches. The current is compressed by the Machine into a wild whitewater of electricity that charges toward the vacuum chamber at a speed of 60 million feet per second. On its way, it passes through painted sharks' mouths, drawn there by the men in white and blue jumpsuits in the way that fighter pilots sometimes draw on their warplanes to show their prowess - or hide their misgivings. The electricity pours past the sharks' mouths, is redirected downward, along the Z axis, into the vacuum chamber, blitzing and bombarding from all sides a three-dimensional target in a gold-plated can, a delicately strung array of tungsten wires the size of a spool of thread, hanging in black space like a tiny chandelier.

Driven so furiously in the Machine, and then storming the array, the pulse of electricity - enough juice now to light up America like a birthday cake - instantly vapourises the tungsten wire into plasma, a superheated ion gas. The ions hover and dance along the invisible circumference once described by the array, while a relentless magnetic field keeps pressing on them, shoving them from behind. Thrusting and squeezing and ramming until the ions can no longer resist, the centre cannot hold, and in that hot nanosecond - Boom ! Everything becomes one.

This is not a gentle conjunction but a Pandora's box suddenly ripped open by nuclear passion, an orgy of ions. Boom ! Lightning fills the Machine, veins out over the surface of the water. Temperatures flare to those inside the sun. The earth rocks once again. And in few billionths of a second, 290 terawatts - 80 times the power generated on earth at any given time - roar to life inside the Machine.

Watching it through a Plexiglas window, you might as well be watching the beginning of the universe. Or the end of it. Contained in that single flash of white light, when the Machine holds the heat and the power of the sun, when the room fills with lightning, there is everything we know - and everything we may become. The 21st century. A world covered by rooms of little suns, generating intense energy and, with it, the possibilities of time travel and galaxy hopping. Peace among nations. Or the end of time as we know it, a hole ripped in the universe by the Machine, something many doomsayers predict, and the earth sucked into oblivion. Our downfall or salvation. A fusion machine they call Z.

The magic bean; the Holy Grail: fusion. The idea is to take two isotopes of the hydrogen atom - deuterium and tritium - and mash them together with a little energy, which in

turn releases enormous amounts of energy in the form of a single neutron. Contrarily, fission, the method widely employed by today's nuclear reactors, splits heavy uranium and plutonium atoms, creating lots of energy but also tons of dangerous and everlasting radioactive waste. Fusion offers a clean source, borne out of the material of roughly a handful of water and a handful of earth, with its only by-product being an easily disposable helium-4 nucleus.

What would fusion mean? Endless, cheap energy. Amazing Star Trek , space-travel possibilities. Fame, fortune, and undoubtedly a Nobel or two for the lucky scientists. For the better part of five decades, the race has two separate approaches: magnetic confinement and inertial confinement. Most researchers - those from Japan, Russia, Europe and America - focus on the former: big accelerators called stellarators, spheromaks, and tokamaks (a machine designed partly by Andrei Sakharov) use huge magnets to contain and compress hydrogen isotopes that hover in a kind of reddish-blue plasma inside the huge torus-shaped tubes until implosion.

On the other hand, the idea behind inertial confinement is that tiny fuel pellets of deuterium and tritium are bombarded by lasers or X-rays. In the case of the Z Machine, the explosion that occurs when ions are released by the vapourised wire array, and then when ions are pinched together, creates a huge X-ray pulse, one that scientists hope can be used to heat the tiny pellets and, in turn, create a small thermonuclear explosion. As it is, fusion has never been achieved for an extended time outside the explosion of a hydrogen bomb.

The first time scientists attempted to shoot an early incarnation of the Z machine, in June 1980, there was bravado and false bravado and downright fear. At Sandia National Laboratories on Kirtland Air Force Base, in the same New Mexican high-desert landscape of America's greatest, most frightening nuclear discoveries, they'd been working on the Machine for four years. Yet there were still unknown variables, a scientist's nightmare. First, it was so much bigger and more powerful than any of its predecessors. What if the Marx generators blew up before it could be shot? What if residual X-ray radiation contaminated people in the area? Or a fire destroyed the complex? And what if everything worked perfectly and they got a huge energy release that blew up Albuquerque itself? It was a scenario that had been considered at the highest level. As had something worse: what if people later wished that it had been only Albuquerque that blew up?

The shot - Sandia shorthand for the firing of the Machine - was scheduled for a Friday night. But then the machine blew a fitting. The technical crew - the frogmen, as well as the men in white and blue jumpsuits - worked feverishly, and by Saturday noon the Machine was ready again. 'No one knew what to expect,' remembers Gerry Yonas, 58, an engineer and physicist and one of the founding fathers of the Z Machine. They took all necessary precautions, charged the Marx generators, and crossed their fingers. A switch was flipped, electricity pulsed into the Machine, ripped through the switches, stormed on to the wires. There was a wicked jolt, and... silence. Sweet, beautiful silence. Everyone was still on earth; everything seemed to work. The feeling was surreal. 'I felt the ground shake,' says Yonas, grinning at the memory, 'and everybody said: "Let's do it again!" Nobody wanted to go home. I had to kick them out. There was nowhere else in the world to be. This was the beginning.'

The scientists, at that time a group of 20 or so men, threw high fives and drank beer. Pure, silly jubilation. Only later, photographs of what actually had occurred inside the Machine made them gasp: huge dragon snorts of fire filled the hangar. Apparently, plumes of oil had sprayed skyward in the instant of explosion, flamed, and then flamed out before the men returned inside the Machine. They had nearly blown themselves up. By the grace of some benevolent god, or the Machine itself, they were allowed to return to work on Monday morning, giddy limbs intact.

Over the next 15 years, the Z Machine gradually improved its output, packing an astonishing wallop - 20 trillion watts' worth of electrical output, as compared with the meagre 100,000 amps of the first machine - but it wasn't enough. Scientists and theoreticians estimated that for high-yield fusion to be achieved inside the Machine, it would need to generate something over 1,000 trillion watts. A factor of at least 50 of Z's output.

Which is when the men in suits and ties tried to kill the Machine. It was a dinosaur, they argued, no longer useful. They felt Z-pinch technology could not yield the mother lode. By 1995, even Yonas, who was about to become a grandfather, was acutely feeling the passage of time. He sadly had to admit that maybe he should sacrifice Z and all the optimism that had driven the project. Perhaps achieving high-yield fusion, something scientists compare to the invention of the lightbulb for its potential to change the world, did indeed belong to the other fusion machines, the stellarators and spheromaks and tokamaks. To the Russians or the Japanese or the British or the confederate nerds at Princeton or Lawrence Livermore or Oak Ridge. And maybe Sandia National Laboratories - over time, a place known more for its secretive mystique, its downright weird nefariousness, dating to the cloak-and-dagger days of Little Boy and Fat Man - would have to sit on the sidelines while someone else gave the world perhaps its greatest legacy.

But a funny thing happened on the way to the chop shop. Maybe it was 11th-hour desperation, or some invisible bolt of providence visited on a few overworked scientists, a couple of whom lit on the simple idea of stringing the wire array, the spool-sized target at the centre of the Machine, with double, then triple, the tungsten wire. All of a sudden - Boom ! Forty trillion watts! No one believed it. They reconfigured the Machine, boosting its X-ray production. Then someone, Melissa Douglas, thought to stack the arrays. Boom ! Two hundred trillion watts in a single pulse! Short of a nuclear blast, it was the most energy ever released on earth, and suddenly, in 1998, after five decades of chasing the illusion of high-yield fusion, of regarding it as some far-off Atlantis or dark galaxy's edge, the Z Machine was a third of the way there.

In science, if you do something once that's never been done before, it's considered a mistake. Do it twice, and it's simply a mirage. But the third time it becomes the truth. With Z's new, seemingly impossible results came the first flickering sign that some deep, unknowable power resided in the Machine. And so today, the Z Machine is considered one of the world's best hopes for achieving fusion. 'We may not understand how we get these huge pulses of power, the meaning may still elude us,' says Yonas. 'But it's still a fact.'

One that Yonas himself, at first, had a hard time grasping. After he was handed the results, he remembers squinting at them, and sitting back at his desk as if blown by a

solar wind. 'My God,' he said in a small voice. 'This could work. This could really work.'

Listen to the Z scientists, to their best idea ('The use of stark-shifted emissions to measure electric-field fluctuations and acceleration gaps'), and their dream ('To remedy plasmic instability and create higher temperatures'), and you enter a kind of friendly country that becomes an Andean prison from which it gets harder and harder to escape. The scientists admit that, at moments, their whole selves are inseparable from the Machine, that the pull of the Machine is so great that re-entering normal life can be nearly impossible.

Jim Bailey, a handsome, soft-spoken, loafer-wearing plasma physicist whose conversation is peppered with references to spectroscopy and 'the visible regime', says sometimes it's even hard to go to a neighbour's barbecue - can't make small talk, can't communicate what you do - let alone talk to your wife. Mark Derzon, a boyish, bearded nuclear physicist, says he works a system with his wife: when he walks through the door at the end of a day, he says green light ('Yes, everything is fine, I'm ready for the kids'); yellow light ('Give me 15 to decompress'); or red light ('I need time'). Melissa Douglas says that there's no line drawn at all between the Machine and her private life - that the Machine, her place inside of the Machine, studying something called Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities, is her private life. And now, at the age of 36, she's watched her friends get married, have families, settle, and on occasion she's wondered to herself: 'what am I doing? Can we really make fusion work?'

Since the 1950s, the US government has invested nearly \$15bn to find out, always with the promise that fusion is just around the corner - two, three, five years away - and, with it, a fusion revolution that would hurtle us to the centre of the earth, the deepest trenches of the ocean, and the farthest reaches of space. A revolution that would morph the Third World into the First World until we are simply One World.

After all, how many wars have been fought over oil? And then, with oil reserves expected to reach full depletion by 2050, how many more will be? Remove oil as a vital component of our speed-driven, chip-fitted age and, sure, people would find things to brawl over, but energy wouldn't be one of them.

And with limitless, cheap energy, the development of poorer nations wouldn't be one of them, either.

And with development, the have-nots and pariahs of the world would theoretically join the haves, and so food and housing and education wouldn't be one of them.

And with a higher standard of living would come a new freedom for humanity. For at its heart, fusion, as a Utopian ideal, has always symbolised freedom; freedom from the mistakes and waste of our past, the Hanford Reservations and the Savannah River Sites - those vast, spooky, radiating underground storage facilities chambered with containers of plutonium and iodine waste, on top of which America is built. Though left unsaid, the race for fusion has always been about democracy or a democratic alternative.

And yet one of the biggest threats to fusion comes from the same group of people responsible for the Hanford Reservations and the Savannah River Sites: the US Government. Recently, Congress and various federal agencies have become disenchanted by the fusion dream. Critics have lambasted it as a waste of time and money. If we haven't achieved it in the last 45 years, they argue, we never will. The US has dropped out of a proposed \$10bn international fusion project called ITER, leaving the facility in doubt of completion. Meanwhile, the government has spent \$3bn, with as much as an additional \$43bn to come, on developing Nevada's Yucca Mountain as a vast nuclear-waste site - despite well-documented problems - and continues its commitment to fission reactors despite the fact that radioactive waste can be lethal up to 600 millennia after burial. Leaders in fusion field, like the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, have mothballed their big machines, laid off staff, and now are fighting simply for their own survival.

'You have to find a way to justify doing something that you may never see accomplished in your lifetime,' says Jim Bailey, who has a penchant for reading Hume. 'I mean, instead I could be working for a cancer cure, with at least a greater hope of finding one. But I'm OK with this. I've made my peace with it. Fusion will be the greatest scientific achievement of our time.'

Yonas, with the Super Bowl confidence of Joe Namath, predicts that usable high-yield fusion will be made available to the American public by an accelerator called X-1, a generation or two beyond Z, within three decades - maybe sooner. Mark Derzon, a member of what's called the Advanced Concepts Group at Z, has designed what would be the first practical Z-pinch reactor - 'A zero-miracle power plant,' he cheerfully proclaims, and believes that the Z technology is rougher and tougher, able to sustain more of the constant rock and roll of such a plant, than are the sensitive lasers and vacuums necessary for magnetic confinement. But optimism usually carries the day only past lunch; the request to draw up preliminary plans for X-1, with its price tag of up to \$1bn dollars, is likely to be approved by the Department of Energy.

'Every day, it's a leap of faith,' says Neal Singer, a science writer at Sandia. 'Adding wires to the array - where did that idea come from? From the outside it makes no sense. It's incredibly complex and difficult to string tungsten wires 1/10th the diameter of a piece of hair and space them perfectly. And they did it and got tremendous results. Then they added more and more, spaced them a little differently and now we're a third of the way there. It takes these little steps, this day-by-day thinking. Hour after hour. Ten, 12, 14 hours a day. The constant question is, Can you just make a little change to influence the result?'

Thus the world inside the Machine is driven down to its smallest, most maddening detail. For in the end, fusion - its possibility and reality, its attainment and capture - comes out of this finely tuned call-and-response with the universe itself, the channelling of some great unknown, copulating force that calls for the perfect alignment of human and Machine. That is, the human culture surrounding the Machine attempts to mimic the Machine itself, which is trying to mimic the universe. The mannerisms of the Machine become the mannerisms of its minions - people rage and tyrannise, overheat, relent, synergise, procreate, vanish, and recur. One idea seems brilliant and fails, while another may start as a quail but then, compressed by other ideas - electrons stripping off, ions

colliding - transforms into something sharp and fast, something agitatingly, beautifully right. And then, of course, it is shot into the Machine to see if it is.

Still there is Melissa Douglas's nagging doubt, which is the nagging doubt of everyone here. On certain days, it is possible to believe that you are merely trapped in the rubble of some cosmic joke with no punch line, that Godot is eating chilli dogs somewhere and won't be able to make it. After all, Jim Bailey's lab books are full of 13 years' worth of jottings; Mark Derzon has pulled countless all-nighters in the name of what may or may not be the reactor of the future; Melissa Douglas has spent entire months of her life obsessing over a single equation, the pallor of her face reflecting only pale computer light - all of this thought and activity and faith belying the possibility that their efforts might be for nothing. And yet as much as the race for fusion is a race against the Russians at Trinitite labs, or the Germans at FZK labs, or other American scientists at Lawrence Livermore, it's also literally a race against the ticking internal clocks of each scientist who entertains the question: will I live to see it?

'History forgets the individual,' says Mark Derzon pensively, surrounded by no fewer than 30 photographs of his young daughters. 'One day Plato will be forgotten. Ultimately, the name you make for yourself is not the important thing. It's what you did, what you stood up for, what you acted on. Did you try to make the world a better place? In order to do it, the world needs fusion. I just happen to think that Z is the best way to get there. And we're going to have one serious pizza party around here if it is.'

Jimmy Potter stands inside the Machine, glaring down into the half-million-gallon pool of water at the submerged refrigerator-sized capacitors where, he suspects, there may be a broken, bubbling gas switch. Potter, a Texan, is the keeper of the Beast, the man who oversees the whole shebang for today's shot. 'Are those bubbles down there?' he asks out loud, vexed. 'We already sent the divers in. I sure hope not.'

If Potter is driven by perfection, then he is merely a reflection of the culture at Sandia National Laboratories. And if the quest for fusion is intensely competitive, Moonily quixotic, and at times downright nasty, then Sandia mirrors, among its myriad projects, many of those same contradictory characteristics. Top secret or otherwise, spread over the dusty 27-square-mile patch of Kirtland Airforce Base, the projects include the training of honeybees to detect land mines, the invention of a foam that kills anthrax, the making of a synthetic sludge, and the perfecting of various micromachines, some so small as to be undetectable by the human eye, which might be used to lock down nuclear weapons. Sandia is the home to Teraflops, the fastest computer in the world, as well as the birthplace of moly-99, a radioactive substance widely used in medical procedures. On the east of the base, behind three rows of concertina wire, is a cluster of foothills rumoured to be now-empty nuclear silos. They seem to stand as a reminder of how closely the isotopes of Thanatos and Eros can be held in the same idea, for it to be a real idea, a saving idea, both have to be there, threatening to undo us and remake us at once. To obliterate and immortalise.

Potter couldn't care about all that. 'My job is to work with the personalities here,' he says, now pacing the high bay, twitching with pent-up energy. He slips behind a pig (a radiation shield), and checks a silver box that houses a cryogenic pump. He monitors the tech crew, confers with the lead scientist on the shot, keeps everything running on time. 'You've got your top of the Ivy League class,' he continues. 'You've got prima

donnas with huge egos. And you've got technicians who at least graduated high school. Nobody can operate without the other. The first thing that happens with two strong personalities is clash. It's my job to go to one and bring him up and maybe bring the other one down and then bring them together.'

Of course, there are days when everything feels charged with Shakespearean plots and counterplots, days when tension fills up around the Machine. All of it is caused by the Machine, which rarely exists, of course, in its aluminum-and-Rexolite grandeur, oblivious. There is head-butting between the young comers kicking with ideas and the upper echelon of Z veterans, who ultimately hold the power here. There are Iagos trying to ice someone else's idea in order to promote their own. (The lab rewards the best with bonuses.)

'I've become a lot more aggressive,' says Melissa Douglas, one of only three women among the 60 full-time scientists who work on Z. 'You have to really stand your ground. It was very hard for me to do that at first.' In four years on the project, she remembers her worst day as the one when she delivered a seminar and a colleague heckled her mercilessly. Why? Was she that stupid? Did her PhD in plasma physics and her postdoc at Los Alamos make her that inept? So she took her weakness, her insecurity, her lack, and shot it into the Machine, and it came back as power, 290 terawatts' worth.

As have others. Marriage is shot in. Love is shot in. Innocence and experience and numbers are shot in, and come back as something almost holy.

While many of these scientists consider themselves agnostic, they are quick to admit that they still find themselves in thrall to the unknown, to the force that pulses through the Machine. 'In a deep sense, I would say that my greatest satisfaction here comes from the act of creation,' says Jim Bailey. 'Because what we're trying to do is create knowledge that didn't exist before. Whether that brings us closer to God or not, I don't know. It brings us closer to an understanding of the universe, and if you want to think of God in those terms, then I suppose you could define it that way.'

Melissa Douglas describes the charge of joy she gets from a perfect photograph of a Rayleigh-Taylor instability taken inside the vacuum chamber by a pinhole camera at the moment of the wire array's implosion. 'A beautiful picture!' she says, holding up a snapshot that looks more like a Rorschach test - kind of blobby with spikes and valleys. 'It sounds ridiculous, but when I first saw it I jumped and hopped around the room. Ecstatic. Just amazing. Being around this machine, you can't help but feel awe. The universe is mathematical and, you know, God is a mathematician.'

And Jimmy Potter - Jimmy Potter is clearing the high bay as sirens sound for all personnel to vacate the Machine and retreat to the control room. Today's shot will attempt to find a way to bombard the wire array uniformly with electricity, so that each last kilovolt of energy can be accelerated into the Machine and come back as more. 'I mean, how do you explain all this to someone outside of this place?' he says, gesturing toward the Machine. 'We don't make a product that can be sold. You can't really see what's going on in that vacuum chamber. I usually just tell people I work with X-rays. That we've got a big machine doing big things, and one day we're gonna change your life.'

Dawn inside the Machine, and it's silent. The frogmen and the men in white and blue jumpsuits are arriving, shaking off their sleep, downing coffee. Jimmy Potter got the shot last night, downloaded the diagnostics, sent everyone home saying they'd take apart the Machine today, and then drove the half hour to his house, over the mesa and the beautiful landscape, to his wife and kids, trying to forget this place for a few hours. At 5.30am, he was back, rallying the crew, which now has sluggishly begun its work, drilling and hammering at the vacuum chamber.

The people of Z admit there's a new intensity, especially given the Machine's recent exponential gains. There's something to prove - and they need to prove it fast. Plans to win funds to build a cheaper, intermediary machine named ZX, one that will lead to X-1, are the stuff of new worry and hope. And, like life on the edge of any new frontier, there is still the possibility of danger.

But there are dreamy days here as well. There are times when some Z scientists find it hard not to let their minds wander, to entertain versions of fusion-propelled rockets arcing the local solar systems, of fuel stations on the moon or Io or Pluto, wherever you can pick up a little lithium and water. And there are others who imagine it as the Peace and Love Machine, who've put their trust and idealism for the best possible world in Z. And to get Peace and Love from the Machine, they have to shoot in their souls, holding nothing back.

Now the crane groans over its huge tracks above the Machine, preparing to lift off the 8,000lb crown of the vacuum chamber. Last evening, the Machine inhaled the sun, this room filled with lightning, and then everything exploded. Now, when the crown is unbolted, hitched to a hook, and lifted away by the crane, a group of men tentatively peer down into the Machine, goggle-eyed, perhaps expecting to find some traces of gold dust or, more absurdly, a pile of confetti - or, by some miracle of the universe, maybe a fully formed angel, sleeping with its white wings pleached and sooty, its legs twisted under its body, both comical and impossible.

So the men look and look, down into the centre of Z, the womb of the Machine, for some message there sent back from the invisible world. But it is just a well of black space - plasma and atoms unable to hold the weight of their gaze, the chill of their wonder.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 31 December 2000 11:34

A "Z" machine with a Marx generator -- is this something invented by Zeppo Marx?

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 31 December 2000 13:07

Dear Mr Deviper,

Interesting indeed I will look up the points you stated for they are quite compelling. I do admit that the information I recieved came second hand so I trully cannot vouch for the accuracy of the statements in the story and I appologize to the people on the forum for the confusion. My father once told me that there are two topics that can never be agreed upon...religion and politics. However I do hold to my beliefs but without having performed carbon dating or other methods myself I cannot testify for or against their legitimacy. Is there any documented proof of a positive recorded in any lab? If do you have access to this proof that you may back your claims that creation is completely proven wrong as you so subtly implored in the last two paragraphs? Can you explain to me how it is more logical for such an intelligent existance to acurr merely by trillions of chance happenings whose probabillity of actually acurring is practically imeasurable then for an infinitely intelligent creator to have planned the creation. Do the numbers it is far more logical and probable for the universe to have been created then just to have acurred. By the way infinity has to exist. For infinity not to exist is a violation of thermal dynamics in that something cannot come from nothing. So if every chance happening accurs from a "big bang" before which nothing existed then something came from nothing. No this is not disputed by religion but by scientific law. Tell me how to get around this one. Let us first try to analyse order and chaos. In an infinite period of time does a universe with a mixture of order and chaos degrade to pure chaos resulting in a constant state of infinite entropy. Or does the universe gravitate to a universe of infinite order? Hot or Cold is the big question. If, on the one hand we have an infinite number of quantized randoms confined to a volume what is the shape of that volume? In this case the shape of the volume will be a perfect sphere on account an infinite number of two or three constantly varying shapes would be at such compression as to form a constant uniform surface or volume. Thus an infinite number of randoms equills perfect order...yet even in such a universe we are measuring the randoms which must therefore exist. The measurement we made and the deduction is in no way connected to the origins of the quantities existant therein by a subtransfinite period. I say subtransfinite instead of infinite because I believe the universe is both finite and infinite and that time and space are quatiized and any movement in them. Thusly I believe that the distance in a finite space-time to infinity in this bounded space-time is finite. Thus any numeral beyond the barrier of the universe is not infinite but a finite number to big to fit in this universe so it exists in the area beyond the present universe...the past or future. If measure infinity in the small beyond any given center mass lies superluminosity and therefore past. The velocity of light is the folcрум point that exists in and marks the boundary between the infinite past and infinite future.I imagine that at this velocity one could part this reality and find another in the past or come in contact with the future. Tell me what would happen to matter if one were to burst infinitely into the future and back in a splitt second? I appologize I got side tracked this is supposed to be an inquisition to evaluate whether or not science supports or crumbles Creation. I appologize I have tried to see how a universe of nonexistence could come into to existance in the form of an infinite number of randoms and I can see no logic in this only a border created to establish the area of impossibility within for the existance of a universe to derive from nothing. But the relation that I see between the domain of non-existance and existance is unstated. I would have said non-linear but even these mathematical interactions acurr within the finite universe. It would appear that only super finite actions could exist within this region of nonexistence thus defining this region an infinite(beyond finite). I see no place for nothing in existance. There is no displacement within an infinite mass and I can therefore not see the possiblility of manufacturing a place of non existance save by an infinite being who alone could

traverse this clause to make a domain existant seperated on all sides from the rest of existence by a border of absolute absolute infinite limit.

All of this is purely my own ascertaining so it more then likely contains some flaws. I also want to state that I ment no insult by the way I stated my view up on top but this is merely how I learned to debate. I assumed creation side of the arguement and stated what I could ascertain in the hopes that others will debate my claims so that I and others may gain knowlege.

Teach me,
let us discover the truth.

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Trott
Member posted 31 December 2000 23:51

Who receives the Nobel Prize for inventing time travel? Surely, since there is a divergence from your time line such information would be of no consequence to divulge.
IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 01 January 2001 02:01

Another time traveler????
check out: http://paranormal.about.com/science/paranormal/cs/timetravel/index_3.htm
scroll down till you get to: "the Wave Rider"
I would have copied and pasted it but it is a handwritten copy of faxes.

pamela

Member posted 01 January 2001 10:29

piecing together the information in the faxes provided by the "waverider" it sounds to me that if this be true then it is some form of advanced remote viewing. consider the following on how he describes how he time travels:

"I am a time traveler. Although we refer to it as riding the wave. I am a US citizen born in 1964. I am nearly 40 years old. In 1983 I enlisted in the united states Army .it was shortly after my enlistment and before completing basic training that I was approached by those I now refer to simply as MY FRIENDS. This group does not contain aliens nor interdimensional beings, they are human.

I have learned over the years that not everyone can safely travel the wave, and I was first approached, I was told, due to an unusually large amount of some chemical that naturally occurs in the human body, it somehow aids in the time travel process,(MY FRIENDS told me what chemical it was back then,but that was many years ago. and I have long forgotten the name of the stuff. I think it has some copper or something in it.) I have since learned that when i enlisted in the US ARmy MY FRIENDS gained a large amount of information about me. My genetic history and so forth, and it was this information that changed my life forever."

"I should first explain how I travel in time. The short and sweet of it is that I was taught to target a particular person, place or event. The more information I have on the target the better my chance of success and the faster I reach my target. I take a photo of the target. a sheet of paper with the information on it, a map of the site etc. I circle the target and begin the process. I then enter a quiet darkened area (we use to call it the pad) a period of concentration and meditation begins. For days, weeks, sometimes even months after beginning I will study the target, concentrate on the target,even begin to dress in the period clothing of the target during my time in the pad (only about two hours per day is all I can manage.) as I begin the feel the wave approaching, i look for the doorway, the gateway. the rip in the fabric of time or whatever you want to call it.For me it almost always looks like a pool of water that I pass through before entering the new time line. Some time travelers had only out of body experiences (these people we call projectors) others of us (called wave riders) physically disappear from the current timeline. Early on in the project I would use a small electromagnetic tuner to help me concentrate and focus on the target, I no longer use any aid when waveriding."

Interesting....the US Army again...

Timetraveler_0 have you ever heard of the "Waveriders"?

-pamela

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 01 January 2001 15:34

Well it's a good thing I got injured in the Army, or else that might have been my fate as well.

J.C.

P.S. I'm home... =)

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 01 January 2001 17:31

Pamela, what the Waverider is describing sounds a lot like the technique used by the Incunabula/Ong's hat group. They supposedly had developed inter-dimentional travel. check out tis site: <http://www.incunabula.org/>

A lot of the info on the site seems to be disinfo, but then there are pieces of the truth mixed in. Here is another site with another point of view: <http://it.t.boltpages.com/it.t/>
Dimentional displacement requires less power and technology then temporal displacement.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 01 January 2001 17:36

TT_0,

I appreciate your comments here, and I thought I would provide you with an example of just how appreciated you are.

(You're sincerely welcome my Friend!...any"Time"

Below is a copy of a recent email from p3n:>

From: "Webmaster"

To:

Date: Mon, 1 Jan 2001 17:34:13 -0800

Subject: Re: The "Z" Machine

Hi Gary,

I posted a link to the "Z" machine story yesterday, the second I saw it.

Thanks for sending the "Proclaimed" Time Traveler story. It was one of the best things that has come into P3N and with the help of links from other websites it has been one of the most visited pages. It was also very thought provoking. Please feel free to submit more writings or links to good stories when you find them.

Thanks again,
Rick Reed
Webmaster P3N

Pamela, I am very familiar with this "Waverider" I listened to his info. on the former "Art Bell Show" known today, as the current "Coast To Coast AM" program. since "Premier Radio Networks" purchased Art Bell's Legacy for a sumisable amount. <http://coasttocoastam.com> you can listen to pre-recorded programs, up to 30 days, in the "Past Shows" selection, on their website. Anything beyond 30 days, you will need to purchase a tape.

I believe that this "Waverider" information & faxes, are still available in text & jpg formats on the coast to coast website.

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 01 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 01 January 2001 18:25

TT_0
What could you surmise, as to what might happen, as a result if you provided us with copies of various news articles in relation to "Technology Reports" published a year in our future, or any "Time" after (Such as in your "Worldline" as you so describe?
*Could You?
*Would You?

And please explain your reasons for why you would, or would not do something like this for us?

IP: Logged

Fast
Member posted 01 January 2001 18:59

i think that when Art Bell retired(unknown reason..) he said that the Wave Rider was not real,it was just some guy messing around.he told that to the sheriff in his town,or something similar..
i could be mistaken..

Fast Out

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist
Member posted 01 January 2001 20:38

I see that I have returned just in time. The concept of Time Travel has overwhelmed some with the idea of accepting it, and going along with it. Have you all forgotten that Time Travel is a means of controlling who we are. For a future collective agenda.

My site is updated, check it out.

-INDIVIDUALS OPPOSED TO TEMPORAL MANIPULATION-

<http://www.geocities.com/Athens/Atrium/9822/>

J.C.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 01 January 2001 23:24

Curious,
thankyou for providing the links to Ong's hat they are very interesting.I will be looking at it more indepth.
Time 02112,
boy, the "z" machine story got around pretty quick!

Fast,

If Art Bell has admitted to the time traveler being fake why are the stories still posted on his site? Knowing Art Bells character I think he would have written a follow up letter on it or pulled all the faxes from the site.

It still does not mean the faxes are legitimate however.

one thing I have been noticing though is some of the predictions were not acurate. A time traveler from another worldline can really only testify to what he has seen on his worldline. but now I am beginning to wonder....how many timetravelers are out there? how many are on this worldline at any given time? how many times can you alter events before something happens?

a lot of what waverider spoke on in his final faxes sounds a lot like timetraveler_0's testimony. I know TTO is going to be really interested in reading waveriders faxes. perhaps he may be able to relate to some of the language written.

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 02 January 2001 12:46

Timetravel Activist,

If you believe in the multi-universe interpretation of quantum mechanics than everything with a non-zero possibility plays out. Therefore, I do not see how one could say that your future or history is being changed since one possibility, if time travel is

possible, is for your future to be changed. Of course in an alternate universe, you would still be whatever it is that you thing has been changed about you.

If time travel ever becomes more than just theory, it would mark the greatest scientific moment in all history. Surely, you must agree with that.

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 02 January 2001 12:57

Mr. O,

You said that there were 7 other time travellers that you knew of who were on various missions from 2036 on your timeline. I am curious have people in 2036 been visited by people from further in the future? One would think that once time travel was possible and widely known that visitors from other time frames would be more likely to be visible and willing to be upfront about their visitation to the period after time travel, A.T.T (after time travel).

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 02 January 2001 01:27

pamela,

it is no longer HIS website...at least i think so.

the last time i checked in was when his page was redirected to CoasttoCoastAM.com.

i think i first got intrested into gibb's work after hearing him on the Art Bell show..

but i remember an interview or something where a friend of his or a sheriff said that the wave rider was a nice story, but it wasn't real. i think that's right.

Fast Out

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 02 January 2001 01:53

Trott,

I see your brand new here, so I can understand if you don't know the history of what I've said in past posts. Let me just say that yes Time Travel will be this world's greatest technological breakthrough, when it becomes real (to this timeline that is).

However, unlike you who wishes to see this issue of Time Travel as a scientist in an objective manner.

I choose to see this issue on a human/moral level. Is it ethical to Time Travel? Is it right to change the past with the knowledge one knows now in the future?

You've all seen "Back to the Future 2" where Marty is in the future, and he attempts to take back with him an almanac to place sports bets in the past.

Well, you can see where the moral implication can put us in, if our curiosity to go back and do things in this manner will do to our society? If one person does it, others will want to too.

If others are getting genetically engineered, others will want to too. To keep up at least, since now the rich who can afford it, are this super eugenic species (with intelligence and looks). Will we say then "Survival of the Fittest?"

Where does that leave out normal hard working honest people? Apparently that no longer exists.

Therefore, as you can see, my only beef with Time Travel is that it can be abused. Sure it can benefit us, but I am an Activist trying to get the word out that it's not just glamorous and wonderful as it may sound, and that we should all jump in the bandwagon with it.

Someone needs to look out for humanities best interest in preserving our way of life, and I'm willing to take on that responsibility. Who can say the same?

Sincerely,
J.C.

IP: Logged

Fast
Member posted 02 January 2001 04:14

every page in every book has 2 sides..
2 sides which are to be viewed and judged..
time travel is just another page in just another book...

Fast Out

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten
Member posted 02 January 2001 16:32

Hi everyone,
With all due respect, but the story about the "Waverider" sounds pretty ridiculous compared to the story that TimeTravel_0 provided us with.

I don't think timetravel will exist for a couple of decades to come, maybe even centuries. But I strongly believe that timetravel will not be possible without the aid of a machine of somekind.

Nowadays people are said to be using 30% of their brainpower and although people have accomplished many great things, I don't believe the remaining 70% is enough to

travel through time. There are myths about monks and priests who were able to levitate by focussing their thoughts, but that's nothing compared to timetravel.

Anyone?

Roel van Houten

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 02 January 2001 17:33

Hi Roel Van Houten,
Is it still raining over there?
You forgot the weather report at the end!
I think time travel already exists.
One thing you have to remember that it doesnt really matter WHEN it was ever created but IF. because with a time machine you can travel to ANY time.
TTO has made me realize alot of different possibilities in time travel. Things I never thought of before I am now thinking on.
New ideas have sprung up. new pieces of the puzzle possibly found.

about the priests and monks...I think that would involve more the will, spirit, and amplified thoughts than just the brain alone.

Does anyone have any thoughts on the "Z" machine?

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 02 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Juanito
Junior Member posted 02 January 2001 19:52

I'm sorry but I don't believe the Time Traveler is from the year 2036. Pamela u seem like a smart woman how can you believe that he is a time traveler where there is nothing that he says could prove that he is. You even beleived the guy who called the Art Bell show and it's pretty sure that HE is a fake. The only thing that makes me think that Time Travel is possible was a incident that happened to me in 1995. It was a Saturday and I was living in Manhattan. I had to get up early to move the car from the meter. Standing in the corner of my block looking like he was waiting for the bus was a man that looked exactly like me. It really scared me. I saw him and he saw me. I just took off running (which I regret). Was that me from the future?? Or was that someone that just looked like me? I don't know and I don't think I ever will know

IP: Logged

Fast
Member posted 02 January 2001 21:30

Juanito,
TT_0 provided us with scanned government documents showing the components to a 2036 General Electric Time Machine..check out the other pages on this thread,and you'll find the url to them...

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

Juanito
Junior Member posted 02 January 2001 21:57

You mean the photos of the paper that say 2036?? I could make those papers. I made birth certficate and immigration papers that look more real then those papers. If u believe that those photos then I have a bridge to sell u in Brooklyn want to buy it is really cheap!!!!

IP: Logged

andera
unregistered posted 03 January 2001 12:30

can you tell again the link of that papers, which are you talking about, i wanna se it

pamela
Member posted 03 January 2001 06:31

Juanito-
hmmm, I don't remember ever posting that I beleived the wave rider was true.
As for timetraveler_0 , I have not posted everything we have discussed.
I have not been able to find a flaw in any of his discussions so far.
he has really opened my understanding of time travel.Things I would have never thought of before.
I will have to say, In some of his thinking he is "ahead of this time."

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 03 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Fast
Member posted 03 January 2001 07:52

Juanito,

when you have a seamless story that you came from 2036 in a General Electric Time machine and brought documents from the year 2036, then I'll buy your bridge.

TT_0 could have said bloody NASA made the time machine, why did he choose General Electric? Possibly because his story is true..?

and the documents are scanned, and look unedited. They also look photocopied.

so..

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 03 January 2001 13:47

I've been reading the last few postings with a bit of confusion. I see there is controversy over my "story" that is causing some people to ask themselves if they believe it or not. For quite a while, I have been stating that not only do I not expect anyone to believe me, it's irrelevant and in my opinion, quite dangerous. Belief implies that you accept what I say as true and real. Over the internet, this is impossible. In fact, I have stated before, there are many people in 2036 who do not believe in time travel.

As I stated before, I also think that unwavering belief is dangerous. One very disturbing thing I have noticed about your society in general is your blind acceptance of what you are told. Do you really think the news industry doesn't have an agenda? Do you really think those hamburgers you stuff into your body are safe? Do you really think your government is telling you the truth? What proof do you have of any of that?

What I do want you to do is open your eyes to the events that are happening around you that have nothing to do with me. Some of you have been reading for a while now about the war in 2015 and the breakthroughs in particle physics that would be coming soon. Doesn't the CIA report on 2015 and news on the z-field compression at least support what I've been saying a little bit? I just saw another story today about the Russians moving Nuks into the Balkans to thwart any future expansion by NATO. I also haven't heard anyone take me up on my "information experiment" on the IBM 5100 or check out the information I've given you about the UNIX failure in 2038. With all due respect... I find it hard to take some of you seriously.

IP: Logged

andera

unregistered posted 03 January 2001 15:51

I have read all the 6 pages of this board, and I can see all is about the story of mister tt_0, I only can have 1 conclusion, it's AMAZING; but just amazing, I mean the only thing we can do is believe or not, but cmon we are phisycs, we not believe, we KNOW, our knowledge is based on the brain, the believe is based on heart, it's important believe in

something but not be blind for this, i come to this board(whit another nick) a few months ago and you just talking about ways to travel in time, pure teorical phisics, but now this board seems like belive or not belive, love or not love the mister tt_0. I am not against the m. tt_0, if he travel or not, for me is his problem, i mean the first time i read the m. tt_0 i think woao!!! a real time traveler!., but a few seconds later, i was disapointed because i wanna be the man who make the time machine, i wanna be the first time traveler, and this guy come and said i travel in time, i was blue, but then i think may be i or we will be the builders of the time machine, but this only can hapen if we do phisycs, if we do teories, if we do experiments, ni mean, this cant hapen if we only are limited to belive and love or not belive and no love an "apparental time traveler", or if we just talk about "its true or not the time traveler".

In 6 pages of board you just talk about how will be the future, belive or not, our society is bad or not, cmon stop do this questions, the future we will see it in a few years, the society is so bad all of we know that, the war of 2015 will be (if be)for some valid reasons or not valid but we cant do anithing about that, or if we do it will be another line in time, so we never know if we do it or not.

So mi point is stop talk about "its true or not " and lets think about "how can we do a time travel". Just think, which one is the dream of all of us? and the chose between talk or think, belive or do it fact.

Sincerily andera

p.s. Answer me, i wanna know the comments of all of you

IP: Logged

TimeMaster 1a

Member posted 03 January 2001 18:19

TimeTravel_0:

It is not logical that you would post the papers and diagrams and picture acceopt to give credibility to your story. The reality is that you are using this forum to post your very subject views. You and I both know you are not from the future.It is not that you will not, but you can not post any evidence to the contrary.

However you have done your homework and tell a good story. Using the Karr black hole as the bases for your time travel drvice is very good, although it will not produce time travel as you claim.

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 03 January 2001 19:07

I think the point TT_0 was trying to make is wake up and look around. He really doesn't care if we believe him or not. He is just giving us a wake up call. I don't care if he can time travel or not. I am looking at the bigger picture. Him posting on this board is a small thing. So he can time travel or not. It's not such a big deal. In a world of infinite

possibilities, every thing is probable. And what I believe doesn't effect this world at all, only me.....

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 03 January 2001 21:11

TT_O

Its been a long time since anybody has had to worry about converting IBM legacy code into more modern language. I'm not sure even when the 5100 was made, I'm guessing the early to mid '70s. The term geek hadn't even been invented yet. Before 1980 only overworked men with bad hearts ever saw a computer. In short, the supply of 5100 experts is probably too thin to show up on this small board. So wadda we know?

Heck, Colonel Corsoe & Co. would have us believe that the IBM line was copied from a crashed alien sauser.

The 2038 date bug in Unix is no secret. It just runs out of bit space for holding larger date code numbers. I worried a whole lot about the Y2K bug. I got my butt fooled. I lost half of my net worth AND two years of work. Whoopie. LET the friggen thing blow up, maybe somebody ELSE will get a well needed lesson.

IP: Logged

Juanito

Junior Member posted 03 January 2001 21:23

I hope that you guys can see what I'm talking about. Look at the last post that Time traveler man posted. It's the same B.S.

I wonder if he knows of someone in the future with the initials JLR as he is 2 years old (the same age as our alleged time traveler). All I want to know is a simple fact from the future (other then the wars) like after GW Bush who will be the next President?? I mean if CNN can try to predict why can't our Time traveling friend.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 03 January 2001 23:12

Well, no post in this forum can be complete without having my 2 cents added to it =). As an Activist, I agree with some of what TimeTravel_0 has mentioned. I have also been trying to get people to open their eyes. I have a website for just that purpose. Please check it out. www.geocities.com/Athens/Atrium/9822/

However, there is one thing I would like to know. TimeTravel_0 if in fact you have been to the future, what happens to JCS- ME =)? Am I deeply involved in this Time Travel project as well? What of the resistance?

Don't want to brag, but I too have had very real dreams of Time Traveling to the future. Some would seem like days, but be only a matter of hours passed. Other times I have

visions and transmissions from the future. That's what one Dr. once said to me. I still experience these Time Distortions, or whatever they are. There pretty trippy.

Anyway's, it would only be natural that this is happening to me for a reason. => So what do you know, if you have been to the future?

And hey Juanito, I like your critical perspective. Not to critical, and not to gullible, => I sure could use someone like you in my resistance.

Truly,
Javier C.

IP: Logged

Juanito
Junior Member posted 03 January 2001 23:28

Javier
Thanks, I try to keep things real. I believe that Time Travel is possible but I don't think TT_0 is a time traveler.

Sure I will like to join your quest for the truth where do I sign up.

Pamela and the other beleivers do u guys honestly believe this guy. Or is it that u want to believe.

I believe in GOD because I want to believe but I never seen GOD.

There is a big difference!!!!

Juanito

IP: Logged

Juanito
Junior Member posted 03 January 2001 23:40

BTW
Does anyone know how big an IBM 5100 is??

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 04 January 2001 04:41

Wow!
This is some thread huh! The longest and most debated one I've ever seen on this board.

(I trust everyone had a Happy New Year for the true Millenium.)

And especially want to wish Pamela the best in her continuing pursuits for truth in the next Thousand years.

For rgrunt:

It appears I may have publicly judged you too harshly. And I hereby appologize for anything that came across as a personal attack. Your post above has opened the door to a world of dialog that we may indeed find a way to come together on. You are no longer the faceless, dogmatic spewer of antiquated rhetoric I once thought you to be. (It does seem that this "paradox" issue has taken conversation on this board into directions I never thought possible. But then... God is the ultimate paradox is He/She not?)

Please understand that when you say ... "I do admit that the information I recieved came second hand so I trully cannot vouch for the accuracy." ...is something I suspected all along but can't help myself when it comes to jumping on the particular type of dogma that it represents. No Personal offense was ever intended.

Please also understand that when you say I "...claims that creation is completely proven wrong " ...

... that I DO NOT claim THIS at all. I merely state (without CLAIMING anything at all) that the account of creation as is metaphorically described in the Book Of Genesis in The Bible, first version, is just that. A metaphor. Not a true depiction of actual history in the literal sense.

I'm not disclaiming the existence of God here, or the CONCEPT of Creation per se. Nor am I saying that in so denying, that I am therefore subscripive to the A-Theistic point of view. On the contrary.

In the true sprit of Paradox, (which this thread's topic is all about), I merely offer the easily verifiable evidence and duplicatable proof that such an occurance as the so-called Biblically depicted "great flood" is in itself a physically impossibility.

It would be a great leap of faith indeed for anyone to PRESUME from this statement that I in any way dispute the existence of God. But also be aware that while I do not refute His existence, I also do not accept it unconditionally. At least based on the words of one anthology that exists from the ancient days of Western European Mythology. Particularly since this Anthology to which I refer (The Bible) never existed in it's present form until the late 15th Century when Guttenburg invented the printing press that brought all these previously unconnected "Books" together. And even then, after much language translation from various sources such as Hebrew, Islamic, Christian, etc.

To place scientific credibility in such a document would be folly on the "wishful thinkers" of the world to say the least.

This is not to say that the document does not have value as a representative example of the moralistic values in any society in folklore, (including our own), but it needs to be studied in the true context of what it is. A historical account of the world as THOSE

WHO LIVED AT THAT TIME saw it. The moral lessons contained therein may indeed be timeless, but the science is purely from the point of view of the then ignorant. (No offense to them, they simply didn't know any better.)

So ultimately Mr. Schasteen, please understand that from what I see in your last post, we may indeed not be that far apart on the moralistic or philosophistic level, but at the purely scientific level, well, as Einstein said, "God does not play Dice with the Universe."

And He (if he truly exists), DID NOT flood the entire Earth 6000 years ago, nor did He "create" the Earth in a matter of what we call "six days".

"He" MAY very well have "Created" it, and the rest of the Universe for that matter. I take no issue with this nor do I advocate the possibility either way. I'll leave the possibility of these matters to the likes of Dr. Stephen Hawking and others of his ilk who can present logical arguments that support BOTH points of view far better than my humble ability to elaborate upon.

For specifics though, I've already provided links to a number of sites where radiometric dating processes can be studied and understood (I'll leave you to chase those down and do the same research I've already done), and hopefully leave you with the understanding that I also meant NO insult to you in any personal way.

After all, "rgrunt" and "DaViper" are just handles anyone can use to sign onto a BBS/Message board anywhere on the net and represent themselves to be anyone they wish to present themselves as.

In the end, it's the words and what one has to say that matter here.

And very little else.

Peace.

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

[Administrative Options: Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

[Hop to: Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 7)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

DaViper

unregistered posted 04 January 2001 05:16

TT_0:

Actually, I thought it was a pretty good story. I'd say your fiction skills are coming along quite nicely.

juanito:

Bigger than your palm pilot, your laptop, your desktop and even bigger than an IBM 4300 series.

But not as big as my grandfather's old Buick Roadmaster.

:~)

IP: Logged

P.Light

unregistered posted 04 January 2001 08:28

To Juanito,

My friend i know where your coming from...

I've had a ...erm...falling out with T-T-0 in the past as you have no doubt seen if you have read the past messages.

Let me say one thing, He knows what he's talking about.

More than everyone else on this board i might add, aside from perhaps the moderators!!

Or else why would people be asking him so many questions about theories and things wev'e only dreamed about. Perhaps your right, perhaps he is only trying to open our eyes. But do you act on the information he has given us or do you dismiss it as pure fantasy? Open your eyes and think about what he has to say! I did and so did everyone else who post or even read this board

A sidenote... Rgrunt, what happened to your blackhole contraption?

Sincerly,

P. Light

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist
Member posted 04 January 2001 09:06

Juanito,
I can't say about the others, but your right. I asked him questions I already know the answers to. If he answers them correctly, then he is from the future.
He's not the only one in this board who claims to have Time Traveled =).

Hey you and me lets stick together on this. There seems to be alot of team play action going on here. Alot of people watching each others backs, if you know what I mean.

Someone needs to set them stright . Well talk to you all later.

Truly,
Javier C.

IP: Logged

hello
unregistered posted 04 January 2001 12:12

The government would have pulled those diagrams off of the web page they are on if they really believed timetraveler_0.
timetraveler_0 would have been traced and located, spied on and eventually his device stolen from the basement.
yep, happened to someone else I knew.
they even posed as the person for awhile.
you never know who you are talking to on the internet.he is right about that.
IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist
Member posted 04 January 2001 13:49

That's true, even I'm being watched, and I haven't even posted anything of a national security nature .

-J.C.

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 04 January 2001 15:49

Hi everyone,
Pamela, to respond to your previous post:

yes, we've had some snow over here, but it's raining cats and dogs again as usual.. :-)

From our point of view, lets just say "this worldline", timetravel does not yet exist. To put it in other words: timetravel will (probably) exist in the future, but assuming time goes by in chronological order it does not exist yet.

If we take a "non-linear" approach to time, timetravel does indeed already exist. It all just depends on the way you look at things. I guess we're both right in this case.

As for Timetravel_0. I'm very sceptic about the story he has provided us with. But it remains an interesting story nonetheless. It doesn't matter whether we believe it or not. At least he's caused a 6 page thread and he made people think about certain aspects of modern society. It's only logical that someone from the future has no gain in proving that he really is a timetraveler.

So lets just stick to the subject of timetravel instead of proving or disproving the story of Timetravel_0.

As for Juanito and TimeTravelActivist. Listen very carefully, I shall only say this once ;-) Perhaps it's a good idea to start a new thread called "The Resistance" or something similar. That would be a great opportunity to discuss the "danger" of timetravel and recruit new members.

Greetings from rainy Amsterdam, it feels like I'm freezing yet the water that falls from the sky does not :-))

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 04 January 2001 17:31

The thing that I picked up from Mr. TT_0's recent post is that he seemed to be saying that time travel is not something you believe in or disbelieve. That is not how things work, you must discover and experiment not just take in what others may say. If people just sat around saying I believe it is possible to fly and never went out and tested it then we would never have made aviation possible. Likewise, we can neither definitively

accept or deny TT_O's claim of being an actual time traveller until physical and hence experimental proof of time travel is obtained.

My past inquiries of TT_O were merely for my curiosity. I have never accepted or denied his claim. Although, I must admit the easiest and most uncomplicated solution would be that he is not. As far as that wave rider person, his statements on the fax are contrary to our historical line and hence I do not buy his story. I personally do not see how time travel could be possible just using the physical body and mind anyway.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 04 January 2001 19:38

thats why you work FOR the government...

so they cant steal your work because it is funded by THEM..

there work is usually less fringe science and more proven stuff,and they dont allow errors(error is a kind and benevolent god of inventors..jk)

TT_0,

in the 2036,do they still publish books?

if so,do they still have those Cliff Notes books?the yellow ones,about things like physics and geometry and common time displacement theory and such?

hint hint...

is the government regulating the time machine you used to get here,or are you free to do as you choose?

TimeTravelActivist,

why does everyone of your posts have to include something about IOTM??

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 04 January 2001 22:38

Roel van Houten,

You must be new here... Or else you would know.

I have started threads for the purpose of recruiting members into my campaign, how you mentioned I should. Some have gone to 7 pages as well... Might want to look them up.

In addition, to FastWalker2.

I only mentioned my website twice. What are you talking about me mentioning it every time I post? Count them...

Gotta go buy food for my cat , c-ya.

-J.C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 05 January 2001 09:15

TimeTravel_0,

So do you plan on keep avoid answering my questions? You been awfully quiet since I've returned... Time Traveling must keep you very busy huh.

-J.C.

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 05 January 2001 10:53

Dear Deviper,

No offence taken I appreciate the posting for it taught me a good lesson not to post something that I can not readily anylize with my own senses. I will look up the information and if I find anything that supports either side I will post it at a later date. I will not endorce it til I have done the experiments myself though to ensure accuracy. I also have a great deal of respect for you in that you seem to be a man that truly seeks for the truth and are carefull to accept only the truth. The bible does say that those who seek the truth shall find it so I wish you success in your endeavors to sift out the truth of things and hope you to have a happy new year.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 05 January 2001 13:19

Dear P-Light,

I appologize for the delay. I am now teaching myself geometry and calculus for I did not have the opportunity to learn these forms of math in high school. I was lucky to get a chance to learn algebra. After I have finished teaching myself these subjects I believe that I will have the knowlege to convert the theory behind the black hole device into a mathematical statement using calculus. Graphic proofs are great but all of the physics journals I have read are written using calculus to represent mathematically whatever measurement is being discussed in that particular journal. As for the device itself in light of my lack of education I went ahead and contracted it's development to a research and development firm by the name of Davison and Associates. The device is to be a generator for sale. But the generator operates on the same theory in that increase in electrical current and voltage is obtained by constricting a parallel probagating e and h field to a smaller given space. I am not aware of whether compressing and electric field

or an electric field will power output of a generator but I know that focusing a magnetic field to a smaller area increases the strength of the field in that area like sunlight focused through a convex lense. And I believe one way to increase the electrical output of a generator is to increase the field strength of the magnets being used to generate the electricity. So I cannot see why the device will no produce higher electrical voltages at higher amperage. (all parts are powered by dc current)If one tries to focus a magnetic field that is generated by an alternating current the field will decrease in amperage as the field is constricted on acount that the frequency of the field is increased as the field is twisted up. Imagine a spring, if you will, and let each revolution in the helixical spring determine the frequency. If you twist the sring in the one direction the distance between the spring crests and troughs will decrease as the spring is tightened thus increasing the frequency of the spring. As a ac current frequency increases the ac output decreases. I imagine that dc is different. I could be wrong in my interpritation of the ac theorum I just stated.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 05 January 2001 13:46

In 2036, community life is a bit different. People are valued and judged based on their contribution and worth. Work is organized around the family and the value of that work is assessed inside of the community. Most communities range in size from 1000 to 4000 people. If a family wanted to move from one community to another or if a son or daughter wanted to move to another community, they must apply and be interviewed by the community leadership council. During this process, the family or individual is evaluated as to whether or not the work or skill they have is required or necessary to that individual community. Once accepted, the family or individual is expected to uphold their end of the work and support the community. If they don't, the community stops supporting them and they are forced to change their attitude or move away from the community.

The family work we did was picking, sorting and shipping oranges by sailboat up and down the coast of Florida. We were expected to produce a certain amount for the community and a certain amount for other communities as agreed to by our CLC. In exchange, we received power, water, a certain amount of food and other necessities that were produced inside our community.

I see this message board as a small community and I have no other way to value the contributions of others on it other than what my past experiences tell me. I have tried to answer as many questions as I can without being annoying, repetitive or inappropriate... and for some of you entertaining. Under these conditions, I have decided to seek guidance from all of you, the other members of this community, as to whether or not my postings are of any value to the direction of these discussions. If they are getting distracting or repetitive, I will stop and continue to enjoy reading your thoughts and ideas.

((Who receives the Nobel Prize for inventing time travel? Surely, since there is a divergence from your time line such information would be of no consequence to divulge.))

There are a great many people involved with the discovery of time travel. Just as I will not give "stock tips", I will not divulge their names as that may impact their lives now.

((Timetraveler_0 have you ever heard of the "Waveriders"?))

No, I can't say that I have although I am in no position to say if it's true or not.

((What could you surmise, as to what might happen, as a result if you provided us with copies of various news articles in relation to "Technology Reports" published a year in our future, or any "Time" after (Such as in your "Worldline" as you so describe?))

If I had any and I published them, I'm sure they may have a large impact. Unfortunately, I don't have any with me. Even if I did, I'm sure they would be scrutinized also. Again we get back to the same question. If you were a time traveler, what would you do to establish your credibility?

((You said that there were 7 other time travellers that you knew of who were on various missions from 2036 on your timeline. I am curious have people in 2036 been visited by people from further in the future? One would think that once time travel was possible and widely known that visitors from other time frames would be more likely to be visible and willing to be upfront about their visitation to the period after time travel, A.T.T (after time travel)).

No, I am not aware of time travelers visiting my worldline in 2036. However, that does not mean it can't or isn't happening. Also, the possible number of worldlines a time traveler might arrive at would place the chances of them hitting any particular one at very long odds.

((However, there is one thing I would like to know. TimeTravel_0 if in fact you have been to the future, what happens to JCS- ME =)? Am I deeply involved in this Time Travel project as well? What of the resistance?))

I have no idea what happens to you in your future. There was a resistance on my worldline but their goal was to maintain power and control over other people. We killed most of them by 2020.

((Does anyone know how big an IBM 5100 is?))

I would say its about 20" long, 10" high and 30" long.

((I've had a ...erm...falling out with T-T-0 in the past as you have no doubt seen if you have read the past messages.))

I'm not aware we had a falling out. I apologize if you think that's the case.

((in the 2036,do they still publish books?

if so,do they still have those Cliff Notes books? the yellow ones,about things like physics and geometry and common time displacement theory and such?
hint hint...))

Yes, books are still published. If I had any cliff notes with me I would let you decide if they should be posted or not.

((is the government regulating the time machine you used to get here, or are you free to do as you choose?))

The displacement machine is not mine but I am free to make certain decisions based on the experiences and information I gather from each worldline. I am expected back but from their perspective, I will only have been gone for a split second.

((So do you plan on keep avoid answering my questions? You been awfully quiet since I've returned... Time Traveling must keep you very busy huh.))

I'm not sure what questions you are referring to. You did ask one question about yourself, right below the link to your website. I am confused why you would think I would know anything about you.

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 05 January 2001 15:24

Thank you rgrunt. Peace and success to you likewise.
trott:

Well said.

I think sometimes poeple confuse "open minded" with "gullible".

Open minded is when you are ready (open) to recieve any information that can be enlightening or even just plain subjective to you. In this, all things are possible.

Except...

Being gullible. This is when you unquestioningly accept something just because someone else says so. One has to do one's own homework to get to real truth.

Gullibility then deteriorates into the worse condition of all. Self imposed ignorance. This is where one accepts as true, that which has **ALREADY BEEN PROVEN** to not be so. Or continues to believe that something is **NOT** so when it has been proven to be true. Those who still believe the world is flat fall into this last category. And they are **STILL** out there.

Maybe TT_O IS a Time Traveller. But his reluctance to offer any proof of such damages his credibility. Saying he "doesn't care" whether he is believed or not is really nothing more than a cop out. And allows him to side-step the issue of proof.

I cannot say for sure whether Time Travel is, or ever WILL be possible. I simply don't know. But I have a certain amount of confidence that TT_O is NOT one.

His story IS creative. But the physics just don't add up.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 05 January 2001 15:39

Well that was a pretty interesting picture of the future you painted for us TimeTravel_0. Although, that is just 1 version of events. And your complete disregard of your Time Line will cease to exist now. Telling us this, will without a doubt change all that you described.

If in fact it's true hehe.

Personally, I know already that life will turn into one big collective in the future. Hence my resistance...

Individuality as people in the way we lead our lives, is no longer our choice. Then you know that my resistance will fight for the freedom to destroy oppression.

Further more, my identity in the future would most likely be changed ... Nevertheless, if you have been to the future, you know who I am.

No doubt about that...

-J.C.

[This message has been edited by TimeTravelActivist (edited 05 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 05 January 2001 15:40

(No Post)...

[This message has been edited by TimeTravelActivist (edited 05 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

observer

unregistered posted 05 January 2001 19:44

TTO-

we enjoy reading your posts very much.please continue. we enjoy your contributions.
You are obviously a very important part of this small "internet community".

IP: Logged

timetravel_1

unregistered posted 06 January 2001 12:45

TT_0:

I belive you, i dont know why but i belive you, but i think the other guys need a real clue for belive you, so, i think you can take a photo of your clothes and post it, or your credencial, because if you work for the goberment, you need to had a credencial of the gob in the future, and of curse you need to have clothes from the future, or you travel nude?

And what about the social system in the future, its so like socialism, only there one thing wrong, on socialism theres no religion, so please tell me, in the future the church stop to steal money, and manipulate people, or how works the structure of the church in the future?

I had just another question, what happen in the future whit mexico and the latinamericans.

Atte: a fan of you, TT_1.

IP: Logged

Juanito

Junior Member posted 06 January 2001 16:02

TT_0

There is an expression that is used here in this "time".

Shit or get off the pot.

Just start naming historical figures in your "time" or stop saying that you are a time traveler

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 06 January 2001 16:33

Well, what do we have here ? There seems to be some Latino homiez in da house here... I'm part Hispanic too bro's.

Hey, listen up... As a Time Traveler to the future my self, I have seen the uniforms. I have even put one on. Therefore, if TimeTraveler_0 can offer us a picture of his uniform as proof, I will verify it's legitimacy with the one I wore.

However, I wouldn't count on you actually telling us the truth... I know people, and I can sense when they are telling the truth, and when they are lying.

Isn't that right people? (Those of you who know me, when have I ever been wrong about people?). I told you so, so many times .

Anyway's, if you can get this picture and I know you can't. I would like to establish a real-time chat. We will invite 3 or 5 members to represent each side.

Your side, claiming to have Time Traveled and making a big public notice about it. And me, who will set the record straight and who will verify your story. Let me just let you know right now; this won't be an easy chat for you. There will be no more posts where you can think of what to say and take your time with.

You will be caught in lies either by me, or my side of members.

So, are you up to the challenge? Answer A.S.A.P. by Go or No-Go. However, if your answer is No-Go, please supply a statement saying why.

Got to go for now.

-J.C.

[This message has been edited by TimeTravelActivist (edited 06 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Juanito
Junior Member posted 06 January 2001 16:38

Javier
If you are really a time travler how is the President after GW Bush?

IP: Logged

timetravel_1
unregistered posted 06 January 2001 17:31

TimetravelActivist:

I'll go

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 06 January 2001 19:12

To Timetravel Activist;

you've been here longer than TT_O and we are still waiting for YOU to prove that you have ever been to the future. I'm going to the future too, one day at a time. When I get there, I'll STILL be waiting for you to prove it.

Here is a little test for you. What is your opinion of the Montauk material?

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 06 January 2001 19:22

timetravel_1 whom's side will you be arguing for?
Anyone else?

Juanito, I am not a Time Traveler like TimeTraveler_0 claims to be. I didn't get into any machine from the future or anything like that.

No, my connection to Time and its nature is unique. I've had it since birth. There is no way to truly explain how I know or seen the future. Nevertheless, everyday I'm finding out new things .

And about GW Bush, I don't know what to tell you. Although I have an uncle that looks like him .

-J.C.

IP: Logged

Juanito

Junior Member posted 06 January 2001 19:31

J.C.
Can u predict my future??

Why is the government watching you??

Juanito

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 06 January 2001 19:39

Shadow,
Have I never explained my self?

Thousands of times, and in the best way I know how.

How many times have I said that the future is a @\$#%!* place?

How many times have I said to band Time Travel?

Have you ever seen my website?

It's been there for the longest time, explaining how I feel about Time Travel, and what we as concerned people should do.

I don't need to say I am a Time Traveler like TimeTraveler_0 to tell you what I have seen. In addition, I don't claim to have been from the future how TimeTraveler_0 states he is. I have explained that I have this connection to it, enabling me to see things.

Check my past posts and my website, I don't speak of it directly like TimeTraveler_0 did, but you get the general idea of what I am trying to mean.

Here it is again <http://www.geocities.com/Athens/Atrium/9822/> also read the information about me page.

Sincerely,
Javier C.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 06 January 2001 19:51

TT_0 thanks for the reply RE: sharing future technological reports, or publications...
You have expressed an inability to provide them now, for lack of having any with you,
before you arrived in our "Worldline"

Could you please make a note, to remind yourself to bring them with you on your "Next Visit" here?
(Providing there will be another "Visit")

Meanwhile, why not use your memory to paint us a more "Specific" picture of your worldline, by providing us with some more "Detailed" information that would provide to those who may be more skeptical? in the least by accepting this challenge (instead of avoiding it) what harm would it possibly bring? if you keep out any information that may not be acceptably permissible in order to prevent any clandestine repercussions of the future outcome of a series of events which are crucial to our future to come, so that they may play out their roles, as they were intended, I can only see that there are still many variable details that you "CAN" Disclose to us that would not be this detrimental, and only "Add" to your Credability.

One good example of such, I would like to ask you to disclose the names of these "Five Presidents" that you mentioned earlier.

*Who:> Who are they?

and who are those involved with breathing life into this supposed NWO, that many people in our current world-line are so afraid of?

*What:> A.)What are their primary, and post secondary functions within the New GVT?

B.) What is the extent of their Authoritive positions of power?

C.) What is our New GVT like, compared to our worldline's current GVT?
(is it anything Like the Dreded NWO as predicted?, or did this dictatorial NWO rise to power as prohecised, and suddenly get defeated?*(was this what you implied by your earlier comment represented by the nuber of those slain, that attempted to "control" the free citizens?)

*Where:> Where do they reside?

*When:> When do each of them officialy acquire their respective positions of Authority?

Why:> Why did the New GVT suddenly enlist five Presidents?

(Anyone else care to jump on this & add more questions pertaing?)

IP: Logged

Juanito

Junior Member posted 06 January 2001 19:51

JC

Why is the gov't after u??

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 06 January 2001 19:56

Juanito,

Slow down high speed ... I don't know your future; it's what you want it to be. If you are determined to do something with your life, then you will do it.

How I am determined to do something about Time Travel someday, I know what will be my fate.

Nevertheless, if your looking for a fortune forecast, I'm not the right person to see about that. Maybe not now anyway's .

-J.C.

P.S. How I know I am being watched? You asked me in an e-mail. Let me just say that if you were to spend a day in my shoes you'd see what I mean. I can't explain it, you have to experience it. A teacup cannot break the same way twice, or so I once thought.

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 06 January 2001 23:49

Assuming time travel is possible. I do not see how one could band it from being used. In fact, how would one even know that it was used? If you buy into the multiple universe intepretation of quantum mechanics, would not the time traveller simply pop out of existence in our universe never to be seen again? How would it be possible to band time travel in the infinite multitude of parallel universes, since each universe represents one of an infinite albeit different sequence of events/choices? To "fight" against the infinite diversity of existence in all of its infinite combinitations does not seem logical (at least to me that is). And if you do not buy the multiverse idea, then if time travel is to be used it can not be stopped since time travel would only be possible on closed time like curves, i.e. self-fulfilling destinies in a manner of speaking. Personally, I do not even see how it is possible for one to realize that they were in a closed timelike loop much less escape it. For all things would be as they were as they are and as they will be. Actually, a lack of multiple universes seems a little depressing to me. It seems it would imply an unchangeable fate, for whatever actions we take we were destined to and no matter what technologies we may think up would be able to erase the mistakes of our past or change the past course of our existence. And if that is in fact the case, the only real benefit time travel would have is for scientific and historical purposes. Unless, you were killed by a time traveller from the future but if that happens you need not worry because it was suppose to happen!

IP: Logged

Lara

unregistered posted 07 January 2001 01:15

I like your thinking Trott.

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 07 January 2001 07:06

I also like your thinking trott. The idea of "banning" Time Travel has already been dealt with in fiction. I can't remember the author, but it appeared in one of the Hugo Annual Anthologies.

The premise is, if you have a machine that can travel in time, you can just as easily use it to simply "see" into the future (or past) without having to actually travel there.

You can set it to whatever period you like. 1 million years from now. Or 100. Or even 1/10th of a second from now.

Why would you choose this latter setting? Why, to see into your neighbor's bedroom of course. 1/10th of a second into the future is virtually like being there now.

This is why it was banned.

:-)

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 07 January 2001 13:14

DaViper,

i wasnt aware that time travel had been banned..

Trott,

if they wanted to ban time travel,"they" could kill you off when you returned..

TTA,

i remember some time ago on the artbell show,some woman claimed to be a "born time traveler".She said she would occasionally slip in and out of other time lines.she said she always returned to where she left off in our time.

is this the way it is with you?

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 07 January 2001 16:02

Hi people,

I agree with Trott here... Banning time-travel (if time-travel is possible in the first place) seems virtually impossible.

However I find it very disturbing that people would want to ban time-travel. That's like trying to stop the invention of computertechnology. Time-travel may prove to be very useful in the future.

TimeTravelActivist: You are right, I haven't been around long enough to know what you're all about. However, after reading your website I decided that your story is just as trustworthy as Timetravel_0s's story, but it lacks evidence. Yet you want him to prove that he's a timetraveler. Don't you think that's a little bit unfair?

Greetings from rainy Amsterdam

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 07 January 2001 17:20

Roel van Houten

How is it unfair? He says he can prove it, he has the evidence necessary to.

What do I have? Just my own experiences as proof. If anything, it's unfair to me. I have nothing to bring out in the open.

Fast,

I'd like to hear this news broadcast. Sounds like something I might be experiencing.

Once when I was 7, I told my sister, Abraham Lincoln wasn't supposed to have been killed. Ever since then, she still thinks I'm a bit crazy . Go fig...

-J.C.

P.S. Banning Time Travel to exploit the past is what I meant. You people took me to literal, everybody knows that that's what I always mean by banning it ...

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 07 January 2001 21:36

TTA,

she said that she had woken up in other times, and came back with bruises that she didn't know where she got..

ever since Art Bell quit the show, they've stopped holding his Streamed Audio Shows, so you'll probably have to look around..or call Art.

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

P.Light

unregistered posted 07 January 2001 23:53

To T-T-A

Im in though i will have to decide "For" or "Against" i shall message you when i have an answer.

Sidenote No.2-----Gullible or Open minded?

Makeing a long story short:--- OPEN MINDED

(Take in the information given, opinions of others, your own opinion, throw in a few theories, Quotes and more information, and go from there!) Naturally there is more to that but the basics are there. Its all about the scientifics. Who would have thought that we could clone animals? Whats to stop us Cloning people?(As you may have heard)

P.Light

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 08 January 2001 21:14

Good evening, morning , or afternoon everybody,

For a year or two I have started to pay attention to a phenomenon that most people including myself had noticed but taken for granted. The phenomenon is that some days appear to be longer then others. I cannot count the number of times that I felt it was 5:30 pm in the afternoon then go to check the clock and notice that it is only 2:00 pm, merely an hour after I had last checked. Also, I cannot count the number of times that I have thought that it was 2:00 pm in the afternoon and go to check the clock only to find out that it is 5:30 pm. One or two years ago I began to suspect that time itself was indeed fluctuating. So on days that felt longer I asked others if the day was going by fast to them. To my surprize the answer was unanimous. Everyone also felt that the day was going by slower then usual, too. I was looking at an astronomy book last week and noted that space-time is expanding. At the begining of the universe the temperatures of the universe was extremely high and decreased as space expanded. Now I questioned whether there would be any difference if the actual size of the universe were getting bigger as the universe expanded keeping space uniform in density or whether the size of the uniform were fixed and the addition of new space-time resulted in an everincreasing space-time density. I reasoned that the results would be the same for energy occuppies space. If the quantity of energy is kept constant and more and more space is crammed into the quantity energy ones first intuition is to assume that the energy per unit volume will increase as a result of the increased compression of space. But this is wrong in fact the energy per unit volume will decrease as a result of compressed space. The reason is that when one compresses a greater quantity of space-time into a constant quantity of energy the energy occuppies a greater volume of space. As energy occuppies more space the density of the energy decreases as a result of expansion of the energy which is defined as energy occupying greater volumes of space. Now If mass occuppies a greater quantity of energy: the energy(that is not mass) will expand and decrease in density. Also energy is generated by friction as the mass is crushed to a smaller volume. This extra energy is neglected in the former statement in that it is the free energy in the form of heat/light that we are interested in not the energy created by the crushing of the mass nor the energy added by the exertion of kenetic force to crush the mass to a smaller volume. As mass increases the energy expands. As energy increases mass expands decreasing in density which is the principle behind the function of hot air baloons. As space increases exponentially and as the number of points increases exponentially the density of space is increased. As the density of space is increased the temperature of space is decreased as the constant thermal energy constant occuppies more space. If space increased from all pionts no energy will be created by friction since no space is forced to move into tighter quantities on acount that the number of points is increased symetrically to the increase in volume of space. Now as energy is increased per unit volume time becomes accelerated for that volume as is manifested in a heated object as the molecules of a heated object is sped up relative molecules in cooler masses outside that object.

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 08 January 2001 21:26

I noticed last week that time was dragging nearly taking up nearly twice the time then normal for a given day. I also noticed that it was sunny and the humidity level was low and thermal properties high. Today is monday and I and the rest of the people I worked with noticed that the day went by dramatically faster then normal...taking up nearly a quarter the time for a day then any given day last week took. I also noticed that there was a large increase in humidity and it even rained today harder then it had in the whole year. As temperature within water decreases within water the molecules slow down and time also slows down for that object on account that time is a measurement of a number of events occurring per given instant multiplied by the velocity of those events squared. (If those events have a velocity of light) and the number of events accuring per given instant multiplied by the velocity of events.(if the velocity is subluminal) I could be wrong in that time may be the number of events times the square of the velocity regardless of the velocity with respect to the velocity of light.) So as water increased in the atmosphere the energy perunit volume expanded by occupying the water molecules in our area resulting in a decrease in the velocity at which time traveled within our given region which is why my day went much faster today.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

WntUlikeToknow

unregistered posted 08 January 2001 23:12

E.G.S

Do you realize that the english language lies mortally wounded at the feet of your previous two posts?

Ok, so time is subjective. Scientists disagree.

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

[Hop to:](#) [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

Contact Us | Time Travel Institute

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: Ultimate Bulletin Board, Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum

Time Travel

Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 8)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

Time02112

Member posted 09 January 2001 05:42

BTW TT_0

Care to elaborate any further info on the "Other" Time~Travelers from "Your" World-Line"???

*What are the other TT's worldline destinations, and missions?

*Are any of them, besides yourself, on our current worldline that you are aware of?

*Are you in contact by some special means with any other TT's? (if so, How is this done?)

*How is it possible to send a message through Time?

(Please Review my earlier Questions)

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 09 January 2001 09:28

((Could you please make a note, to remind yourself to bring them with you on your "Next Visit" here?))

I will not be returning to this worldline.

((Meanwhile, why not use your memory to paint us a more "Specific" picture of your worldline, by providing us with some more "Detailed" information that would provide to those who may be more skeptical?))

I think skepticism is a good thing and no one should lose it.

((by accepting this challenge (instead of avoiding it) what harm would it possibly bring?))

I'm not sure what "challenge" you are referring to. If you mean the live chat, I have no problem with that. I do that quite often on other boards. However, I fear I have very few bread and circuses left and I fear I am becoming quite boring. Also, I'm not sure I fully understand the nature of the challenge.

<http://communities.msn.com/THETIMETRAVELCOMMUNITY>

((if you keep out any information that may not be acceptably permissible in order to prevent any clandestine repercussions of the future outcome of a series of events which are crucial to our future to come, so that they may play out their roles, as they were intended, I can only see that there are still many variable details that you "CAN" Disclose to us that would not be this detrimental, and only "Add" to your Credability.))

Again, I do not seek to add to my credibility. There is no point to it. Actually, by providing information that was usefull, I would be adding to your collective fear that I am real. In that case, this cycle we are in concerning "truth" only spirals and gets worse.

((One good example of such, I would like to ask you to disclose the names of these "Five Presidents" that you mentioned earlier.))

Over the past few postings, I have tried to describe the limits of what I will talk about and why. Here is a short recap list. In future postings, I will place the following number next to each question as to why I will not discuss it.

1. I will not disclose any information that will cause someone to personally gain by its knowledge. This means no stock or sports tips.
2. I will not disclose any detailed information that would allow someone to avoid death by probability. This means no earthquake or bombing information.
3. I will not disclose any information that may compromise any future actions by individual people or threaten their family and well being.

(((*Who:> Who are they?...)) ----- 3

((...and who are those involved with breathing life into this supposed NWO, that many people in our current world-line are so afraid of?))

On my worldline, we are no longer afraid of the "NWO". Are you afraid of Nazis?

(((*What:> A.)What are their primary, and post secondary functions within the New GVT?))

The reason the job of President was split into an office of 5 has 4 main reasons. With 5, foreign policy is more consistent, power shifting between parties has less of an impact on the overall government, individual strengths between presidents add to the strength of the overall office, and one president is elected for each major area in the United States.

((B.) What is the extent of their Authoritive positions of power?))

The office of President is far more diluted and decentralized than it is here. The powers of the national government are more defined and reside more at the county and state level.

((C.) What is our New GVT like, compared to our worldline's current GVT?))

I think the new government is good. However, since the concept of nationally subsidized welfare is gone, most people here may not appreciate it.

((*Where:> Where do they reside?))

The new US capitol is in Omaha Nebraska.

((*When:> When do each of them officialy acquire their respective positions of Authority?))

The voting for individual candidates is on a rotating schedule.

((*What are the other TT's worldline destinations, and missions?))

I am not aware of the details of other missions. Of the seven, three had already left before I did. I suspect they are on similar missions.

((*Are any of them, besides yourself, on our current worldline that you are aware of?))

No, the chances of that are very slim.

((*Are you in contact by some special means with any other TT's? (if so, How is this done?))

No, although I would suspect that is not impossible I have no idea how you would do that.

((*How is it possible to send a message through Time?))

Unless the information physically travels with the person, not that I'm aware of.

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 09 January 2001 11:01

I appologize,

I meant to say that time was accelerated and the energy per unit volume lower at our respective position as a result of the increased density of air as a result of increased humidity. I donnot mean to say that time actually slows down but sequence of events are accelerated outside the region of higher humidity with respect to those events within the region of higher humidity as a result of the area of higher humidity having a lower energy density then the region of lower humidity. This is indeed counter intuitive and requires a unique perspective of the model to totally understand. Most scientist would agree that higher energy densities occupy masses of higher density. This is because the masses of higher density will have atoms with more electrons and protons enabling higher angles of energy deflection within the mass prolonging the period of time required for the free propagation energies to permiate through the solid medium. When I speak of eneries I am refering to the electro-magnetic spectrum. I would like to appologize for butchering the english language in my last two postings, but I was on a timer and did not have time to hit the 'spell check' button. In short, I really do wander if there is a sort of time dialation within the atmosphere caused by the fluctuating levels of temperature and humidity. Can anyone coment? I was also realizing that by compressing energy to a smaller volume of space that space would likewise expand taking on lesser density even without having to stretch at all. This would mean that the total volume density of a volume of space is defined mathematically as $S=1/e^2$ where S is the density of space and e is the density of energy. (a side note to Plight: this is part of the mathematical model for the device in that as the radius of the magnetic field decreases to zero at 180 degrees torque: the energy density of the field increases to infinity as the space-time density decreases to zero. Beyond 180 degrees torque the energy density of space space-time aquires a negative density according to the equation $S=1/e^2$ where e^2 rises above infinity(infinity not being true infinity but a convenient label for the unknown limit value of e^2 .)and the corresponding S value takes on a negative value.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 09 January 2001 11:03

I appologize,

I meant to say that time was accelerated and the energy per unit volume lower at our respective position as a result of the increased density of air as a result of increased humidity. I donnot mean to say that time actually slows down but sequence of events are accelerated outside the region of higher humidity with respect to those events within the region of higher humidity as a result of the area of higher humidity having a lower energy density then the region of lower humidity. This is indeed counter intuitive and requires a unique perspective of the model to totally understand. Most scientist would agree that higher energy densities occupy masses of higher density. This is because the masses of higher density will have atoms with more electrons and protons enabling

higher angles of energy deflection within the mass prolonging the period of time required for the free propagation energies to permeate through the solid medium. When I speak of energies I am referring to the electro-magnetic spectrum. I would like to apologize for butchering the English language in my last two postings, but I was on a timer and did not have time to hit the 'spell check' button. In short, I really do wonder if there is a sort of time dilation within the atmosphere caused by the fluctuating levels of temperature and humidity. Can anyone comment? I was also realizing that by compressing energy to a smaller volume of space that space would likewise expand taking on lesser density even without having to stretch at all. This would mean that the total volume density of a volume of space is defined mathematically as $S=1/e^2$ where S is the density of space and e is the density of energy. (a side note to Plight: this is part of the mathematical model for the device in that as the radius of the magnetic field decreases to zero at 180 degrees torque: the energy density of the field increases to infinity as the space-time density decreases to zero. Beyond 180 degrees torque the energy density of space space-time acquires a negative density according to the equation $S=1/e^2$ where e^2 rises above infinity (infinity not being true infinity but a convenient label for the unknown limit value of e^2 .) and the corresponding S value takes on a negative value.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 09 January 2001 11:19

It sounds more like your backing out TT_0.

Why not answer my posts directly and on the same week as I posted them?

You sound fearful...

You say you cannot disclose information that may threaten to change people in this worldline personally. Though you have no idea how much you have changed people already with your big mouth.

Let me ask you something... Let's assume for a minute that you are a Time Traveler. Tell me, what is the policy when encountering an unknown variable?

You came into this discussion board for reasons that only you would know. To accomplish something that you thought would benefit us? Or to relinquish some make believe fantasy you had?

Who knows...?

But hey, don't get me wrong, I used to play Cops and Robbers too. Super heroes are cool. But when you came here, you didn't come here as a call to save humanity... did you? No, there was something else wasn't there?

Well, it sounds to me that your stay here is numbered. I can only imagine what peril this will bring upon you once you get back.

I can only say, thanks. You've restored my will to keep up my fight to stop people like you messing up the TimeLine.

And oh, that unknown variable, it's me. You didn't plan on encountering resistance. Especially a TimeTravelActivist. Hey this sounds more like something that would happen at the end of a Scobby Do cartoon . "You rotten kids..."

I suggest you don't underestimate the past and think you can waltz in here assuming nothing can go wrong. You may be the Traveler, but I'm a native to this land. Take a lesson from history, and just respect people's domain.

That's assuming that you are a Time Traveler. But personally I think your just playing into people's fascination with TimeTravel. Why else would you be here, in a TimeTravel discussion Forum, claiming to be a TimeTraveler? You tell people what they want to hear, of course there going to believe you. You only keep them guessing and their fantasy going.

I gotta go, but you just think about doing that again in another message board, you can expect the same results. Someone out there, is a TimeTravelActivist just like me, ready to speak out. Remember that...

-Javier C.

[This message has been edited by TimeTravelActivist (edited 09 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 09 January 2001 12:24

To: TimeTravelActivist

I apologize for being unable to respond faster. I looked back and couldn't find any questions but I may be mistaken.

I also see that you seem to have a great deal of anger directed at me. If you knew where I was and how to get the distortion unit, what would you do? What judgment and punishment should be passed upon me?

On this and many other discussion boards are people who are genuinely interested in and hoping to experience or discover time travel. What punishment would you apply to them if they succeed? I see that you too have had time travel experience. What punishment do you deserve?

When time travel was discovered, there were many people who were against its development. However, once the true nature of time was realized, the resistance faded. Even if one worldline was able to ban, kill and stop all time travel, it will continue on another.

However, the corollary is also true. Take heart, on some worldline, you have succeeded and all time travelers are dead by the hand of your followers and thinking or talking about it is a crime.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 09 January 2001 12:25

To: TimeTravelActivist

I apologize for being unable to respond faster. I looked back and couldn't find any questions but I may be mistaken.

I also see that you seem to have a great deal of anger directed at me. If you knew where I was and how to get the distortion unit, what would you do? What judgment and punishment should be passed upon me?

On this and many other discussion boards are people who are genuinely interested in and hoping to experience or discover time travel. What punishment would you apply to them if they succeed? I see that you too have had time travel experience. What punishment do you deserve?

When time travel was discovered, there were many people who were against its development. However, once the true nature of time was realized, the resistance faded. Even if one worldline was able to ban, kill and stop all time travel, it will continue on another.

However, the corollary is also true. Take heart, on some worldline, you have succeeded and all time travelers are dead by the hand of your followers and thinking or talking about it is a crime.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 09 January 2001 15:47

TT_0,

You still didn't answer my questions. Instead you went off the subject, and attempted to blame me for something I have no control over.

Let's set the record straight here. If TimeTravel is used wisely, ethically, and with intentions that don't allow for an advantage in which ever side is using it. Then I am fine with it.

But, if it is used to go back and change events in favor of a world governments hidden agenda, then yes I would like them to be punished. How would you like to be exploited? Who likes being used...?

So in retrospect, I sense you have anger in me, for having morals and a sense of ethics on this issue of Time Travel. I don't want this ability to be used by eager selfish people who want to play God with life.

Excuse me, but I may be a bit old fashion, but traditions and ways of life are things to hold on to. Sure, advancement of the human race is a good ideal to have as well, but not through the means of Time Travel.

So in conclusion, I still await your answers to my questions. What do you have to lose that you haven't already messed up already.

I mean no disrespect, but you don't seem to have the wisdom that I would expect someone from an advanced future would have. Your ideals are too liberal, much like in the 70's where things were all about Sex, drugs and free love. Nasty...

No, The worldline you described sounds defeated, desperate, will accept everything new without question.

You sound the same exact way. Reading over your last post I couldn't help but feel that. If you are an example of what people in the future feel about life, if you per-se are like a representative of that TimeLine. I'll tell you what, that TimeLine, that world sucks.

That's all for now, I gotta get back to work.

Sincerely,
Javier C.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 09 January 2001 19:45

Hi everybody. Im back.
getting use to my new computer system.
I was going to post something here and reference it to a previous post but for some reason those two postings have disappeared and I have been over and over pages 5,6,7,and 8 and cannot find it at all.

It was a posting by TTO replying to ,I believe ,Trott. he made a cryptic message to him asking him if he ever heard the "Princeton string quartet play." and trott responded back that he knew who the scientists were in the Princeton string quartet. I cannot find these two interesting postings at all. Does anyone know where they are? The only one that can delete postings completely are the moderators.MOP,DYMENZION did you delete them? Trott and TTO are not even registered to edit their postings.

My question is WHAT HAPPENED TO THOSE
POSTINGS????????????????????????????????

Anyway I cannot refer back to them now so I will just tell you one of CERN'S employees has recommended to me to read "QED" the strange theory of light and matter. by Richard P. Feynman. I was hoping it was not a big book when I got the book, at the top of it, in purple and white were these words:

PRINCETON SCIENCE LIBRARY

(Princeton, New Jersey
Princeton University press)

anyway I am curious about the missing postings. TTO take a look back...do you know what happened to it? if so write me.maybe I am just overlooking it.although I checked over those pages 4 times!

thanks.

pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 16 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 09 January 2001 22:37

I too have made reference to this (QED) Book, matter of fact I just lent it out of the TAP-TEN Library to Gary Schasteen on his last visit to my house!
I am suprised that this was overlooked?
Oh well, I am also a very talented musician.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 10 January 2001 12:03

I found it, it was in the parallel universe thread. I knew i had read it.

TTO:

"You're a physics student, have you ever heard the Princeton String Quartet play?"

TROTT:

"Mr. TT_0,

I am familiar with the Princeton String Quartet. They are physicist who are working on string theory at the Advanced Institute of Physics at Princeton University in New Jersey."

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 10 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 10 January 2001 01:13

E(8) x E(8)?

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 10 January 2001 15:27

$(E8) \times (E8) = ? \quad S = 1/E^2$

$E^2 * 64,$

$E^2 = 1/64$

$S = 1/64$

$S^{1/2} = ((1/3)/8)$

$1 = .999...n$

$1^{1/2} = .333...n, 1 \text{ and } -1.$

If E is energy density and S is Space-time fabric density.

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 10 January 2001 21:08

$E(8) \times E(8) = \text{SuperGravity}$

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 10 January 2001 21:14

Mr. TT_0,

You mentioned a divergence from time lines. How is it possible to measure such a divergence? I would assume that it would be impossible to calculate how causes of one single event would propagate into the future. Does not chaos theory make such determinations impossible? Even if I gave you the exact position and velocity of all objects in the universe (which is impossible(I can not even give you the exact position and velocity of a single object due to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle)) you could not tell me what the future holds. Of course this results from the fact that the objects do not represent individual closed systems but in fact can interact.

P.S.

You said 6 curled up dimensions. The current theory suggests that there should be at least 7 curled up dimensions. It was discovered by Ed Witten that if you added an additional dimension that the 5 slightly different versions of string theory would combine into a single theory, which is often called M-theory.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 10 January 2001 23:10

((You mentioned a divergence from time lines. How is it possible to measure such a divergence?))

The measurement for worldline divergence is an observation variable isolated to the distortion unit. An effective analogy would be a "gravity radar". The unit's sensors take a "snapshot" of the local gravity around the unit before a flight. During travel, this baseline is periodically checked to make sure there are no major changes in the environment that would cause a catastrophic mass failure (brick wall appearing from nowhere). The percentage of VGL divergence from one worldline to another is a calculated guess by the three computers that control the unit based on its starting point. It is useless in describing characteristics of individual worldlines.

There is a bit of folklore about the first distortion driver who reaches a destination with a zero divergence. This would mean they had traveled on a spacelike trip to their own worldline of origin. This paradox is quite possible although highly unlikely. I wonder if anyone out there can take current string theory and make that one work on paper?

((You said 6 curled up dimensions. The current theory suggests that there should be at least 7 curled up dimensions.))

I may be mistaken but I thought it was pretty well established now that (N -10) was on track.

IP: Logged

TimeMaster 1a

Member posted 11 January 2001 02:46

What is a WORLDLINE?

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 11 January 2001 03:58

Direct quote from the Home Page of this Board:

"The Time Travel Institute, although entirely fictional, still respects your privacy."

(Smile)

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 11 January 2001 05:03

TT_0,

I take it you have no further comments or intentions of answering my last post?

Considering what answering may undermine, I can respect you sudden silence.

Anymore dialogue between you and me and I could very well end up discrediting your claims of being a Time Traveler.

Or on the other hand, may very well end up supporting my point about Time Travelers intentions.

Either way, if you wish to have a real dialogue, and meet my challenge, I still await your answer. In addition, don't answer what and when is convenient for you. There have been a few past posts requesting that you supply answers to. And you have only come up with what seems to be something that is just convenient enough for someone to quickly study in a book and post as your own knowledge.

So enough stalling...

Have a nice day,

Javier C.

P.S. Here's another one you can try answering for me . I been meaning to ask you, what is your fascination with posting "kill" as your futures means of punishment? This is the 2nd time you'd mentioned it. Don't you have a criminal justice system in the future anymore? Or is "killing" just your thing?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 11 January 2001 09:04

I must admit TTA, you have succeeded in confusing me. The more I read your postings, the more I question my understanding and local use of social interaction, courtesy and logic. However, I also believe that all viewpoints have some inherent value even if it's not apparent.

((I take it you have no further comments or intentions of answering my last post?))

I took a look at your last posting and didn't see any questions. However, I now realize that I may be mistaken in assuming much of what you wrote was rhetorical. It may be helpful if you add some indication that you are asking a question you wish someone to comment on.

((However, there is one thing I would like to know. TimeTravel_0 if in fact you have been to the future, what happens to JCS- ME =)? Am I deeply involved in this Time Travel project as well? What of the resistance?))

This is the only question I found from your postings that you could be referring to. Again, I have no knowledge of you in any possible future nor would I comment on it if I did. As far as the photograph of me in a uniform, I'm not sure what that would prove even if I had one.

((Anymore dialogue between you and me and I could very well end up discrediting your claims of being a Time Traveler.))

I do not seek credit for anything. The most I hope for (for the most part) is to be at least interesting and engaging.

((Either way, if you wish to have a real dialogue, and meet my challenge, I still await your answer.))

Perhaps I was unclear before. I did provide the web link earlier that does have a chat room set up for time travel. My schedule is a bit more flexible right now at least for the next week or so. Please take a look and let me know when you will be there (open to anyone of course). Since there really is nothing to be gained or lost, I look at this not as a challenge but more of an opportunity to get to know everyone better.

((In addition, don't answer what and when is convenient for you. There have been a few past posts requesting that you supply answers to. And you have only come up with what seems to be something that is just convenient enough for someone to quickly study in a book and post as your own knowledge.))

I'm not sure what you mean by that. In earlier postings, I have stated that I'm trying to avoid repeating myself and frankly there are some items that are just over my head or that I have no knowledge of. It is curious that you feel knowledge can be something owned or somehow that becomes less worthwhile if it's passed on.

((P.S. Here's another one you can try answering for me . I been meaning to ask you, what is your fascination with posting "kill" as your futures means of punishment? This is the 2nd time you'd mentioned it. Don't you have a criminal justice system in the future anymore? Or is "killing" just your thing?))

Perhaps you could take the dialogue in question and post it with your question. However, if I understand you, you're asking about death on my worldline. Yes, it is more a part of our lives than it is yours (at least for now) and capitol punishment is a reality.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 January 2001 10:41

TT_0,

why would you travel back to our time looking for a UNIX Bug Fix when the people in your time could simply try to fix it themselves??

what will you be using UNIX for,BTW?

In the future,are the overall temperatures cooler or hotter than they are here and now?

in an earlier post,you said Skeptisism isnt a bad thing,but you seem to back down to TTA and answer few if any of his questions..Why?

FastWalker2

[This message has been edited by Fast (edited 11 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 11 January 2001 11:35

Uhh ya =)... Good point there.
Gotta get to work, more later.

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 11 January 2001 11:49

I don't believe I ever said I came back looking for a UNIX bug fix. I came back for a computer system. Don't you find UNIX usefull now?
Temprature is about the same although there were anomalies after the war.

Fast... if you are able to translate exactly what the questions are, I would be happy to try and answer.

Just curious....what does everyone think of "IT"? (ginger)

IP: Logged

Buzz

unregistered posted 11 January 2001 11:55

I have some questions for Time traveler_0,
I see you take things from this world to bring back to your own world. books, computers what have ya. as well as probably the other TT do as well.
Do you pay for those items? or do you just "steal" them?
what do you pay with if you pay?
If you dont pay how is it justified to steal from another world?
could not a TT (time traveler)basically take whatever they wanted from any time?
for example: They would have knowledge of when a shipment of gold was being transported by truck and they could go in and attack the truck and steal all the gold.
which would be good for any country or time and do what ever he wanted with it.

They could set themselves up as a King in ancient Egypt and rule the world at the time. They will have all the power and all the money they could ever want on this world as well as on others.

what stops you from doing this as well?

Are they careful who they pick to time travel? do you have to take psychological tests before you are chosen?

what attributes should a time traveler have in your opinion?

What are some things they look for when they chose someone for a mission?

If they pick a bad seed by mistake and send them time traveling and that person does harm is there anyway they can go after that person? I am curious about all of this.

thankyou in advance for answering my questions.

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 11 January 2001 12:54

Interesting, if you are from the future, you could tell us just exactly what IT is. Since no one will know for sure until probably 2002 according to news reports. The picture that I saw on ABCNEWS.com of what they thing IT is did not seem to impressive.

IP: Logged

TimeMaster 1a

Member posted 11 January 2001 13:45

TimeTravel_0:

Since you can travel from Worldline to Worldline perhaps you can tell me what a worldline is?

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 January 2001 14:19

TT_0,

there was only one question and you answered it.

if one looks over the temperature charts from the past back to when they first started making them,there is a pattern.

every hundred years or so there is a 10 year period of higher and lower than normal temperatures.after these 10 years,the temperature levels out.

I read somewhere we were at the end of those 10 years,so wouldnt that mean that in your time the temperatures would be not as extreme?

also,what exactly is IT?

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 11 January 2001 15:29

Buzz,

It's no use, he won't answer your question about Time Travelers ethics. Cause he's got none.

I tried having him to comment on it, yet he hasn't.

After all, he comes from a world where there is capital punishment. The ends justify the means for Time Travelers, as I always been saying.

-J.C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 11 January 2001 15:31

Buzz,

It's no use, he won't answer your question about Time Travelers ethics. Cause he's got none.

I tried having him to comment on it, yet he hasn't.

After all, he comes from a world where there is capital punishment. The ends justify the means for Time Travelers, as I always been saying.

-J.C.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 January 2001 16:51

didnt TT_0 say in a previous post that we were looked down on by future generations, if he is from a "parellel timeline",how would he know this??
perhaps TT_0 really is a fraud?

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 January 2001 16:56

i got an error from the board and tried to resubmit the message, and it popped up in repeat..

FastWalker2

[This message has been edited by Fast (edited 11 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 January 2001 16:57

another repeat post..

[This message has been edited by Fast (edited 11 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 11 January 2001 19:38

I was considering all the pictures that were submitted of UFO'S on all the different TV programs. It seems that very few people are likely to believe in something that is far more believable than time travel. Not that I am a skeptic of time travel for if I were I would not be trying to provide the method and energy source to enable that and other feats. But most would only believe in UFO's if one were to land in their back yard and even then most would question their own sanity before proclaiming the obvious. What possible evidence can be produced over the internet to convince even a minute few that the time travel has occurred? I would say that collective seeing on a constant basis such that sightings become as routine as eating toast for breakfast before all and few would be convinced. I recall that TT-0 stated that even on his world line most of the population do not believe in time travel. I would say how is a time traveler going to convince a group of people from our own generation that time travel has occurred when the same feat in his/or her own time line would take quite an amazing feat. I believe that to even consider the possibility of time travel takes a person of faith. And it takes a person who chooses to believe in time travel to truly traverse the necessary intellectual and economical barriers to accomplish that goal. I was considering using the superluminal waveguide where microwaves are forced through an iron bar such that the group velocity is greater than light as resonance to enable the iron bar to less virtually conduct the tachyons from my source by decreasing the density of space-time that separates the tachyon source from the subluminal energy source and thus correcting the space and time dilation of the superluminal and subluminal entities within the iron antenna. This will decrease the density separating the subluminal energies from the superluminal energies and increase the magnitude of the tachyon field permeating our iron antenna. (please forgive any misspellings I am in quite a hurry). This is all just an extra I believe that the above is not necessary but will serve as maybe a tuner or rectifier. (I hope I am using these terms right). By increasing the density of a parallel propagating e and h field to infinity by generating a double phase field and bringing them in phase within a region; then creating a pressure on the field by running a conductor through the field of infinite density will result in the generation of subluminal negative energy. That is a positronic output. By introducing the superluminal microwaves one will conduct the tachyons at a

higher probability rate as the fields increase in density to infinity--at which time the conduction of tachyons will increase in probability-which is amplitude-to an infinite amplitude.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 12 January 2001 01:30

Buzz:

Very good.

It's like the old joke from 2 or three years ago regarding why "Star Trek" will never be a reality.

1. The transporter - All ya gotta do is transport to the Met Museum of Modern Art in NYC, steal all the big \$\$\$ paintings, and retire. Nothing you ever own will ever be safe again once the transporter is invented. This works just as well for TT-O's methods as well.

2. The "Holodeck" will be the last invention of Man. Think about it.

So why wouldn't Time Travel? Human nature. Ever meet anyone who didn't wanna be somewhere/when else?

(Smile).

IP: Logged

huh?

unregistered posted 12 January 2001 12:00

I have been to the TIME TRAVEL COMMUNITY that time traveler_0 mentioned on the 9th but it has not been up for two days. everytime you go there now it says "Hmmm we can't find that page."

what happened to it?

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 12 January 2001 19:44

rgrunt,

I'm just curious about something. You seem to be TT_0 biggest supporter. How old are you by way? Cause it sounds as if he's your role model or something .

-J.C.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 12 January 2001 21:24

TT_O

(Just curious... what do you think of IT (GIger))

We ain't supposed to know yet. But I do sincerely thank them for adding an element of suspense to my life.

I've spent the last 12 years learning to cope with some of the most virulent, malignant, and chronic uncertainties known to man. When somebody adds one more to the pile I just burst out with giggles.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 12 January 2001 22:37

rgrunt

your always posting some of the longest and largest collections of rhetoric nonsense i have ever seen in all my life.

your message starts out saying people believe in aliens because it is more believable than time travel...and??

why exactly do people who dont believe time travel is possible come and check out the time travel boards?

why...nevermind.

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

Chick_Magnet

unregistered posted 13 January 2001 12:21

First off, why would anybody even want to kill their grandparents? Just kill yourself if your that depressed. Don't bring your family into it. Or make sure your parents don't fall in love. It's all bullshit.

IP: Logged

Magnetic Chick

unregistered posted 13 January 2001 04:34

Ooooo.
Good one.

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
All times are ET (US)
[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)
Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia](#)
[Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)
The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a
© [Madrona Park, Inc.](#), 1998 - 1999.

Thread Closed
UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 9)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 13 January 2001 15:20

Fast,
the first part of the letter was to the general audience of the forum. The second is to certain persons who I confer with to add to their knowlege concerning a project that we are involved with. The last part of the letter is part of a much larger technical conscept only those who work closest with me would be able to decipher, understand, or utilize that knowlege. In short I somtimes use this to relay knowlege to my coherts. Even some of them will not fully understand this knowlege until I am able to break it down and expain what I mean. For this is merely to transfer the knowlege while giving away as littly usable technical detail as possible. I will reword the first part of the paragraph: I simply mean to state that most of the population does not believe in UFO's despite the numerous sightings. And that if the majority will not accept the existance of UFO's the majority will not accept the existance of time travel either.
cheers,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 14 January 2001 04:39

rgrunt:

Ah, OK. I get your point. I'm not one who has ever seen a UFO and also know that there are a lot of phonies out there claiming to have experiences that are either deluded or just plain lies from the outset.

But...I can't help believing that the existence of extra-terrestrials MUST be so on the sheer logic of the odds alone. I'm not CONVINCED they exist, but I can't see how they could NOT. This is not faith, just deductive reasoning.

Time Travel on the other hand is something I would LOVE to believe as a possibility. Would jump at the chance to endorse if someone somewhere could give me just a glimmer of hope, faith, logic or even reasonable speculation in the mere possibility of. But alas, one burning question remains unanswered for me. How does one travel to a where/when that actually does not exist in the first place? In other words, how is it ever not eternally here/now?

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 14 January 2001 04:43

This thread has gotten lame. If it isn't some guy claiming to be from the future, and backing down when he knows he can't answer my questions. It's rgrunt, making no sense at all.

I think the moderators should just end this thread, and put it out of it's misery.

Unless we see some real dialouge going, we're way off topic here.

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 14 January 2001 10:11

Hi,

With all due respect mr. TimeTravelActivist, but you to have contributed to the decline of this thread. To be honest, TimeTravel_0 had more useful things to say than you.

I'm not at all convinced he is a timetraveler, but his posts often carried some information that I could think about. Ask him some clear questions instead of vague stories.

Greetings from rainy Amsterdam

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 14 January 2001 17:02

With all do respect timetravelactivist, I am somewhat relieved that you cannot or choose not to comprehend the information being delivered. Some info is better left to the experts which is why I am not building my own invention. You are not the only one who is apprehensive of the prospects and consequences of the development of time travel. One who I would call a friend is borderline paranoid at the prospect and has threatened recourse any such device is developed. I can honestly say that my original intention was not the development of a time travel device, but an energy source to enable the production and distribution of massive amounts of energy for industrial use and/or consumption. The nature of the device as I developed it revealed quite accidentally the possibility of yielding sufficient energy for the manipulation of time itself. It appears that the two limiting factors that are holding back technological progress is the limitation of energy and energy containment. It is like we used to say in aircraft mechanic school, if you give anything enough thrust you can make it fly as is proven by every successful flight of any given harrier fighter jet. If you can produce enough energy I suppose anything is possible--even time travel. I will tell you one thing though, I will not be deterred from the development and implementation of this device--even if it must be developed behind closed doors. I feel that it is time for the world to take serious measures into the energy crisis. We have, so I have heard, about twenty-five years worth of fossil fuels. Here is one possible temperary solution to prolong the consumption of energy. I have heard that the radio-active gas radon is quite plentiful on the lunar surface. Radon happens to be one of the radio active gasses produced by the degradation of Uranium Metal. One option may be to develop NASA drilling teams to go to the moon and search for uranium deposits. If there turns out to be quite a large supply of uranium on the lunar surface that we might be able to develop spacial containers to collect and preserve uranium over the next 25 years while we still have the fuel sources to do so. This might give us a couple of hundred more years of electrical energy on our planet to research for an answer to the energy crisis by continueing research into discovering the ultimate energy source. Since the current form of economy is limiting us by being so dependend on oil and other competitive businesses I thought that maybe a new economy system would be worth considering. If we were to take the economic losses acruied by businesses that fail to succeed on the open market and set up a virtual account and allow that estimated monetary loss to be recirculated back into the economic system in the form of virtual tender in the account of the failed business to spend only on research and development. The failed business can use the cash to develop advanced products for sell. The failed business is then free to spend the profits gained by the newly developed products for personal gain of that business. The bussiness can pay a small tax on the money gained to support the goverment. The oil companies will be satisfied for no inventions can put them out of business on account that any losses acruied by the oil companies as a result of alternate energy sources can be claimed by the oil companies in the form of virtual cash for them to spend on other products such as computers and etc. The more worthy competition the oil companies gain the more money the oil companies gain which is true for everyone. The oil companies will promote the development of advanced tachnologies both on their own and by supporting the competition. Everyones losses will be everyones gains. We can still allow the current money system be usable but can also allow for monetary systems to be kept on massive computer accounts in the form of supercomputers. By having this set up we will use the natural human trait of greed to reinforce our development and in the mean time decrease the crime rate by allowing all persons to have the right to purchase shares of a given business through the stock market. I bet that we if this system will allow every one to gain that we would have no problem getting people to

sign petitions to bring this bill before congress. So what does everyone think? Is this a good idea? Don't hold back now?

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 14 January 2001 18:33

Hmmm.

The more I read rgrunt, the more I tend to have respect for him as a person. I started out being very critical of him, but in the end...

He makes no outlandish claims, only speculations and the reasons he makes them. All in all, this seems quite clear to me and not the least bit confusing.

Whether one agrees with him or not is beside the point. That's in individual right. But his pronouncements are by his own admission, speculative and as such, come across in an open minded sense. It doesn't appear to me he's trying to "snow" anybody. I can't say the same for all others here.

And his thoughts on energy levels relative to Time Travel seem to be right on topic as far as Paradoxes are concerned. i.e. - Maybe Time Travel is possible IF enough energy can be generated and contained/controlled. But that's the paradox. So far, we have no way to do this. Maybe we never will, maybe we can solve it. But a "Paradox" is only ever really that. Failure to understand a problem that seems unsolvable, or the realization that there never was a problem in the first place.

As I've said before, "Paradox" is an invention of the mind, not something that ever actually occurs in Nature or the Universe. They only SEEM that way to us due to lack of understanding.

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 14 January 2001 18:41

TTA:

May I politely offer that perhaps the reason you think this thread is getting "lame" is that at the moment it is not filled with folks who simply take someone else at their word when they make claims of what they can do.

How is this any different from TT_1 making claims and then sidestepping all attempts to get him to show verifiable evidence to back them up?

If one requires gullibility in others to be successful in one's arguments, then the arguments can't have much merit in the first place.

The solution to Time travel, (if there is one), requires solid scientific investigation, verification, and publication of said verifiable results for confirmation by others qualified to do so.

As yet, there is little of that going on here.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 14 January 2001 20:28

ookkaaayyy .

I'm an Activist, or did you forget that. If you boys and girls can't see that, then I don't know what to tell you.

I post what I think is another side you people are over looking. And from the sounds of your posts, your completely oblivious to perceiving that side.

If it wasn't for me on this thread, you wouldn't have asked TT_0 the questions I did. And for that, he is now backed down.

Why? Who knows? Maybe he realizes any further comments on my part, such as ones he cannot answer will hinder his fantasy role playing.

Don't get me wrong, I like fantasy stuff. But if he is what he says, he will answer my comments and show us proof. I would if I could.

Now let me ask you something, did you think about seeing it that way?

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 14 January 2001 21:56

TimeTravelActivist

Quote: "I am an activist, or did you forget that....."

Are you sure your don't mean "agitator"?

Quote2: "But if he (TT_O) is what he says, he will answer my coments and show proof. I would if I could."

Right guy, "*I* would if I could." Does the word LAME have any meaning for you? When are *you* going to prove *your* story? Never thats when. But I'm sure that won't stand in the way of your coninuing to run your mouth.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 15 January 2001 01:40

Man Shadow, you sound like a real @\$\$-hole in that last post you know. At least the others said their peace with a little respect.

I have been trying not to attack anyone since my return. And look at you, you hypocrite. Calling me an "agitator."

So what would you have had me say? I have often times attempted to speak of my experiences and try to make sense of them. If I could somehow, find some way to prove to people of what I am going through is for real, believe me I would.

Why is it so hard for someone to prove something if he can? Especially more when he claims he's what he is.

Roel van Houten,
What vauge stories are you talking about? Can you point one out to me, please ?

DaViper,
Gullible people? Not in here... you must be mistaken.

-Javier C.

[This message has been edited by TimeTravelActivist (edited 15 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 15 January 2001 04:45

TTA:

I don't think I accused anyone of being gullible here have I? I've stated what I think that term means, and how it pertains to those who allow others to get away with any argument in an unquestioned manner. I don't believe I've pointed a finger at anyone in particular tho.

Frankly, in my opinion the board is LESS lame when it contains sceptics who keep the conversation going in a speculative manner, rather than when it becomes a forum for any one person to direct the conversation while all others simply nod in agreement.

If a soultion to Time Travel is EVER to be found, it will come from stimulated debate, which fosters creative thought and experimentation, not "preaching to the choir".

This may be fun and all for problems that have already been solved, but Time Travel has not. Not to any degree that satisfies MY scepticism anyway. This is not to say I declare it to be impossible. But I DO think thought in other than the traditional directions (Time Machines, Parallel Universes, etc.) is in order to reach a solution if there is one. The aforementioned are merely old ways of conceptualizing it that have ultimately produced, well, nothing. In the way of any PROOF that is.

I doubt seriously that the answer lies in EITHER of these two approaches. But, I COULD be wrong about that.

Thanks for your response.

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 15 January 2001 05:00

P.S. TTA:

As far as your personal experiences are concerned, I'm not critisizing what you say you experience. You sound like a genuine person to me who is relating what you feel and even the sometimes confusion you feel by having had these experiences. That in itself speaks to your credibility.

Since I have not had the experiences you have, I can't comment either way. It would seem that your experiences suggest that Time Travel is more a spiritual experience than an actual physical one, but even that which can be described as the "spiritual" side of each of us as individuals, remains undefined itself does it not.

Ergo, I cannot dismiss them nor can I accept them out of hand. No criticism intended there. Just ponderance of the fact that to me they are "interesting".

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 15 January 2001 08:52

Thanks DaViper,

For clearing things up for me, and for others on this board as well (hopefully).

Basically that's what I mainly been trying to get across in my recent posts. That we shouldn't go along with what someone says. I have only been asking the questions, no one has bothered to ask.

And that upset-ted a few people, forgive me folks...

Hence my little sarcasm in that last comment I made to you; "Gullible people? Not in here... you must be mistaken." Get it ?

Nevertheless, it's not my place to try and convince people about my experiences. Cause it's never been about that, it's always been about being critical of Time Travel, and Time Travelers intentions. Some how someone keeps bringing up something about my experiences, and that I can't prove anything, so why am I even talking if I can't prove anything my self, is the point someone's been trying to impose on me.

So then, the topic isn't about my comments of my last post anymore, but of what someone criticized me on. Then everyone gets to talking about it, as if this is what I am trying to convince others about. huuuaggh.

It makes a big mess, it confuses everything. I haven't made any stories, nor have I even spoken of my experiences. Yet, it may sound like I did because that's what everyones talking about. Further more, I would like to see if we can go back before all this confusion started. It was when I posted a few comments for TT_O to respond, say about 4 days ago.

They were about his intentions as a Time Traveler. Ethical, or not? Disregarding his own Time line by spilling the beans. Says alot, don't you think.

Sincerely,
Javier C.

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time
Member posted 15 January 2001 09:06

[This message has been edited by Enforcer of Time (edited 15 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time
Member posted 15 January 2001 09:08

P.S. You might be wondering why the new name. Well I got the idea for this name after playing this cool RPG game. "The Wheel of Time." Just thought I use a new name, as a change of pace.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 15 January 2001 12:04

In the post that follows, I've tried to answer the latest questions directed at me but I am hoping you all may be able to add some insight into something I've noticed. In our attempt to communicate here, some of the comments on this board have become increasingly hostile and negative. I see the same type of interaction when I watch news interview programs. The guise of productive interaction and communication is thwarted by illogical verbal attacks and misdirection. I understand why the news does it. They are trying to hold an audience by generating conflict. For a while, I thought that was the goal here too but it appears that anger and conflict is being created on this site to cause genuine harm and pain.

Its hard for me to believe that this is being done on purpose so I have concluded I simply do not understand some hidden element of your collective social interaction. On the other hand, if its being done for no reason, I would understand a little better how people in this time could accomplish so much and yet be so vulnerable to their emotions and fears. I think it was Thomas Jefferson who believed that the only way to sway opinion was through calm, respectful, intelligent conversation.

Whether I'm a time traveler or not, I suppose there are numerous ways to view my "story". By the nature of the communication medium, I believe it's impossible to prove therefore it's impossible to believe. I agree that conversation spurs ideas. If I'm not a time a time traveler, than perhaps the seemingly disjointed statements I make will actually create the idea in one of you that leads to "real" time travel.

((What is a WORLDLINE?))

Individual worldlines represent the limits and paths physical objects take through space-time under the laws of special relativity. They can be shown graphically on an x-y graph with x representing distance in space and y representing passing of time. In time travel talk, worldlines are used as a way to describe and separate the experiences of a time traveler because various laws of special relativity appear to breakdown and can't be defined on a single worldline. Worldline has also become synonymous with "alternate universe" and / or "time line".

((I see you take things from this world to bring back to your own world. books, computers what have ya. as well as probably the other TT do as well.
Do you pay for those items? or do you just "steal" them?
what do you pay with if you pay?))

I pay for these items with money. Personally, I believe stealing is wrong.

((...could not a TT (time traveler) basically take whatever they wanted from any time?))

There are mass limits to what can be taken back.

((... do you have to take psychological tests before you are chosen? what attributes should a time traveler have in your opinion?))

Yes, there are numerous psychological tests. I was chosen based on my educational background and military service. The training lasted about two years. There is a great deal of physical training to counter the physical effects of distortion. They were also looking for drivers who had a fair amount of self-sufficiency and an ability to function under extreme isolation and confinement.

((What are some things they look for when they chose someone for a mission?))

Depending on the mission, time travelers are usually chosen for a particular mission based on their ability to gain the cooperation of someone related to the goal on the target worldline. In my case, my grandfather was directly involved with the building and programming of the 5100.

((If they pick a bad seed by mistake and send them time traveling and that person does harm is there anyway they can go after that person? I am curious about all of this.))

Interesting question. There is a difference of philosophy between us that should be clarified. Since I believe that all possible outcomes and events are possible, probable and certain, it is impossible to assign "goodness" or "badness" to a person or situation. On some other worldline, I am an insane time traveler causing destruction and death

while TTA chases me with his band of devoted followers. However, on this one, I am not. Since both events are certain, their value is neutral.

Therefore, you can only assign goodness and badness to the events and experiences you have direct control over or witness. Only actions are good and bad, not people or things. I suppose if I was a psychotic killer, I could accomplish my mission, avoid capture and still return to my worldline of origin without penalty. There would be no way for them to know what happened. However, I believe that action is wrong and I would be accountable to my God.

((Interesting, if you are from the future, you could tell us just exactly what IT is. Since no one will know for sure until probably 2002 according to news reports. The picture that I saw on ABCNEWS.com of what they thing IT is did not seem to impressive.))

I suppose this question is my own fault. As a time traveler, I am expected to know every winning horse and hot stock as well as the weather in all parts of the world at all times. I was genuinely interested in your opinion of how "IT" was being presented and advertised. Do you feel manipulated? Do you think it's really a big deal? Do you like the way the news is dealing with it?

((...didnt TT_0 say in a previous post that we were looked down on by future generations, if he is from a "parellel timeline",how would he know this??))

This worldline and my own are almost exactly alike.

((How is this any different from TT_1 making claims and then sidestepping all attempts to get him to show verifiable evidence to back them up?))

Did you mean TT_0 or TT_1?

((They were about his intentions as a Time Traveler. Ethical, or not? Disregarding his own Time line by spilling the beans. Says alot, don't you think.))

How do you think my actions here affect my own worldline?

((P.S. You might be wondering why the new name. Well I got the idea for this name after playing this cool RPG game. "The Wheel of Time." Just thought I use a new name, as a change of pace.))

Is the "The Wheel of Time" one of those "fantasy" role-playing games you mentioned earlier?

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 15 January 2001 16:04

TT_0,

Ok man, I have to had it to you. Your smart, and know how to please a crowd. But you have to admit that your coming here and saying your a Time Traveler is a bit suspect. I know, that you have a hidden agenda. You have not made clear your motives, and are only giving us very little proof. Why you holding back...?

I still believe your buying into people's fascination. Your a good study in communications and science, and know how to handle upsets very well.

(You sure your not some corporate PR guy).?

Which is probably why so many people believe your story. But your not Anti-Time Travel like me. None of you have ever been manipulated by a Time Travelers plan for 21 years.

Which is not to say they are, and you just don't know it.

Am I right, TT_0? You do alot of work in the background of our society, admit it. Adjusting things to fit your futures agenda. That's the only reason you can ever have an interest in the past.

You know, I don't know why you keep making me look like the bad guy here. After all, what have I done but just ask you some critical questions. And you respond by saying that all future TTA are trying to murder you. I'm not you, I believe in a criminal justice system. I wasn't trained to kill, like you were.

What are your personal beliefs TT_0? You said you believe in God, which one? Honestly, do you think the ends justifies the means? Don't you have people regulating Time Travel?

You said your self you don't care what happens to your worldline. You don't care about your actions, and what they will affect?

You are a cheater of life... What ever happened to preserving against adversity? You take back home the solution, without trying to handle it there. And in that, you threaten to change billions of lives. And that strikes you as no big deal?

And what's worse, I am the only one on this board who has enough moral sense to see that as wrong. Watch, someone is going to attack me now.

So is there any such thing as wrong for you TT_0 while in the past that you are forbidden to do, or is it all just a free for all?

Yes, actually The Wheel of Time is a fantasy role playing game. Don't you have Final Fantasy XVIII out in 2036?

Truly,
Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 15 January 2001 20:48

RE: Ugliness on the board:

TT_O shouldn't an historian-anthropologist, even an amateur, be a bit more up to speed on psychology? There is no big mystery here. It is simple. Some people get off on telling others what to do. Others get sick of listening to it. I'd like to say here that the 'some people' I refer to, know who they are. But I'm not sure they do.

Here is a theory for you. "This whole board is a communist plot to send thinking people home doubting their sanity". (And this where you say "you don't know the half of it pal !")

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 15 January 2001 21:00

Art Bell Returns to Radio:

<http://www.coasttocoastam.com/artreturns.html>

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 15 January 2001 21:25

Although I do not post here often, I do enjoy the thoughtful discussions and mind challenging questions that arise...

The spiritual aspect of TT_O 's discussions and presentations have been especially interesting, given my interests.

smiling I chuckled at the perception of time and the knowing not with God... some concepts are indeed timeless.

Everyone needs a devil's advocate...someone to muddy up the waters...it creates an atmosphere where belief is supported by the interaction of faith, a sense of knowing, and the physical reality we each experience daily...

If we are not challenged and nudged to think beyond accepted thought, then we can not create opportunities to broaden our scope of thinking... thus experiencing.

Perhaps we do not all agree on the process by which the challenge and nudge are laid down...yet there is inherent value in all things.

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

Crono

Member posted 15 January 2001 23:24

Hey Enforcer, we have some good time travel games here in the present. Ever played Chrono Trigger? That's where I got my name from.

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 16 January 2001 03:13

Alright!

For me, this is all beginning to work now in the manner I hope everyone has intended it to. Yup. It's gettin' "curiouser and curiouser".

Enforcer: (cool name. Choose what you like, I'll run with that. And I think I'll stay DaViper for a while.)

You are right. Once everyone comes around to admitting that none of us has the answer, we can cut to the chase of REALLY seeing if we can find one. And I know we ALL would like to find one or we wouldn't be here in the first place would we.

TT_0:

I stand corrected. I mis-typed your handle and it came out TT_1 by mistake. I appologize for that.

I also stand by my assesment of what I see you doing. No offense. I've checked your web site and will say that I think the SITE is well done and interesting. But I cannot surrender my opinion that it is Fiction as you have always intended it to be. And not that bad at that.

I see you as a person who is utilizing fiction to express your opinions as to how the problem of time Travel COULD be solved. OK. As I've said before, this board itself is presented as "fiction" in the first place, as it states right on the Home Page. But... does THAT stop us from contemplating the possibilities that we ALL would like to explore. On the contrary! It stimulates them. The Web Authors here have accomplished their goal in getting the topic off the ground for discussion. It's a great site. I personally have been visiting it for over two years now under various handles.

(I'll stick with "DaViper" for now.)

So...

Since (to me anyway), the topics of "Time Machines" and "Parallel Universes" have been beaten to death, how about exploring other possibilities as to a possible solution, since these two ALWAYS lead to SOME form of Paradox which I hope we all agree CAN'T be so by the very nature of the simple fact that the Universe contains NO Paradoxes.

In short, I think there is something awry with ALL of our thinking, including MINE. But I can't put my finger on just what it is.

Peace to all.

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 16 January 2001 05:08

Just some commentary...

WanderingSoul,

I don't know where you learned it, but it sure is affective.

Crono,

Thanks for reminding me, I gotta find the EMU file for it.

Fast,

Do you know where I can find out what station he will be airing on in my location?

TT_0, care to answer my questions this time? I made sure to put lots of ??? so I wouldn't get excuses that they weren't any.

And Shadow, I don't know what to think about you. You sound awfully cold.

Good night,

Javier C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 16 January 2001 10:43

((I know, that you have a hidden agenda. You have not made clear your motives, and are only giving us very little proof. Why you holding back...?))

Rest assured there is nothing I have planned in my "hidden agenda" that will make anyone's life any worse than it already might be.

((Am I right, TT_0? You do alot of work in the background of our society, admit it. Adjusting things to fit your futures agenda. That's the only reason you can ever have an interest in the past.))

I plan to leave soon. There is nothing I can do here that will affect my home. My goals are based on the love I have for my family. Actually, my interest in the past is a result of

going through piles of half burned books and magazines left over from a war started by people you share this planet with right now. On that note, perhaps its more interesting to consider what I won't be doing to try and stop that war.

((I wasn't trained to kill, like you were.))

---> Well it's a good thing I got injured in the Army, or else that might have been my fate as well. <--- I could have sworn you mentioned you were in the army?

((What are your personal beliefs TT_0? You said you believe in God, which one? Honestly, do you think the ends justifies the means? Don't you have people regulating Time Travel?))

How many Gods are there? I believe in just one. What are your suggestions for regulating time? Perhaps a list of your "time rights" would be something to spark conversation on the board.

((You said your self you don't care what happens to your worldline. You don't care about your actions, and what they will affect?))

I'm not sure I ever said I didn't care about my own worldline. If you could find and quote that, I would appreciate it.

((You are a cheater of life... What ever happened to preservering against adversity? You take back home the solution, without trying to handle it there. And in that, you threaten to change billions of lives. And that strikes you as no big deal?))

I think I understand what you're asking. I'm just guessing that "cheater of life" is not a compliment. I can't think of a reason why my actions would be immoral and someone else's would not. You suggest I'm capable of changing lives. I suggest that I'm no more capable of that than you are. I'm just not so sure you recognize your own potential. You don't need a time machine to save or destroy people. If there was another person doing the exact same things I was but they didn't have a time machine, would they be putting billions of lives at stake and suffer your judgment?

((So is there any such thing as wrong for you TT_0 while in the past that you are forbidden to do, or is it all just a free for all?))

Yes, I believe in wrong and right. I judge my actions based on God's law. Is it wrong for me to murder? Yes it is. Is it wrong for me to teach someone how to defend themselves and they commit murder? No, I am not their keeper. Since I am leaving, I will be incapable of causing any harm. But, what damage will you do with anything I have said?

((Yes, actually The Wheel of Time is a fantasy role playing game. Don't you have Final Fantasy XVIII out in 2036?))

Yes, we have games.

((TT_O shouldn't an historian-anthropologist, even an amateur, be a bit more up to speed on psychology?))

I apologize. I am learning all the time and I wish I were smarter too. You must remember that reading about a society is one thing and being exposed to it is another.

((TT_0, care to answer my questions this time?I made sure to put lots of ??? so I wouldn't get excuses that they weren't any.))

I was not trying to offer excuses. Please forgive my ignorance. I was unaware that question marks were optional.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 16 January 2001 13:20

Well, it looks like if anybody has any questions to ask TTO...now would be the time to do so.

I have learned so much over the past few months. with the added info I have gained I have went back and reread books such as hyperspace and string theories and blackhole books I had and things are really starting to fall into place with the additional info I have gathered. pieces are being fit together to create a beautiful picture of the nature of time. it is funny as I am reading some of the old info I had and now the new I will find myself saying "oh...now I understand..."

I am very busy studying at this time.

and yes,Daviper, always searching for the truth in new theories and beyond.as I have always said "science fiction of today may become tomorrows science fact."

I am sorry that you did not respond to my e-mail for an opportunity to chat with TTO,Mr. Schasteen and time 02112.perhaps you were busy. I tried to get a hold of you both yesterday.

I have had wonderful chats with TTO.

last night djayr42(Joe)and I talked to him for I believe over three hours. He is a wonderful person and I am sorry you may never get to know that.

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 16 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Prophet
Junior Member posted 16 January 2001 15:03

Well, I must say this thread has piqued my interest. I have a few questions for TT_0: First, where does your interest in the (our) U.S. Constitution stem from?

Why do you think it is so vital for us to read and understand it?

Second, do you not like your "new" Constitution?

Or feel that it is not as effective as the original?

Third, you keep saying that you will be going back to your worldline soon, how soon will this be?

Can you give a specific day? Or week?

I have many questions for you and would like to be able to know how much time I have left to ask them of you.

rgrunt:

Could you please space out your posts to make it easier to read - it is very difficult to read a post that is in one large block of words compared to one that has each thought, or idea, seperated by spaces.

Prophet

Edited for clarification

[This message has been edited by Prophet (edited 16 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 16 January 2001 19:03

TT_0,

I don't think you got my point... But your leaving, and I'm tired of telling you. So I just don't care anymore.

Yes, I was in the Army... But I was injured, I didn't finish going through BCT. In addition, I guess you can say I had a change in perspective about life, after my accident.

I don't wish to comment any further about that...

And as for you saying you didn't care about your worldline, here it is, you saying it.

Excerpt from: Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 2)

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 12 November 2000 16:41

(Have you considered the possible adverse consequences the person might face that you had post them for you since you remain covert? or don't you care since it is not your world line. what about the people that read the information and attempt to build one? what if they get injured in the process because they are not knowledgeable enough to handle the forces they are playing with at this "time".)

Yes, I have considered it but it is very easy to remain hidden behind a veil of disbelief. The people who understand what they are seeing are not aggressive. Everyone else just finds them entertaining. The obvious first answer is that the only world line of consequence is my own and I don't care what happens here. That however, is not the case. I have shown these documents in order that people might consider the possibility. I do not expect people to believe them.

Enjoy,
Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 16 January 2001 19:47

To TT_O

Is it physically possible for you to get back to THIS time line once you leave?

If all 7 Billion of us here each had our own time machine do you think that would we would end up trashing the rest of the local worldlines?

Recomended movies:
Arthur C. Clarks' 2001
WaterWorld (Kevin Kosner)
Dances With Wolves
Chrimson Tide
Backdraft
Forest Gump
Little Big Man

There are a lot of good movies of substance. Good movies like good books are more than a mere passtime. I've got one heck of a book collection that I probably won't need come 2036. Any suggestions on where to drop it off? (It weighs about 3000 pounds.)

Watch out for the time cops on your way out.

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 16 January 2001 21:19

chuckling

Enforcer:

I shall take it that was NOT a typo...

still chuckling

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 16 January 2001 21:50

What's so funny WanderingSoul? If I didn't know better, I could swear your laughing at me.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 17 January 2001 12:37

To TT_0, You have made a difference. You have at least opened some people's eyes to the possibility of time travel and what is going on around them. But like I said earlier, you will leave, and the memory will fade. Some peoples eyes will not stay open very long. Other people though, will grasp the spark, hopefully making a difference. I think this has been a positive experiance. I hope it has been for you too.

Safe trip home, and God speed. Maybe we will talk again some time ;~)

Curious

IP: Logged

P.Light

unregistered posted 17 January 2001 02:03

To T-T-A,

Let me just say this.

Even before you came here criticizing this founn and T-T-O , i was here from the beginning, asking the same questions. I have been watching this forumn and watching the same questions being repeated over and over to T-T-O.....

He doesn't answer your questions because there is no reason to. Read back and you'll see what i mean. He/She has told us before to read his previous posts for answers and yes, there they are.

To R-Grunt, Could you please, in future ,write your answers in paragraphs?
Much easier to read, I might say. Thank you.

.....P.Light.....

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time
Member posted 17 January 2001 03:45

P.Light,
Well excuse me... I just saw the post the first day I got back to this board, and jumped right in to respond. As I'm sure that's what most people in this forum do anyway's, am I right?

I read all his past post though. And that's why I cut and paste something that he said. The man said to quote him, or did you not read that part...? He still hasn't replied.

You seem to know him pretty well, are you his friend or something? I tell you, a man can't get a decent unbiased review in this board now a days.

Well maybe from DaViper, he doesn't sound like he's on anyones side.

Good night,
-Javier C.

P.S. I'll bet you the next topic after my post will be on sides. Care to place any bets who will take a crack at it?

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 17 January 2001 11:29

In the future, sociologists spend a great of time discussing the collective mentality before the war that led to the demise of "Homo Materia". Many of them point to an experiment that was done in the 1970's or 1980's. The experiment isolated various sizes of rat populations in varying cage sizes with varying food and cleaning schedules. It

was discovered that no matter what, there was a certain ratio of rats to space that once overtaken by population would always lead to aggressive and destructive behavior in the rats until enough of them had died or been killed to get back under the ratio. This was true even when the rats that were given plenty of food and had their cage cleaned every day.

Besides the occasional school or office shooting and violent video game, I can't help but think about that experiment every time I see someone stranded on the highway or walking on the side of the road carrying an empty gas can. I ask my parents why we don't stop and help and they tell me they are afraid of being attacked and of the possible consequences of helping someone they don't know. I would respond by pointing out that it's our duty to help someone, not just because it's the right thing to do, but because we can never know that person's true worth and the risk of losing then is too great. I didn't fully understand their stubbornness until I saw a news story about a doctor who was sued for applying emergency first aid to an accident victim who died. I believe your society is biologically geared for self-destruction. However, I feel strongly that does not excuse me from my responsibilities as a temporary member of this community.

Although TTA is a bit quirky and eccentric, he does belong to us. He's this community's quirky and eccentric guy and although he can be aggravating, I can't help but feel protective of him. As I'm sure most of us believe, under all of his postings, he has some interesting things to say. When I first read one of his postings, I first shake my head and then I begin to question my own understanding of not only the English language but of the real meaning of the odd things he brings up. If it's done on purpose, it's quite effective. I am often forced to go back through the dictionary or grammar handbook just to make sure there's not some obscure punctuation or grammar rule I was unaware of.

As an example, when I read his mission statement, I do think the effect of not writing a complete sentence before the period is dramatic but I am forced to examine the word "it's" and wonder if it represents the contraction for "it is" or if it means the possessive of the understood subject from the first part.

Please understand, I do not point this out to cause harm. People are often first judged by the way they write and speak. I can't help but think a great deal of anger is a result of frustration to make ourselves understood. Throughout our lives, a real understanding of how to communicate would help anyone express themselves better. As I find myself double checking how to use the English language I only recommend that TTA do the same. I am quite anxious to his ideas or set of rules on the use of time travel.

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 17 January 2001 11:46

Although, I do not wish to take sides in this argument. TTA if you re-read what you quoted, you will notice something.

(Have you considered the possible adverse consequences the person might face that you had post them for you since you remain covert? or don't you care since it is not your world line. what about the people that read the information and attempt to build one? what if they get injured in the process because they are not knowledgeable enough to handle the forces they are playing with at this "time".)

Yes, I have considered it but it is very easy to remain hidden behind a veil of disbelief. The people who understand what they are seeing are not aggressive. Everyone else just finds them entertaining. The obvious first answer is that the only world line of consequence is my own and I don't care what happens here. That however, is not the case. I have shown these documents in order that people might consider the possibility. I do not expect people to believe them.

TT_0 says that the obvious first answer to the question is that he must not care for what happens on any worldline except for his own. But notice immediately after that sentence he says that is not however the case. That the true answer is that he just wanted people to consider the possibility and that is why he posted the material. He never says that the only time line he cared to protect was his own.

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 17 January 2001 20:14

I beleive the experiment was called "Hell's Kitchen."

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 17 January 2001 20:24

i dont understand why all these people are like "TT_0 touched me and opened my eyes to the world'..

and TT_0 seems to change his opinion of the people of "this" time every other post of his.

first he despised us,now he admires us for shaping the things to come??

FastWalker2

PS No tt_0 no questions were directed toward you in the making of this post.

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 17 January 2001 21:09

TimeTravel_0..

It has been fascinating to read your insights on the social flaws during this time period. Since you are not a part of this society (or at least new to it) it is more obvious for you than many of us.

Your observations strike a deep meaning with me, because I've spent the last 4 years writing a science fiction audio drama about the greed of humanity around the year 2000.

What disturbs me is that, yes, there are many who understand these problems of greed. Most of us know it exists, but cannot attribute the source. Some think greed is the necessary thread which tightly holds our economy together. There are the many that just accept greed as the normal way of life, even though they know there is something wrong about it. Action is never taken to fix the mindset of what money is really for.

There are always those who enjoy cheating, weaseling, and playing tricks to gain false respect. Those people thrive on knowing how to get ahead. As long as they win their steadfast race to personal satisfaction, they will always influence others. It doesn't matter how many of us become outward, collective, and self-less... the greedy individuals will always rise.

So TimeTravel_0, having lived through the self destruction of the human race, what sort of future do you imagine after 2036? Will humans colonize and expand through the solar system?

Or will we be forever bound to our inward existence, measuring the past, fearful of the future.

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 17 January 2001 21:41

Enforcer..

With, I hope... *smiling*

Life is short and battles need to be chosen with care...

little shrug There are few concepts in my life I expend emotional energy on... The important ones gain my full attention. I simply believe that everyone has something to contribute...even if we do not like it...agree with it... or even understand it.

A personal value I hold.

Anything that challenges me to think beyond what I thought yesterday..opens doors for me now and tomorrow *little smile*

little shrug

Life is good *grin*

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 17 January 2001 22:10

That's very nice of you Trott, answering for TT_0. But I'm sure he's a big boy now and can answer his own post, thank you very much.

TT_0,

By being the eccentric & aggravating guy in this community, do you mean the guy that brings up things that are in opposition to the common ideals of this board? Then sure, if you wish to define my actions like that, I really don't care.

As long as I am aware that what I am doing is looking at things from both sides, and advocating freedom. What people say won't make me give in to your followers.

You can tell which ones they are by reading their posts. All this praise, like if you were some God.

And further more, it's a good thing you don't know what my voice sounds like. Or you'd criticize the way I pronounce things too, because I have a slight accent.

After all, I am fluent in Spanish and English. Spanish is my native tongue though. And it's pretty hard to lose it, look at Ricky Martin, and Antonio Banderas.

See Me here: <http://www.geocities.com/Athens/Atrium/9822/pic8x.jpg>

WanderingSoul,

There's not much we know about you. You made a little comment about your life, but that's just it. No real insight as to who is giving this wisdom (since your so good at giving it). Don't you think we must first know who is giving it? And how has it helped you? You just preach, even church preaches give examples about their lives and others how it's helped them. Think about doing that, might make your posting seem less creepy (at times) and make your words more effective.

Gotta Go,
Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

Thread Closed

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Time-travel Paradoxes! (Page 1)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Time-travel Paradoxes!

Paul

Member posted 23 October 2000 05:14

M:\Picture\Untitled.GIF

THE PARADOXES...

If you went back in time and visited your granny during her ninth birthday don't kill her! Because if you put a gun to her head and pull the trigger she could not have given birth to your mum. YOU certainly were never born...

Therefore you could not have killed anyone as you never existed. Now this means your granny couldn't have been killed by you. She didn't die nine years old. This permits you to be born.

If you were born could you go back and kill your granny? No, not your real granny. This general idea has been used in Back to the future. Marty nearly stops himself from being born when he prevents his parents from falling in love.

Solving The Problem

1, You simply can't change history meaning if you go back in time you have no free will(as shown in Twelve Monkeys, Crime Traveler etc. Events will get in your way if you try to kill your granny. YOU CAN'T DO IT.

2, When you so-called change history you're actually moving up a different branch in time into another universe. The previous universe (where you were born) still exists. When you fire the gun you're really killing another version of your grandmother.

3, Any actions you make in the so-called past has no affects on the present. It's a different time-line universe.

The laws true solutions don't present real time-travel as you go into another world.

Conclusion

We can't simply use the grandmother paradox to rule out time-travel claiming it causes logical inconsistencies. We need to look at all the possibilities.

How could we build a time machine?

The mathematics of general relativity suggest that under extreme conditions space-time might become so warped it would be possible to travel back in time. There is also the possibility of tunnels in time created by negative mass.

Very long rotating cylinders of matter-proposed by Frank Tipler

Kerr's spinning blackholes-since most stars spin this becomes worth looking (proposed by Roy Kerr).

Cosmic strings-(as Richard Gott suggested)

Travelling faster than light – would take us back in time(as suggested by solutions to relativity). Wormholes might allow us to outpace light (if you walk through the short cut quicker than light through conventional (normal) space.

Tachyons -are sub-atomic particles which always travel faster than light and therefore move back in time constantly. They have not yet been found and remain hypothetical.

Contracting Universe- Time might then be running backwards but since everything else also would it's unsuitable.

Macro-wormholes(Kip Thorne showed how we could use it as a time machine).

time - paradox

Paradoxes

Grandfather paradox

Suppose you could go back in time, let's say several decades and found your grandfather when he was two years old. In his house you could grab a knife and stab him to death. He doesn't get the chance to have children with your grandmother. Therefore either your mother or father doesn't get born. Your parents can't give birth to you because one of them don't exist. You could never have been born and don't even exist. But could your grandfather have been killed by someone who doesn't exist? He must have lived through his childhood. This would allow you to exist if this is the case. Seemingly you can go back in time to commit the murder if you are born but then you would never have been born. And so on and so on. This situation is not consistent with itself. It doesn't make sense and can't possibly happen.

Solutions

1, You simply can't change the past. Time will stop you limiting your freedom while you're in the past from your point of view. This puts the concept of freewill in serious danger especially if you tell people what's going to happen to them in their future. If you believe when you go back in time you are from one possible future from everyone else's point of view they can simply go up any root in time they want.

According to quantum physics Many Worlds theory there are a huge amount of universes where every possibility occurs between them all. In some you're the opposite sex. In some you won the lottery etc.

You might be heading towards the universe you originally came from before you travelled back in time. Everything will happen the way you remember it. But all the people you meet are free to decide what they want to do and enter a different universe. Since it's not possible for you to be there you disappear from their lives. Meeting a time traveller from your future could therefore be very strange.

2, A parallel universe might be created when you seem to change the past. Imagine if time itself was just like a tree. The different branches show different ways events could have happened. Every time we decide to do or not to do something time splits. Even if we are not aware we decided something it have affects. Quantum physics reveals a many worlds theory like this.

Conclusion

Since this parallel universe is not really your past (despite it's first appearance) anything you do there does not affect you. You can prevent a version of yourself from being born because you are not really related to anyone there. They just look very like your family and friends. You are not home! You may be somewhere that looks like the place you live but a different universe in quantum physics is a completely different reality.

3, No matter what you do in what is really a parallel universe you will do back to your previous universe which is not affected by your previous actions. A space-time wormhole could lead you back to your original unaffected universe.

What came out of the wormhole if the ball never went into it?

Another common example of a paradox is a ball that goes through a wormhole connected with a moment in the past. Therefore it comes out of the other space-time wormhole mouth actually before it went in! Then what might happen? If the ball then hits it's younger self out of the way of the mouth then it never goes in. But if the ball never did go in the wormhole then how can it ever come out. The existence of the older version of the ball is destroyed i.e. it never falls back in time. But then this version certainly can't hit the younger version out of the way. So it must go into the wormhole as a collision with it's older self is evidently the only force that could and did stop the ball from entering the wormhole. This of course is unexplainable and is logically inconsistent.

But the situation could happen differently to allow it to become self consistent.

1, What if after the ball comes out of the wormhole at an earlier time it does hit it's previous self but this collision is what makes the ball fall into the mouth in the first place! It hits the other version into the mouth. This would imply that the past or present is affected by the future. In fact in this case the past is dependant on the future.

2, The ball might simply hit it's other self only slightly so the direction of the ball is not altered enough to cause a paradox.

3, The ball might just miss it's younger self.

Nature might protect time and prevent paradoxes. From theories and many stories it's clear that paradoxes cannot happen in the real world.

Other types of paradoxes

In the terminator movies John had something important to tell Sarah. Thank her for her help through the hell. Tell her not to give up or he will never exist.

Who wrote the speech?

John certainly didn't write it. He was told it since he was a kid from Sarah who could remember it. Sarah just recalls what Kyle told her in 1984. Kyle just remembered what John told him to say.

Conclusion

No one wrote it. It exists somehow but not by John, Kyle or Sarah being creative. No one had to write it because it was created by the affect the future on the past had on each other.

If I in 2000 study the history of work done in a private factory and learn about the development of a time machine! Their scientists worked from designs and plans noted in a book (never published)they used. They had no idea how to achieve such technology until they read it.

Then to experiment with temporal(time) paradoxes I am sent back to 1983. The entire building has not even been built as I arrive. Later accidentally I meet one of the scientists and talk to him. When I hear how excited he is I hand him that book which he uses future success. The answers! He is more than willing to read and use the book.

But who came up with the idea of how the time machine is built?

Not any of the scientists as they just followed instructions in that book.

Not me as all I did was hand a scientist a book. Noone actually wrote the book and no one had to work it out.

Is this allowed by physical laws?

Noone really knows...

Paul Curran from Co Derry, Ireland

[This message has been edited by Paul (edited 12 January 2001).]

[This message has been edited by Paul (edited 23 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 23 October 2000 13:06

There is no grandfather paradox in the multiverse scenario. In a multiverse, your grandfather's multiple histories included many in which he was not murdered and many in which he was murdered.

Those many histories in which he was murdered included some involving different male or female murderers who killed him. It's possible that you were one of those murderers in one of your previous lifetimes or incarnations.

IP: Logged

SHADOWshadow

unregistered posted 24 October 2000 22:37

Paradox can't hold a candle to "twinadox". That's when you time travel back and MARRY your grandmother and become your own grandpaw. What if you had a twin brother and the THREE of you all show up one day, with one is the other you? How do you know that there shouldn't be four of you and somebody's missing? Cheesh man!! I'm going to get some sleep, and try not to read this thread next time...er wait a minute, on the other hand.....the me in a parallel reality may influence me to change my mind.....

IP: Logged

1.21 Jiga-watts

unregistered posted 25 October 2000 16:05

Let's get away from travelling to the past for a moment. Let's look at what was made popular in Back to the Future pt 2, where Marty sees his son, or his girlfriend sees herself.

It cannot happen! If you left time, you would be considered 'missing', or dead. The truth is, you don't exist from the point in which you left forward in time. You therefore cannot see yourself, or your son, because you stopped existing at that point in the past where you left.

Is this a proper paradox? Is there any reasoning I am missing that says you can see your future self?

Look forward to responses.

1.21 Jiga-watts

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 25 October 2000 16:32

True. If you leave this time, you will not be here until you return. But, if you are alive in the future as you were alive in the past, then you could visit yourself because you are there. Your present self is different from your past or future self. This definitely applies to the past because you know you lived in the past and if you can go there, then you can visit yourself.

IP: Logged

Crono

Member posted 25 October 2000 17:52

I think there is two ways to look at this Jiga Watts. The first one is where you can actually change the past so it effects the future. If you were presumed dead in the future, then you could go back to the time right after you left and nothing would have been changed. However if you leave again, it will just be the same as before.

The second one is where you can't change the past, and you'll only be creating a parallel universe. What used to be your future is now your present, and since what used to be your present is now your past, you can't change the past, so you'll still be presumed dead at when you left off. And so you can still go back in the past and live there as usual, you won't be changing the future.

I think that's how those theories go.

IP: Logged

Paul

Member posted 26 October 2000 06:27

It is very interesting what would happen if you left the present and reached the future. In The Time Machine the time travelers asks about himself and people claim he went missing when he left on his journey through time.

It seems like an BTTF2 Marty was taken away in 1985 so his kids and everything couldn't exist in 2015...

But the existence of his kids might just be evidence that they will later return to 1985 to do all those things! This would imply that everything they are going to do have already been decided!

It became clear the the future is NOT set as Doc claimed the future hasn't been written yet. So how could they travel into what does not exist? Even when Marty went to 1955 he must have been from the future from some people's point of view?

The future they travelled to might just have been one possible future! In the quantum many worlds theory there are an infinite amount of futures where every possibility occurs...

Paul Curran

IP: Logged

Cocurious

unregistered posted 26 October 2000 08:16

In response to 1.21 jiga-watts. If you go into the future and see yourself, then by rights you will succeed in whatever you are doing in the future, and make it back to the present. If you don't see yourself, then one could assume that you never made it back to your own time in the present.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 26 October 2000 13:22

In some probabilities, you traveled to the future and made it back, in others you did not make it back. In some probabilities you are alive in a future time and in others you are not alive. A multiverse contains all possibilities and all combinations of possibilities. If you can travel to the future, you can meet a future self or not, depending on which probable future you go to.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 26 October 2000 13:27

...also, in a multiverse containing all possibilities, you can't change anything because whatever changes you try to make are already there as one of the possibilities.

IP: Logged

1.21 Jiga-Watts

unregistered posted 30 October 2000 09:53

Thank you all for your responses. It's a fascinating discussion, to be sure, and one that seemingly has endless possibilities.

I'm pretty set on the paradox I raised, however. It just doesn't seem possible that you can see your future self.

I'll check in every now and then. Continue in your hypothesizing!

1.21 Jiga-Watts

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 02 November 2000 01:16

Wow! Paul is right on the money. I was just about to give up hope on anyone knowing who Tipler or Kerr was on this worldline.

By the way, #2 is the correct answer and the basics for time travel start at CERN in about a year and end in 2034 with the first "time machine" built by GE. Too bad we can't post pictures or I'd show it to you.

No Problem!!....."Wherever you go, there you are, and I'll be waiting."

p)'i4q4

SRC="C:\Vioneer Documents\PT5.jpg" HSPACE="0" VSPACE="0" cellpadding=3
border=0>

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 19 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 02 November 2000 05:48

TimeTravel_0-

Guess what? You can put pictures on Doc's board... we would like to see it.

here is the URL: <http://pub2.ezboard.com/bmagisystems>

Im sure he would be more than happy to post the picture for you. He is the moderator for the montauk section on this web site.

Look forward to seeing your picture!

thanks,

pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 02 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 02 November 2000 08:55

Is there a site where I don't need to register personal information to post pictures?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 02 November 2000 12:26

Time travel_0-

Iam registered on Doc's site.

you can send it to me and I will post it for you.and if you want you can always set up a new e-mail account and only use it once.

There may be a site where you could post the picture with no info but I personally don't know of any. because of what people would put up with no accountability.

any other info you give will remain confidential . besides your IP address is already logged on this forum when you post. I'll help you out if you want.or you could just e-mail Doc yourself Im sure he would put it up for you without registering.just explain the situation to him. I feel he is very trustworthy. Actually he posted someone elses picture anonymously that claimed he built a time machine. his name was "director". thats it.

sincerely,

pamela

pamela2@raex.com

IP: Logged

Dymenzionz

Moderator posted 02 November 2000 14:53

What the Hell's a jiga-watt?
(gigawatt)

:-)

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 02 November 2000 18:27

I'll be happy to send them to you and answer any questions you may have.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 02 November 2000 19:09

Time Travel_0- "confirming".

Dymenzionz- HI!!!!!! Good to see ya back!

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 04 November 2000 05:24

TimeTravel_0-

your pictures are posted as promised and can be found here:

<http://pub2.ezboard.com/bmagisystems>

click on the topic- timelords anonymous

and it is titled: anonymus gravity/time device pictures.

sincerely,
pamela

THANKS DOC!

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 04 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 05 November 2000 03:29

Questions for timetravel_0 with permission to post.

Pamela:

by the way can you tell me what it feels like to time travel? when you are in the process of doing it what does it feel like and what do you see and hear. you made mention that you had to get use to the fields. Do you see a bright flash of light?

Timetravel_0:

Interesting first question. The unit has a ramp up time after the destination coordinates are fed into the computers. An audible alarm and a small light start a short countdown at which point you should be secured in a seat. The gravity field generated by the unit overtakes you very quickly. You feel a tug toward the unit similar to rising quickly in an elevator and it continues to rise based on the power setting the unit is working under. At 100% power, the constant pull of gravity can be as high as 2 Gs or more depending on how close you are to the unit. There are no serious side effects but I try to avoid eating before a flight.

No bright flash of light is seen. Outside, the vehicle appears to accelerate as the light is bent around it. We have to wear sunglasses or close our eyes as this happens due to a short burst of ultraviolet radiation. Personally I think it looks like your driving under a rainbow. After that, it appears to fade to black and remains totally black until the unit is turned off. We are advised to keep the windows closed as a great deal of heat builds up outside the car. The gravity field also traps a small air pocket around the car that acts as your only O2 supply unless you bring compressed air with you. This pocket will only last for a short period and a carbon sensor tells us when it's too dangerous. The C204 unit is accurate from 50 to 60 years a jump and travels at about 10 years an hour at 100% power.

You do hear a slight hum as the unit operates and when the power changes or the unit turns off. There is a great deal of electrical crackling noise from static electricity.

Pamela: 1. what are some of your memories of 2036?

Timetravel_0: I remember 2036 very clearly. It is difficult to describe 2036 in detail without spending a great deal of time explaining why things are so different. In 2036, I live in central Florida with my family and I'm currently stationed at an Army base in Tampa. A world war in 2015 killed nearly three billion people. The people that survived grew closer together. Life is centered around the family and then the community. I can not imagine living even a few hundred miles away from my parents. There is no large industrial complex creating masses of useless food and recreational items. Food and livestock is grown and sold locally. People spend much more time reading and talking together face to face. Religion is taken seriously and everyone can multiple and divide in the heads.

Pamela: 2. can you send me more of the manual?

Timetravel_0: I will considering it but I do not expect they are worth anything to most people except as a curiosity.

Pamela: 3.what would happen if you would meet yourself on another world line?

Timetravel_0: It has always surprised me why that concept is so hard for people to imagine and accept. Nothing would happen. The universe would not end and there are no paradox problems that threaten existence. Temporal space-time is made up of every possible quantum state. The Everett Wheeler model is correct. I have met and/or seen myself twice on different world lines. The first was a training mission and the second is now. I was born in 1998 so the other "me" is 2 on this world line. There is a saying where I come from....Every possible thing that can happen or will happen has already happened somewhere.

Pamela: 4.How is this world line different from your own?

Timetravel_0: For starters....the fact that I'm here makes it different. I've also noticed little things like news events that happen at different times, football games won by other teams...things like that. I would guess the temporal divergence between this world line and my original is about 1 or 2 percent. Of course, the longer I am here, the larger that divergence becomes from my point of view.

Pamela: 5.Is the vehicle a jeep?

Timetravel_0: No...its a 1967 Chevrolet..

Pamela: 6.Are you having normal dreams right now? any out of body experiences? drawing you to different times? Do you dream you wake up in other places and other times?

TimeTravel_0: No strange dreams or other experiences. Everything is pretty much the way I expected it.

Pamela: 7.are you able to control where you go or is it random?

Timetravel_0: Yes, it can be controlled. However, the distortion unit has operational limits. Imagine your path through time is through a cone. The farther away from the center of the cone, the more differences you will see in the world line. The C204 begins to "break away" at about 60 years. This means the level of confidence drops rapidly after 60 years of travel and the world line divergence increases. In other words, if I wanted to go back 2000 years and meet Christ, there is a better than average chance I would end up on a world line where he was never born. The computer units and gravity sensors "record" your trip and you are quite easily able to return to your point of origin. I am aware that research is being done on faster units with more accurate clocks. I imagine that they will be able to go back

farther with a higher degree of divergence confidence.

Pamela: 8. are you feeling drawn back to your own time or are you stable in this world?

Timetravel_0: I'm not sure what you mean by stable. If you mean mentally...there are many things that bother me here but being with my parents right now is important to me. Physically, the only thing really wrong is the number of colds I get.

Pamela: 9.Are you able to go back to your own world line?

Timetravel_0: Yes.

Pamela: 10.how are you protecting yourself from radiation? it alters DNA if you dont.

Timetravel_0: I'm not sure what radiation you mean. If you mean from the unit, you can see it vents X-rays and Gamma radiation out of the rear. As long as you stay away from that, you should be okay. I keep a radiation detector with me to check my environment and make sure the unit isn't "leaking".

Pamela: 11.How long would you say that ultraviolet radiation lasts? about 10 seconds?
and when you are in that light can you see anything around you or does the light kind of "fill up" everything and that is all you can see at the time?

Timetravel_0: The light bending only lasts a second. Its like driving under a tunnel and being in total black.

Pamela: 12.Do your people know where you are right now? Can you communicate with them?
do you have a biological implant?

Timetravel_0: No. They do not know where I am and I can not communicate with them. Interesting idea though. From their point of view, I will return almost exactly at the same moment I left. From their viewpoint, I will only have aged more than expected.

Pamela: 13. after the flash of light is gone are you then in another time? what does it look like as the new time unfolds? Is it just there? or does it slowly come into view? does it fade in and out for a time?

Timetravel_0: While the machine is on. Everything is black. When the machine is turned off, it is the reverse affect. It appears you are driving out from a bridge. To tell you the truth, I'm usually sleeping when the unit turns off but yes...it does appear that the world fades in from black.

Pamela: 14. what happens if the device messes up?
Do you end up in space? if it goes offline and shifts ? does a hole open
elsewhere?

Timetravel_0: Good question!!! That one almost never comes up. The hard part of traveling through time is not the bending of gravity but the plotting of your course and holding to the basic "position" in your environment. This is done through a system called VGL (variable gravity lock). Basically, the unit takes a reading of the local gravity and samples it during the "trip" in pulses. If the gravity is too far off, the unit stops or reverses itself to the last sample period where the readings were correct. If there is some sort of failure, the unit shuts down and drops out to where ever you may be.

Pamela: 15. what affects are caused on the immediate area where the gravity has been distorted after you leave it and when you arrive? are there permanent effects left on the land such as electromagnetic disturbances in that area?

Timetravel_0: Another good question!!! The only real physical trace is a large chunk of ground missing from the point of origin and a large pile of dirt at the destination. The gravity field surrounds a small portion of the earth under you and takes it along for the ride. There is really no way around this.

Please feel free to post these if you wish.

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 05 November 2000 20:08

Pam, did you ask about going forward in time? Do you need an invite from a future traveler? Where did MR. 0 get the '67 chevy?
Bringing chunks of ground along with you as you casually rewrite the history of entire worlds? I guess thats why Docs' Delorian was fitted with levitation equipment, to make a fast get away from angry property owners having to fill and grade every time he time jumps.

TimeLine 2015; STOP THE PRESSES!! 3 Billion Killed!!! Oh the presses are already stopped? Now if he time traveled *before* the planet was pulverized it would be a bit more believable.

Does Mr. 0 work for GE? Maybe he owns some GE stock. Speaking of stocks we could all use a hot tip from the guy that already knows who's going to be the next Cisco, Coke or Microsoft.

Most importantly why would Mr. 0 want to talk the likes of us?

IP: Logged

Draco2

unregistered posted 06 November 2000 08:59

Hey TIMETRAVEL_0!!

That's a very interesting experience you are describing!! I have one question for you, and that is in regards to the climactic of the earth in 2034, had the polar ice caps melted, as they are now doing at an accelerated rate in this current time.

Sincerely, Draco

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 06 November 2000 09:04

In response to Shadow:

Perhaps it's a bit easier to understand why time travelers do not reveal themselves.

Yes, you can travel forward in time. No, you do not need an "invite" from the future. I first saw the car in 2036. The idea was to find a vehicle that would not draw too much attention for the time period. Unfortunately, there were not very many suitable vehicles around in 2036 and I sold the car when I arrived in 2000.

You do not rewrite history. I can only affect what happens here just as easily as you can. Why do people in this time period worry so much about time traveler's destroying their world line when they have no problem doing it themselves every day?

My goal is not to be believed. Most people do not take news of the war very well but I find that everyone believes it's inevitable. Even in your own history, are not great inventions and discoveries made during a time of war in your effort to kill and main in new and more efficient ways?

No, I do not work for GE or any other company. Are "stock tips" really the first thing you want to know about in the future? As a representative of your time period, do you realize what that says about you? You should probably know that this time is not remembered for its selflessness, charity or ability to work together.

Why would I want to talk to you? Why don't you believe you have something interesting or worthwhile to say to someone in the future?

Mr. "O"

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 06 November 2000 09:08

To Draco:

No, the ice caps are not melting any faster than they are now. There is also far less smog and industrial waste in 2036.

IP: Logged

Draco2

unregistered posted 06 November 2000 10:28

Hey TimeTravel_0!!!

So then what you're saying is that our current computer models are wrong about Glacial and Polar Ice Cap melting, even though they are going by our current emissions rate and projected emissions of the growth of fossil fuels consumption?? While Global Warming is factually happening and even if we stopped burning fossil fuels today and stopped destroying the rain forests today, the impact that is already altered our planetary climate would not stop today as the ball is already rolling. The toxins in the ozone layer and the earth's lessening inability to create oxygen, due to the catastrophic daily destruction of the rain forests that give us most of our oxygen, would take longer than beyond the year 2036 to repair itself, even with our help. I find it amazing that if you throw a WWII into the equation, one devastating enough to cause the death of 3 Billion people, would not add to the excelloration of Global Warming and raise the sea level to the point where in 2036 most of Florida will be underwater. Help me understand what reversed this impending climatic catastrophe..

Sincerely, Draco

IP: Logged

Janus

Member posted 06 November 2000 14:01

Ok, Mr. 0 . First things: you have to realize that people will be hostile toward you when you make such a big claim. What reason have we to believe you? But, enough thought experiments occur here anyways, so...

I don't think any era in history has been particularly noted for its compassion or selflessness. Why should we be any different?

Ok, so why not give us stock tips? You said you weren't worried about polluting the timeline, right?

As for rewriting history, the normal objection is causality. But, if you use multiple-universe theory, then it's all good. My question is, how do you get back to your proper universe - you said you're very close to your family - how come another jump wouldn't just send you into some third, different universe?

And, finally: what happens if you go back in time to a time when, right where you were standing, there was a giant cement block? Do you just appear inside of it and die? Or do you get pushed away?

Thanks,
Janus.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 06 November 2000 16:43

To Draco:

You asked about the North Polar ice pack. I never said the environment wasn't a problem. Doesn't water expand when it freezes? If the polar ice cap melted, wouldn't sea level go down? I don't know if there's enough ice for this to make a difference and I'm not an expert on global warming.

Mr. "0"

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 06 November 2000 17:26

To Janus:

Yes, I realize people become hostile. I don't expect anyone to believe me and I have nothing to sell. I take no offense by it. Just out of curiosity, if you were a time traveler, what do you think it would take to get people to believe you?

I suppose we could agree that no particular era in history is famous for its development of humanity but just once I would like to hear questions like, "What is family life like in the future? How does society deal with poverty? Is AIDS, abortion and drug use still a problem?"

Why don't I give you a stock tip? The money you make would dilute the intelligence and forethought that a smart person had in picking the stock all by themselves. If I told you how to get rich, I would be taking money from them and giving it to you.

Getting back to my "proper" universe is tricky but possible. Yes, another jump would take me to a different family.

Cement block...good question. The hard part of traveling to other world lines is just that. There is a system of clocks and gravity sensors that sample the environment before dropping out. Its called VGL, (variable gravity lock). If a cement block were there, the machine would "backtrack" until it sensed relative congruity to the original gravity sample. A great deal of time and effort goes into picking just the right spot since you can not physically move during a displacement.

Mr. "0"

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 06 November 2000 22:13

posted with permission:
TimeTravel_0-
Greetings:

Please keep in mind a couple of points as I answer your questions. First, I am not a physicist. "Time travel" is only a tool that allowed me to do my job 1975. Most airline pilots are probably not aerospace engineers. Second, let me give you an example of the position we are in. Imagine you live in the year 1900 and a "time traveler" attempts to explain how a jet engine works. Even though the invention of the airplane is only a decade in the future, he would have to find some frame of reference to explain the basics of flight. Then, he would have to outline the mechanics of how the engine works. As amazing as it would sound, the jet would be invented about thirty years later.

Pamela: 1. can you explain to me in detail the basic physics and mathematics behind how the machine operates? and exactly how it distorts gravity.

TimeTravel_0: 1) Time travel is achieved by altering gravity. This concept is already proven by atomic clock experiments. The closer an observer is to a gravity source (high mass), the slower time passes for them. Traveling at high speeds mimics this effect which = the twin paradox of faster than light travel. However, this type of gravity manipulation is not sufficient to alter your world line.

The basic math to alter world lines exists right now. Tipler first described a working "time machine" through his theory of massive rotating spheres. I apologize for the web site but it was the only one I could find quickly.

<http://www.geocities.com:0080/Area51/Station/5763/time.html>

Certain types of black holes also exhibit the "time travel" abilities of Tipler cylinders. Kerr was one of the first to describe the dual event horizons of a rotating black hole. As with Tipler's cylinders, it was possible to travel on a "time-like" trip through a Kerr black hole and end up in a different world line without being squished by the gravity of the singularity.

<http://www.physics.fsu.edu/courses/fall98/ast1002/section4/blackholes/fig11-13/fig11-132.htm>

http://qso.lanl.gov/~bromley/nu_nofun.html

<http://www.leonllo.freervers.com/blackworm.html>

http://www.astro.ku.dk/~cramer/RelViz/text/geom_web/node4.html

(deleted).....

The mass and gravitational field of a microsingularity can then be manipulated by "injecting" electrons onto its surface. By rotating two electric microsingularities at high speed, it is possible to create and modify a local gravity sinusoid that replicates the affects of a Kerr black hole.

For those asking how come a microsingularity doesn't swallow the Earth or want to know details about the size, stability, mass, temperature and resulting Hawking radiation from such a thing.. those details I must keep to myself.

Pamela: 2. can you travel to the future as well as the past? my understanding of the machine is the trip is recorded so you can get back to your original time line but what about a future beyond your time line are you able to access it as well? or does it have to be open by a future chrononaut?

Timetravel_0: Yes, you can travel into the future and it takes less energy than going into the past.

Pamela: 3.I dont see the computer in the device. is it the hand held device on the side of the larger device? If so what kind of power supply does the computer work off of is it the battery as well or some type of crystal?

Timetravel_0: The computer system is connected to the unit through an electrical bus. There are actually three computers linked together that take the same signals from the gravity sensors and clocks. They use a Borda error correcting protocol that checks the integrity of the data and trips the VGL system.

Pamela:4.Is your DNA remaining stable in this world or does it shift? does time traveling affect your body or aura or spirit in any way you know of?

timetravel_o: 4) I am not aware of any physical change to my DNA or "aura". I do however seem to be more susceptible to colds.

Pamela: 5. when you go back to the future will we remember you?

Time travel_0: Yes, you will remember me if you want to. World lines do not change that way and I will only become a insignificant part of your history.

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 06 November 2000).]

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 07 November 2000 15:42

mm..General Electric built a time machine for the government?
or are time machines so common in the future that there makes and models are as commonly varied as our age's car models..?

Fast Out

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 07 November 2000 17:18

I would equate the "future" GM distortion units to their current jet engines. The first one worked great but they can always make it better.

The C204 unit uses 4 cesium clocks. The C206 uses 6 cesium clocks but they use an optical system to check the oscillation frequency. This makes the world line divergence confidence much higher.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 07 November 2000 21:01

Time Traveler-0

If you could bring some material thing back to your time in 2036 what would it be?

Could I travel to a future that was, say 0.5 seconds ahead of now? In the same vian, if you just happened to arrive at "the year 2000" a fraction of a second in my past, or future would I ever know that you just 'landed soonby'? In other words, what makes this time line be 'now' at any given moment versus being any other time. We exist as A point on a time LINE, what is it that defines that point?

As far as how wonderfull your people are in the time after the war I'm very happy for you. Maybe they succeded in wiping out the RIGHT 3billion people. Something that could not be done with the nuclear sledge hammer. Perhaps the enemy released a killer virus that zeros in on only those who carried the Idiot gene?

2036 is not impossibly far off, what is your street adress then? I'll stop in and swap tales from days of old....assuming I live that long.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 07 November 2000 21:23

what will the year 2036 be like?

pamela: 1.I will ask you about your environment then and I want to know about the family life and also about your religion. what religion has survived? Do you believe in Jesus Christ or someone else. Do people still pray to God? Do you still have churches?

timetravel_0: Yes I believe in Jesus Christ and we pray to God in churches. There are some differences you may be interested in. Religion is a major part of peoples life in 2036. Pain and change tend to bring people together and closer to God. However, religion is far more personal than it is now.

There are no huge, centralized religions and people talk openly about their beliefs. It might also interest you to know that the day of worship is Saturday, the day God meant to be the Sabbath and the 10 commandments have been restored to the "10" that God gave us.

pamela: 2. what kind of jobs do people do and do they still work long hours? whats the majority of job types in the future?

timetravel_0: Life is much more rural in the future but "high" technology is used to communicate and travel. People raise a great deal of their own food and do more "farm" work. Yes, compared to now, we do work long hours. After the war, my father made a living selling oranges up and down the West coast of Florida. My closest friend raises horses and another works for a company that maintains "wireless" internet nodes.

pamela: 3.what is a typical day like for someone in your time? do you still have the internet? has it advanced to all virtual reality yet?
what type of diseases are you currently dealing with? are there still advances in science?

timetravel_0: A typical day...hmmm. Life has changed so much over my lifetime that it's hard to pin down a "normal" day. When I was 13, I was a soldier. As a teenager, I helped my dad haul cargo. I went to college when I was 31 and I was recruited to "time travel" shortly after that. Again...I suppose an average day in 2036 is like an average day on the farm.

pamela: 4.Is there still a post office? how do you receive messages from one another? you said most people talk face to face.

timetravel_0: Yes...there is a post office. The internet is still alive and well in the future. People spend more time talking because life is more centered around the community. I've noticed the same type of effect here when the power goes off. People tend to come out of their homes and actually spend time with their neighbors. There is a lot more personal trust and less paranoia.

pamela: 5.what type of housing do you live in?

timetravel_0: When I'm with my parents, I live in a community made up of "tree houses" on a large river in Florida. The river floods sometimes and we have access to the Gulf. Most of our neighbors make a living off the sea or in moving cargo by boat.

pamela: 6.what started the war? and who fought in it? who won?what countries were destroyed and what survived?

timetravel_0: Wow...that's a big question. There is a civil war in the United States that starts in 2005. That conflict flares up and down for 10 years. In 2015, Russia launches a nuclear strike against the major cities in the United States (which is the "other side" of the civil war from my perspective), China and Europe. The United States counter attacks. The US cities are destroyed along with the AFE (American Federal Empire)...thus we (in the country) won. The European Union and China were also

destroyed. Russia is now our largest trading partner and the Capitol of the US was moved to Omaha Nebraska.

pamela: 7.did you ever discover what aliens were and ufos?

timetravel_0: No new information there. I find that an interesting subject myself. Personally, I think "UFOs" might be time travelers with very sophisticated distortion units. But that might be a bit wacky.

pamela: 8. what type of environmental problems do you know of that exist? do you have pure water to drink? are you mostly vegetarian-seems how most people are going that way today.

timetravel_0: One of the biggest reasons why food production is localized is because the environment is so screwed up with disease and radiation. We are making huge strides in getting it cleaned up. Water is produced on a community level and we do eat meat..that we raise ourselves.

pamela: 9. are they still messing around with genetics? and altering the food and animals?

timetravel_0: Yes...genetic engineering is used but it's like any other technology. It can be good and bad. One thing we did not do was create more hybrid seeds. What are people thinking???

pamela:10.what do you believe about life after death?

timetravel_0: I am a Christian Agnostic. I do not believe faith alone is enough to get us back to God. I'm not sure what happens when we die but I'm pretty sure it's not a walk in the park.

pamela: 11. do you have an advances in spacetravel or exploration?

timetravel_0: No. We are working on it.

pamela: 12. what new inventions do we have to look forward to?

timetravel_0: Hmmm....I hesitate to answer but I'll give a bit. Hydrogen fuel cells and more efficient solar cells are big deals. Computer technology and software gets MUCH better.

pamela: 13. what brings you the greatest joy on Earth? what are some things you and others do for entertainment and fun?

timetravel_0: I like this question. My greatest joy is sailing. For fun, I enjoy swimming, playing cards, reading, playing games on the net and talking with people who live in other countries. As a community, we celebrate much more and have bon fires and dances. My hobby is sorting through old magazines and videos of life before the war.

pamela: 14. what are your schools and universities like?

timetravel_0: After the war, early new communities gathered around the current Universities. That's where the libraries were. I went to school at Fort UF which is now called the University of Florida. Not too much is different except the military is large part of people's life and we spend a great deal of time in the fields and farms at the "University" or Fort.

pamela: 15. what are some of your fondest memories growing up? what kind of cameras do you have now to take pictures of the family? did they ever invent a hologram camera?

timetravel_0: Most of my memories growing up are not fond. Life was very hard. Simple things make me happy like hugging my mother and father. Yes, we have cameras. More digital. Film is used like painting is today. No hologram camera though.

pamela: 16. do you still have telephones? what is your major source of energy that you use? solar power? electricity? have you discovered new energies.

timetravel_0: Yes we have phones but the service is through the web. Most power generation is localized. It amazes me how much power is wasted now. Yes, solar is big. There is thought that a singularity generator could also be used but most people are against it.

pamela: 17. how do you take care of your elderly? and your poor and your orphans? and the ones who cannot work?

timetravel_0: The elderly are highly revered and looked after on a community level. So are orphans. There is always something people can do now matter what. The idea of avoiding work is looked down on. Everyone pulls together to keep the COMMUNITY strong.

pamela: 18. what is the dress style of the time what do you wear on your bodies as a style?

timetravel_0: Hats are more common in the future and flashy colors are less common. Dress is much more functional and we "dress up" when ever we get a chance. I have noticed that no one in this time dresses for occasions even when they have the clothes. Why do people wear shorts to church?

pamela: 19. do people wear their hair differently than we do?every generation has a different style what is the style of your time? what is popular for kids to wear? for adults to wear?

timetravel-0: We do not spend nearly the amount of time on our hair as people do now. Women like to wear their hair longer and men have it much shorter. Both sexes shave it all off when they're in active military service.

pamela: 20. are surgeries mostly performed by lasars? or were there new technologies developed?

timetravel_0: Far less medical treatment in the future even though its more advanced. People die when they now its time to die. No lasers. Genetic medicine and cloning organs are the obvious new techs in the future.

pamela: 21. do you still have the current form of government, presidents and vice presidents like we do?

timetravel_0: No. The Constitution was changed after the war. We have 5 presidents that are voted in and out on different term periods. The vice president is the president of the senate and they are voted separately.

pamela: 22. how do you get from one place to another with not many cars around.do you teleport from one place to another?

timetravel_0: We have cars...just not a whole bunch of them. There is public transportation from city to city.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 07 November 2000 22:18

If I could bring some material thing back to your time from 2036...it would be a copy of the new US Constitution.

Yes, you could travel to a future that was 0.5 seconds ahead of now but not with my machine. The C204 uses the second as the basic unit of measure. The C206 may be capable of .05 sec.

(In the same vien, if you just happened to arrive at "the year 2000" a fraction of a second in my past, or future would I ever know that you just 'landed soonby"?)

No "you" would not. But the "you" on that world line would.

(In other words, what makes this time line be 'now' at any given moment versus being any other time. We exist as A point on a time LINE, what is it that defines that point?)

It is believed there are some sort of measurable quantum differences in world lines. I am not an expert on that so I can offer little information.

(As far as how wonderfull your people are in the time after the war I'm very happy for you. Maybe they succeded in wiping out the RIGHT 3billion people.)

Yes, we did.

(2036 is not impossibly far off, what is your street adress then? I'll stop in and swap tales from days of old....assuming I live that long.)

You would be welcome in my home.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 08 November 2000 11:47

Timetravel_0-

1. Without going into detail what you are here specifically for can you please explain what the primary purpose of time travel is in the future?

2. Why it is used and how often to your knowledge it is used.?

3. Are there specific time periods time .travelers go to most?

4. you stated there were several time machines available are they all active at this time to your knowledge?

5. What kind of car are you going to go back in since you sold the Chevy?

6. Are you able to take people with you in the same car back to your time or another time?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 08 November 2000 22:27

(1. Without going into detail what you are here specifically for can you please explain what the primary purpose of time travel is in the future?)

In 2036, a great deal of effort is going into "repairing" our environment. I was sent to 1975 to get a computer system and take it back to 2036. Time travel is not a secret in 2036 and I expect it will become more common.

(2. Why it is used and how often to your knowledge it is used.?)

Right now, its used to get information or "items" that would be helpful in getting a post WWII world back to a normal condition. There are 7 other time travelers in my unit.

(3. Are there specific time periods time .travelers go to most?)

Right now, most of our practical missions are from 1960 to 1980. There is a great deal of research into later and future periods but the farther you go, the lower the divergence confidence of the world line.

(4. you stated there were several time machines available are they all active at this time to your knowledge?)

Yes.

(5. What kind of car are you going to go back in since you sold the Chevy?)

It's a 1987 4WD. The vehicle needs a strong suspension system to handle the weight of the distortion unit.

(6.Are you able to take people with you in the same car back to your time or another time?)

Yes.

IP: Logged

Curious

unregistered posted 09 November 2000 12:41

Timetravel_0, I have a couple of tech questions. If you change "vehical" do you have to re-calibrate the unit? (Does mass effect the field strength or power level needed to travel?) What kind of coils are used to contain, and maintain the singularity? (tri-coil, or quadrature coil, etc.) Can your unit also dimensionally travel? (can it move laterally in time as well as forward/reverse)

Curious

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 09 November 2000 09:35

To TimeTravel_0

Have you seen the movies 'Terminator' and 'Terminater 2'? We have a lot of good movies in this time but it is hard to pick them out from the huge piles of "trash movies".

Movies are contemporary liturature.

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 09 November 2000 11:01

TT_0,

If your conveyence is accurate to one second then you must have a reason for picking the particular second or at least particular day or week, you did.

Let me guess, you are here NOW to look at the unusual hung election we have going on. Or maybe this is the trigger event in the comming world wide econmic meltdown? Some other pivot point in history? Fess up. Why now?

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 11 November 2000 12:34

TT0-

if you journeyed here from 2036(i think) to get a computer system,why is it you are posting on a time travel message board information as to how you arrived here?
treason!

a VW Bus would make a fine time machine

Fast Out

IP: Logged

This topic is 11 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Open Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

EXPLORE THE DARK CORNERS OF REALITY WITH GROUND ZERO RADIO

Author Topic: Titor's IBM 5100- postings

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 21, 2001 17:01

thread will be posted here...please be patient.

Posts: 180 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 24, 2001 05:11

Time Travel

Titor's IBM 5100

Benjamin Fuhrer

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 10

Titor mentions details about why this computer was chosen as the target of his time travel mission, but only alludes to specifics:

"The 5100 had a very simple and unique feature that IBM did not account for and decided

it was not in their best interest to advertise (which in hindsight was not very smart).

This accidental feature was thus removed from any future desktop computers. In order to

take advantage of this feature, the 5100 I have now required a couple of special "tweaks

" that had to be done by one of the software engineers in 1975. Anyone who is familiar with this feature and was told to keep their mouth shut about it will be able to tell you what it is. "

There must be someone out there who knows exactly what he's talking about as regards to this special feature?

05-17-2001 06:58 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 202

I have tried, but can not find anything about the machine, other than it was an expensive machine. I've never seen one, except for a picture someone posted. I'm unaware of any

"accidental hardware" involved in ANY computers. Things are generally planned.

05-17-2001 08:04 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Grant Nelson

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 60

IBM 5100

The 5100 was one of the first portable (personal computers) and (I believe) was built from discrete components i.e.. did not rely on single chip CPU. If there were problems obtaining Pentium chips in 2036, or if technology was being rebuilt from scratch this might be a good choice for reverse engineering. Much easier (possible) to make single transistors than a single chip processor.

If indeed nukes were used they may have been the electromagnetic pulse (EMP) variety, in

tended to damage electronic equipment. Once bitten twice shy. Just a thought.

Even manufacturing hard drives or floppy disks in a technologically primitive society would

be challenging. The 5100 used magnetic tape for storage.

The 5100 was not limited to a single language either. The way things are going I expect that by 2012 all there was left was Windows and we all know how that dog hunts. Back in

1975 people could actually write their own programs.

Note that JT mentioned a software tweak.

Information on the 5100 can be found at this link:

<http://www.brouhaha.com/~eric/retrocomputing/ibm/5100/>

05-17-2001 09:39 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 421

He also mentioned that he knew the person working at IBM in 1975, who was related to him,

so that's why he was chosen for the mission.

The Internet in the future, according to him, was also better. It was some engineering feature in the original plans, I think, in the hardware of the computer.

But, it's just another way he explained away things.

I am familiar with Apple II computer from 1978, and except for some of the semiconductor

things, I doubt if IBM had some miracle something or other in it.

But, I actually do not know.

I threw my Apple II+ away finally, paying \$2400 for that computer in 1978. I still have my Apple IIe from 1980.

But that was a lot of money for almost 'nuthin' compared to today.

I suppose chips have gotten smaller and include more circuits in one chip than computers

back then.

05-17-2001 10:34 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 421

Nice links there: Some information from the links:

A "portable" computer with a tape drive? It's the IBM 5100 Portable Computer, one of the

very first personal computers. This particular example has been expanded to 32K. and originally

cost \$14,275 in 1976. The last time I visited the Smithsonian, they had a prototype of the 5100. It had a tape drive that took normal cassette tapes, and a teeny, tiny little screen.

The owner adds:

The IBM 5100 Portable Computer was IBM's 1st attempt to build a personal computer back in

1974. Weighing in at 50 pounds and costing around \$10,000, the IBM 5100 had a built-in

tape drive, a small CRT and the capability of running programs in either BASIC or APL (

Advanced Programming Language, created by IBM). IBM used its own proprietary circuit modules throughout

and did not rely on an Intel microprocessor, unlike the microcomputers that would

come out in the following years.

The monitor could display 16 lines of 64 characters each, the memory could be expanded to

64K, and the tape drive used a 1/4 inch tape cartridge similar to an 8-track stereo tape that could store about 200 K of data.

Although designed to be a small business computer, the high cost and lack of interfacing

capability limited the acceptance of what could be called the 1st personal computer, the IBM 5100.

Joe's IBM 5100 Computer

Modified 1/2/98

What! You never heard of a 5100? Well it's not surprising. Even though IBM called it a P

ersonal Computer, they cost around \$15,000 in 1975! The model number 5100 indicates that

it is indeed the forerunner of the IBM PC (model 5150) and PC XT (model 5160) and all t

he ones that followed. One of the most unusual features of the 5100s is that they could run BASIC or APL or both. The CO I designation on my 5100 indicates that it came with bot

h APL and BASIC build-in and with a whopping 16K of memory!

IBM 5100 Portable Computer

CPU: IBM proprietary IC module

Manufacturer: IBM

Original Price: \$20,000 - Original Date: 1975

Some facts about my 5100. Date sold: 10/05/1977 Original owner: State of Florida - Unive

rsity of Southern Florida Sarasota Fl. Selling price: \$12,575

5100-COI Model 5100 computer with BASIC and APL and 16K of memory

1525 Communication Adapter

1524 Expansion Attachment Communication Adapter

6301 External I/O Adapter

Price History of IBM 5100 computers

\$10,975 09/09/1978

\$7,680 05/01/1979

\$4,145 06/02/1980

\$3,316 10/01/1986

\$4,345 03/17/1989 (Probably due to a general price increase on all IBM hardware not just

on 5100)

Many thanks to John Galie of IBM Corp. for his help in researching the history of this unique computer.

Dr. Paul J. Friedl

Paul J. Friedl is known by many people as the "Father of the Personal Computer" He was t

he chief architect and inventor of the world's first personal computer and also developed the predecessor of the modern spreadsheet program in 1973, long before personal comput

ers, as we know them today, were introduced. He christened his computer "SCAMP" (Special

Computer APL Machine Portable), and it became the father of the IBM 5100 and the grandf

ather of the ubiquitous IBM PC, which was introduced in August 1981, nearly eight years

later The original SCAMP is now in the Smithsonian Institute.

Dr. Friedl's 32-year career with the IBM Palo Alto Scientific Center as a senior engineer and manager included pioneering work in industrial process control, laboratory automation, knowledge-based expert systems, distributed computing, and computer conferencing systems. He also authored many technical papers and patent disclosures. He invented the IBM People Sharing Information Network (PSInet) Computer Conferencing System, which is being used by kindergarten through 12th-grade educators throughout the country. He is a senior member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers and is a Registered Professional Control Systems Engineer in California. He received his bachelor's, master's, and doctoral degrees in Chemical Engineering from Case Institute of Technology, where he was a Westinghouse Fellow for two years. Dr. Friedl represents the perfect combination of a practical engineer with numerous scientific and practical accomplishments to his credit and the visionary who works to transform the future into today's reality.

05-17-2001 11:00 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hill

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 12

Someone must know if this is true!

This was posted by Boomer last year I believe. Isn't this another example of a perfect "silver bullet, golden bee-bee" that should settle the entire story? Instead of waiting for the Nukes to not-hit Omaha, maybe we should track this down.

"You said you are confused by the 5100 story. I will explain further. In 2036, it was discovered (or at least known after testing) that the 5100 computer was capable of reading and changing all of the legacy code written by IBM before the release of that system and still be able to create new code in APL and basic. That is the reason we need it in 2036. However, that information was never published by IBM because it would have probably destroyed a large part of their business infrastructure in the early 70's. In fact, I would bet the engineers were probably told to keep their mouths shut. "

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 182

He makes no mention of any "secret" feature, just the mainframe UNIX code feature. It's odd that his software engineers didn't choose to "window" the dates as a work-around instead of sending someone back to 1975 to pay retail - \$20,000 for a computer of questionable

ie value.

This is Boomer's first post on the original Titor thread:

<<Greetings. I am a time traveler from the year 2036. I am on my way home after getting

an IBM 5100 computer system from the year 1975.

So, Boomer, which is it? IBM PC 5100 or IBM PC 5110? The 5100 was developed in 1975. The

5110 is the 1978 version.

[Edited by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-18-2001 at 12:00 AM]

05-17-2001 11:31 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

James N. Dickey

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 166

Hard to believe.

Having run IBM Main Frames (certified SYS 360 OS Operator) and Knowing APL which runs in

a few diferent enviroments I find it hard to believe that a IBM Product will decifer UNIX code being that Unix is a totally different operating system than all IBM Native Operat

ing Systems and the main one of that era was DOS(Disk Operating System).

Also what do they hope to acomplish. It would have been easier to take a copy ofLinux w

ith a more current desk top or laptop unit.

If they really want to do it right get a copy ofLinux with the source code and start over and customize it to whatever hardware is available.

05-18-2001 04:30 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Grant Nelson

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 60

Hello World

For those of us not quite so computer literate we might benefit from a brief look at what APL is good for. <http://www.users.cloud9.net/~bradmcc/APL.html>

hint John was home schooled.

[Edited by Grant Nelson on 05-18-2001 at 09:06 AM]

05-18-2001 08:41 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Phil Fiord

Regular

Registered: Mar 2001

Posts: 147

Info

The following are excerpts from the 1 am from 2036' thread and are specific to the 510C

that Titor discussed.

Posted by John Titor on 02-01-2001 08:36 AM

"The 5100 had a very simple and unique feature that IBM did not account for and decided it was not in their best interest to advertise (which in hindsight was not very smart). This accidental feature was thus removed from any future desktop computers. In order to take advantage of this feature, the 51001 have now required a couple of special "tweaks" that had to be done by one of the software engineers in 1975. Anyone who is familiar with this feature and was told to keep their mouth shut about it will be able to tell you what it is."

Posted by John Titor on 02-02-2001 06:34 AM

"We need something portable. The 5100 isn't required for its reliability, its needed to translate between APL, UNIX and a few obscure IBM mainframe languages."

Posted by John Titor on 02-05-2001 11:28 AM

"The computer is from 1975, Rochester Mn."

Posted by John Titor on 02-08-2001 09:40 AM

"Based on what I know about the 5100, it has a few very interesting and worthwhile properties that make it worthwhile for a time traveler to recover. Also, please keep in mind that civilization is recovering from a war. Yes, we do have the technology but many of the tools were lost."

[and]

"As you are probably aware, UNIX will have a timeout error in 2038 and many of the mainf

rame systems that ran a large part of the infrastructure were based on very old IBM computer code. The 5100 has the ability to easily translate between the old IBM code, APL, BASIC and (with a few tweaks in 1975) UNIX. This may seem insignificant but the fact that

the 5100 is portable means I can easily take it back to 2036. I do expect they will create some sort of emulation system to use in multiple locations."

Posted by John Titor on 02-09-2001 02:02 PM

"I do believe that "you're" UNIX will also have a problem in 2038. I don't think that's a secret but maybe someone should put a 5100 aside for thirty years or so."

Posted by John Titor on 02-10-2001 09:49 AM

"I believe the 5100 is unique in its ability to run assembler language on the 360-machine platform and still be portable. I'm not sure if that fact was ever made public so it's the best "proof I have."

Posted by John Titor on 02-13-2001 10:51 AM

"I am related to a key figure in the development of the IBM 5100."

Posted by John Titor on 02-19-2001 11:03 AM

"A great deal of the computer infrastructure you depend on is based on very old systems and code. One of the reasons I was sent to 1975 was because of the person I met there, not the technology"

Posted by John Titor on 03-14-2001 08:12 AM

"When I approached my grandfather in 1975 it took me quite a while to convince him I was

who I said I was. He said something I've never forgotten and I've heard some of you allude to it also. After looking at the unit he turned to me and said, "Either you've escaped from an insane asylum or you're a time traveler. As the weeks went on, it occurred to me that both were just as threatening and dangerous to him and I'm not sure he ever decided which one was worse."

05-18-2001 09:38 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 183

James,

Hey. ..would you autograph one of my 1st edition copies of Deliverance?

05-18-2001 03:48PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 183

Phil,

I think that this inconsistency is the first verifiable lie that Boomer may be caught in. He was very specific in the IRC log about what it was that he was to obtain. He said several times that he was sent to 1975 to get an "IBM 5110". That was impossible as it had

not yet been invented. His post on that web pre-dates his post on this BBS where he states that he was sent to get an "IBM 5100".

To be caught in a lie on this subject is disastrous to his scenario. It's the very basis for his being here at all: to obtain a very specific computer.

What I think happened to Boomer the night of his IRC log conversation was this:

1. It was an early version of his proposed scenario - he was making up some of it on the fly

2. He hadn't done a thorough job of researching the background details to make the scenario consistent with known facts as he told the tale

3. Alcohol - he was drinking wine and getting a loose tongue

4. He was attracted to and flirting with Yareisa which, coupled with the wine, distracted him from being consistent in the telling of the tale

By the time he first posted on this BBS he'd told the story a few times, had done a better job of researching the facts and never did it in real time (he had time to think it through

before opening his yap).

I don't think that he would have fared well at all had he continued to use IRC or chat room forums to discuss this issue. He didn't know enough about physics, especially, to carry the load.

The PC inconsistency is his undoing.

05-18-2001 04:09 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Grant Nelson

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 60

5100 or 5110?

yep, that proves that typos can be *disasterous* to one's credibility.

05-18-2001 06:14 PM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hill

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 12

Just curious but does anyone know the exact details between the machines? It appears the

5100 did not have a tape drive and the 5110 did. Also, as pointed out by Darby, there was at least a year difference in this upgrade.

Although I agree that Titor should know the difference between the machines I can think

of at least a dozen possible "time traveling" scenarios that would make a convenient excuse

and a better story assuming we're sure that was Titor on the chat log.

Does anyone know exactly when Titor arrived and left in 1975? In an effort to make the story more interesting. Let's add another chapter and assume he didn't make a mistake and

left in December of 1976 after picking up a tape drive.

Oh well, that would throw his age off then wouldn't it. I'm sure another chat log will show

up to prove it one way or the other.

Oh well.

05-18-2001 06:45 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 183

Grant,

I can't simply attribute the difference to a typo. I have to use the language that we have available and there it is. Had he been using both 5100 and 5110 in the IRC log then I'd have assumed a typo was the cause. But he was consistent in using 5110 there. He was

just as consistent in using 5100 here - because, I presume, he realized his mistake by using 5110 and 1975 in the same conversation.

If he was actually who he said he was, he would have been thoroughly briefed about the target object of his trip.

So, I have to believe that he meant what he typed. He intended to type 5110 on the IRC
a
nd 5100 on this BBS.

05-18-2001 10:26 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Benjamin Fuhrer
Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 10

discrepancies

This is indeed a very good point if that was him in the IRC. i could imagine there'd be possible explanations if he hadn't already been to '75 to retrieve the machine.. but assuming he'd already done that before coming here and talking about it, it's true—this is a definite contradiction / flaw with the story, i'm surprised that no-one asked him about this before his 'departure'.

05-19-2001 07:19 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Grant Nelson
Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 60

5100 or 5110

Let us consider the possibility that one of the first things the developers (his grandfather ?) would have done was to stuff a tape drive in to a prototype .. Data storage was essential for a portable computer., This was a major problem for those of us who started out with the Sinclair ZX81 or the Radio Shack TRS80.

05-19-2001 07:38 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Benjamin Fuhrer
Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 10

i'm not sure what your point is, but the point here is that when he was posting this information for us, he already had the computer with him—he'd gone to '75 already and had

this thing ready to go. if he had a 5100 with him now, why would he be saying it was a 5

1 IO-a model not yet built in 1975.

I have another question, wasn't john's vehicle a 1976 Chevrolet ? i'd imagine that would draw a bit of attention to it if he would've been actually driving this thing around once he was in 1975, considering the model hadn't been released, or is it similar enough to a '75 that no-one would take notice ?

05-19-2001 07:59 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP:

Grant Nelson

Member

Registered: Feb 200

Posts: 60

My point is that the topic of this thread is "Titor's IBM 5100". There are plenty of other threads and boards on which to either prove or disprove John's existence, his motivations and whether or not time travel is even possible.

The 5100 and 5110 are essentially the same machine.

05-19-2001 12:29PM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 183

Grant,

Well...considering that this is Benjamin's thread, I'll take my queues from him about whether or not my thoughts are appropriate. Are you OK with that?

Boomer was quite specific about what he was sent here to get. The problem is that he was

inconsistent between BBS's about what that was. What he stated in the IRC log was not possible - obtaining a 5110 in 1975.

Yes, the 5100 and 5110 are somewhat similar. So, for you, an 80386 is about the same as a Pentium III. If you were sent to get an 80386 based system for a very specific function that it provides - such function being eliminated in subsequent models - a Pentium III would suffice? That's not what you're suggesting, is it?

In any case, this really is getting offtopic. This thread isn't about you, it's about Boomer.

05-19-2001 03:49 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 421

Here is the info from the website given on the first page.

It looks as if the 5100 or 5110 with a 8-inch disk drive runs a subset of unix:

The APL microcode provides emulation of a subset of the System/360 instruction set, while

the BASIC microcode apparently emulates a different processor (probably the Series/3).

The whole info about the machine:

System Software:

The APL interpreter was derived from APLSV.

On a 5100 with both languages, the user's choice of language is selected by a toggle switch on the front panel!

Instead of being written in the native microcode instruction set of the processor, the 5100's language interpreters are written for more sophisticated "virtual machines", and t

he microcode emulates those machines. This was done in order to economize on the amount of ROS needed to implement the language interpreters, and perhaps to speed the product development. The APL microcode provides emulation of a subset of the System/360 instructions, while the BASIC microcode apparently emulates a different processor (probably the Series/3).

Hardware Technology:

Logic

Most of the logic circuitry in the 5100 is built using a TTL-compatible 134-gate bipolar gate array technology called "Dutchess". Each chip has 60 three input NAND gates, 40 four-input NAND gates, and 34 two-input NOR off-chip drivers. The gate propagation delay times are about 10 ns.

Processor

The 5100 uses a board-level microprocessor [1] called "PALM" (for Put All Logic in Microcode), generally referred to as the "controller" in IBM documentation. PALM uses 16-bit

vertical microinstructions. The average microinstruction execution time is 1.75 (J.S. There are three interrupts, so there are four processor levels, 0-3, with level 0 executing when no interrupt is pending.

Microinstructions can directly reference sixteen 16-bit general-purpose registers. Register zero is the microinstruction pointer. There are four banks of registers, one bank per interrupt level, for very fast interrupt context switching. All four banks of registers can also be addressed as the first 128 bytes of read/write storage, although they are physically implemented on the PALM module.

The ALU is eight bits wide. Despite the fact that the registers are 16 bits wide, PALM does not provide any 16-bit arithmetic or logical operations. However, 8-bit operations may cause a carry or borrow from the high byte of a register.

The processor has a 16-bit address bus. The memory is byte-addressable, for a maximum directly

addressable capacity of 64 Kbytes. Each byte of memory has a parity bit, so the memory data busses are 18 bits.

Read Only Storage (ROS)

There are three kinds of ROS (more commonly known as ROM or Read Only Memory) in the 5100.

The "control ROS" is part of the PALM processor and is used to decode the microinstructions.

It is organized as 256 words of 32 bits.

The "executable ROS" is directly addressable by the processor, and contains the microinstructions

that are directly executed. There is 16K*18 of microcode for the diagnostic and

d bringup routines, I/O supervisor and control routines, and the virtual machine interpreter for BASIC. Another 16K*18 of microcode provides the virtual machine interpreter for APL.

The "nonexecutable ROS" is accessed as an I/O device by the processor, and contains the code interpreted by the virtual machines, namely the APL and BASIC language interpreters

. The nonexecutable ROS uses n-channel MOSFET chips storing 48 Kbits each, with an access

time of approximately 200 ns.

The nonexecutable ROS is divided into three regions:

? common ROS, on the ROS control module, 18 Kbytes

? BASIC ROS, on one ROS module, 36 Kbytes

? APL ROS, on three ROS modules, 96 Kbytes

Read/Write Storage

The RAM memory consists of one to four pairs of boards of 8 Kbytes each, using NMOS RAM

chips and separate bipolar drivers and sense amplifiers. The Roberson articles claim that the memory is implemented using 1 Kbit MOSFET RAM chips, but it appears that they actually

use 2 Kbit chips. Each board has nine RAM modules each of which apparently contains

four chips. This memory has an access time of approximately 300 ns, and a cycle time of

approximately 450 ns.

Del Cecchi wrote:

The memory technology almost certainly was "Reisling" which used 2k chips packaged 4 to

a 1/2 inch stacked module with external sense amp bit driver modules. A typical Reisling card as used in system/34 and system/32 had 8k Bytes on a "2 wide 3 high" card, although

there was a later card that was 16KB.

He also wrote that the 8K card was code-named "Snoopy", and the 16K card "Barton".

The 5

110 uses the 16K cards, which frees up some backplane slots for other purposes.

Display Controller

The display controller is the only 5100 device to use Direct Memory Access (DMA), which

IBM refers to as "cycle-stealing". The display is memory mapped. Microcode can disable

the display during calculations in order to make more memory bandwidth available to the

processor.

Related Systems:

Predecessor:

The 5100 was based on the design of an earlier proof-of-concept system called SCAMP. SCA

MP was also based on the PALM processor, but used a Norelco (Philips) compact cassette

drive instead of the 3M cartridge. SCAMP emulated an IBM 1130 minicomputer in order to run APL\1130.

Follow-ons:

The 5100 product line was later expanded to include the 5110, which supported external 8-inch floppy disk drives, and the 5120 with built-in floppy drives. The 5120 also seems to be known as a 5110 Model 3.

In 1980 IBM introduced the System/23 DataMaster, based on the Intel 8085 processor.

In 1981 IBM introduced the IBM PC, Type 5150, based on the Intel 8088 microprocessor. Although

there had been many personal computers before, the introduction of the IBM PC finally

was seen in the corporate world as validating the concept. To this day, over 90% of desktop computers are still largely compatible with the original IBM PC.

Notes:

I. The term microprocessor was used to mean a processor that executes microcode in order

to interpret a higher-level instruction set, rather than the now common usage to refer to a processor implemented on a single monolithic integrated circuit.

I guess it had a foreboding of what a microprocessor really is by all its special circuits.

But then, I suppose I could use my Apple II also, for some purpose in the future, I just can not figure out what though, except for a calculator.

05-19-2001 11:28PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 183

Albert,

It's funny that we sit here using micro PC's to communicate and I'd bet that the chances that anyone is using an IBM PC is almost nil.

If anyone would want to invent a time machine it would have to be Big Blue - to go back

and kick some Big Blue Butt for the biggest business blunder of all time.

05-19-2001 11:40PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 421

Yes, and they still are working with molecular electronics.

I know a couple of people who left IBM, had to leave with the layoffs.

No one ever seems to like a company they leave or 'have to leave'.

Some of them even make more money now.

Still, I see businesses buying IBM's, whereas people like me have to buy the cheap stuff , I guess they can not afford to pay people, but can always buy the expensive equipment. Boomer does not make sense sometimes, but sometimes I can associate some of his ideas.

05-20-2001 11:27 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Randy Empey

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 291

Was the 1975 that Titor went back to our 1975, exactly? What about that 5% variance he often mentioned?

05-20-2001 11:41 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Edgars Freibergs

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 8

Randy,

Thats a good point. Titor did state that the problem with time travel was that a 60 year jump was the cut off, anything above that amount, the higher precentage of divergence in

what he remembered as history and the actual timeline he was on. Titor stated that from what he could tell our 2000 was 2-3% different then his history. Well 1975 from 2036 is 61 years it is logical to presume that the divergence was much higher and that what Titor knew as 5100 invented in 1975, was found in 5110 that came to production 1975 in

the alternate timeline he visited. An that what Titor knew as the 5100 and called such, when he picked it up in the alternate 1975 was called there the 5110.

Titor simply refered to it as what he knew it as the 5100.

05-20-2001 01:29PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Randy Ernpey

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 291

And I suppose our Possible-Titor in IRCIand with his 5110 is a x%-variance-between-worl

d-lines produced echo of our more "kosher" former correspondant here, in our worldline?

05-20-2001 01:41 PM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 157

I suppose I could end the whole squabble here.

I wasn't going to say anything but this conversation on whether it was a 5100 or a 5110 is giving me a headache.

I asked John if he could give me something that traveled through time with him. He sent me a label from the back of the computer he brought back with him.
The label said 5110.

There....
sincerely,
Pamela

Posts: 180 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 24, 2001 05:12

Time Travel
Titor'sIBM5100 < Last Thread Next Thread >

Author Pages: 1 2 3 4 Post Message
Buck Cash

Member
Registered: May 2001
Posts: 13

Pamela,
That's particularly interesting to me (the label). If John brought it through time from 1975 when it was brand new, straight to NOW, then it's still brand new and should look it.

Can you scan it for us?

Also, I don't know this, but might it be possible to get it dated scientifically to determine it's age? Perhaps the age of the ink or paper based on fading or other characteristics like carbon-dating techniques?

It should show signs of being fairly new, rather than 25 years old.
05-20-2001 03:10 PM Profile Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore
Regular
Registered: Feb 2001
Posts: 157

Buck,
the label does look brand new.
There is a problem with scanning it. I tried to scan it to send a picture of it to a friend of mine.

I won't go into that though.

If somebody can tell me how I can get it dated without it leaving me then I will do it. I will not send it off to somebody, but I could possibly take it to a lab somewhere if I knew what type of test to ask for. I am also looking for the truth.it is not paper, it is a sorta like a material label, with the numbers 5110 made out of black horizontal lines.

any ideas?
sincerely,
Pamela

[Edited by Pamela Moore on 05-20-2001 at 03:28 PM]

05-20-2001 03:19 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged
Stan Sylvan

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 7

just having fun

Did you notice that the IBM 5100 that used to be in the Smithsonianis no longer there?

05-20-2001 06:50 PM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Charles Alaa

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 11

ROFL

Hey did u notice this is completely foolish?

If he was taking the *time* to come back in *time* to get a computer, why the %o"/o°/o°/o would

he be wasting his *time* posting bull*hit on this msg board?

This is rofl material-

Somone track his IP and find out who his ISP is...

05-20-2001 07:33 PM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 157

I guess we will know the truth when the lab reports come back. I have written several people on what kind or type of tests should be done on the piece to prove it time traveled from the past.

I appreciate any ideas the board would have. I do intend to have it tested and post the results on the board no matter what the results may be .

-pamela

[Edited by Pamela Moore on 05-20-2001 at 08:36 PM]

05-20-2001 07:56 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Benjamin Fuhrer

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 10

testing

Pamela,

It might sound ridiculous, but you'd better be VERY careful with your choice of words if

you're writing people / places asking how to test something that might have 'time traveled'. If that label is real, i can imagine it easily ending up missing if the govt. had some interest in it.. don't let it out of your sight!

05-20-2001 10:55 PM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 183

Randy,

Doww. ..that's letting Boomer off too easy. It's on the order of data mining. Personally, I'm sticking with what he said and comparing it with the data that we have available. He said it was a 5110 from 1975 and he sent a label to Pam indicating the model as 5 II 0. Our best data available says that the 5110 model was manufactured in 1978.

I still have a problem with what he meant by timeline variance and using a percentage to describe it. What data was used to arrive at the denominator in the fraction that factored to 2.5%? What was the numerator? 2.5% of what? Given that there are an infinite number

of possible timelines the denominator would be infinity. The number of possible timelines between any two timelines is a less intense infinity but still an infinity. He stated the

divergence, "2.5%", in a form that indicates accuracy to three decimal places. In intentional or uninformed about math statements?

05-21-2001 01:19 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 157

Ben,

I thought Dr. David Anderson at the Time Travel Research Center was a good choice because he already had placed objects through a "time field".

here is his web site. we'll see what he has to say. <http://time-travel.com/>

05-21-2001 03:50 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 202

Received

I did get the data. Currently I do not have posting access to the site (mostly because we use some pretty secure stuff, and I don't have the right programs I think - but I might be full of stuff and just stupid when it comes to uploading).

In any case, I've forwarded the data (along, I might add, data from our other alleged dimensional hopper, Steve) for posting on the site.

Everything should be up today or this evening. I will send a reminder notice to the server supervisor.

Rick

05-21-2001 06:55 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged
Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 202

ISP

Charles...

Could you enlighten us, as to how tracking the ISP down will enable you to prove he is or

is not a time traveler? Apparently I missed something here.

Assuming he is a "real person" - which since he is posting, I have to believe he is a real person and not a computer, then he obviously has an ISP.

If he is "in our time" and a real person, then the only thing you can tell is where he is located, who his ISP is, and little else.

We've already tried doing most of this anyway, and part of the problem is, 1) we can't tell what ip addresses are here on the board (for obvious reasons, you don't want people tracked down to begin with) and 2) he has used a series of email addresses to ensure that tracking him down would not be all that easy.

Lastly, I'm betting he is a little smarter than doing something like that, because if he IS attempting to "defraud" someone, he certainly would NOT want to be caught doing it, would he?

Rick

05-21-2001 07:00 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Randy Empey

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 291

"Darby":

Well that's just it, ain't it? I am not certain how he quantifies the 'difference' between two worldlines. That's been one of my own 'issues' with popular science fiction ... and I've thought up a few pseudo-sciences to describe such an equation. Probability and general quantum theories provide a strong precedent ... and I would think you would have

just as little trouble as I do 'mining' that data. For the moment though, it's not so important just 'how much' difference is made by a 2.5% variance as it is the possibilities opened by the new 'certainty' that the target worldline is different qualitatively from the launching worldline. It's a nice mechanism that can be used to cover minor 'holes' in his story — hence, whether he is a hoaxer or not, interesting rhetorical device.

If you are a stickler for facts and disallow the 'wickedness factor' allowed by this

rhetorical device, then you have a very limited set of conclusions about our TT. But if you have a healthy skepticism for the sanctity of 'facts' you allow the 'wierdness factor' introduced by this rhetorical device and you excuse yourself from coming to any set of conclusions except for the universal set.

Since I am both a will-be physicist, an author, and a avid chaser of windmills isn't it obvious that this later should appeal to me? That's where I've been debating from during this whole fiasco.

It's not that I don't think a disassembly of the facts is a necessary part of interpreting this, it's just that my personal philosophy doesn't dictate that things are as clear cut as some here make them.

Was the 1975 he says he went to to get the computer the same 1975 our records record?

My answer is: "Not according to his version of temporal physics as described here — but how would we know whether it was different enough?"

— Randy E.

05-21-2001 07:29 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 421

I will just post that some of the answers he gave are on the other forum at the <http://www.timetravelinstitute.com/forums> in those two topic threads: Topic limited to 11 pages?

and Time-Travel Paradoxes where the TT started later.

He stated that the divergence was off of a gravity lock and had nothing to do with how many infinite world-lines there was. It was the gravity lock that the computers, all three, equated to so that the machine would not diverge greatly, although it could, but that

he considered to be a healthier aspect if he kept the machine within their tolerance for time travel, otherwise he ended up being a bad time displacement driver probably leading to his own death.

05-21-2001 11:34 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 421

I think he also stated that he went to 1998 first before 1975, this has to do with the divergence, sort of hopping between world-lines as to not get off from the target world-line too much. I guess if you hop over to a world-line and then hop back by using the gravity locking VGL system, you end up not diverging too far off of any world-line, keeping

the time displacement driver within a set of world-lines.

I do not know.

05-21-2001 11:45 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 157

Just for your information these are the people who have been contacted on possible ways

to test the label:

Time travel research Center-Dr.Anderson

CERN

Brookhaven National Labs

Los Alamos National Laboratory

05-22-2001 06:27 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Brad Persons

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 45

It will be very interesting to hear what kind of responses you get, Pam.

05-22-2001 07:23 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Edgars Freibergs

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 8

Pam,

Just a thought here, contacting CERN to test the label might not be good idea. If John Titor was correct and CERN makes a major break threw (or already did and waiting to announce). And if they read John Titor's prediction. Couldn't you be linked to some sort of

copyright infringement??? Or worse industrial spying.

Just a thought.

05-22-2001 09:33 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Benjamin Fuhrer

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 10

re: testing

again, i'd reiterate that choice of words is important if you're contacting labs, etc I'm sure it would be fine to contact the time travel institute and flat out ask how to test something that may have time travelled, but the other labs.. i'd stick with asking if there are any simple (and inexpensive) tests for accurately determining the age of a relatively new piece of manufactured material... just my two cents though.. For one thing, no one is going to take you seriously if you tell them what that IBM label is, or why you want to know how old it is.. and like i already mentioned, if the story is in fact real, it's possible the govt. is already taking interest in it, and you never know what they might do to get their hands on some kind of evidence like the label may prove to be.

it might sound like paranoia, but i think it's just better to be safe than sorry when you're dealing with something as strange as this.

05-22-2001 09:40 AM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 157

Brookhaven National Labs was the first to contact me so far.
here is the letter below:

Pamela,

According to one of our scientists, you are right about being unable to do carbon dating. However, if you did a carbon 14 measurement on the item in question, you might be able to detect background levels of radiation that are higher than what you would see today (as a result of atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons in the 1940s and 1950s). If the levels were indeed elevated, they could conceivably be "matched" to a point in time.

We do not do this type of work here, but if you were to search the web for "radiocarbon testing" you should be able to find several firms who do this testing.

Just out of curiosity, is this "real," or research for a book or other fiction project? If it's real, please have this person go back and buy me 500 shares of Apple computer. That would be a way to prove it.

Hope this information helps,
(name deleted)

Brookhaven National Laboratory

05-22-2001 10:16 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 157

Edgar,

I wouldn't worry too much about CERN. They knew about John's documents way back in Novern

her. I still have their emails they wrote to me. Infact they are the ones who recommended me to read the book QED. Actually they are very nice people.

Once they wrote me a response in 24 minutes, heheh I think thats kind of funny.

I am not doing anything wrong. You never know who reads these boards, besides it may not

even be real. who knows??

Isn't that what we are trying to find out???

sincerely,

Pamela

[Edited by Pamela Moore on 05-22-2001 at 10:50 AM]
05-22-2001 10:34 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire
Regular
Registered: Nov 2000
Posts: 184
Randy,.

Agreed - his 1975 (actually "someone's 1975", and not necessarily his) may be quite different than ours (whatever that is as it lies in the past light cone). And that's my point: I don't see any way that he could quantify with such precision the difference other than to say, "It's not the same."
05-22-2001 07:04 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore
Regular
Registered: Feb 2001
Posts: 158
Ok, a picture of an IBM 5110 is now up on Anomalie site.
Thanks Olav! I received these pictures off of the guy who provided me with info on the label.
This is the type of computer John took back with him to 2036. It is also the only picture I have been able to obtain of a 5110 computer.
the pictures are here: http://www.anomalies.net/time_traveler/john.html
sincerely,
Pamela

05-22-2001 07:56 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Posts: 180 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 24, 2001 05:13

done.

Posts: 180 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 21, 2001 17:03

Thread will be posted here...please be patient.
[June 21, 2001: Message edited by: Pamela]

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Darby
Member
Member # 41
Member Rated:

posted June 21, 2001 21:57

Pamela,
Thanks for recovering this thread.

E. W. "Darby" Darbyshire
DarbyII@AOL.com

Posts: 42 | From: Santa Barbara, CA | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 23, 2001 14:11

Darby,

On your thread I am missing a couple of the earlier pages for some reason but I will post what I do have and then add the missing pages in later when I do find them. OK?
[June 23, 2001: Message edited by: Pamela]

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP:
Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 23, 2001 15:50

Time Travel
Titor's Civil War - What Was It Exactly? < Last Thread
Author Post Message
Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire
Regular
Registered: Nov 2000
Posts: 115
Pam, Phil, Rick, Albert and All,
I posed the question on Rick's thread "Titor's 2036": What other name might be applicabi
e to Boomer's Country v. City civil war?
Boomer once quipped that we should check the maps of the past Presidential election to
s
ee how the country divided in his civil war (or someting to that effect). I've asked, "W
ho lives in the cities?" in reply.
See the population distribution map at the following URL provided by Dr. William
Bowden
at Cal State University, Northridge (CSUN): <http://130.166.124.2/atlas.usl/US0047.GIF>
It seems that Boomer's war was a race war. Rural white America against the minorities
li
ving in and about the U.S. cities. I can only imagine that Boomer's brave new world is r
ather short on Black Americans, Hispanic Americans and Asian Americans. Boomer's
Bund prevailed it seems.
Pamela has suggested that we keep an open mind on the subject of Boomer. I'd ask,
"Did B
corner keep an open mind? Or did he pick up a gun and start to kill?"
04-22-2001 11:31 PM Profile Email Mv Posts Edit IP: Loese(

Pamela Moore

Untitled

Registered: Feb 2001
Posts: 106

Darby,

ThankGod for two phone lines or I wouldn't even be able to post this valuable piece of information to you today seeing how the line my computer was hooked to is totally dead at

the moment, heheheh

John (AKA Timetraveler_0 , Boomer) posted this on the timetravelinstitute on Dec. 6, 200

021:36.

Seems somebody already asked him this question long time ago.....

Dec. 6, 2000 21:36

Question asked to TTO (JOHN)

(Are there any social prejudices?)

TTO(JOHNS) answer:

"Yes there are. However, as odd as it may sound, it serves a useful purpose in my time.

First, you must realize that your experiences with "prejudice" and mine are different. I would characterize the intolerance you have here as a result of ignorance and fear. I have observed that people with unfounded and irrational fears about their fellow man in this time have the luxury of not having their beliefs tested.

After the war, much of the prejudice you have now was swept away by simple necessity.

Pe

ople had to work and fight together just to survive. This has a way of opening a person's eyes as to the value of fellow human beings.

What difference does the color of a man's skin make when you are both fighting against t

he same enemy to survive or find water or grow food? On my world line, if a man doesn't

pull his weight in the community, then we feel prejudice towards him as a burden to us. This feeling of shame he experiences then makes him realize his responsibilities."

sincerely,

Pamela

04-23-2001 03:05 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David R Ferguson

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 48

Titor's Civil War

If anybody wishes to see my views re this topic, check out the Titor's 2036 thread nearby. I answered this question there, so I will not repeat it here.

Charles Manson predicted a race war, and thought the Beatles were calling it Helter Skel

ter. Charlie thought that he and his cronies could hide out in a hole in the desert until it was all over, and emerge as the victorious rulers in his Brave New World. But I digress.

04-23-2001 09:02 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 115

Pamela,

In Boomer's post-apocalyptic world it would be easy for him to say, "What difference does a man's skin make?" when most people of color are dead. His war was against the city people of the nation. His White Florida proletariat revolution resulted in American genocide...and he had a hand in it.

[Edited by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-23-2001 at 10:45 AM]

04-23-2001 10:42 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David R Ferguson

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 48

Titor's civil War

Darby:

You said: "His war was against the city people of the nation. His White Florida proletariat revolution resulted in American genocide...and he had a hand in it."

How do you know that it wasn't the city people who's war was against the country people of the nation? How are you able to discern that the American genocide was not started by those in the cities, and that John was not acting heroically to save what he believed to be the "real America"? How do you even know John Titor is white? Maybe I am wrong, but I do not recall him ever saying what race he is. Even if we grant that he is white, why are you so certain that this civil war is a race war? John never said it was a race conflict. Your comment about John's "White Florida proletariat revolution" is the most blatantly racist comment I have encountered on this thread or any thread involving John Titor and, quite frankly, I am greatly disappointed to see this coming from one of your posts. Your posts are generally very well thought out and insightful, thought-provoking and humorous. This one was not, IMHO.

04-23-2001 11:29 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 115

David,

Of course it was a racist statement. But that's exactly how such a war would be characterized in the Renmin Ribao (People's Daily - PRC), Hongyi (Red Flag - PRC) and the Village Voice (NYC). The Zapatistas in Mexico and the U.S. would come to the same conclusion.

It's not relevant who started it, or that someone was fighting for the "real America". Any civil war in today's America that pits city against country would be reported as a race

e war - and probably for good cause on the face of it. Rural people's definition of the "real America" may very well be in direct opposition to how it's defined in the cities. The question would be are their values less real and valid than those of country people? Boomer stated that the civil war was initiated by daily "Waco" type incidents. He didn't say that they were "SLA" type incidents or "Black Panther Headquarters" type incidents or "Zapatista" like incidents. He chose the venue. I can't imagine anything more frightening to people of color than an American revolution lead and won by the militias. Right or wrong, I don't see much in the way of multi-racial inclusion in their propaganda. Now, I don't believe that Boomer intended for his thesis to take this tack. But that's the way it shakes out. Do you see his war as being friendly to people of color? I'd be interested to see how they survive their nuked cities in Boomer's scenario. The distribution of the population argues that not many of them survive in Boomer's world view.

04-23-2001 12:57 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David R Ferguson

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 48

Darby:

Good to hear from you again. You said: "Do you see his war (John Titor's, my addition -

DRF) as being friendly to people of color? I'd be interested to see how they survive their nuked cities in Boomer's scenario. The distribution of the population argues that not many of them survive in Boomer's world view."

Darby, I see his war as being unfriendly to anybody, regardless of color. You are also coming to your race war conclusions based on the assumption that people of color still are mostly found in the cities. They may have moved to middle, rural America. There is a growing trend, especially in the Asian and Hispanic communities, to move towards what most

consider traditional values. Why? Because these groups have a long history of strong traditional family values base.

You state: "It's not relevant who started it, or that someone was fighting for the "real America".

I disagree. It is relevant who starts this war in the future. Is it not relevant that Hitler and his hope for a glorious greater German Third Reich started WWII, leaving countless

millions of people dead in its wake? It only takes one aggressor to start a war, not two. It IS relevant that the "real America," the America found within the pages of the Constitution, is upheld.

You say: "I can't imagine anything more frightening to people of color than an American

revolution lead and won by the militias. Right or wrong, I don't see much in the way of multi-racial inclusion in their propaganda."

Why is this so frightening to people of color? Are you falling under the stereotype that most in the government and media want us to believe, that ALL militia groups are inherently

evil? That they are "intolerant" of certain groups based upon the color of their skin? Once again, who was it who lead this country in the fighting at its inception? Wasn't

t it militia groups? Who was it who lead the fight in the War Between The States?
Wasn't
it an army comprising militia? An army which shed blood for countless thousands which
ultimately emancipated the people of color?
I do not think Clarence Thomas, Walter Williams, or for that matter, Colin Powell
would
be disinclined to "join" the side of the country in John Titor's civil war, and there are
countless others like-minded. You know why? Not because of their skin color, but
because
they hold dear to similar values. They believe in the fundamental principles found
in the Constitution and the Federalist Papers.
I am sure one can find militia groups which take extreme positions on issues, but they are
in the minority.
Darby states: "Rural people's definition of the "real America" may very well be in direct
opposition to how it's defined in the cities. The question would be are their values
less real and valid than those of country people?"
The answer to this is, yes, because for all practical purposes, they are less valid
because the policies they espouse ultimately mean THEY HOLD NO VALUES! When one
extrapolates
the moral relativism which is at the heart of today's liberalism, i.e., removing God as
a Supreme Being and Moral Authority, and supplanting it with humanism, then there is
no
right or wrong, because how can you as a human being know more than I as a human
being?
There is no rule of law, because there is no ultimate arbiter of justice! Remember the
words of Thomas Jefferson: "If there was no god, we would need to invent
one(paraphrased)."
I will stop for now. I probably have taken up enough time as it is.

04-23-2001 01:50PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 259

I do not claim that it is so much a war as circumstances.

For instance, if 'Hoof and Mouth' or 'Mad Cow Disease' hit the US, then the way the
situation will be handled.

This is the Civil War to me.

As I stated in another portion, Minnesota is already considering "Martial Law". They
have

plans for other emergencies that may happen, as a deadly disease. Other States like Iowa,
only will call out the National Guard, and cordon off a 30 mile area, diameter I think.
Illinois, well, I am not sure, what they will do.

This is the loss of freedom that will occur. People literally will not be allowed to travel
wherever they wanted to. Heck, in fact, nothing will get in or out including Postal
Service.

To me, this is the situation that makes temper's flare and people start condemning the ruling
'governments'.

No interaction between participants.

Sure, it has to be contained, but how bad can it get, especially when tourist season starts. People are cancelling trips to foreign countries, just because 'Hoof and Mouth' can be transferred by human in their hair.

Once that it ever happens, will people in the US be allowed to return back to normal before the emergency.

Maybe not, creating a Civil War attitude, for perhaps, nothing more than lack of patience.

How long could an emergency be declared, certainly until the next election, which may just

be as heated as the last election was.

Well, that my view, as I see it, for the Civil War.

It ends up being essentially Rural vs. City.

04-23-2001 02:30 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 259

Oh, I might add. How high is gas going up to in San Francisco?

It's a combination of things.

If transferred more to the National Level, or Federal, or not may make attitude's "tougher".

It's already talked about in my area.

Now to me, it will be the way that energy and emergencies such as the 'diseases' will be handled.

It was 'known?' that the Clinton Administration would use "Martial Law".

That to many outside of large cities is considered "Very Extreme". It is only meant to reduce freedoms, and although some sort of policy has to be applied, declaring the policy is the problem that happens.

How long would this last?

People attitudes will get more hostile, if they do not agree with the policies being used, especially for this kind of stuff.

Look at the demonstrations that happened in Quebec.

The TT said, "Poor Quebec".

Although, quieted down at this point, none of them were too essentially happy with that meeting.

04-23-2001 02:48 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Tom Young

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 8

Darby

It's funny, but when you first posed the question who lives in the cities, initially the only thing I could come

up with (as a chalky white city boy) was YUPPIES! As a nation there are a lot of things that divide us including

race, and whether the argument is about race or class or liberalism vs conservatism, male vs female, pro life vs

pro choice, etc, etc, etc. I hope at least that not too many of us are ready to take up

arms over these kinds of differences. The upcoming "civil war" as described by JT sounded a lot more like the makings of a revolution than a house divided. If following a wholesale scrapping of basic constitutional rights a 'war against the oppressor's' were to take place it seems plausible that before long, the cities (at least certain ones) would become the command and control centers of the previously established government leaving the rural areas to the guerilla's. Anything else would leave the lesser equipped militias etc. sitting ducks just waiting to be surrounded and picked off. Strangely, when all is said and done, it might just be a rebel yell that sounds the emancipation that so many people are still waiting for in this country.

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

PnWith all due respect Mr. Darby, I am confused as to what you are doing.

John had clearly stated in his threads who fought in the war, what caused it and who it was against. I don't know where the "race" thing came into play.

John is a white male but I won't describe what he looks like. I don't know how you could

read that statement I submitted this morning from John's thread and still continued in that conclusion. please elaborate further why you are now going in this direction.

remember when you posted this on the "jump video" thread?

((Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 115

Pamela?

How are you? And where's the video? We need some excitement back on the TT threads - something controversial so we can get the discussion going again.

04-17-2001 04:49 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit))

Is this just the "controversial subject" to get the discussion going again?

sincerely,

Pamela

04-23-2001 07:42 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 116

David

Thank you... and good to hear from you too.

«< You are also coming to your race war conclusions based on the assumption that people of color still are mostly found in the cities. They may have moved to middle, rural America. »>

Though there may be a minor trend to move to the country, the percentage of minorities in

the cities is growing. In Boomer's scenario the war starts in a very few years. There won't be a significant flight to the country by minorities in that short time. His bombs, for whatever reason or justification, will fall on the minority peoples of this Constitutional Democracy.

<<It is relevant who starts this war in the future. Is it not relevant that Hitler and his hope for a glorious greater German Third Reich started WWII, leaving countless millions

of people dead in its wake?>>

I don't believe that the 50 to 80 million WWII dead cared too much who started it. It's only relevant to the survivors, I suppose.

«hat most in the government and media want us to believe, that ALL militia groups are inherently

evil? »>

It's frightening to them based on history. Stereotypical or not they don't trust white folks with guns. Can you blame them?

«ie of color? »>

This one is a matter of perspective. Lincoln admitted that he would have continued slavery

if he thought that it would preserve the Union. For the South it was a 10th Amendment

issue. Can you see how 10th Amendment groups might give people of color some discomfort

9

«Id be disinclined to "join" the side of the country in John Titor's civil war.»>

I don't think that Williams and Powell would join the country and watch Philadelphia get

nuked, do you? They were born and raised there. They are the government or the 4th Estate

- enemies of Boomer's revolution.

«y are in the minority. »>

Again, "Home rule, but who will rule at home?" Is it a slam-dunk that the minority "bad"

militias wouldn't defeat the "good" militias in the inevitable post-civil war second revolution to establish the ultimate power brokers?

And all of the militias take an extreme position on the 2nd Amendment. They quote it, quote

it some more, dissect it and apply it to Madison and Hamilton's papers and the 10th Amendment. They never do quite get to the issue of "A well regulated militia" part of it. They claim no one, no gov't, nobody is gonna regulate them 'cause they are

Sovereign

in Citizens. They would like the 2nd Amendment to read "An unregulated militia being necessary,

... " but it doesn't.

«position to how it's defined in the cities. The question would be are their values less real and valid than those of country people?"

David: The answer to this is, yes, because for all practical purposes, they are less valid because the policies they espouse ultimately mean THEY HOLD NO VALUES! »>

My point to a tee. The country people attack the city people, who for the most part are minorities, because they hold no values and those that they might hold are less than valid. Why is that so? Why and who decides who are "real Americans"?

Pamela my Wonder Woman,

In a word, "Yes".

If I get bored again, I'll propose a justification for his civil war. And if we keep it going long enough I might switch it up again and take the Chinese agent provocateur side

. I'll play Chairman Mao and Uncle Ho (ho-ho-ho).(Xin loi...I guess that would be the Chinese and Vietnamese agent provocateur side.) Chao ongh.

[Edited by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 04-24-2001 at 12:56 AM]

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 23, 2001 19:03

(place for missing pages)

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 23, 2001 19:04

Tom Young

Member

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 17

Oh, and just one more...

While Britney's expertise as a laser physicist is well documented, little has been known about

Stephen Hawkings career as a gangsta rapper until now...

MC Hawkings Crib <http://www.mchawking.com/index.html>

05-01-2001 07:33 PM Profile My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 142

SDI

Being associated with the missile defense industry means that I get to see things from "an insider" point of view. I do not work on such systems and am only associated with this s

tuff on a cursory basis, but, from the military stand point, the announcement that Bush made has been LONG AWAITED by the military community.

Let me explain. The ABM missile treaty with Russia (Actually the former Soviet Union) ti

ed

our hands to prevent us from actually developing defenses against nuclear weapons.

Now,

why is this important?

It is important because, creating a missile defense "shield" automatically makes nuclear weapons obsolete. This is something that a LOT of people do not want to have happen. Cheif among them would be Russia and China. Why? Because all the millions and billions of Dollars, Yuan and Ruples they've spent on missiles just went down the rat-hole.

With an anti-missile shield the entire WORLD would be safe from nuclear missile attacks

(not terrorist nuclear attacks though). This is a GOOD thing. Nukes would eventually go away. In fact, those countries flexing their muscles now, namely China and Russia, as well as

Iraq, would find themselves in a position of not being able to flex those muscles, thereby mak

ing them impotent powers in the world. In fact, to some extent, it makes even the United Sta

tes less of a Superpower, UNLESS we keep such technology to ourselves alone. I do not foresee that happening.

Reagan stated that his intent was to develop the Strategic Defense Initive (SDI), labi ed as "The Star Wars Project" by the media, and then to give it to everyone in the world.

The

media name did two things. It brought the attention of the world on the US, and made it appear (because Star Wars was a HUGE movie at the time) as if the US was developing some super-secret space ships, lasers and photo-torpedoes or something similar. It also made

Reagan appear to be a "traitor" to his country for wanting to "give away star wars techn ology".

What the PUBLIC saw was this, what in reality was the truth was the "technology" would n

of have been any different than anything else the other countries spy out from us anyway, o

simply purchase on the open market. The truth is, by giving away such "technology" we arm

everyone in a manner that protects them from everyone else - from nuclear missiles.

This is ONLY a good thing. This is also what BOTH sides of the story FAIL to point out!

How does this affect us, and how is it related to the "Titor Civil War"? Easy question.

Russia, China and all other countries looking for a way to really screw up, or disempower the US will be rendered completely impotent in attacking the US in any manner in the future.

Therefore... Bush's announcement yesterday just placed a serious damper on Titor's Civil

War. If we put in place ANY sort of defense, it renders the nuclear weapons useless, therefore, they will not use them because they will be useless against such a thing. However, there is the opposite side of the coin. If we only make noise, and take NO ACTION any time soon, it will escalate the current world situation. Already today, many

hostile responses have been voiced by various countries: (See

http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/english/world/americas/newsid_1308000/1308481.stm)

Already the

media has "labeled" the proposed missile system as "Son of Star Wars" in an effort to give it

some sort of almighty title that people can refer to easily. I for one think that the title SDI ought

to remain in place, since it is three letters, and easier to say.

Finally, JUST for the nail in the coffin... (Yes, I think there will perhaps be a war in short order with someone, if not Russia itself, then China, or an amalgamation of countries like N. Korea,

Russia, China, Iraq and even Cuba) - anyway, back to the nail:

http://www.usdefense.com/articles/may2001/050201_5.htm

http://www.usdefense.com/articles/may2001/050201_4.htm

http://www.usdefense.com/articles/may2001/050201_1.htm

http://www.usdefense.com/articles/may2001/050201_2.htm

http://www.usdefense.com/articles/may2001/050201_3.htm

Those five articles are all from US Defense Dot Com. I suggest you read them carefully. Understand what each is saying, and then go back and think over the things I've said in the past couple of days.

Yesterday, on a local talk show that I sometimes appear on myself, one of the President's

advisors was interviewed, specifically regarding the announcement about the ABM treaty and

the defense proposal. The one and only thing that he said that REALLLLLLLLLLLY hit home

was the fact that Colorado Springs, and the place I work truly just became the A-Number-One target of every nuclear power in the world. My home, the place I love and

live, will most likely be incinerated in a nuclear war. Believe me, I WANT a positively controlled antiballistic missile system put in place, to protect my home and the beauty of the mountains and the plains where I live.

For anyone that doesn't know - Pikes Peak, which is behind my house, is the place where

"America the Beautiful" was written. That song, and the beauty of this place ALONE are

enough for me to fight a war against any aggressors.

Rick Donaldson

05-02-2001 10:03 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 344

I tried to climb Pike's Peak in my old 6-cylinder van on vacation once. I got up to

thecamp at

9000 feet and my van which was goin 5 mi/hr verily made it up there. I turned around,

(Idid

have a camper and other family along) and headed down to the 7500 ft. level

campgrounds.

Here's a story, on the radio, that I presume I got right because I only heard the middl

eand

end.

A CITGO driver in a tanker truck pulled up to a gas station with cheaper gas prices, and started filling the tanker truck.

The police were called, and he got up to 342 gallons, paid the 427 dollar about amount,and

was told to leave.He was filling the tanker part.

Anyway, if missile defense, maybe more missiles launched.

If deployed, fully by 2010, suppose to be 95% effective, but not till then.

I leave in peace.

05-02-2001 01:18 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 142

China vs US revisited

A couple hours ago a government official on terms of anonymity announced

(apparently

unofficially) that we have cut off all "military contact and programs" with China, we,

obviously

being the US. Rurnsfeld apparently signed an order two days ago. Fox news broke the story

at least an hour ago, CNN just picked it up a few minutes ago. Not much further is known

A "classic nuclear scenario" shows tensions rising over several days to several weeks between

two nuclear-capable nations. Currently, I'm observing this and thinking to myself

"Science

Fiction is once again coming true".

The scenario involves the US, and various "incidents occurring" over the course of days,that

causes an increase in tensions. This causes various sorts of sanctions, until personnel(like

ambassadors) are recalled and all conversation is cut off.

At some point in the scenario, military incidents begin to occur, at first small or medium incidents, where ships or troops on borders get into fights, someone sinks a vessel or someone

gets shot. Tensions go up further. At some point, "First Strike" is ordered by one or the other of the two countries involved.

This scenario has always been thought of as the "nuclear scenario" between US and Russia/USSR, however, it appears that the players have changed, and it is now the US and China.

In our CURRENT situation, the US would ABSORB first strike BEFORE retaliation. I can

only hope that Bush and the current administration will change that policy. That was a Clinton

policy and was written down, if I recall correctly as an EO. Not sure though, but I recall reading it some years back.

If that idea is changed, and we're attacked by China... I believe China would be on the receiving end of hell. We have the nuclear capability to destroy every city they have, plus a lot extra.

Eyes and ears, folks... keep them open for further developments.

Rick

05-02-2001 01:39PM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 142

More breaking: <http://www.newsday.com/ap/topnews/apl7.htm>

<http://www.cnn.com/2001/US/05/02/us.china.contacts/index.html>

These are the news articles.

05-02-2001 01:42PM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 344

I really do not see why we as the US have problems about an ABM Treaty with a now defunct country. Soviet Union does not exist anymore. A Treaty with a non-existent count

iy-

I believe that the President might consult the other 2 superpowers, just to let them know, but I

really do not know if that is really necessary.

05-02-2001 02:35 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 158

The Kobiyashi Alternative

Albert, the Star Trek computer simulation that Captain James Tiberius Kirk beat by reprogramming the game was the above title of this message.

Indeed, I play computer games a lot. My son and I have this on going battle in each and every game we do battle against one another. His idea is to use cheat codes. My idea is to beat the computer without using cheat codes.

The differences between us are so tiny though, as to not be very obvious. He cheats against the computer and occasionally wins. I do not cheat against the computer, and occasionally I win.

When we go head-to-head in most games, and he decides to pull out the big guns, and cheat... I have usually quit the game. He gets upset with me for not playing it his way. So in recent months when he has pulled out the cheat codes, I have usually turned the tables on him, by finding ways to win without cheating. Depending on the game, I can now win in around 50% of the time.

What this tells me is two things. 1) The human race, in general will do whatever it takes to win and 2) the human race WILL WIN.

If, indeed Titor's 'predictions' begin coming true... there will be people like me, who now "know and understand the future" who will try to turn the tables in an effort to 1) prevent civil war and 2) prevent a nuclear holocaust.

So, regardless of John's "truth" or not, I will be there to try to prevent the worst from happening. I can only hope that I will win.

Rick

05-07-2001 12:40 PM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hill

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 4

I too have just recently been able to register after reading the Titor threads. Seems like a nut-load of work for someone who was just plain bored. But I just wanted to point a couple of things out that no one has mentioned.

1. The car Mr. Titor is driving is a 66 Corvette convertible. Probably doesn't make a difference but I thought it was interesting for someone trying to not draw attention to themselves.

2. In that same photo you can see some sort of a pistol holster between the seats. It appears he is quite well armed even if it is a fake.

3. There's another photo that was available on Titor's MSN site (no longer) that showed what looked like a shotgun poking up next to a blue seat.

What does it mean? Who knows?

However, I have thought it may be worthwhile to consider examining the photos and story

from the view point that it is fake and look for clues that would suggest it's real. For example, why the gun in the photos? (if it is a gun). Why didn't Titor say anything about it (as far as I know) or was he just trying to be a tough guy?

When did Titor first appear on the web and what did he say? Maybe he was still working t

he story out and make some errors early on. Does anyone know the exact date he first arrived?
Oh well....just my 2 cents worth.

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 375

In some of these posts, there are hyperlinks to Website pages where the TT, (John) first appeared.

I think, if I remember right, it was November 2nd or 7th, for the election.

I do not know if the Website pages are still up.

The first site I saw and was mentioned here in these threads (I am from 2036) was at a Website

page that listed that he was a time traveler and about the War in 2015.

I forget the hyperlink, but it is back on one of those threads pages here at this Website.

I never cheat playing computer games, that's why for about 10 years I played about every

version of Civilization that came out since the game first appeared in DOS, in around 1989.

But, I suppose I should do some other work, especially in finals week at school.

Then, the Civil War could be getting all Microsoft programs with all versions of video drivers and sound card drivers and DX7a all working all the time for all time.

Good luck!

This is why I went back to using Windows 95, because its still working, and only one game

I have bought had Windows 95 B Version to use or Windows 98, which I did have on my computer until Visual Basic bombed the whole system and nothing worked after installing up

grades from Microsoft.

This is why I still use Windows 95, it works with all, yes all, of the Y2K upgrades.

That's all for now. I need a break from this and will check later, and I have other work to do.

Games, bombing on my computer, yuck!

Here's a funny, I heard over talk radio Monday.

A Frenchman goes into the Post Office, (in France one can withdraw money as in a bank there), with a gun and demands money.

He asked the clerk for \$13,700.

The clerk, I think was a lady, said we just do not carry that much money or have that money here.

The robber asks then for \$6,800 still with the gun in his hand.

The clerk again says that they do not have that much money there, but if he would like to

withdraw some money out of his account there, she can do that up to a certain limit.

He gets out his billfold and gets his ID with his account number, still with the gun in his hand and asks to withdraw \$600 out of account.

He withdraws the money and leaves.

Later, he was arrested.

This is all true and was on the news.

Bye all!

05-08-2001 11:25 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 158

cars, guns and tough guys

I noticed the car, but wasn't sure about what sort it was. About the guns, I was so intent on the equipment, I never paid any attention at all to the fact there were guns there. However, even if I had, I don't think it would have really made an impression on me. Guns are, shall we say, a way of life for myself and a good number of my family members.

I am armed with any one of several handguns about 80% of the time (the bad guys get to guess which 20% I'm NOT armed). I routinely go to shooting ranges and carry weapons with

me when I do that, I routinely carry a weapon on me most of the time.

I'm not "some kind of tough guy". Matter of fact, most folks think of me as a computer n

erd. Haha. But, I shoot better than I type.

So John's idea of carrying guns, assuming he ISN'T a time traveler is actually very normal in Florida, and for folks who "carry" all the time anyway.

Just my thoughts on this.

Rick

05-08-2001 12:43 PM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 375

Minnesota is thinking about letting people carry concealed guns, or carry guns around on their person.

Still, it seems only Florida(I can't resist — Phlooreduh) is where tourists are shot when visiting this Country.

I do not really like that.

Oh, I guess I do not mind if people carry guns around.

Wild, Wild, West.

Maybe, it would give some people pause for concern, the bad ones.

05-10-2001 11:17 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 158

Floriduh Gun laws

Albert,

Florida was one of the main states to push allowing concealed carry as a matter of course

e, first. As a matter of fact, when the tourists were shot (and some were killed a few years ago) the laws were changed to allow concealed carry with a permit. In other words, F

lorida has what is known as a "Shall Issue Law". Unless someone is a criminal, or under a certain age, they WILL BE issued a concealed carry license, (see: <http://www.nra.org/research/19990716-BillofRightsCivilRights-OIO.html>)

So, the folks in Florida can protect themselves from bad guys if they so desire, they just have to "follow the law and get a license to do so" unlike the criminals who do not have to do so.

Same goes for my state. Colorado. If I wish to carry concealed, I can, IF I get a license. Unlike Florida though, I can OPEN CARRY anywhere (with exceptions, such as police sta

tions, schools, places where alcohol is served, municipal buildings, county buildings, federal buildings... stuff like that).

So - as far as such laws go, we have some in place (and my personal opinion is that there

should be NO restrictive laws on guns, since there are already laws against criminal owning or carrying). It is the fact that such laws are being put into place that upset the Constitutionalists, thus bringing to the forefront the "tyranny" of the government. See... if a civil war starts in this country due to "tyranny" it will be because such laws are put in place, not because we do NOT have them.

05-11-2001 07:58 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rhonda Thies

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 1

Civil rights infringed

I would not call it a Civil War because of racism. John says in IRC that it will be a war of City and government..... Guns and no guns Money or no money To me and

my surroundings in California as it is most workers get paid less than the hiked up prices of food here. Both Mother and Father have to work their booties off just to survive. No parents are around because they have to work so their goes the family values..... Any mother who stays home with the kids is called lazy..... And don't tell me other countries get paid less. We all know this. But put for instance what it cost to buy a home when I was a babe compared to the rate it is now and compare it to the pay. We are getting bewildered where even the little children of middle class are STARVING and there is NO help

for them from neither State nor country. And you have to be part of a Church organization

to receive any help. That is unless the community of the school recognizes the problem.

Concluding the civil war will not be because of race I see a fight of fury of human beings trying to survive while Our Government and Banks own ALL the Farmland..... see
e????

05-11-2001 02:23 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 375

Well, to me, what has been going on here in the US for awhile is: To have a global economy, which should be better, some people are getting richer, while some people are getting

poorer. In my case, I see problems ahead.

There are many reasons for this, but still to me the chief problem is the workquake as described by Rev. Richard Bolles. It's a changing of life. Life is changing, according to new technologies that I referred to, this freedom from want, is not what some people will want.

What would they do if these new techs really took over the way some people talk about what

they mean.

New programming (self-programming languages, one example), the fact that the whole concept

of the way people look at the future, may be a problem. What really runs this country in a sense, — money? I know it is a stretch right now, but putting atoms together, say, in the future that money is created literally from putting atoms together. Not a forgery, although it is, an exact replication of things.

Self-replicating techs. While we say that people have to learn new subjects for the future, no one really knows what subjects anyone may be talking about. Imagine that whoever

you are, your job can be done by (?) emotional computers.

It seems like science fiction, but still they are and they still are thinking about this route. It will be self-programmed. Create one, and many others can be replicated.

WOW!

I find that it is hard to believe, that whole group of people are not really needed in the future, unless the documents that the US was founded on are really upheld.

In Lincoln's time it was: All men are created equal. (All people are created equal.) Now, because of science, humans are not even needed if this future play out.

That will be a problem.

Well, it seems we are headed in that direction.

How long will that take?

What do children learn in school in a world that only has difficult subjects that may be taken? If those are even needed, because once a computer can program its self, can it add to its knowledge?

Some of these people must think that it will happen.

Then, humans are simply not good enough anymore, science has created something better, and

better wins out.

It all seems so absurd, yet, this is where some of these people are going.

Borgs?

Whatever? Half machine, half human, half what?

Or none?

05-12-2001 12:41 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hill

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 4

You've just crossed over...

I saw a news story today that got me thinking about something I thought I read on Titor's thread...

http://www.ananova.com/news/story/sm_290913.html?menu=news.latestheadlines

Goering bunker could be 'euro army' base

The former wartime bunker of Hermann Goering could be used to command British troops in the planned European rapid reaction force.

Sure enough, with too much spare time on my hands, I found it:

Feb. 8 Titor Said: The attack on Europe is in response to a unified European army that m

asses and moves East from Germany.

Anyone else hearing the twilight zone theme in their heads? Oh sorry...that's just me.

05-12-2001 06:15 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 140

<<Feb. 8 Titor Said: The attack on Europe is in response to a unified European army th at masses and moves East from Germany.>>

David,

Boomer (John Titor for those new to the thread) didn't offer much of a revelation there.

What direction would a unified European army move other than East? North and attack the

Arctic Ocean? West and attack the Atlantic Ocean? I suppose that they could move south

and attack Africa.

Russia has always feared Germany and it's reaction to a unified European army is absolut

ely predictable - without Boomer's help.

No, I hear no Twilight Zone theme in this "prediction".

05-12-2001 10:56 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 140

Rhonda,

You may have missed my point here. The civil war that Boomer describes may not intention

ally be racially motivated. It would end up, however, a de facto racial war. The populat ion of our cities have a very high proportion of racial minority people. Any attack on t

he cities by "country people" who are predominately white would be viewed by the aggressed as racial. All the propoganda in the world couldn't convince them that their dead babies was justified as collateral damage. The propogandists of RCP-CPUSA (for instance) would make sure that message came across loud and clear. Boomer has no clue about civil war and how it breaks down. His scenario cleanly divides the nation into two distinct groups: city and country. It wouldn't break down that way. His scenario totally ignored factionalization among the two groups. The country group would break down into at least the following sub-groups: ANP and other Neo-Nazi Triple K Anarchist Survivalist HA and aligned organized crime outlaw biker

The city group would break down into at least:

RCP-CPUSA and other vanguard revolutionary Soviet communist
PRC aligned communist
Cosa Nostra
Brown Berets (Chicano)
BGF (Black Guerilla Family)
JDL (Jewish Defense League)
Tong families (Chinese OC families)
There are dozens of others. His cleanly drawn lines would crumble and a protracted war would ensue. Among the "country" groups the Hell's Angels alone can claim a larger "army"

today than the totality of all the so-called militias. Sonny Barger can pool at least 20,000 members from the USA, Canada, Germany, England, New Zealand and Australia. They are well armed, well trained, highly motivated and dedicated to their cause. "Home rule...and who will rule at home." The HA would have no desire to share power - not with the Nazi's or the KKK. The same could be said of every group that I've listed on both categories. After a civil war the winner would follow up the victory dance with a purge of all who threaten their power - especially within their own alliance. There's nothing "civil" about it.

Boomer never addressed the Mexican scenario. A gringo attack on the cities in the West and Southwest would elicit a response from Mexico and Cuba. And as we previously discussed, his assertion that Omaha emerged as the Capitol was truly absurd. In a nuclear exchange with Russia, Omaha would be a glassy, smoking hole in the ground (a VERY big and VERY hot hole at that).

[Edited by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-12-2001 at 11:38 PM]
05-12-2001 II :23PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 375

I will try to be brief.

I am finding out information about technologies in the future that may well cause a societal breakdown, (at least at this time with me).

These researchers doing this research may say something like, well, we get the money for

our research from the Federal Government.

No, they get their money through taxes from the citizens.

If someone was creating a technology that can be used as such a dangerous weapon in the

future, some citizens may say something like 'wake up', those techs imply social consequences that did not involve the people paying the taxes, only the people defining their morals about the research. (Bioethicists, or so. Bill Joy called them).

In other words, not enough people know what is really going on to make a decision concerning their future, which may well be here before they 'wake up'.

Laws, yes, we do have. Knowledge makes breaking the laws so easy through self-replication

g technologies that everyone feels the stress of questioning "What is really going on?"

type events in the future.

I do not think citizens are ready for this if it happens that fast.

Boomer may not be a time traveler, but his future can certainly be an entertaining one from this new prospective.

Will society just break down over this?

It well may, perhaps.

Ah!

Duh!

05-13-2001 02:00 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hill

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 4

What Euro-Army?

Boy, Darby, that Titor guy must have really hit a nerve with you. I don't for a second believe that Titor was a time traveler but. I'm willing to put him into the "boy, that's odd" category because his story is either really thought out or there is some grain of truth in it. I'd compare it to the same feeling you get after reading "Things to Come" or the "Andromeda Strain".

I think the key point of the euro-army story is the fact that there's a euro-army at all to be in Germany. I don't think Boomer knew that was going to happen before February

and I recall someone else saying they had never heard of such an army being thought about at all.

DARBY »Boomer has no clue about civil war and how it breaks down. His scenario cleanly

divides the nation into two distinct groups: city and country. It wouldn't break down that way. His scenario totally ignored factionalization among the two groups.«

When I read your posts hammering Boomer I get an image of him (or her for that matter) rather

reading these posts and laughing their butt off. You're either ripping on a future you have no experience with or you're trying to tell a better story.

I personally thought it struck a good balance between fiction and mystery. I tell myself it's not real but something about it just keeps nagging at me. Can you say you've never felt that at all? If you haven't, why does the story tick you off so much?

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela

Member

Member # 15

posted June 23, 2001 19:45

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 162

Lot's of new ideas!

Rhonda: I was alittle confused with your post. I'm getting the idea from re-reading it th at you're upset with banks and big business, and the lack of "social reforms" - aka welf are. Personally, I can't see that right now. The economy is actually very good. We've hi t the top though, I think. Sure big business wants to own it all, and all the banks want to control the money. That's the norm. That's why we strive to have rules that prevent things like monopolies and rules that keep banks in check.

On the other hand - civil rights are being infringed constantly on a day-to-day basis. L et me give you a very LARGE CURRENT example. Timothy McVey. (Before I go into this, unde

rstand I believe he is guilty, he was found guilty, he confessed to being guilt -so I think he ought to FRY... however).... In our country you are INNOCENT until proven guilty

. Many of us believed that McVey was NOT acting alone, and Terry Nicholas wasn't the ONL

Y other guy involved. Personally, I think he was paid to take the fall, or somehow coerced into doing what he did. Perhaps "brainwashed". Whatever it is called, he is NOT the 0

NLY guilty individual involved.

The current FBI screw up (if that is what it WAS) has forced a stay of execution. Why? B

ecause papers were NOT released to the defense. That is a CLEAR CUT CASE OF COVERUP.

While I believe McVey is indeed guilty of placing a bomb outside the building, there wer

e all kinds of evidence about multiple explosions, including seismic evidence showing no

t one but two (or was it three?) explosions.

What was the government hiding? WHY were they hiding it? Why were ALL the ATF agents "of

f duty" that day?

The list goes on. If there ever was a conspiracy on this planet, this case is it.

McVey might be guilty, but was "Due process" served? I don't think so.

David Hill: Actually, David I believe there were rumors of a combined "Euro Force" back

as early as November of last year. I recall reading something about it. In fact, I've been keeping an eye on the EU (pronounced Ewwwwwww!) for quite a time now. The fact is, t

hey are what the UN wishes they could become. The EU has formed itself into a pretty pow

erful coalition - based on money and resources. A Unified Europe is something that leade

rs of Empires have dreamed of for centuries, and tried to complete. Napoleon, Alexander

the Great, Various English Leader/Kings, The Ottoman Empire, The Greeks, the Romans, Hitler.

The list goes on, and on. The fact is, every time in the past it has been tried, it was

tried under force of arms. Now, a Unified Europe - at least most of it-is being formed WILLINGLY. A good thing? No, not to me.

The UN wants to do the same thing with the entire world. Perhaps the EU is simply a small

piece of the puzzle and is of course set up clandestinely by the UN? I don't know, but it is something to consider.

Either way, a unified Europe becomes a power with which to be reckoned.

Darby - as usual, you come up with things that folks tend to miss. I still disagree with you about it being "racial", unless that is an offshoot of the whole thing. On the other hand, I DO agree with you completely about Omaha. Omaha will be a sheet of glass, and

this is one of the MAIN reasons I am leaning toward Titor being a fake.

The story was very well conceived, and well played, but the one thing he missed was the

Omaha connection. Omaha, for anyone not familiar was the original home of SAC... the Strategic

Air Command. Now, they are called TAC I think - I forget exactly, but that is still the home of B-52s, and Omaha NE has a very large Air Force Base, Offutt. We still have

strategic nuclear forces there, to the best of my knowledge. Hence... BIG TARGET.

Let's talk about Mexico... no, let's discuss the BIG PICTURE. About 4 months ago, I came

across a little piece of news, something about a shootout with apparent Mexican forces. I have many, many contacts throughout the government, in various agencies. You gather

those when you work for so long for the government like I did. One of those contacts verified

for me the incident, it did occur. However, she explained that the "mexican forces" were actually "orientals in mexican uniforms". Asked if they could have been mistaken

, she said "No, no mistake. The only mistake was the news article". That news article, originally carried by a San Diego news paper on the internet has been pulled and I have no

more reference to it.

A day or so later, I caught another news article regarding illegal aliens stalling something about Cubans and Chinesees "...or North Koreans..." being in the Sonora Desert. Not a few,

but a LOT of them, probably in the thousands.

Now, combine this information... 2 pieces, you have an apparent military group of Cubans

and Chinese/N. Koreans planning SOMETHING in the Sonora Desert. Then... a third thing..

. when I posted some information about those two news articles, I received a 1/2 dozen pieces

of email VERIFYING that others had seen this as well.

Two pieces of mail arrived, from TWO SOURCES, one in Oregon, and one in Northern California,

near SF. Each told me the SAME STORY. From two guys, totally unrelated, and who did

not know each other.

The mail stated that illegals were working in and around Oregon and SF and were taking a large portion of their checks and purchasing "camouflage uniforms". They were then shipping these uniforms to Mexico. They were buying large numbers of these uniforms at surplus places, then shipping them to "relatives" in Mexico. New fad? Combined with the other pieces, I don't think so.

America's weakest border is Mexico. If *I* were gonna attack the US, I would do so along that border. I would hit Brownsville, I would hit El Paso, I'd drive a ground force up through New Mexico to Holloman AFB, I'd hit the Naval bases in San Diego - I'd do it with a force of less than I OK too and succeed.

America's military response would take as long as 72 hours trying to figure out what the hell happened. America's MILITIAS however, would strike back instantly. People like me, armed to the teeth, and with 200 of our best buddies would do what happened in the Revolutionary war. We would use guerrilla strikes against convoys and camp sites. No matter how much we pushed back, a small force could do what I've said, and do it in less than 24 hours.

(Yeah, sure, hitting a military base is suicide, right? Wrong... I can count on ONE HAND the number of people who are ACTUALLY ARMED on military bases most of the time). Facts are facts... military security is never what it OUGHT to be, and unless they upgrade the security forces on the bases, anyone can take any military base (at least for a short time) in a short period of time.

Lastly: What the "small Waco type events" mean to me is simple. I'm looking at this from a "pro-Patriot" point of view. If it ever got to the point that the government was simply oppressing the people (which I don't think has happened, and won't happen IF we KEEP

UP THE WATCH ON THEM) then there will be splinter groups who are intent on stopping the government.

Whether they are good or bad is a point of view. Just because the "government" says they are "bad" doesn't make them bad. Granted there are some "militias" who are radical. There

are groups who are "white supremacists" - but those groups are few and far between. It has been my personal experiences in the past (having met with and dealt with "militia forces") that most are God-Fearing, America-loving individuals who would go to war to protect

our country if called upon to do so. The VAST MAJORITY are good people who just do not

want to be bothered "for no good reason". They simply do not want the government or anyone

else telling them what they can and can not do. So - If it comes down to a group of

f'waco like events" then the government will force the hand of those same Americans, by creating an atmosphere whereby they (the militias) will be thrust into action - forced into action, by government actions.

When you see little incidents here and there, believe that it is indeed, a civil war. Until then.... keep your eyes open.

05-14-2001 07:11 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hill

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 5

Who Believes What?

I too would love to believe in time travel. I'm a grease monkey who spends too much time

reading and watching sci-fi. I've also been known to listen to Art's show late into the night. What caught my attention on this thread was the picture of the Corvette and the fact that Titor claimed to be from Central Florida. If there's a black on black 66 convertible Vette anywhere between Tampa and Daytona, I would probably know about it. Instead of thinking the story is true while looking for reasons it was false, it has always been my thinking that Titor's story is false and I've been looking for clues that it might be true. Here are a few things that run counter to other stories like this on the web:

1. The story is consistent within his own rules.
2. Titor never asked for anything (that I'm aware of).
3. There was no "gotcha" anywhere in the story.
4. The story had a beginning a middle and an end.

Why waste so much time doing this without a final "you're stupid for believing me" statement?

Why stick a gun in at least two pictures that no one would probably see?

Where's

the book and screenplay deal? What's the deal with the video? Does anyone know where it

will come from if it ever arrives? How will Titor send it if he's "back to the future"?

Rick: I agree that most of Titor's current predictions can be assumed from just reading the news. But I found it interesting that Germany seems to be the key hit. A euro-army could have been anywhere but Titor said it would move from Germany. Why not Belgium?

»Omaha will be a sheet of glass, and this is one of the MAIN reasons I am leaning toward Titor being a fake.«

Isn't Omaha also the center point for the U.S. power grids? I think it's hard to discredit Titor based on his creative use of geography because he will always win on those points since it's his story. Who knows why Omaha didn't get glassed in Titor's war? Maybe the

SDI system took the missiles out. Maybe the Russians decided not to take out Omaha for

some reason.

I also noticed you seem to flip-flop on his credibility a couple of times:

»I have a distinct feeling that I want to "believe" that John is truly a time traveler.

The reason is simple and probably selfish. I have always said I want to live to see the ripe old age of 200. I MIGHT make it to 150 given today's medical advancements. If so, I

have around 107 years to go. I can't wait to see what the future holds.«

»John is perhaps what he says he is... however, I don't think he is a "TIME TRAVELER"

I think he is a world-line, or for lack of a better word, a dimension traveler«

What Jim is looking for is a current event that would obviously blow his story out of the water that has nothing to do with his creative ability. So far I haven't found it. Has anyone else? What are the odds of not finding something for some 90 + predictions between

now and 2036?

4. "Food and livestock is grown and sold locally."

7. "The computer units and gravity sensors "record" your trip and you are quite easily able to return to your point of origin." (Gravity "sensors" are invented).

22. "Water is produced on a community level and we do eat meat that we raise ourselves.

37. Q: Have you discovered the cure for cancer or AIDS yet? " AIDS...no. Cancer...some progress. "

45. "(3) The "Mad Cow" story here is yet to begin but don't worry, the fruited jellitine deserts are safe.

67. "The West will become very unstable which gives China the confidence to "expand". I'

am assuming you are all aware that China has millions of male soldiers right now that they know will never be able to find wives. The attack on Europe is in response to a unified European army that masses and moves East from Germany.

71. "I guess you could say that. Taiwan, Japan and Korea were all 'forcefully annexed' before N Day. "

74. "Australia is sort of interesting in what is unknown. After the war, they were not very cooperative or friendly [can't blame them really].

84. "35. It's hard to say what the exact racial makeup of the U.S. is. I would say it's probably the same minus 130 million people or so."

05-14-2001 08:54 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 162

Great Points

David, very good work. You're doing what I've been trying to do all along. At the risk of sounding like I am attempting to "defend myself, which I really am not, I would like to address the material you specifically directed at me. The following is your quotes, and my material that you were talking about. Then, my "motive"

David: I also noticed you seem to flip-flop on his credibility a couple of times:

(Rick) »I have a distinct feeling that I want to "believe" that John is truly a time traveler. The reason is simple and probably

selfish. I have always said I want to live to see the ripe old age of 200. I MIGHT make it to 150 given today's medical

advancements. If so, I have around 107 years to go. I can't wait to see what the future

holds.«

(Rick) »John is perhaps what he says he is... however, I don't think he is a "TIME TRAV

ELER" - I think he is a world-line, or

for lack of a better word, a dimension traveler<<

What lfm looking for is a current event that would obviously blow his story out of the water that has nothing to do with

his creative ability. So far I haven't found it. Has anyone else? What are the odds of not finding something for some 90 + predictions between now and 2036?

Very specifically, I have never once stated I "believed" John is a time traveler. What you quoted there was my intention to try to describe for someone else how I feel about this whole thing. Listen carefully: I do not believe John is a time traveler. I BELIEVE IT IS POSSIBLE. Nothing more.

In fact, one thing you stated in the beginning hit the nail on the head. I'm not a "believer looking for faults" with his story. I am a person who does not think he is really a time traveler, however, I am open to the possibility that he might be. In fact, if he IS, I would like to prove he IS. If he is NOT, I would like to prove he is not.

The truth is, I would LOVE to find out he is, but without more evidence (like the video that never happened), or me seeing his departure with my own eyes (which he refused to a

How for the "safety of his family"), or experiencing it myself- then there is only one other way to prove or disprove his veracity.

That is what I have proposed in the other thread, "Titor's 2036". I won't reiterate the fall hypothesis here, but specifically, the points I pulled from only the first 45% or so of the postings he made, were meant to begin a set of "predictions" based up on the assumed "fact" he was really from the future. In the hypothesis, we assume certain things (obviously without known facts we have to make certain assumptions - which eventually will

prove to be false or true depending on what happens). One of those things is that we ASSUME he was telling us the truth.

We further assume his memory was relatively accurate.

We assume that he is a real person, with real experiences on which to base his statements.

We assume he is really from the future.

Given this, we can take his statements and say, "If these things are true, THEN the things he states as history for himself, becomes our future, plus or minus 2.5%".

In any case, no I've never flip-flopped. I do not believe at this point he is real. I merely am open to the possibility that he might have been real.

On the last thing you said, "What are the odds for not finding something in the 90 + predictions?" Understand that I know the odds are decent, but then that was never the intention

to find a few things that hit. The purpose was stated very clearly in the "Titor's 2036" thread. The purpose is to hit ALL of them or roughly 97.5 percent of them (and not just the ones that are there, but probably another 100 that I haven't posted and no one else has bothered to go through yet). The point being, John stated there was about a 2.5% "divergence" in "time lines". This means that if he traveled 60 years forward or backward from his own time, there was a 2.5% chance things would NOT be AS HE KNEW THEM! This is

of course means when he dropped in on our time line (assuming he did of course) then 2.5%

of the things that "actually happened in his time line" have not, or will not happen in happen in OUR time line.

Thus, if he makes statements about his own time line, knowing them to be true (as far as he has SEEN them), then there is a 2.5% chance some of the stuff he has made statements

about WILL not happen.

In other words, we are not looking for a few things to happen, we're looking for ALMOST

ALL OF THEM TO HAPPEN. If they do, and he hits roughly 95-97% accuracy on those things w

e can glean from his statements, then in ALL PROBABILITY he was telling the truth. Note,

I did not say he was 100% certain to have been a time traveler. I'm only trying to show there is a possibility, and eventually, if all of the items turn out to be true, a HIGH PROBABILITY that he was indeed a time traveler.

In my collection of his posting I have noted throughout, there are two very distinct groups of people. Those who DO believe, and those who DO NOT believe. The rest of us are ie

ss obtrusive in our statements, but we are not the norm. Hence when I post, some people think I believe, and some think I do not believe. Each is looking for a "pat answer". I'm just looking for the truth, no matter WHICH WAY it turns out to be! We (the people who

aren't on one side or the other) are "abnormal". Some folks simply can't understand how one can be skeptical, but not "disbelieving" at the same time.

So - that respect, you and I see eye-to-eye from what I've seen of your posts thus far.

Keep up the critical evaluations of everything said, and eventually, by the year 2013, we will indeed, get to the bottom of the "Titor Story".

Rick

05-14-2001 10:24 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 162

Civil War, Nuclear War

On a side note, I thought I would post a link for you guys to glance at right now. This is current events, and important to anyone of military age. Believe me when I say, no ma

tier WHAT happens with Titor's "Civil War", I believe China is our next, greatest challenge - to include Russia taking sides. In that regard, read here:

http://www.FreeRepublic.com/forum/a3b00051_d4dbc.htm

In this respect, China is very clearly, preparing for war. Is it a war they will fight against America only? Perhaps. But who will be their allies? The EU? The UN? The Middle E

ast? Russia? Cuba? Perhaps all of them.

Oh yet. Pat Buchanan wrote the article, so take it for what it is worth. However, the fact is China has made deals with nearly anyone that will listen to them, and all of them

have been either enemies or former enemies of the US.

05-14-2001 10:27 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 144

Albert - good luck during finals! I'm sooo happy that I haven't had to endure finals for a very long time. I hope that you come back this summer for some relaxation .

David F. - Thanks for the vote of confidence.

David H. - Actually, the only person prior to your post that suggested that Boomer got under my skin was Boomer himself..just once. I assured him that the only emotion involve

d for me here is joy. These TT threads are my pleasure time. They take me away from the

real dangers of the world at large.

Boomer posted a lot of very specific information here and on other boards about who he w

as, his intentions, his history and technical details of his form of TT. It's the only information that we have. He put it out there for...if you will...peer review. Pamela has been his somewhat skeptical supporter. Rick Donaldson has been his open minded adversar

y. Javier (who is no longer with us) has been his fire and brimstone antagonist. I've been (hopefully) the unemotional data analyst. Albert has been the philosopher. David Ferg

uson the inquisitive student with wonderful questions and thoughts. With the possible ex

ception of Javier, each of us has drawn on a particular relationship with Boomer (Pamela)

or field of expertise (Rick, Albert, David and me) to post our critical thoughts on his assertions. If nothing else, we have managed to generate almost 50,000 viewings and about 1,200 posts on the original and related threads concerning Boomer. Not a bad bit of work.

Though I don't believe that Boomer is a TT he is a very interesting fellow none-the-less . He's given us all pause to think, study, research and expand our general knowledge of the subject of TT, physics, history, the 2nd Amendment and more.

Good for him and good for us. For that I sincerely thank him. I'm joyous - not ticked.

[Edited by Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire on 05-14-2001 at 10:19 PM]

05-14-2001 10:07 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Emmett "Darby" Darbyshire

Regular

Registered: Nov 2000

Posts: 144

Rick,

I think that you're absolutely correct about the EU and the combined EU Forces. It took almost a century and two World Wars but the German form of Manifest Destiny seems to be

coming to fruition. Germany is the economic power of the EU - not the UK and not France

(thank you, God, for that small favor!) The first World War started in Bosnia—the Second World War was a continuation of the first. And we're still fighting in Bosnia. This does not bode well for Europe. Boomer made allusions to these problems but it's (as I noted previously) not much of a prediction that depends on a TT to tell us what we already know. The Balkan-Slavic states have been the seat of European unrest for several centuries.

Russia has had ethnic ties with the Russian speaking peoples of those states for just as long. Germany has its ties with the Germanic peoples in those same states. Manifest Destiny from two directions.

A strong economic unified Germany facing Russia (Bangladesh with Nukes)...a very dangerous

situation to say the least. A strong economic unified Germany in the same world as is real - a nuclear power. The members of the EU had better learn to speak enough German to

translate the German National Anthem - "Deutschland Uber Alles"...Germany over all. It means

that a unified Germany comes first, not the individual German states and most assuredly not

the EU. The EU states should re-think European history. The Third Reich almost succeeded

in resurrecting the German led Holy Roman Empire of Charlemagne and Otto the First.

They're still trying to unify Europe as the Holy Roman Empire. (For those who haven't given

it any thought, did it ever strike you as odd that when the German Army was on parade during the Nazi era the guidons for the major units were Roman Legion standards? Die

it ever strike you as odd that the German word Kaiser is Caesar in Latin?)

05-14-2001 10:59 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member

Member # 15

posted June 23, 2001 20:27

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 130

David Hill-

"What's the deal with the video? Does anyone know where it will come from if it ever arrives?

How will Titor send it if he's "back to the future"? "

John's father was suppose to be videotaping the departure.

Here is a paragraph from his final letter to me concerning the video:

"My father will videotape the departure but I can already see it will be quite emotional for both of my parents. They are aware I want it sent to you but they also understand it will be seen as a novelty. I understand and respect how difficult it will be to subject the object of their feelings to public scrutiny so please be patient with them."

Every day that goes by I have less confidence that I will be receiving the video. Besides the fact that I already did receive one thing from them that I had asked John for which arrived about 22 days after his departure. Which was just something personal that I asked John to send me. He said he would have his parents send it after he left.

I did not receive any type of manual. I have received emails from scientists working on projects that have requested the manual from me. One even said he would trade his information

for Titors manual.

I do not have any such thing just to let everybody know.

Everything that John considered releaseable has been posted on Rick Donaldsons site and

the anomalie site. I have no other documents. The big thick GE manual that described how

the machine worked John said was classified and he would not share it with anyone. He also

said the cooling system in the machine was classified.

Just to let everyone know I DO NOT HAVE ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS

than what is posted on these sites.

sincerely,

Pamela

[Edited by Pamela Moore on 05-15-2001 at 05:54 AM]

Brad Persons

Member

Registered: May 2001

Posts: 13

Thanks for the update on the video, Pam...I would go and get a manual to one of GE's alarm clock radios and send it to folks, just to see if they have a sense of humor...

05-15-2001 07:01 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Rick Donaldson

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 164

Time Travel Video

If one exists, and I hope it does, I for one would not view it as "a novelty". When I offered to come to visit John and his family, I did so only with completely honest intentions - to be there to see it with my own eyes, and to film it with equipment that would have been previously tested for flaws and other anomalies that might have produced skewed

results. The fact is, I was willing to pay my way there, out of my own pocket, to bring to the world something that John claimed he was giving us... a glimpse of our future.

To be honest, I knew from the moment I asked, John would say no. All good con-artists know that if they give you an opportunity to figure out their con, their game is over.

At this point in time - nearly... what? Five weeks now? Since his departure... I would say that any chance of a video showing up on Pamela's door step, or anyone else's (John had my full mailing address as well) is nil. Even now, if one DOES surface, it will be completely and totally suspected as a fake, a fraud, or whatever. Had John had his parents send it the next day, by express mail... THEN we would have had something.

At this point, I've written the video off. His Parents, regardless of how "emotional" it might have been for them, should have realized the implications of the video and taken John's request seriously about sending it out to us.

However - greed is a factor that plays on us all at times. I see two things having happened here.

The first is that John is indeed the fraud I thought him to be from the beginning, and no video was ever made, there are no "parents" and John probably isn't his real name.

The second is, not only was he real and everything happened (and WILL happen) as he stated, the one difference being even his "parents" didn't believe it, until they videotaped his "departure" at which time, they pretty much cut off all communication with Pamela.

They have the evidence WE all wish to see, and will resurface, perhaps on the Art Bell show, with the video itself. Perhaps they are writing a book. Perhaps they simply do not want to show anyone due to whatever paranoid fears John has instilled in them by shocking them with something so unbelievable.

Whatever the reasons, and truth, do not expect a video.

05-15-2001 07:12 AM Profile Email Home My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 131

Brad,

Wanna know the funny part about that? I actually HAVE a GE alarm clock radio!

hahaha I have

had it for years and it still works great.

05-15-2001 07:58 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Pamela Moore

Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 132

Rick,

He said his father had a hard time believing it even when he touched the machine itself.

I guess if they actually saw it they were in shock and decided they better keep such a thing to themselves.

plus in the excitement of the moment maybe names were spoken, maybe faces were shown, so it probably had to be edited out anyway. John said one of the problems would be the static. I myself would not have the capability to edit a video out. I wouldn't even know how to do it. I do not even own a camcorder, whoever you got to do it would see the video themselves. maybe they were afraid. It actually would not have been worth it to them to put their lives in danger to show a video of their son on a board that they probably could have cared less about, and I understand that. If it was all true they obviously love their son very much but they have another son they have to worry about and protect as well. I just wish they would write me and tell me the reasons the video was not sent so I could tell all of you. The way the first package was sent to me tells me of their fear for one reason or another. . no return address, no letter, just the object inside, my address was typed from a computer and taped on. It was the object I asked for. I would be satisfied with just an explanation of why the video could not be sent. I would never do anything to expose them or cause any harm to come to them at all. No one will ever know who they are. and they know this. I know John knew it. I always keep my promises. I just thought of something though, some of the pictures on Donaldson's site and anomalies on his site were taken with his father's video camera . I remember John telling me this. so maybe they know how to do this. They could send me some pictures from the video and I could put them on disk I do know how to do this and we could put them up on Donaldson's site. I am suggesting this in case they are reading it here. and maybe they can just send us some of the video in the form of still pictures. it's an idea.

sincerely,
pamela

[Edited by Pamela Moore on 05-15-2001 at 08:41 AM]
05-15-2001 08:19 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logger

Brad Persons
Member

Registered: May 2001
Posts: 17

I thought about that, Pamela, and it does make sense. But again, assuming he's the real deal, his mere presence with them would put them in extreme danger I would think. If no

t from other travelers than certainly from forces within this timeline...

It's not difficult to imagine that interested government agencies would be monitoring Be 11's web site, for any number of reasons. Sure, it seems a safe bet that they would blow off JT's claims as junk, but what if they didn't? What if they know it's already theoretically possible? Again, this is assuming that JT was what he claimed to be, and lot more really. I can't imagine it being that difficult for his identity to be traced by someone with the time and resources. And an interested gov't agency would almost certainly start with his parents.

Like I said, that's a real iffy scenario, but one JT would have had to have been aware of if he was the real deal. It's easy to say that it's okay to come to a place like this and reveal yourself for all the reasons he said he did. but if I had to hole myself up with my parents because I was from the future, the last thing I would ever do is say anything publicly about it to anyone, if only for their safety.

Pamela Moore
Regular

Registered: Feb 2001

Posts: 134

Brad,

It is pretty obvious one is safe if noone believes you.

John was not afraid of government agencies.

He not only knew a lot about them and their capability but he also knew a lot about computers and how to bounce signals around, he was very very well stealthed and protected. I believe he knew what he was doing, and if he thought for a second it would endanger his

family he might have never posted on here at all.

If part of your mission entailed you to reveal certain tech info for certain people to be influenced by it. you would do it... safely and cautiously...but you would do it. noone really knew what his real mission was here for sure...not even me.

who knows.....maybe it all was just a make believe story after all.

who knows.....maybe it all was just a make believe story after all.

[Edited by Pamela Moore on 05-15-2001 at 11:30 AM]

05-15-2001 11:14 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Albert Cattoir

Regular

Registered: Jan 2001

Posts: 381

If it helps, I just copied what the TT(aka Boomer John) posted. I could not put the whole page in because I got some kind of picture holder that did not contain a picture.

I went through and cut out the picture holder, so I found out that if I just copied the posts without the top or the bottom that seem to work better.

This Country has to find some common ground to work on, so far, I am glad that GWB is President.

Still, he is human and not perfect.

One is the continuing questions brought up by citizens about this Global Stuff. Now, to

me, it does not really matter who you might vote for, it seems, that they know what is best for this country. I know that they have more knowledge, but I gave up on this some 40 years ago. The country been running just the same, but to me verily with some right kind of answers. I wonder if they will have the right kind of answers in the future. On the one hand, the buddy system still seems to work in this country. I given up being in this world, sort of, because I guess I'll never be important enough. There are these hidden agendas from people. How can anyone not notice them? It's too full of politics, for me. I had this belief that you work in a business, doing a job, in America, now to me, it's not what you really know, to a degree, but who you know. Well, I guess nothing has changed. I hope I am not philosophing again. I just hope that really there is a long way for humans to go on this Planet. It is bound to fall apart, again.

Something again about nothin'.
I still have to copy everything. Boomer said, and look at it.
Why should I spend that much time?
I will really know if it does happen, now.
Aunt Blabby.

05-15-2001 11:31 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged
David R Ferguson
Member

Registered: Feb 2001
Posts: 72

Since we have been having various questions being bandied around, can someone please explain how do we know there is a 2.5% divergence between timelines? Accepting this figure as true would mean that we have to first of all accept AS FACT that there are multiple timelines.

Once we have accepted AS FACT that there are multiple timelines, how are we to accurately measure the divergence? How would we know that we had diverged 2.5%? It seems to me that at this would be an impossibility, for in order to know you had varied 2.5% from your original timeline, it seems to me you would have had to have accessed EVERY OTHER SINGLE TIMELINE EVER, a feat which you could not possibly accomplish, as this involves tracking and mapping an infinite number of possible timelines, in order for you to measure your divergence.

A long time ago Darby pointed out correctly, in my opinion, that in order to create the

microsingularity an exorbitant amount of mass would have had to have been used, which means Titor and his pals would have literally had to, at a minimum, carve up the earth to create their two microsingularities. I do not believe this was ever fully explained away, either.

Now after having said all that, I do not want to call John Titor a fraud. Brad has accurately pointed out, and probably others, too, that John Titor never did ask for any money, or even say that anyone had to believe his story. Had he done either of these actions, I would then classify him as a "fraud." I would submit that there might be other motives behind his starting his thread.

Maybe he was doing research, either for his own amusement, or as a class project. The latter of these hypotheses I would tend to discount as probably the least likely of the two since it is extremely difficult for more than one person to keep anything a secret. John Titor was, for the most part, very consistent throughout the thread, lending an air of credibility to his story.

Maybe he just wanted to learn more about time travel. Maybe he was a government agent trying to find who of us might know something they could use for their advantage. Maybe he was "planted" by Art Bell to liven up his web site. Who knows?

I only submit the above as possibilities. There are obviously many more which could be considered. The point is, John has accomplished getting a lot of people to do some serious thinking about several issues in addition to time travel, and for that I applaud him. I do not believe he is a fraud, and I do not believe he is a real Time Traveler, either, although I still hold out the possibility that he could be.

05-15-2001 11:53 AM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

David Hil:
Member

Registered: May 2001
Posts: 6
No Black Hole = Final Fraud Proof?
Almost forgot about that...

In looking for the golden bee-bee that'll put the final hole through Boomer's story I also thought the magic singularity engine was it. But I found this:
<http://www.rdrop.com/users/green/school/primordi.htm>

"Another type of black hole is formed upon extreme compression of matter by external forces. This type of black hole is considered a primordial black hole. Additionally, this type of black hole is allowed to have mass less than the sun, since it was not created by

the collapse of a star. "

I don't know if this is a BS web site or not but the next question is how much smaller than the sun can the starting mass be and how much compression do you need based on the size of that mass? Does anyone know how to figure that out?

05-15-2001 02:42 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

A last ditch attempt to get us to invent time travel? And we'd probably do it if we didn't sit around and chatter? Anybody who would post on a web board of a radio talk show thinking that it would spur the invention of time travel is almost as looney as someone who would falsely claim to be a traveler. No disrespect to anybody on the board, but I think it's safe to say that none of us has the expertise or resources to invent time travel, as much as all of us would probably want to.

The lack of video could be for any number of reasons. 1) It didn't happen because he wasn't really a time traveller, 2) If it did happen the 'jump' destroyed the tape.' 3) It did happen, but his parents are freaked out and not showing the tape to anybody...and that's just off the top of my head.

And the bottom line is who cares either way? I mean, don't get me wrong, if there's proof that it did happen, then that's big. But even if "Titor's 2036" was nothing more than a well constructed fantasy world, then so what? It's been entertaining to say the least, and has spurred some rather thought provoking conversation amongst interested parties.

05-15-2001 03:19 PM Profile Email My Posts Edit IP: Logged

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

Pamela
Member
Member # 15
posted June 23, 2001 20:29

done.

Posts: 177 | From: Ohio-home of the base where the Roswell aliens were kept. | IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 26 January 2001 15:11

After trying to post a couple of times and seeing the number of postings increase but not the pages...I assume it is limited to 11 pages.

Any thoughts?

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 26 January 2001 18:18

Mr. TT_0,

Let us assume you are a time traveller. I do not see any viable way for you to return to your own time line then. I say this because that you mentioned the difficulty with time travel in the sense of divergences. You said that you travelled back in time from 2036 to 1975 with a ~1-2% divergence. You also mentioned that in your time frame a 0% divergence is sort of a myth, i.e. technologically improbable. Now if I understand your plan, you say you will once again travel back to 1975 before you arrived and then go forward as to avoid going into the future of our time line, which by your presence here would be an alternate future from your own. But what I would ask is, if you plan to go back to 1975 from 2000, you should incur a certain non-zero percent divergence just as you did going from 2036 to 1975. I would surmise that it is impossible or technologically improbable for you to go back to the exact world line you departed from then. Even if you are able to travel back with a 0% divergence, which by your previous words would be mythological, what would ensure you that the future you travelled into was exactly the world line you left. Since there are an infinite number of possible world lines departing from 1975 into the future. I suppose the key point of this argument is that any trip through time with your technology would result in a non-zero divergence, and in order for you to arrive in your own world line you must create a 0% divergent trip. This is amusing in the sense that the harder you try to get to your own world line the more divergences you incur and hence the further away you get. I believe I see the birth of a new temporal paradox.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 26 January 2001 18:46

Greetings everyone. I've been away for a while so I apologize for not getting back to these questions sooner. Since Trott brought up a few things I had addressed in the

mysterious mail that never made it to the board...I will post it here. The others are responses from other questions.

((First, where does your interest in the (our) U.S. Constitution stem from? Why do you think it is so vital for us to read and understand it?))

After the war, the United States had split into five separate regions based on the various factors and military objectives they each had. There was a great deal of anger directed toward the Federal government and a revival of states rights was becoming paramount. However, in their attempt to create an economic form of government, the political and military leaders at the time decided to hold one last Constitutional Congress in order to present a psychological cohesion from the old system. During this Congress, the leaders discovered and decided that coming up with a new and better form of government was nearly impossible. The original Constitution itself was not the problem it was the ignorance of the people that lived under it.

((Second, do you not like your "new" Constitution? Or feel that it is not as effective as the original?))

From my viewpoint, it's very effective. I am a very strong believer in local or state's rights.

((Third, you keep saying that you will be going back to your worldline soon, how soon will this be?))

There are certain windows I must wait for in order to leave. There will be two this year. The first one opens this spring.

((Is it physically possible for you to get back to THIS time line once you leave?))

Not with the machine I have now.

((If all 7 Billion of us here each had our own time machine do you think that would we would end up trashing the rest of the local worldlines?))

Since everything is already happening and possible on different worldlines, the answer is yes....and no.

((Correct me if I'm wrong here but I see you as a Libertarian who expounds on the need for humanity to get back to certain basics. Like the issues defined by the Constitution and your comments earlier on firearms tend to make me believe you are a Gun Rights activist.))

I suppose from your vantage point that's a fair assessment. I would call myself more of a centrist. Although I understand the "gun rights" issue here, I cannot relate to it all and it is a common point of argument with my mother. I keep saying her tune will change in about ten years and she'll be cleaning shotguns in her sleep but it doesn't help. If it makes you feel any better, I never shot anyone who wasn't trying to kill me.

((could you give us your thoughts on how us "less enlightened" ones here in this worldline of the here/now can solve the technical problems of time travel so that we

may be able to enjoy the same first hand knowledge you have that gives you these social insights?))

When you say “us”, what do you mean? Do you mean “you”? Where would you go if you had my machine? How do you think the rest of the world would react to the U.S. having a time machine and they didn’t?

((You said that you traveled back in time from 2036 to 1975 with a ~1-2% divergence. You also mentioned that in your time frame a 0% divergence is sort of a myth, i.e technologically improbable.))

Yes, a “ZD” is thought to be impossible. However, consider that an exact entry point “may” not be necessary to get home. The important factor is the path, not the destination. Under multiple world theory, there are an infinite number of “homes” that I could return to that don’t have me there. The divergence for that window is somewhere near .0002377%.

((Someone correct me where I’m wrong here but as I understand it, these Parallel Universes or Alternative Timelines are "created" by events in our own, (timelines) or even in others.))

Parallel universes exist independently of each other and only interact to avoid the collapse of the wave function for any given particle or event that you are looking at. I like to imagine it as a series of parallel lines crossed by a wavy wave. Each point on the wavy line where a straight line crosses it represents an alternate outcome. The multiple “yous” on each worldline record a different result for the activity of the particle.

((Actually, in science, "Multiverse" theory is something that has NEVER been proven. For lack of evidence. It crops up from time to time as a way to explain certain SEEMING paradoxes like the EPR double slit experiment with polarized light. But then, just because it can be used to explain something doesn't mean it is so.))

I agree with you that an explanation doesn’t make it so. However you can build a model to describe physical behavior. Even if the model is not complete, its “truth” can be measured by how well it predicts the behavior it describes.

((So help me here. Where did multiverse come from as a theory? Where is the observable evidence of it's existence and the experiments to back it up that can be duplicated with certainty and repetition?))

I believe the closest non-related evidence for multiple universes right now comes from the physics (derived from special relativity) of rotating (Kerr) black holes. If you examine a typical Penrose map, science agrees that you can travel to “other universes” through these cosmic oddities. They can’t be different places in your own universe (worldline) because you would have to violate the speed of light limit to get there.

Since the existence of multiple universes is a reality from my viewpoint, please allow me to disclose an idea we toss around a bit in 2036. Since all possibilities, outcomes and events are occurring and exist simultaneously, it would mean there are multiple

universes out there where “you” are living a day behind and a day ahead of the “you” on this universe.

There are some who believe that memory is some sort of information transfer or communication with the “yous” in the past, across worldlines or universes. Although this is seemingly quite ridiculous, if you think that could be true, than physics tells us that the same information transfer from our future selves on other worldlines is not only possible but certain. Could it be that fantasy or “what if” scenarios are actually future memory from an alternate “us” on a future worldline?

According to physics, there is no reason why this cannot be true although I probably fall a little closer to DiViper’s feelings about this as he does on multiple universes.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 26 January 2001 18:51

I now see it plainly states the topics are limited to 11 pages. I guess this only proves I'm not the brightest singularity in multidimensional spacetime.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 26 January 2001 18:52

TTO,

good! I see you were finally able to post your posting. I think there was something wrong with the 11th page. I was there a couple of times and it was just blank. you could click on it and it went to the 11th page but there was nothing there.

-pamela

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 26 January 2001 18:54

Hi TTO, you must be on right now! because your last post above me was not there when I posted! so we have solved the 11th page mystery! your right I tested it again and it accepts my post but then it disappears and does not show up on the page at all.it went interdimensional and is posted on some other world lines page! hahaahah where does it say it is limited to 11 pages though...I don't see it.??....wait a minute...you are not looking at the third open folder at the top of the page are you?

....YOU posted that! hehehe

-pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 26 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 26 January 2001 20:11

((Yes, a "ZD" is thought to be impossible. However, consider that an exact entry point "may" not be necessary to get home. The important factor is the path, not the destination. Under multiple world theory, there are an infinite number of "homes" that I could return to that don't have me there. The divergence for that window is somewhere near .0002377%.))

Well, that being said, you have clearly stated you will never return to the EXACT universe you departed from. In otherwords, the universe which supposedly required your assistance to bring them a 5100 IBM computer from the past. That society will never receive the computer, your true parents will never see you again, etc... So, it would seem to me that your "mission" to go back in time to help your society in the future seems rather illogical, because in fact you can not help them without the ability to make, what you termed a "ZD", a zero divergence trip. It just seems pointless to me to return to an alternate timeline when your mission was desired or required on your own timeline.

IP: Logged

DrMises

Junior Member posted 26 January 2001 20:59

Hello!

If I understand TT_0 properly (which, I'm afraid, may be debatable)and if I may be so presumptuous as to risk an idea based on Trott's question to test my understanding:

If the potential divergens is approximately .00024%, then the difference between "adjacent" worldlines has the highest probability to be negligible.

Assuming that, then a TT_0 from "the next worldline over" is likely to have left to go on a similar mission and will return to "the original" TT_0's worldline.

That is, the TT_0 we've obviously found so stimulating will return to a different worldline, surely, but it would be so similar to his own, it might as well be his original.

Similarly, a TT_0 ("not ours") will return from a worldline similar to our own to "our TT_0's" worldline and be such a perfect doppleganger that the "originating" worldline recieves the IBM, and his Mom never knows the difference.

Am I even close, TT_0?

-Theo

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 26 January 2001 21:21

((So, it would seem to me that your "mission" to go back in time to help your society in the future seems rather illogical, because in fact you can not help them without the ability to make, what you termed a "ZD", a zero divergence trip.))

The reality of infinite possibilities is rather difficult to get a grip on and if it were not for the math, I would delegate it to the realm of religion. I like to think of it as standing in a room with mirrors on all the walls. I can look to my right and left and see many "mes" all doing exactly the same thing. If we all took a step to our right and passed through a dimensional doorway to the next mirrored room, it would be very difficult to tell if anything had changed. In that sense, there are an infinite number of worldlines waiting for me to return with the computer. If I can get to one of them, I have completed my mission.

((Similarly, a TT_0 ("not ours") will return from a worldline similar to our own to "our TT_0's" worldline and be such a perfect doppleganger that the "originating" worldline receives the IBM, and his Mom never knows the difference.))

Bingo!! Seems like something they would do a lot of psychological testing for before they sent us off.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 26 January 2001 21:28

((where does it say it is limited to 11 pages though...I don't see it.??....wait a minute...you are not looking at the third open folder at the top of the page are you?YOU posted that! hehehe))

I was just trying to be clever. However, I am still unable to see any postings past 412. Can everyone else see them?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 26 January 2001 22:31

TTO-

I wasn't aware that the postings had numbers. you didn't go back and count all 412 posts did you? this is the last post I see on MY computer.....copied and pasted:

(I wonder if everyone else has the same thing.is this the last one you have too?)

Shadow

unregistered posted 26 January 2001 12:55

What happened to Pamala and TT_O? They both dropped off the board at the same time. PC crash, hanky panky?

maybe the Mighty Moderators will check into it. Mop will get to the bottom of it. he'll be able to tell us what is happening to mysterious page 11!

TTO- check your email I sent you something!

-pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 26 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com
unregistered posted 27 January 2001 16:46

Dear TT0,

That is interesting what you said about parallel universes having a time delay. Infact just a couple of days ago I came up with a very similar conclusion.

I believe that all mass quantities have both a linear fourth dimensional past and a transverse fourth dimensional past. The parallel masses are masses existant in the parallel time frames.

I believe that an instant of time in the parallel universe a 'transverse' instant that is in phase with any given instant in this universe is exactly or approxametly one instant in the past with respect to the instant in this universe and would appear (and I could be wrong about this assumption) to exist within the same given space as negative one instant in this world line one 'linear' instant in the past.

However if we were viewing the travsverse instant from the position of the linear instant--that is--if we were to view the parallel instant from one instant back in time, then the transverse instant would not appear to coexist in the same space as our the instant that we occupy but, instead, would apear to propagate perpindicular-or-would be perpindicular to our position. This is all hypthetical not theoretical.

I leave you with this graghical model for review. if one were to trace all linear instants along their past world lines to the bigbang, and if one were to trace all transverse time instants back to the big bang, and then gragh these events, one would discover that all transverse time instants are ninety degrees out of phase with the linear time instants.

I believe that one would also discover that these time instants travel outward in the form of temperal waves and that the bandwidth of these of both the transverse time instants and linear parallel time instant's bandwidth streches as time accelerates into the future as though time were exploding into an expanse.

I believe that the rate at which transverse and linears instant's bandwidth increases simultaneous and equal so that the phase differencial between all linear instants and thier parallel counterparts the transverse instants has always been constant from the big bang till now. At the big bang all linear instants and all transverse instants merge.

Think or write the following graph to replicate the mathematical logic behind this phenomenon. Get a pieve of paper and draw a right triangle. Make it so that the

horizontal line points to 3 O'clock and the vertical line points to 12 O'clock. Now take the ruler or what ever straight object you used to draw the triangle and draw a diagonal line from the intersection of the vertical line and horizontal line to 2 O'clock. Now I want you to measure the length of the vertical line and then divide the length into quarters and place a small mark on the vertical lines at each of the quarters. Now I want you to draw four parallel lines through the four marks on the vertical line, such that there is one line through each one of the four marks on the vertical line of our triangle. Make sure that the four lines are horizontal with respect to the line that marks the base of our right triangle. Now I want you to goe back and make sure that the diagonal line that you drew is long enough to intersect each of the four parallel lines you drew. Now the parallel lines on the graph are time instants 1-4. The vertical and horizontal line is a the measure of a mass in three dimensional space. Now you will notice that each of the four vertical lines instants 1-4 intersect the diagonal line at the $1/3$ mark so that each parallel time line 1-4 is always divided at the $2/3$ ds mark.

Yet if one looks closely enough one will notice that the vertical line of our triangle, the diagonal that we drew, and the base of our triangle all intersect at a point.

Thus $2/3$ ds and $1/3$ rd are equal quantities at an infinitely small point. If the parallel time instants follows after this mathematical topology then the transverse instants and thier parallel counterparts all exist out of phase throughout history accept at the exact instant of the big bang.

Thus if one can triangulate the temperal location of the big bang while in time warp the ship could travel through temperal space to into the big bang and use the big bang to as sort of an infinite amplifier to solidify the and or isolate the location of ones exact world line and thus travel through time with zero divergance.

Does any of this sound correct TT0?

Inquisitively,

Edwin G. Schasteen ..my e-mail address is rgrunt@yahoo.com or if you wish for a secure line schasteeneg@hushmail.com. Feel free to e-mail me directly. But I will understand if you can not.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 27 January 2001 17:30

(((please allow me to disclose an idea we toss around a bit in 2036. Since all possibilities, outcomes and events are occurring and exist simultaneously, it would mean there are multiple universes out there where "you" are living a day behind and a day ahead of the "you" on this universe.

There are some who believe that memory is some sort of information transfer or communication with the "yous" in the past, across worldlines or universes. Although this is seemingly quite ridiculous, if you think that could be true, than physics tells us that the same information transfer from our future selves on other worldlines is not only possible but certain.))))

If you could occassionally communicate with the you's from different world lines (day ahead)(day behind) would this explain the common dejavu people seem to have at times? the definition of dejavu is as follows "which is described as the illusion of having previously experienced something actually being encountered for the first time" hmmm...this is very interesting indeed.

If this be true it could explain alot of other things as well such as an unexplained bad feeling or fear of not getting on a plane that you find out later crashes.

Is it possible to recieve messages from other world lines? if so how is it done? how do you pick up on such a thing? or is this something all together different. we have all heard the stories of things like this happening but often times there are no explanations.

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 27 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Shadow

unregistered posted 27 January 2001 19:58

Ok just for the fun of it let me add to the confusion by submitting another theory. I THE GREAT SHADOW CAN CONTROLL THE CREATION OF ALTERNATE WORLD LINES! How?

I sit down at the kitchen table and slide my coffee cup to the left one foot. A second world line is created that is exactly the same as the firstexcept the cup is in a different spot. Both lines are so similar and close in time and space that the miraculous event goes un-noticed and un-applauded.

Every event and object of a parallel world that does NOT differ from our present one IS the present one and is shared over time and space, with all the alternates. Only the differences would be detectable and those differences would be by definition elsewhere. We could have "parallel" worlds by the zillions glued so tight together as to be "too close to see".

In other words when I move the cup over to the left I am just moving the cup to the left and not moving the rest of the universe over to the right as is suggested in the multi universe therory.

IP: Logged

DrMises

Junior Member posted 27 January 2001 22:47

Rgrunt--

Your idea certainly seems to be a plausible hypothesis.

I may be oversimplifying the matter (or have missed a major point entirely), but I can't help but wondering about the physical ramifications of being even temporally present at the big bang.

That is, wouldn't being there at the time of the mother of all explosions be a little difficult to survive?

Let me change gears in this post to present a slightly oddball idea:

I truly like the idea of slight temporal differences between worldlines. If we take this concept a step further, and looked further across (as opposed to "down") the worldlines, we may see greater differences in time. That is one worldline at our reference time 2:00pm would be at 3:00pm at another worldline further across this array of worldlines. The further across, the greater the temporal difference.

That being said, we can state that all times exist simultaneously. Therefore, time travel need not be a linear event, but rather a "skipping over" of worldlines. Of course, it can be argued that this would not be a genuine form of time travel, merely an illusory one.

So...how could this be accomplished, folks? Any ideas?

I'm guessing a singularity of some sort may still be required. However, I think Pamela and others may have really hit upon something with their ideas of deja-vu and other phenomenon. Maybe it's a bit easier than I've been thinking.

--Theo

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 28 January 2001 03:10

Just to add to the recent theory on Déjà vu. I have about on an average day 5 déjà vu experiences. Accompanied by visions, and manifestations. Wherever I go, I know in my mind that I have been there before. Whoever I meet, I know that I have met them before somewhere, or some time. Although as a child they were much more frequent, the intensity has since gone up from the last 2 years.

I created a theory for this phenomena, which I called "Temporal Networking and its Mechanics." However, it wasn't to my satisfaction, so I decided on taking it down from my website. It's hard for me to describe what I am feeling, and even more since I am not a scientist. However, I feel like each day that passes, I come closer to understanding this feeling, and my place in this world.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 28 January 2001 03:27

TT_0,

So tell us, what was the real reason you were away from this board for so long? Was it to fine tune your responses to the questions that were being asked while away? They seem pretty well thought out, you sure you didn't have help? Your character speaks more like a writer, then a Time Traveler.

I still don't buy your story though, just the whole way you've come forward with it, just doesn't feel right to me. And you never showed us a picture of your machine or your uniform. Let's see your credentials, something we can bring out in the open. That way, you can free everyone from doubt, and everyone will believe your story.

Seems only fair, and you'd have everyone's unconditional attention. Which is, what I'm sure it's what you want. You said it your self, you want to be interesting...

And since where bringing up things said in the past, why haven't you commented on what you said about not caring about your worldline? I know someone made the mistake of answering for you, but I don't think that will happen again.

So come on, share with us?

Truly,
Javier C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 28 January 2001 09:45

I missed you to TTA.

((And you never showed us a picture of your machine or your uniform.))

Actually, there are numerous places I have posted pictures. I believe the links are still on the board.

((why haven't you commented on what you said about not caring about your worldline? I know someone made the mistake of answering for you, but I don't think that will happen again.))

I'm not sure what you're asking. I think those statements speak for themselves and your interpretation of them may be... unique.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 28 January 2001 10:28

Javier,

here is where TTO's pictures are located (his machine,some diagrams from his manual and also a drawing of the patch he wears on his uniform.)

<http://pub2.ezboard.com/bmagisystems>

cannot do a direct link so use the above and click on the topic- Timelord's anonymous and then click on -anonymous gravity/time device pictures. you will find all the pictures there.

sincerely,
pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 28 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 28 January 2001 10:55

Enforcer of Time,

The more I read your postings the more I am beginning to think of the possibility of something being terribly wrong with your time lines. I've been thinking deeply on the the statement you have made:

((((Just to add to the recent theory on Déjà vu. I have about on an average day 5 déjà vu experiences. Accompanied by visions, and manifestations. Wherever I go, I know in my mind that I have been there before. Whoever I meet, I know that I have met them before somewhere, or some time. Although as a child they were much more frequent, the intensity has since gone up from the last 2 years.)))

and with the new knowledge I am gaining daily. you seem to be having a "bleedover" between timelines. at first I thought something had happened to you as a child to cause this severe distortion. some kind of severe trauma. but now I am beginning to wonder about the possibility of time interfereance. here is an interesting question: what would happen to an individual that was interferred with over and over and over? would the time lines eventually break down and cause bleedthrough to other timelines? this is very interesting to think about.

I was talking to a man that was involved in the Montauk project (atleast he said he was,it is hard to find out the truth about all things) and one interesting thing he was telling me is he was hooked to the projects with some of his essence. I will not reveal too much of what he said but it is interesting that he said in the Montauk area he had noticed that because the area was, how can I say, so "messed with" in the area of time distortion that the whole area had many bleedthroughs and astrally they were seen as images of different stages such as a tree that was there. could be viewed as tree stump and then superimposed over that image was one of a young tree and also an average tree.

the area had been literally ripped apart sortof speak and many "times" were (Im not sure if this is the right word) "overlapping" in different areas.

I thought this was very interesting. not that I believe it all but I do keep it all in mind. because it is interesting what you can relate it to later.

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 28 January 2001 11:19

Hi TimeTravel_0

Trott has made a very good point there. If you leave your worldline, some of your friends and family members will never see you again on that worldline. Correct me if I'm wrong, but that would be a very immoral thing to do.

Besides what's the point of fixing the Unix problem if there's an infinite number of worldlines where this problem doesn't even exist or has been fixed. After all your own worldline doesn't get the IBM 5100 anyway, so the people that sent you on this mission are still having that problem.

Also, you stated that "to the people on your worldline you'd only be gone for a split second". This can't be true, because to them you'll go away and never return.

Please elaborate. :-)

Greetings from euh...rainy Amsterdam

Roel van Houten

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 28 January 2001 11:34

Oh, I seem to have missed another statement by Trott saying that another "Timetravel_0" will return to the worldline, but that sounds virtually impossible! Timetravel_0 stated clearly that returning to your own worldline is thought to be impossible. Besides if something like that were to happen, it's very improbable that it would happen to the worldline that Timetravel_0 originated from.

Consequently, Timetravel_0's friends and family are left alone and will never see Timetravel_0 again, which sounds very cruel to me. I hope you said goodbye to them Timetravel_0. At least you won't notice.

Greetings

Roel

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 28 January 2001 12:22

One of the reasons I like this board so much is that the questions are more thought out, the people seem to be a bit smarter than normal and I'm not continually bombarded with questions about stock tips. I will admit that on a conceptual level, you are picking it up much faster than I did.

(If you leave your worldline, some of your friends and family members will never see you again on that worldline. Correct me if I'm wrong, but that would be a very immoral thing to do.)

I'm not sure why you think it would be immoral. Don't soldiers today go on duties they may not return from?

It depends on how you define what the real "me" is. If you consider the mirror example again, as all of the "mes" step one room to the right, the family and friends in that room (and the time traveler for that matter) would not be able to tell the difference. The probability of us noticing a difference is based on the divergence of the trip. If all events and outcomes are certain, there are worldlines where I do return for every worldline I don't return to. All the "moral" events would then balance out to zero. Again, it's hard to judge good and bad outcomes...only good and bad decisions.

((Besides what's the point of fixing the Unix problem if there's an infinite number of worldlines where this problem doesn't even exist or has been fixed. After all your own worldline doesn't get the IBM 5100 anyway, so the people that sent you on this mission are still having that problem.))

Our actions and decisions are based on the knowledge we have in our own worldline. Yes, the bell shaped curve is a useful tool but if we are capable of change for the better than we feel we should at least try.

Even if "I" don't return to my exact worldline, a similar "me" probably will. Besides, I just look at it as helping a worldline where their time traveling me didn't show up but I did.

((Also, you stated that "to the people on your worldline you'd only be gone for a split second". This can't be true, because to them you'll go away and never return.))

Again, I refer to the mirror example.

((Oh, I seem to have missed another statement by Trott saying that another "Timetravel_0" will return to the worldline, but that sounds virtually impossible!))

I'm not sure I said another time traveler "will" return, I think I said they "could" return. The location/gravity "map" I have of my path getting here could be duplicated with a fairly high degree of accuracy. It's just that my machine was not designed to do that.

I think it's a mistake to rely on the concept of the impossible when dealing with the reality of multiple worlds. Keep in mind there are an infinite number of "yous" on infinite worldlines having completely different experiences with "me".

((Timetravel_0 stated clearly that returning to your own worldline is thought to be impossible.))

...my "exact" ZD worldline that is.

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 28 January 2001 13:03

Questions for time travel _0. Are the Olympics still being played in your time? You said that you're the VGL system records your trip, would it not be possible to use that recording to back track to your original starting point without any divergence at all? It seems that all it would take it is a couple lines of programming in the computer-controlled system. It would just make your trip a little longer and be more computer intensive. Or is this what the system does and because of the clocks you use, you can only get so close to you're original world line. Have you ever read the book Six Nightmares by Anthony Lake? Why only two windows of opportunity for you to start your time machine? Would this have something to do with the weather?
[This message has been edited by djayr42 (edited 28 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 28 January 2001 15:33

Hi Timetravel_0,
Again, I do not have enough knowledge to completely understand all the consequences of multiple worldlines. And it is not my intention to prove or disprove that you're a timetraveler, I just enjoy exploring all the possibilities.

I see your point now. Another "you" that traveled back in time from another worldline, might end up going back to the worldline of which you originated, because of the divergence. However there's still a big chance that Copy_of_Timetravel_0 will not return to your ZD worldline and thus leaving your friends and family without a copy of you.

You mentioned that the same goes for soldiers in our worldline. Yes, true. But do you not disapprove of war, just like me? I think it's immoral so I stand with my previous statement.

Also, wanting to make things better is not a bad thing, but what's the use of bringing back an IBM 5100 to a slightly different worldline, knowing that there are just as many or even more worldlines in which no-one returns to bring back the IBM 5100. It sounds a bit like charity in favour of other worldlines.

Greetings from (hey it's dry) Amsterdam

Roel van Houten

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 28 January 2001 16:17

TTO:

Yeah I'd CERTAINLY agree with you that there is no evidence to support the idea that multiple universes CANNOT be so, just as there is evidence that they COULD be so, but as yet are unproved.

The problem they present for me is purely logical, not based on anything I can prove either way.

Just as Time02112 has stated (if I interpret correctly,) my reference to free will is irrespective of whether I accept it or not. Point taken. But, I'm still left with having to ask "why"?

Physics to me is perfectly logical in all things we know and understand so far. Multiverse Theory violates this logic in MY mind. It's an "Occam's Razor" thing.

But hey... If Multiple Universes exist, so be it. As yet, I don't see it and need more evidence before I can buy into it whole heartedly.

The "memory" idea is interesting tho.

By the way.....

To ALL...

I started another thread on the topic before I noticed this one was rolling. Sorry. Maybe the moderator can delete it or throw my post I made on it into this one.

rgrunt, borgus and Time02112 please catch the post I made there and then we can pick it up here if you all prefer.

Thanx.

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 28 January 2001 17:20

Okay TT_O, I got to admit your device looks familiar to me. However, I doubt you can Time Travel with that. Looks like something you put together with old radio equipment from your garage, more like it.

I used to play with stuff like this when I was 1 year old, so maybe that's why it looks familiar to me.

Nevertheless, there is no way, that that device could have gotten you here from almost 40 years into the future. That's just too rich... =) Lol.

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 28 January 2001 17:56

TTA, there must be... at least one thing you like about me.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 28 January 2001 20:33

TT_0,

So you think everyone who meets you should automatically find something to like about you? Man, how arrogant can someone be? Unlike you, I don't strive to be liked, and I don't ask people to believe me when I post scientific explanations to back up my story of being a Time Traveler.

You came to this board claiming to be a Time Traveler. No one should go unquestioned of their motives if they say they are. You can be as articulate with your words as much as you want. And it may convince some that you are who you claim to be. However, the fact of the matter still remains, all I did was ask the questions no one was asking. So please forgive me for being a Time Travel Activist with morals.

A fighter for Truth and Freedom, till the end,

Javier Cortez

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 29 January 2001 01:28

TimeTravel_0...

Probably because of the confusion of the board, you have not yet commented to my post directed toward you. I'm very interested in your further comments on the greed of humanity during this time, and perhaps still in your time.

<>

You're unique perspective of humanity at this point (2001 and 2036) is valuable. Please share as much thoughts as you can.

Also, can you record a quick voice message for us before you leave?

IP: Logged

borgus
unregistered posted 29 January 2001 01:30

Also, here is my question from a previous post...
((having lived through the self destruction of the human race, what sort of future do you imagine after 2036? Will humans colonize and expand through the solar system? Or will we be forever bound to our inward existance, measuring the past, fearfull of the future.))

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 29 January 2001 03:44

TTA:
What I like about TT_0 is his adherence to "character". Meaning that this is first and foremost a board devoted to Time Travel which at this point in our human history is pure fiction.

Even the moderators and creators of this board are careful to point that out right on the home page at the bottom. Lest we forget.

So... having said that, I must admit TT_0 has woven a personna that is rather well crafted in my opinion. In other words, I take him as he prefers to be referenced to. It's a "camp" thing. A Tongue-in-cheek kinda process.

I find his philosphical dissertations to be interesting, and for the most part, reflective of an idealism that indicate what shape he would LIKE to see the world BE in in 40 years. With a dose of caution thrown in for what he sees as possible threats to further enlightenment, and the erosion of personal freedoms that are occuring around us on an almost daily basis. Actually quite creative on his part if we take it all with the grain of salt that is apropos to the situation.

In the end, if Time Travel is to be solved, is it not gountg to take creative thought to do it?

We've beaten the old concepts to death on this board for a few years now.

I merely suggest that ANY approach to the problem that deviates from these old concepts is a creative and therefore productive one.

If TT_0 wants to assume the role of futurist-as-time-traveller, I say OK. But then I'm a pragmatic sort of guy who is into the sceptism of "show me the money" mentality.

Is it possible to show how some of his mechanics cannot possibly be true? Of Course.

But is it possible to show how his philosophical approach is flawed? Well, that becomes another problem entirely. Not one so easy to dismiss.

Peace.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 29 January 2001 04:26

I suppose no one believes in having TT_0 answer for him self in this forum?
Why is it that every time someone posts questions or remarks for TT_0, someone always answers for him? Why is this? Some sort of conspiracy to back him up or something? Geesh...

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 29 January 2001 12:25

((Are the Olympics still being played in your time?))

As a result of the many conflicts, no, there were no official Olympics after 2004.

However, it appears they may be revived in in 2040.

((would it not be possible to use that recording to back track to your original starting point without any divergence at all? It seems that all it would take it is a couple lines of programming in the computer-controlled system))

Perhaps it's better to say it's so highly improbable as to be considered impossible. A good example is the concept of trying to get closer to something by cutting the distance in half for every step you take. Since the computer is basically making calculations from an imperfect model of reality, there are no absolutes. I also believe there is a theory that states you would have to violate the speed of light limit to have a perfect ZD.

((Have you ever read the book Six Nightmares by Anthony Lake? Why only two windows of opportunity for you to start your time machine? Would this have something to do with the weather?))

I haven't read that book but I will try to find it if you recommend it. The weather isn't a factor as much as gravitational tidal forces are at the point of arrival.

((You mentioned that the same goes for soldiers in our worldline. Yes, true. But do you not disapprove of war, just like me? I think it's immoral so I stand with my previous statement.))

I disapprove of murder. Man as a species is incapable of changing his nature through will alone and war is a tool of biology. The ability for war sleeps in each one of us and we must decide what we will do before the beast awakens. As for morality, again I point to the "universal" balance of good and evil. For every worldline where there is peace, there is a worldline that has destroyed itself.

((what's the use of bringing back an IBM 5100 to a slightly different worldline, knowing that there are just as many or even more worldlines in which no-one returns to bring back the IBM 5100. It sounds a bit like charity in favour of other worldlines.))

The decisions and actions we take as individuals can only help those who we have direct interaction with. I believe it is wrong to be capable of helping and do nothing. My struggle is in the irony that if everyone just "did nothing", on every worldline, there would be no action and thus no immorality or evil (no good either).

((I'm very interested in your further comments on the greed of humanity during this time, and perhaps still in your time. You're unique perspective of humanity at this point (2001 and 2036) is valuable. Please share as much thoughts as you can.))

Please feel free to ask anything you like.

((Also, can you record a quick voice message for us before you leave?))

Yes. I am considering trying to videotape my departure and having my parents post it after I leave. That should keep you all busy for a while.

((having lived through the self destruction of the human race, what sort of future do you imagine after 2036? Will humans colonize and expand through the solar system? Or will we be forever bound to our inward existence, measuring the past, fearful of the future.))

Keep in mind that not all humans were destroyed but we were all affected. There is a effort going into colonizing space because it is believed that the problems of overpopulation were a large cause of the war. Personally, my generation sees itself as having a duty to try and repair the mess our fathers handed to us. When we were young, most of us had a small taste of the world you live in now and our only dream is to clean it up and give it back to those still able to have children.

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 29 January 2001 13:49

((Yes. I am considering trying to videotape my departure and having my parents post it after I leave. That should keep you all busy for a while.))

Right... Why not give us your location, so we can all see your departure take place in person? For all we know, you may make some visual special effects and pass it off as the real thing.

-J.C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

Roel van Houten

Member posted 29 January 2001 14:46

Hi Timetravel_0,

Your answers made perfect sense. However, I found another glitch in your "defence".

It's true, if you're able to help someone, you should. By going back to 1975, an infinite number of other worldlines emerge, just because of you coming to 1975. At least half of them undoubtedly has "a happy ending", but the other half doesn't. So while helping people on certain worldlines, you're probably making the lives of people on other worldlines miserable. Just a thought!

Greetings from dry Amsterdam

Roel van Houten

P.S. TimeTravelActivist, you seem to suffer from some form of paranoia. Snap out of it. No offense.

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 29 January 2001 15:29

Paranoid, me? How am I paranoid?

Is a Police officer not concerned about being shot when he goes to work?

Is walking home late at night through a dark alley safe?

Does danger not exist?

So what if we react to them, and decide to take caution.

Anyway's, you know what they say about those that are paranoid. They are often right .

But no, I am not paranoid, just cautious.

-J.C.

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 29 January 2001 15:37

TimeTravel_0...

Aside from the video, can you record a voice message toward us here in the messageboard?

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 29 January 2001 20:42

TT_0,

You mentioned in one of your past postings, that your world-Line has established a decentralized form of Independant "Generators" to produce energy for independant dwelling areas since most people occupy "Rural" areas. Could you elaborate more on how this was done?

*What kind of generators are being used to produce your energy?

*How do they work?

*Is there perhaps, any relation of interconnectedness, to our current energy problems being faced on our world-line right now that gave way to pioneer the use of the independant generators being used on your current world-line?

Please read the following, and give us your comments pertaining, and how this makes a connection to the way your world-line operates on.

I would like to point out, that the response met by the power companies in the midst of our current energy crisis in the form of "Rolling Black-Outs" is nothing short, than an act of "Capitalistic Terrorism"

"You Should Hear, What I Really Think"!!

...Meanwhile, you might wish to review my recent press release.

<http://www.onlinepressreleases.com/cgi-bin/secure/category.pl?L=1421st>

"TAP-TEN Research Foundation To Produce Next Generation Independent Power Generators!"

Conservative approaches by reducing our usage of energy by use of other alternatives such as "Wind, Solar, or other forms of more effective insulation, is very effective, and reduces a customers electric costs, but it again places much financial burdens upon the customer, and is not a consideration to tenants who rent from building owners, that refuse to spend their profits for these upgrades, or those who simply cannot afford these much needed upgrades. Again this process will take many years before it is as common as an ordinary housould appliance.

although conservative use of our demands for power, may teach us to become more resourceful with our energy, it is no "Magic Bullet" in relation to put a stop to the ongoing energy crisis at hand.

Perhaps if our Nation's Hindsight was at best from the beginning, we would have no need for this conversation, but you must not loose sight of what a society that continues to sponsor leaders that support their own interests in the name of economic GREED! (Sorry) but it's much to late in the game to expect this to become the most effective resolution to solve our current(no pun intended) energy crisis, within a given time-frame that would put a stop to this.

In order to put an end to this ongoing problem, you must go directly to the root of the source, or "Sources" that continue to contribute to delaying any actions that would resolve these issues, and one of the most important issues that gives good reason to fight for, that any true Patriot would agree to, is in relation to my "Prior" comments on changing Legislative regulations that quite frankly, "Get in our own way" & "Prohibit" any Alternative energy efficient devices that are non-detrimental to our environment!

The following example below, represents just one, of many examples of "Available" technology that demonstrates an alternative no-detrimental to our Environment to provide us with efficient, non invasive, independent "Power Producing" alternative energy devices.

The Home Of Primordial Energy <http://www.depalma.pair.com>

Website of the Late Mr. Bruce Depalma

(Scroll down, and click on the "Audio" icon, to listen to a pre-recorded "Interview" from 1997 while Bruce Depalma was alive & well, as he goes into elaborated details, describing his overunity, N-1

" Homopolar electrostatic Generator."

Mr. Bruce Depalma has received a series of International Patent Awards for this Incredible Invention based on Faraday's principles of extracting electricity direct from rotating doughnut shaped magnets counter-rotating with opposing polarity.

Welcome to "FREE ENERGY " : Don't take MY word for it. Take it from the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office! <http://newwebmasters.com/freeenergy>

...or you may wish to examine various others out there who have been attempting for several years to bring "Alternative" Energy Devices to market through their research. Again, it is not the lack thereof for reasonably sound methods to "REPLACE" our need for fossil fuels, however before any of them can become a reality, we must go direct to the source & attempt to "REPLACE" the ambitious intentions by those leaders whom are fueled by greed, & will stop at nothing to blockade anything that detracts from their kingdoms of centralized power, depending on the oil cartels!

NOTE:> All of the following links can be found at the following website of Alternative Sciences <http://www.thwww.com/mrwizard/aspagel.htm>

ZERO-POINT FIELD-information on Dr. Haisch's theoretical research into the Zero-Point Field.

FREE ENERGY- Theory- Devices and Progress, A review by Patrick G. Bailey, Ph. D.

REVIEW OF FREE ENERGY EXPERIMENTS--summary review is presented of the experiments and demonstrations that have been reported in the past few years to produce near-unity or over-unity operation.

STIRLING ENGINE-The Stirling engine was invented in 1816 and can use any type of fuel, as well as solar energy.

GEET Releases Technology For FREE! - Paul Pantone founder of Global Environmental Energy Technology recently announced that he would release their "Small Engine Plans" (< 20 HP) for FREE! Plans are now available online.

COLD FUSION-a list of links to sources of cold fusion info available on the net.

COLD FUSION TECHNOLOGY-See what the newest research is yielding, and learn the intriguing possibilities of what the future might hold.

ENERGY INFORMATION-Automobiles achieved between 171(1936) and 376(1973) mpg. Yet, government, industry, media and 'environmental groups' say that to achieve 40 mpg an automobile must be downsized.

ELECTRIFYING TIMES-breakthroughs in electric car design are making this mode of transportation more of a feasible reality.

PERFECT SCIENCE AD-has developed a formula to aid in the purification of hydrocarbons. This formula succeeded in breaking the hydrocarbon chain, transforming it into fatty and amino acids. A MUST VISIT SITE.

THREE EXPERIMENTS ON FREE ENERGY-an open letter written to the Space Energy Association, from Harold Aspden.

INSTITUTE FOR NEW ENERGY-Advanced Energy Conversion, New Energy, Free Energy, Zero-Point-Energy, Rotational-Electromagnetics, Earth Electromagnetics, and more.

POLLUTION-FREE Energy Generator -a claim that a perpetual motion device that does not break the first and second laws of thermodynamics has been designed.

FREE ENERGY PAGE-Free Energy Antigravity website by Rick Todish

GYROSCOPIC INERTIAL THRUSTER-This website is a detailed description of a tested and proven "reactionless" inertial propulsion device.

JOSEF HASSLBERGER WEB SITE-an attempt to catalyse energies for change. The economy, physics and technology are in dire need of renewal!

IARC-Ionically Assisted Reaction Cell-a unique fuel cell that could answer our electrical supply problems.

MORE BEARDEN-The Files in this area are produced by T. E. Bearden. The Directories include: The Final Secret of Free Energy with Comment, plus many others.

TESLA COIL-Tesla's best-known invention

LOST INVENTIONS OF TESLA-links to numerous Tesla sites.

TESLA COILS- How they work, with excellent photos.

TESLA'S ELECTRIC CAR!!!-very interesting article from KeelyNet.

TESLA'S Free Energy Receiver-patented in 1901 as An Apparatus for the Utilization of Radiant Energy.

Dear Time02112,

Thank you for your kind words of support. I have just updated my alternate web site, and another update will come later. You should visit: . You may want to bookmark our web site and watch for updates. We have seven more busts to donate, two of which are going to the University of Wisconsin and Purdue University. Also, we are negotiating with Columbia University now. After paying for these busts our coffer is bare, so we are planning to resume our T-shirt sales later to earn money for additional busts. We are not selling them now. Perhaps later you will want to support us by buying a T-shirt. We hope so because we can use all the help we can get. Our quest has not been an easy one.

Did you happen to see the PBS documentary on Tesla December 12? If not, you should watch your TV guide for possible reruns. Stacy Keach, the Hollywood actor, narrates the voice of Tesla. I recently received a letter from Stacy who also congratulates us on our work.

See:

The documentary is based on Margaret Cheney's latest book, TESLA, MASTER OF LIGHTNING. She cites our work in preserving Tesla's memory three times in this book. It is available from Barnes and Noble at the following URL:
<http://shop.barnesandnoble.com/booksearch/results.asp?title=Tesla+%2D+Master+of+Lightning&match=exact&options=and&userid=5RPR2R5XA7&srefer=>

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to write me.

Regards,

John W. Wagner
Ann Arbor, MI <http://www.concentric.net/~jwwagner>

We welcome you & your associates to join us, and share your talents to work for our common goal to provide free energy to the world, "In Honour of the Memory of Mr. Nicola Tesla"

--- Time02112

TAP-TEN Research Foundation <http://Tap-Ten.org>

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 29 January 2001 20:49

<http://ntesla.org>
[Mr. Wagner's website]

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 29 January 2001).]

IP: Logged

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Close Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

[Hop to:](#) [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Topics Limited to 11 Pages? (Page 2)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 [next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)
Author Topic: Topics Limited to 11 Pages?

Shadow

unregistered posted 29 January 2001 22:55

TT_O

Your use of the english language is, for the lack of a better word "bland". It is very good english, smooth well paced and perhaps more meaningfull and accurate, than I am used to hearing. Better than I can wright myself, in fact. There isn't enough "color" there to make me think your are a professional wrighter, but maybe you could be.

My point is that I don't detect anything in your language use that is "futuristic" or that would peg you down to any particular time or place of up-bringing. I'm far from an expert on the subject, but I'll bet a linguist would have a field day with it.

Question: would your introduction of future language terms, if you used them, have any undesireable effects? Perhaps causing time feed back loops?

In short, you are just too damned logical. We don't get much of that these days.

Trick question: Is George W. W. W. Bush & Co. trying to take over the - - World? I mean if some one were to try and grab ALL the marbles mightent that lead to the war of which you speak?

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 31 January 2001 04:18

Time02112:

Thanx again for the links.

You are the purveyor of reference material around here.

I wish I had "Time" to be as thorough about it as you.

(Perhaps I do in another Universe and just don't know it.)

:-)

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 31 January 2001 23:39

Thanks for the kind words DaViper!

I believe that we are heading for a

360 degree human evolutionary assention process, and soon enough as the photon belt draws nearer to our solar system we might all embark upon undescribable experiences within the masses that will even be much more difficult for science to explain, let alone "explain away" lest the debunkers of human history reach their final climax of getting themselves "debunked" and to thwart the tyrannic kings of Dark Age tacticts.

It is my contention that there is, and has always been some form of inter-connectedness between the emperical perceptions of Science & Physics, and the Etherical/Esoterical & Religious fields of study in relation to our earliest accounts of human history, dating back to the cradle of civilization in Sumeria & Babylon (which is the oldest known records of earth's human history.)

and it's continued connection to why it is every 3600 yrs that we seem to have mysteriously jumped by quantum leaps into evolution & technological advanvemens?

Perhaps things like these, only a Time~Traveller could know for certain.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 01 February 2001 02:15

The true Unified Theory: Science, Metaphysics, Spiritualism, and Consciousness.

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 01 February 2001 17:35

TT_0,

have you heard of the tensor coil?

ive heard it can be used for time travel in a certain configuration..

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 01 February 2001 22:30

Time...

Makes 2012 and the time in and 'round there something for us all to look forward to...

Creativity and attention to detail becomes...vital. *smiling* I was glad to see your posting. Challenging boundaries.

Be safe and dream sweetly when you do.

WS

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 02 February 2001 03:59

Time02112:

I'd say on the philosophical level I certainly agree with you.

What's odd, is that on the philosophical level I agree with TT_0 also.

Go figure!

For me, It's really about how we can apply creative thought to solving the problems that have plagued the human race since it's inception.

If we are ever to solve the question of "Time Travel" or any other of the newly aware concepts we face now since we have come technologically this far, it will be through throwing off the old prejudices of the past, having the FAITH in ourselves to do so, and give up the antagonistic ways we have lived by for so many generations.

Frankly, to me, the only "clan" left on Earth is the Human Race. WE ARE IT. And it is time we start viewing ourselves as "Earthlings" instead of Frenchmen, or Americans, or Jews, or Hindus, or White Guys, or Negroes, or Trailer Trash, or Kings.

Hey, WE are the inhabitants of Earth. And it's time for us to start thinking like it.

In the end, we ALL have something to say.

And it behooves ALL of us to at least listen.

Peace.

IP: Logged

shadow

unregistered posted 02 February 2001 18:00

Timetravel would collapse all speculative markets instantly, because all markets are based on GUESSING what will happen next. It would throw us back into the stone age,

or at least as far back as the Clinton era. The horror appalls me, can you imagine having to look at Newt Gingrich again?
Next subject. That giant sucking sound you hear? Its the electric grid sucking the life out of the economy. You see y2k didn't work, so now they have to SHUT our power off the hard way.

(PS) what ever you do don't try hiding in the Bushes..... hate to hit and run
buttttttttttttttttttttt

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul
Member posted 03 February 2001 15:00

I thought some of you may enjoy this link...
little smile NOT of the spiritual persuasion per se... more science.

Once again, challenges traditional thought.
www.eurekalert.org/releases/ns-wim013101.html

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 04 February 2001 05:47

WanderingSoul:
Nice article.

Thanx.

I hope rgrunt sees this. I think it's along the same lines he's hypothesizing. At least his "energy density" idea anyway.

rgrunt?

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com
unregistered posted 05 February 2001 21:01

Thanks for refering me to the article. It was very interesting. To answer their questions on why quarks have a greater mass then electrons is because there is more energy compressed to a small space which makes up the quark.
This results in a higher energy density to the quarks and a smaller space-time density in the quarks. If my theory is correct one can calculate the difference between the density

of a quark and an electron by finding out how far one would have to pry a strong force apart before the strong force would equal an infinite density.

That radius corresponds to the energy density of an electron compressed to a pion. Thus to increase the radius of a strong force gluon is to increase the energy density of the quarks.

This energy density increase of the quarks results in a space-time density within the quarks equal to $1/e^2$. Now the energy density of an electron is measured by the density of the gluons that mediate the strong force between the quarks within the electron.

Since quarks increase in energy density as they are pruned apart the space time density will decrease as the quarks increase and the gravitational forces exerted by an electron will increase as the electron is split apart.

This is because one of the unique properties of strong force is that strong force increases in strength as the strong force is pruned apart. The space-time density within the quarks is much less than the space-time density within the electron itself. This is because the gluon is much less dense energy wise than the quarks themselves.

When one measures the energy mass of an electron one is actually measuring the lattice of energy that surrounds the quarks which is an intertwining of strong force gluons. Since the gluon force surrounds the electron's quark mass like a field and since the space-time density within the electron's inner quark mass is much less than the space-time density within the strong force gluon that surrounds the electron, the strength of the gravitational pull of the electron increases more than likely to infinity as one approaches the center mass of an electron as a result of the decrease in space-time density to zero at the center mass of the electron at which the energy density is infinite.

This means that the outermost energy force field is less massive than the inner parts of the electron as is supported by the 'confusing' experiments.

There are two reasons that an electron does not crush as a result of infinite gravitational force at the center of the electron. First it requires a greater than infinite force to crush a mass to beyond the center mass of the object. And second, Time becomes reversed beyond the center mass of electron. Thus the mass beyond the center mass of an electron is superluminal and mediated by tachyons.

Thus when a greater than infinite force is applied to crush the electron; once that force travels beyond the center mass of the electron the direction of the work being done by the force reverses. This causes an Greater than infinite force deflecting back through the

force as a result of the negative time component causing the electron to assume it's possitive mass form.

sincerely,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 07 February 2001 16:02

I would like to examine this "Quantum Vaccum Theory" a bit more, I find that it describes that seemingly present weak electrical force that emitts from Zero Point. I even heard from Dr. Michio Kaku the other night, on the radio, he spoke of this, and mentioned that it is a "Misnomer" to say that it is "Nothing" in the space between electrons & atoms, that in fact "Something" is actualy there! (electrons from the quantum vaccum of space.) again we must first get by this, and try to understand it more, as in relation to what is happening here, and how we can use this to our advantage, and then perhaps we will better understand the plausability factors for free energy, overunity, anti-gravity, Time~Travel, and the abundunt power of space needed for propulsion & power to make these things work.

*Zero Point Energy out of the Quantum Vaccum of Space. Hmmm??? I wonder what makes it all tic?

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 08 February 2001 12:37

rgrunt: (or should I say Mr. Schasteen)
Very interesting.

Your depictions of Quantum Particle Thoery are indeed formidable. You are proving to be someone who has descriptive abilities beyond what you have been given credit thus far it seems. (It appears you are a person who "holds back" a bit to see what develops in the exchange of dialog. I like that.)

I follow you on the hypothesis you offer except for one thing, but it is merely a matter of semantic definition I'm sure.

Could you elaborate on the term "space-time density". I know I questioned what you meant by "energy density" earlier, but now I do see what YOU mean by that. Your explanation was informative.

Also, when you say:

"This results in a higher energy density to the quarks and a smaller space-time density in the quarks. If my theory is correct one can calculate the difference between the density

of a quark and an electron by finding out how far one would have to pry a strong force apart before the strong force would equal an infinite density."

I assume you mean counteract the strong force by prying the quarks apart, but why would not the strong force at some measurable distance reach a "breaking point" if you will, thru the action of this "prying", rather than approach an infinite density?

Would we not instead have a condition of "Free-quarks" available to establish the existence of other protons or neutrons say, providing other free quarks can be found in a similar state? Since it only takes three quarks to constitute a proton or neutron, (depending on quark color combination of course), this should be easy to do. No?

And thanks for the discourse.

IP: Logged

Bob

unregistered posted 08 February 2001 02:28

electrons aren't made of quarks. they're leptons, and only hadrons like protons or neutrons are made of quarks.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 08 February 2001 08:28

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 10 February 2001).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com

unregistered posted 08 February 2001 20:15

Dear Bob,

Thankyou for the knowlege. Is the electron composed or held together by strong force glouns? In other words, are electrons made up of strong force? Are electrons known to be single entities not made up of any smaller partcles(accept glouns if composed of strong force)? If the electron is not made up of any strong forces then is electrons made up of weak force? I based my ussumption that electrons were composed and bound by quarks bound togetherby strong force glouns but based on you last transmission I am assuming that electrons are made up of strong force glouns(since electrons are known to be indivisible). Is my ussumption about the electron's composition correct?

Deviper,

When I speak of space-time density I am assuming that energy occupying space is the same as space being occupied by energy and also space occupying energy. I assume that space-time is a tangible substance. I see space asa quantized closed entity. I allow that

space can be open but for the most part it is as closed system. By doubling the volume of space in a given region the density of space in that region will be squared(I think) just like if one were to double the volume of energy in a given region the density of that energy would be squared(I think) No I believe that they would be cubed for we are measuring energy per unit volume and not per unit square....

To double the volume of space time in a given region is to double the volume to which the energy in that region is occupying. Thus by doubling the volume of space the same volume of energy occupying a greater volume of space results in a decrease in the energies density. Now this can be reversed to say that if one doubles the volume of energy in a given space the space in that region of space will decrease in density as a result of less area of space occupying the same region of energy. Since the density of energy is uniform the density of space relative to the density of energy will also be uniform. Thus the space decreases density uniformly as energy increases density uniformly within the closed region.

I have to go I will right more later.

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 08 February 2001 22:50

Pamela,
you have met TT0 in the person?

have you seen the time machine?

im not paranoid(not too paranoid) but i think it was a terrible idea for TT0(if his story is true) to have told us anything about it.

question for TT_0 on the event of his return,

what affects the probability when traversing through time?

and have you heard of the Time Vector Field Chronicles?

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 08 February 2001 23:33

Fast,

I am still not 100% Positive he really is a time traveler. Iam still skeptical.. and he knows this and accepts this... but...

One thing I think he is hoping to convey on the message board is the philosophical concept that once man is able to travel in time, there is no more absolute truth.

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 09 February 2001 01:54

Bob:

"electrons aren't made of quarks. they're leptons, and only hadrons like protons or neutrons are made of quarks."

Yes of course. My response to rgrunt is along the lines of his speculation that "prying apart quarks" could result in the strong force approaching an infinite density, which frankly I can't see. Hence my counter speculation of free quarks available to form new hadrons like protons etc.

As rgrunt has discovered about me, I'm happy to speculate to the limits of human knowledge as long as we don't base speculation on the assumption of anything that has already proven to NOT be so.

rgrunt:

Again, thank you for the clarification of what YOU mean by "space-time density". It's easier to follow someone else's speculations about something when you understand what THEY mean by something.

Semantics!.....:-)

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 09 February 2001 02:03

Pamela:

Please allow youe skepticism to bring you all the way to the simple conclusion that TT_0 is in fact NOT a time traveler.

I do not say this as a detriment to his words, (since I'm already on record as considering him to be a creative and intelligent person who has a lot to say philosophically), but merely that his declarations on being a time traveler are based on quantum theories that have already been clearly proven to NOT be so.

In other words, he CAN'T be.

But I still like him anyway.

Let him play out his fantasy. It's a good vehicle for his philosophical musings which are right on in many cases.

(See post above to Bob.)

Peace.

IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 09 February 2001 12:49

Dear Deviper,

Yes According to modern theory as far as I know that it would take an infinite amount of energy to pry apart a hadron. Of course the way that this is stated is that it is impossible to pry apart hadrons.

This is speculative in that the scientists assume that no infinite amount of energy could be generated. However I beg to differ. The way my device will work is a fluke of the mechanical setup.

By torqueing the field one compressed the field at a perpendicular angle and stretches the field at the parallel angle. By stretching the field in a vertical direction while simultaneously compressing the field in a horizontal angle the kenetic forces applied allow for the compression to be countered by the stretching such that the distribution of the field that propogates parallel is redistributed to propagate perpindicular while simultaneously the portion of the magnetic field that propagates perpendicular is redistributed to propagate parallel.

This creates a shearing force that reaches a critcal stage at the point that the field is constricted to bear the topology of an hourglass at 180 degrees of torque. Torqueing beyond this will pry a gap in the field because greater then infinite(or the upper limit) energy is applied in a given instant at a given point allotting the necesary work (in watts I think) to pry apart the hadrons thus causing the splitting of hadrons or better yet fission of energy releasing ungodly amounts of energy.

Think of it like this when one splits a mass at the molecular level a small explosion occurs. When one splitts a mass at the nuclear level a very large explossion occurs. When one splitts mass at the energy level an even larger amount of energy will be released.

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 10 February 2001 03:37

rgrunt:

I DO follow you here, and I like the fact that you are willing to challenge the conventional wisdom of Quantum Theory.

(To me, challenging "Conventional Wisdom" on ANYTHING is the path to discovery.)

Now I'm left with another question tho.

When you say:

"By stretching the field in a vertical direction while simultaneously compressing the field in a horizontal angle the kinetic forces applied allow for the compression to be countered by the stretching such that the distribution of the field that propagates parallel is redistributed to propagate perpendicular while simultaneously the portion of the magnetic field that propagates perpendicular is redistributed to propagate parallel."

...I have to ask,

Isn't this trying to apply conventional (macrocosmic) physics to the microcosmic world? Haven't we seen that such applications are not to be relied upon when dealing with Quanta?

I would not suggest that what YOU suggest can't be done, merely that the very ability to apply such principles at such a level does itself break down due to the inability to even measure the accuracy of microcosmic events with macrocosmic technology. Is this not the very foundation of why the Heisenberg Principle renders such applications even unmeasurable, let alone do-able?

It seems to me that the laws of physics as we know them to be at the macrocosmic level only ever will allow us to construct devices that can only ever react with the macrocosmic world.

The microcosmic world requires methods that are not necessarily applicable on the larger scale, and vice versa.

In short, I'm not sure the principles of "perpendicular" and "parallel" have any real meaning at the quantum level.

Thoughts?

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 10 February 2001 07:12

Just a few words to you TT_0 if we don't hear from you again. I just want you to know this; you will not escape the truth that underneath all your numerous cleverly thought out, well crafted explaining of bailing your self out of stating to be a Time Traveler from the year 2036 (is that right?), that you are indeed a man with a hidden agenda. I can sense it, maybe others can too, but I'm hardly ever wrong about this. That's just the way I feel you come off as.

So what could your hidden agenda be? Well I don't sense you're that inclined to want to fight our world problems. It seems all you like to do is hear your self-talk. And the more you do, it sounds more like your claims are more of a self proclamation you have, once done you can then acknowledge to your self that you managed to con a whole bunch of people to believe and follow you.

But you forgot to take in to consideration 1 thing when you came to this board. The human factor. That a few (close to maybe 2 or 3 people) in this message board would see beyond your well rehearsed (well written in speech and grammar) works of scientific explanation, and shut you up with plain basic truths of Right and Wrong.

Nevertheless, I hypothesize your out of material, and can no longer support your claims. Which is why you haven't posted in over 2 weeks. The questions have been asked, and you answered most of them accurately (since they were all mostly questions about science).

So it is my conclusion that you are now probably home contemplating of what you learned from a race of humans your generation looks down on hehe. Or you can probably end up posting soon after everyone began calling up for your attention again.

What a coincidence that would be wouldn't it? That your busy schedule suddenly opened up.

Either way, it sure would be interesting to hear what kinds of stories you'd share with us next. I know I'm anxious to hear them. How about you?

-Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

Fast

Member posted 10 February 2001 20:40

TT_0 was always saying he has nothing to prove to us..then WHY..WHY come to a TIME TRAVEL forum(and numerous others) to spout off your achievements..

now i believe TT_0's time machine is a fraud.

when the real questions popup he is as silent as a man with no tougue.

FastWalker2

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 11 February 2001 03:37

He has nothing to prove to us because he is a writer who is looking for some interaction to help him construct the characters in his story. I wouldn't be surprised to see a similar book or movie about this soon.

I tested him by first saying i was a writer of science fiction. Notice how he chose to ignore my comments and questions. I asked him again to tell us more about his observations of society... which he blatantly avoided by saying "ask me questions".

Since he has such a deep-seeded hate and despair for this time period (we caused the war he grew up with) it would seem that he would be more outspoken about it (which he was before). Now he is running out of new things to say, hoping that we will spark something new to finish off his novel.

Explain these points:

-he suddenly does not want to talk about society.

-he had time to learn how to write perfect english while he was growing up fighting war and now running his farm just to survive.

-before the election was decided, he said that the florida votes would never be counted. They were counted. (don't tell me that his landing in the 1970's caused the election to change)

-his use of "Gosub" as a metaphore for something. During his time period he would never have learned BASIC and the langauge. Even if his grandfather taught him about it he would still not be so familiar with it that he would use "Gosub" in a sentence not related to programming. Much more likely he grew up during the 1970's and is now in his mid 40's contemplating his life.

The point remains. His details are well thought out, but he doesn't have anything to prove to us. He won't respond to making a sound clip for us, which shows that he's not really interested in changing our timeline... a point which he has flipped back and forth on.

Now that we have cought on, and have not supplied interesting questions for him to explain, he is uninterested in us. A sign of selfishness, which by the way is the very trait that he blames us for.

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 11 February 2001 13:51

TT_O is now posting on the Art Bell web site Time Travel Forum under the name of John Titor. His thread is called "I Am From 2036".

IP: Logged

to: NO TIME

unregistered posted 11 February 2001 13:53

can you provide the URL for this??

IP: Logged

NoTime

unregistered posted 11 February 2001 17:02

The Art Bell web site is at: <http://www.artbell.com/>

The John Titor thread is at: <http://bbs.artbell.com/showthread.php?threadid=1203>

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 11 February 2001 18:43

Greetings everyone! I've missed you all too. As I'm sure Pamela can tell you, my email system is made up of quite a few addresses, locations and computers. Some of them work better than others and for a period of time, I have been unable (in my own way) to get to this site. It appears I've come back at just the right moment.

I see you're all asking yourselves questions about who I really am, what I want and why I'm here. Frankly, I really can't blame you but perhaps it's a bit easier now to understand why I not only didn't expect you to believe me but I didn't want you to. As far as my credibility, I thought we had reached some sort of happy medium and we could call each other friend.

I see however that may not be the case and I must admit I'm a bit disappointed. It seems that unless I follow your expectations of what a time traveler should be doing thinking or feeling than I must be a fraud. Or is it just safer to keep telling yourself that?

((im not paranoid(not too paranoid) but i think it was a terrible idea for TT0(if his story is true) to have told us anything about it.))

Where was this sentiment and concern for my safety as I was telling my "story"?

((TT_0 was always saying he has nothing to prove to us..then WHY..WHY come to a TIME TRAVEL forum(and numerous others) to spout off your achievements..))

Is it really that hard to believe that plain old human interaction has it's own merits?

((I do not say this as a detriment to his words, (since I'm already on record as considering him to be a creative and intelligent person who has a lot to say philosophically), but merely that his declarations on being a time traveler are based on quantum theories that have already been clearly proven to NOT be so.))

Which quantum theories are you talking about? Please forgive me but If I missed a question or if there was something else to go into I would have been happy to do so.

((Either way, it sure would be interesting to hear what kinds of stories you'd share with us next. I know I'm anxious to hear them. How about you?))

TTA wants to hear more from me? Now there's a turn of events.

...and Borgus, I'm not even sure where to start. Please. Tell me how you really feel. In retrospect, you do force me to examine whether or not talking like this was a good idea. I still think it was.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 11 February 2001 21:23

"As I'm sure Pamela can tell you, my email system is made up of quite a few addresses, locations and computers. Some of them work better than others and for a period of time, I have been unable (in my own way) to get to this site."
Yes, I can verify this.

I think just because he couldnot get to this site for awhile was no excuse to attack him for not answering your questions.

I have been over to Art Bells board and really don't see any thing there that goes against what he said here. seems the same to me with some extra things.

Being skeptical is one thing... blaming and accusing is another.

I wouldnt blame him if he didnt want to post here anymore.

Borgus-you say he is uninterested in us. but he did supply a bunch of questions to us that only THREE people out of this whole board even answered! he wanted to know very much how you felt about these things but none of you answered him. yet.. you expected him to continue to answer YOUR questions.
thats not give and take..thats just all take.
and THAT my friend, is selfish.

I don't know if he is really a Time traveler or not. I have seen more and know more than all of you about this. which makes my decision harder.

I have considered all things... I am skeptical but I am also keeping all things in mind. A lot of good points were brought up on both sides.

I have decided skepticism is healthy for everyone.

I do know one thing though....he is a human being. And everyone deserves to be treated with respect as a human being, timetraveler or not.

By the way, I will be gone for about a week and will have no computer access where I am going. I just wanted to mention that so if anybody asks me a question and I don't answer... you will know why and I won't be unnecessarily attacked by anyone.

-pamela

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 11 February 2001 22:26

2 things TT_0.

1. I was right about you coming back just in time. How convenient.
2. You can't spot when someone makes a sarcastic comment.

You truly do intend to move things to your side of things don't you? Just look at the way you speak.

Hey I saw "Left Behind" the movie. You seem to be pulling the same trick the Anti-Christ pulled at the end.

I won't tell the ending, but it's a great movie, you should all watch it.

By the way TT_0, you know what a "Machiavilli" is and does?

Here's a hint, just what your doing.

-Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 12 February 2001 12:06

Thanks Pamela

I see that some of us can't take criticism as well as others

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 12 February 2001 22:54

Dear Deviper,

This is quite possible. However I am basing my physics on the apparent nature of light as focused through a convex lense.

The focusing light through a convex lense we increase the number of thermal photons at a given space of focus thus increasing the temperature.

It is unconventional to try to focus sun light to a singularity which would increase the temperature to infinity on account that it would require on infinitely large convex lense.

However a magnetic field if focused to a singularity would increase to infinity as the energy density of the field increases to infinite as the field is focused to a singularity.

This enables an infinite electrical output.

My basis is that by compressing one part of the magnetic field the other part stretches like the magnetic field were a fabric.

This is all macro-physical in nature but built of micro-physical entities.

By stretching molecular entities or atomic entities horizontally one decreases the energy density vertically by increasing the space-time density horizontally. This results in the compression of space on a horizontal level and a decompression of energy on the horizontal line.

The energy density on the vertical level remaining the same gravitates to occupy the space-time within horizontal line which is why when one stretches a rubber band the molecules along the horizontal axis expands to the left and right while the molecules that are along the vertical axis of the rubber band gravitate toward the center of the band thus making the rubber band thinner.

There is more to this mathematically. For the density to space-time ratio for vertical measure is unchanged by the expansion of the rubber band along the horizontal axis.

The expansion of the band along the horizontal axis results in the relative increase of the density of space which reestablishes the definition of the borders of the quantity of rubber along the horizontal axis which changes the numbers in the equation $S=1/e^2$ for the horizontal axis in such a manner as to accentuate the decrease in relative size of the length of the band vertically by the increase in length of the rubber band.

So although the density of the rubber band along the vertical axis does not change, that is, the overall density ratio $S=1/e^2$ for the vertical length of the band does not change but is lesser when compared with the density ratio $S=1/e^2$ for the horizontal plane.

This explains why the density of a rubber band or any quantum material does not increase along the vertical length when stretched along the horizontal length. $S_h = 1/e^2$, $h=2$ for horizontal. $S_v = 1/e^2$, $v=2$ for vertical. (this is the mathematical representation for a circle using my new theory).

I appologize I will have to finish this later on account that I have run out of time.

Take care,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 13 February 2001 01:47

rgrunt:
Ahhh.

It appears you are coming around to the classic "Double Slit" experiment. (Please correct me if I am wrong.)

In the original, the patterns produced on a projection surface originating from a single light source and subsequently passed through two verticle slits produces an interference pattern on that same projection surface that was not easy to explain to the first observers if this phenomena.

It became even more seemingly paradoxical when polarized lenses were applied in 90 degree out of phase configuration much in the same manner we use the same configuration to resolve 3-D images on the motion picture screen. (But of course 3-D film is constructed this way in the first place so we can use this method to resolve it. (Eqrly-mid 20th century Technology, circa 1939 or so.)

Again, please correct me if I'm wrong.

So....

If what you say could poissibly work out, I'd say you're on the path to solving the infamous EPR Paradox.

Am I reading you correctly here?

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist
Member posted 14 February 2001 02:16

TT_0,

I must say, you have an uncanny effect on some of the people on this board. For instances one individual who backs you up, who's at your disposal when you call upon her. Mighty impressive.

I've been reading over some of this threads past posts. And combined them with the pattern you use to speak in past posts. And what appears to be some sort of helpless character you have, that it reflects in your writings that people can't help but believe you.

By that I mean, you always being well mannered. Acknowledging your flaws and mistakes. Turning up the charm. Sorta like the thing a double O 7 would do. But it's all well covert, and I can tell you drilled these exercises to perfection.

I have been one of the only ones in this board who you've backed off on answering questions and comments. And you have tired to use the sympathy routine to butter your self up with me before. Didn't work. And you thought others loved you when you left.

All this that you do, your character, your claims, the way you discuss it with us. It's suspect.

You do not sound genuine. Your personality is not perfect, if that was the goal you wanted to portray to people of this forum.

Though you wish to perfect it, by saying sorry and sugar coating your humanity. I am aware enough to see right through you. And soon, others will be too.

-Javier C.

P.S. I have a prediction in how you might answer this post.

I know, something about insulting the way I write, and the way I use the English language. Well before you do, here's my answer to you. I'm Spanish.

[This message has been edited by Dymenzionz (edited 14 February 2001).]

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 14 February 2001 02:55

TTA...I just want to make sure of one thing, you don't like me very much do you?
I promise to try and be more insulting.

Actually, it's sort of a good feeling knowing you're out there keeping me honest.

Thanks.

IP: Logged

Dymenzionz

Moderator posted 14 February 2001 09:57

Guys,

I haven't been following your previous exchanges too closely, but it seems that you two don't get on. Whether it has been in an argument over a theory or someone has insulted someone, we have had these incidents before and we won't stand for them. If you can't all play nicely, someone's gonna get themselves banned. There is no reason to dislike a person on this board. Our aim is to grow a community that is a family of friends. If we start trying to win little insult and vengeance battles in posts we will become nothing short of an immature failure.

Feel free to argue with ideas, but please, keep personal feelings to yourself (hostile ones anyway). If you really want to slag someone off or have a personal argument, EMAIL them. Don't waste other people's and my time by using the board.

It's obvious we were going to get people posting on the board claiming to be "time travellers" or claiming to have esoteric knowledge and not being prepared to tell anyone else.

It's nice to think a pioneer of time travel would grace our board, and who knows - maybe he/she has.

But one thing for sure. The typicality of coming on here and saying: "I'm a time traveller", "I know something you don't know" bla bla bla - is the thing a genuine time traveller would do.

In my opinion, time travel would be used for tactical and extremely classified research, possibly in lots of different forms, and revealing oneself would probably cause destruction of the research aims.

Anyway, as to TTA/Enforcer and TT_0, you two obviously have some civil dispute - please keep it away from the board. I don't exactly see why you are arguing with TT_0, he doesn't seem to be being aggressive?

-Admin

P.S. Time Enforcer and TTA you're the same person (Javier?)???

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 14 February 2001 13:46

TimeTravel_0

Please take some time and answer these new questions.

1.) What weapons did you use to protect yourself throughout your life? Do you have a weapon with you now, just in case?

- 2.) What is your favourite food? (whether you had access to it or not)
- 3.) Your enemy was in the cities. Was the President in 2005 also on the enemy side? was the President in 2009 on the enemy side? How did you feel personally about these Presidents?
- 4.) While you were in the 1970's what did you think of Nixon as President?
- 5.) Do you plan to do any more time traveling when you return to 2036?
- 6.) Do you have any doubts about this time travel experience and the computer mission that you are on?
- 7.) What have you learned the most from the people of this board?
- 8.) Where did you learn the use of "Gosub" and which computer language?
- 9.) Where did you learn to write perfect English? How much time did it take?
- 10.) Was China your enemy?
- 11.) Do they have Pac Man or Donkey Kong in 2036?
- 12.) Does China have a manned space program between 2001 and 2036?
- 13.) Do you have any problems with the number thirteen?
- 14.) If the worldlines are changed by everyone's choices, this means we are all maneuvering through timelines as we make these choices. Since everyone is making different choices, why do we still meet people that coincide with our previous worldline?
- 15.) In your opinion, would timelines be better represented as an inflated balloon, or a layered Rubix cube with interchanging and overlapping interactions?
- 16.) Does your group have a television in 2036?
- 17.) Does your group have a flashlight in 2036? If so, what type of batteries does it use?
- 18.) How is electricity generated in 2036?
- 19.) Does the sun look any different in 2036 than it does now?
- 20.) What sort of clothing do you wear in 2036? Is there strong attention paid to what people wear in the group?
- 21.) Where were you born?

I'm sorry that the list is so long, but I hope that you will enjoy answering them, and we will all learn from them.

TimeTravel_0 if you have any more questions you would like to know about us, please post them.

Thanks.

IP: Logged

rgrunt
unregistered posted 14 February 2001 15:38

Dear Deviper,
I have heard of the EPR paradox before. But I am not sure if my memory serves. Is the EPR paradox the paradox that seems to insist that particles are both waves and particals? Does the EPR paradox suggest partical wave duality. If the EPR paradox is partical wave duality then yes I believe that I may be on the track to solving this phenomenon or on the track to making a big scientific error. Either case will provide knowlege for future theorists to guide them unto more accurate truth. So if I am right then great but if not then perhaps someone else can take my knowlege and correct it and discover the truth. I believe that I will be able to provide alot more accurate knowlege through experimentation and measurement. Perhaps this will be done by physisists using my device or by myself in a lab of myown should I be lucky enough to obtain this. I believe that the conscept will help describe the partical both as a wave and as a partical in that it describes the mathematical relation of the partical in terms of space and energy density. An electron of low energy would appear to look like a clowd as a result of the energy occupying a greater density of space (which appears to have more volume) and an electron of high energy interactions would appear to be pointlike as a result of the energy occupying a lower density of space(which appears to have less volume).

Does this sound like a possible solution to the EPR paradox?

Regards,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time
Member posted 14 February 2001 22:43

TT_0,
I just have 1 word to describe your last post to me. Arrogant.

Mr. Moderator,

A person doesn't have to be aggressive to be a @&^\$#%. As you can tell, he's been using his superior intellect, to manipulate and control his agenda here. Or am I the only one who can see that?

Oh and just one more thing TT_0. I don't like arrogant people, phony people, people that exploit others. Do you fall under those requirements? Of course you do. You're an opportunist, and it's sickening to me.

So to directly answer your question, NO! I don't like you.

Do you not wish to acknowledge what you have accomplished here? The people you have ready to back you up and to defend you? You have power, status. And you know it.

But then again, when the Anti-Christ comes into power, he won't acknowledge his power and control of things just yet. He has an agenda, just like you do TT_0.

Left behind was a really good movie. Anybody here seen it?

By the way, what's your religion TT_0?

-Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

[This message has been edited by Enforcer of Time (edited 14 February 2001).]

IP: Logged

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Close Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Topics Limited to 11 Pages? (Page 3)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 [next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Topics Limited to 11 Pages?

DaViper

unregistered posted 15 February 2001 02:44

rgrunt:

Yes I think originally the wave vs. particle dispute was fuelled by this seeming "paradox" but if memory serves me correctly, it has now become an issue of our inability to determine the time interval between cause and effect. i.e. the so called inability we suffer from to be able to measure accurately at the quantum level. The Heisenberg Principle if you will. The polarized double slit experiment produces results that for a while led some to speculate that events were occurring SIMULTANEOUSLY in two places at once.

This led to Wave Collapse Theory which Einstein called a "Spooky force at a distance" and never really bought into it as I recall.

It sounds to me a lot like you are headed in the direction of trying to tackle this problem. I'd say, "Good luck". Especially if you can pull it off.

When you say:

"...I believe that I may be on the track to solving this phenomenon or on the track to making a big scientific error."

My response is...

"Aren't we all my friend. Aren't we all".

(Smile)

admin:

Thank you for the recent post.

I too see this as a place of speculation and discovery. That's why I come here.

For what's it worth, I personally have never seen a problem with TT_0's posts since it's quite obvious that he is a fantasizer of fictional possibilities and quite a good one at that.

He seems polite to me, and I can't for one see what all the fuss is about. He does have creative ideas.

It's nice to remember to not take oneself TOO seriously here, but as rgrunt has pointed out, (in so many words), even being wrong is itself an educational experience.

Peace to all.

This is supposed to be a fun board. Lets have fun.

Besides, we're ALL amateurs here and we all know it.

Professional Quantum Theorists don't hang out on boards like this.

(Smile)

IP: Logged

Dymenzionz

Moderator posted 15 February 2001 15:45

Indeed viper.

A professional quantum theorist will have his head full of too much crap to even start understanding anything like time travel.

-Admin

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 15 February 2001 22:35

TT_0, so when do you plan on answering the questions of this forum?
And would you please talk about your secret agenda?

-J.C.

IP: Logged

Wise one

unregistered posted 16 February 2001 13:19

If any one believes this guy they are dumb. he is a guy in his late 50s that needs a life. He stays home all day and thinks of this stuff. I do KNOW the government has its secrets but this is too predictable. what the government has you and me could never know. and if some

one had this information he would be too powerful. It would be too bad to even think about. The government would not let it happen. All men fear the same thing to be beaten in their game. So the government would not let that happen. Think would you let someone take over you? Knowledge is MY only weapon and I use it when I can. And anti-knowledge is its worst enemy. Just think!

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 16 February 2001 23:09

chin in hand reading

I would have thought that issue was one of measurement due to technological limitations... If measuring wave ..unable to simultaneously measure particle and vice versa.. is this the paradox of which you are conversing on DaViper and rgrunt?

...and each must learn to laugh at oneself before truly understanding how to laugh with another...

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 16 February 2001 23:55

So John, when do you plan on answering my questions? On this post, and on the Art Bell forum? <http://bbs.artbell.com/showthread.php?postid=21684#post21684>
Is there a problem in answering?

Please let me know.... I await you.

-Javier C.

P.S. I believe that as long as we don't know what your secret agenda is, you can just be lying to us. Right?

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 17 February 2001 13:50

Yes I believe that is the theory the topic that we are discussing. I am aware of the limitations of our technology and it is a good question as to whether or not it is the measuring equipment that produces the effect (paradox) or if the particle is truly in the form of a wave and sometimes in the form of a particle.

However to me the energy density versus space-time density is merely a simple concept of one kind of energy occupying energy in the form of a container (space). A simple visual aid to help assist in visualizing the concept that I am suggesting is to take a

single piece of string and tie the ends together so that you have a loop. Now take the loop of string and make a one-half twist so that you have a figure eight.

Now hold the figure eight out in front of you so that the figure eight looks like the infinity symbol. Let the loop that is pointing to your right represent energy and its density, let the loop that is pointing to the your left represent the density and quantity of space-time that is occupied by the energy.

Now if you increase the radius of the right loop-which symbolizes the energy the left loop will decrease in size and density. If you make the right loop twice its original size the left loop representing space will shrink to half its size.

This is the concept that I am suggesting is occurring with electrons and all energy and mass. Energy occupies space such that when you increase the density of energy the particle absorbs energy from the space that it occupies thus decreasing the density and space that the particle of energy occupies through displacement.

This results in a decrease in the radius of the particle as a result that the particle has more quantity gained from the vacuum of space and has a smaller radius and thus a greater density.

I would say that the majority and most likely all the extra density gained by an electron of high energy interaction would be absorbed solely from the space-time that the energy occupies resulting in a low space-time pressure inertial hole within the quantum matter making up the electron's energy.

I would say that there would be a low pressure region of space-time around the electron itself caused by the space-time of high density surrounding the electron gravitating towards the inertial hole created by the displacement of space-time quantity into electron density.

So I believe that the electron does not absorb energy from the surrounding space-time quantity to create the gravitational field surrounding the electron but absorbs the space-time quantity from into energy to add density to the electron during high energy interactions only from the space-time that the electron occupies and that the gravitational field surrounding the electron is caused by the space around the electron to gravitate towards the inertial hole or low space-time pressure within the area that the electron is localized.

This, in my opinion, is a static system and that the space-time does not flow into the electron from outside the electron but creates a static flow into the electron.

An easy visual aid to observe this kind of phenomenon is to observe the spinning candy cane pole at your local barber shop. Notice that the red and white spirals(helices) do not actually move up to the top of the cylindrical cane but the rotation of the cylinder makes it appear as though the red and white spirals move toward the top of the candy cane pole.

The helix of the spinning candy cane pole can represent the space-time in the form of helical waves spiraling towards the electron mass of low space-time density as a result of the centripetal(or centrifugal) forces(I am always getting these two confused) at work within the electron.

It is sort of like the whirl wind created in a blender. The water does not flow through the bottom of the blender but all the blobs of chocolate mix is carried by the water toward the blades of the blender. The low pressure created by the spinning blades combined with the torque of the blades creates the static whirl wind affect which is quite similar to the dynamic whirl wind affect created by the water draining in your toilet when you flush it.

The space time quantity is laden with all kinds of waves fields of space-time quantity but when the low pressure space-time is created by the presence of dense energy the space-time waves of high pressure gravitate towards the space-time of low pressure creating the spin affect of the space-time waves and fields. This process creates a dynamical flow of energy in the form of energy or mass(not space) toward the region of low space-time density in the same way that the nestles quik is carried on the milk that is spinning in the blender toward the low pressure milk at the surface of the blender blades.

What I mean by "the energy and mass are dynamic and space is not" is merely that the chocolate coco moves toward the blades of the blender but the milk itself as a whole does moves very little towards the blades of the blender if at all.

What does everyone think? Does any of this make sense?

Inquisitively,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 17 February 2001 15:34

smiling

Excellent visual analogy rgrunt. You described it perfectly to enable a vision to be built. Thank you.

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 17 February 2001 20:25

Ditto to WanderingSoul in the endorsement of your analogies rgrunt.
It would be very interesting to discover that you are indeed correct if you are.

Your depiction of how you see it working is an excellent one.

I suspect you have some background in Quantum Physics but as our moderator has pointed out, perhaps your mind is not "...full of too much crap" to keep you from speculating in areas where other more professional theorists wouldn't even dare go.

I like that.

Good luck.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 18 February 2001 12:17

Gee, I wonder where John, opps I mean TT_0 is.
Well I'm still waiting for you to answer my questions on both forums TT_0.

You left just when the answering part was getting good.

This must be a real big problem for a TimeTraveler to log on to the internet in this timeline. Considering how it's free and all www.netzero.com & www.bluelight.com

Hope to hear from you soon. And to hear your well crafted answers.

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 18 February 2001 17:02

If anybody is wondering what the IBM 5100 personal computer looked like in 1975.
they can go here to see pictures of it:
<http://mercury.sfsu.edu/~hl/c.IBM.5100.html>
with more specifics go here:
<http://www.geocities.com/~compcloset/ibm5100.htm>

IP: Logged

borgus
unregistered posted 18 February 2001 18:48

welcome back pamela
IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 19 February 2001 16:01

((1.) What weapons did you use to protect yourself throughout your life? Do you have a weapon with you now, just in case?))

I used a shotgun in the war. Yes, I think it's prudent to be prepared for anything.

((2.) What is your favourite food? (whether you had access to it or not))

Oranges, I love them.

((3.) Your enemy was in the cities. Was the President in 2005 also on the enemy side? was the President in 2009 on the enemy side? How did you feel personally about these Presidents?))

The President or "leader" in 2005 I believe tried desperately to be the next Lincoln and hold the country together but many of their policies drove a larger wedge into the Bill of Rights. The President in 2009 was interested only in keeping his/her power base.

((4.) While you were in the 1970's what did you think of Nixon as President?))

To tell you the truth, I was more fascinated by the different standards Presidents are held to.

((5.) Do you plan to do any more time traveling when you return to 2036?))

I don't know if I will be chosen for a another mission. If I do, most likely, I will fly as an advisor or historical consultant.

((6.) Do you have any doubts about this time travel experience and the computer mission that you are on?))

Absolutely. I have to believe there is an easier way to do this.

((7.) What have you leered the most from the people of this board?))

There is a fire of intelligence and expression out there in the people who are criticized for their open-mindedness. I fear it will only be uncovered in the rest of the population through conflict. I was under the impression that most people were sleeping in this period but I suppose that generalization is too broad for any time period.

((8.) Where did you learn the use of "Gosub" and which computer language?))

Gosub / Return. In one form or another, isn't that a pretty standard function in most computer languages?

((9.) Where did you learn to write perfect English? How much time did it take?))

I'm not so sure I write perfect English. I do read a great deal more than I see most people doing here.

((10.) Was China your enemy?))

Not my enemy? I never fought any Chinese but their ability to hit Western cities with missiles made a lot of people unhappy.

((11.) Do they have Pac Man or Donkey Kong in 2036?))

I am aware they are video games but I have not come across them.

((12.) Does China have a manned space program between 2001 and 2036?))

I believe they are pretty close to putting a man in orbit. It shouldn't surprise you if they do that soon.

((13.) Do you have any problems with the number thirteen?))

Not personally.

((14.) If the worldlines are changed by everyone's choices, this means we are all maneuvering through timelines as we make these choices. Since everyone is making different choices, why do we still meet people that coincide with our previous worldline?))

Your worldline takes form as the choices unfold. People do not disappear because you must follow the same physical laws that hold you here. (i.e. Information cannot travel faster than light on a worldline.)

((15.) In your opinion, would timelines be better represented as an inflated balloon, or a layered Rubix cube with interchanging and overlapping interactions?))

Balloon in balloon in balloon. A Rubix cube as in the toy, right?

((16.) Does your group have a television in 2036?))

Information does arrive on video but cathode ray tubes are out and crystal or plasm is in. Distribution is over the net, not broadcast.

((17.) Does your group have a flashlight in 2036? If so, what type of batteries does it use?))

Yes, we have flashlights and we use similar batteries for most things. We do recharge a great deal. Have you ever seen those wind-up radios? They're pretty interesting I think.

((18.) How is electricity generated in 2036?))

"Most" publicly generated power is through very efficient solar cells. On a local or household level, there is steam, hydro and inversion generation. There is a debate on using a singularity to generate power.

((19.) Does the sun look any different in 2036 than it does now?))

When you can see it through the high level smoke and haze, not that I'm aware of.

((20.) What sort of clothing do you wear in 2036? Is there strong attention paid to what people wear in the group?))

Clothing is much more functional. I'm not sure what group you're talking about.

((21.) Where were you born?))

I was born in Florida.

((I'm sorry that the list is so long, but I hope that you will enjoy answering them, and we will all learn from them.))

No Problem.

((TimeTravel_0 if you have any more questions you would like to know about us, please post them.))

Thanks.

TTA...I promise to get to your questions. I just hope you aren't too dissapointed when you don't get the answeres you want. I wonder if you're change in attitude is in prelude to your well crafted literary trap? Do you think I'll fall in?

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 19 February 2001 18:51

Being aware of a literary trap how you described can go both ways I believe. Being able to avoid one, and being able to make one your self. Experience talks huh TT_0 ?
And that's what I believe you have been doing on this forum all along. You said your self, I keep you honest. So don't mind me for doing my job.

If you choose to just answer specific questions, and with that take days to carefully prepare them to make them presentable. Then your actions just speak for them selves. I don't believe anyone here is dumb enough to not take that into account about you. Well maybe some.

Sure it was only a matter of time till this message board would be greeted by someone claiming to be from the future. But if you think about it, you're not the only one who's thorough in this. If you were to count the hundreds of Time Travel websites, you would see that any one of them could be used to study and use as your story here.

Well to make a long story short, I still await your answers. They must be pretty hard to answer, considering how I asked them first then others you've already answered. Anyone think that's pretty suspicious?

-Javier C.

P.S. Hey TT_0, so you're from Florida huh? Is that where you live too? I plan on visiting Florida this Spring; if you live there I'd like to see your machine .

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 19 February 2001 18:54

TT_0:
One thing all fiction writers in the SF genre run into is making the scenario seem plausible without stepping on known scientific fact, or even tripping oneself up with one's own words.

Larry Niven recieved criticism from the scientific community for his original Ringworld in that the ring would eventually become unstable. He corrected this in the sequel Ringworld Engineers with the addition of Bussard Ramjets.

In your statement -- "The President in 2009 was interested only in keeping his/her power base." ---

You forgot (while trying to remain politically correct no doubt), that if you were ever in 2009, or had "past" knowledge of it, you would KNOW whether the President was a him or a her.

But,... for the most part I still consider your overall long running scenario well crafted and just generally evasive enough to keep you from being backed into a corner.

Good luck and keep it up.

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 19 February 2001 19:01

P.S. TT_0:

As far as the President in 2005, remember you're talking 4 years from now. It'll either be a re-elected G.W. Bush or someone we already know.

(I take it it must be the latter since G.W. would have a heck of a time trying to fill Abe Lincoln's shoes.)

But anyway, when that time comes, (soon now), and passes, will you stick to the same depictions of the period in your scenario, or will you revise it?

After all Orwell's 1984 still works as a literary piece even if it didn't come about the way he predicted.

Thanks.

IP: Logged

borgus

unregistered posted 19 February 2001 23:03

Time Travel_0 thanks for your reply. Can you please clarify some of these points?
((Clothing is much more functional. I'm not sure what group you're talking about.))

Describe what you mean by functional. After all, what are the functions assigned to clothes rather than protection from environment. Do you wear light colors, dark colors, loose, tight fitting? What materials are used for the clothing?

((When you can see it through the high level smoke and haze, not that I'm aware of.))

How does this smoke and haze allow for solar cells to be efficient?

((I'm not so sure I write perfect English. I do read a great deal more than I see most people doing here.))

Where did you learn to write and how old were you? How long did it take you? Did you learn before, during, or after the fighting. What do you attribute to your learning to type fast?

((Gosub / Return. In one form or another, isn't that a pretty standard function in most computer languages?))

No.

((I don't know if I will be chosen for a another mission. If I do, most likely, I will fly as an advisor or historical consultant.))

Did you have a historical consultant or advisor to help you?

((Yes, we have flashlights and we use similar batteries for most things. We do recharge a great deal.))

What do you call these batteries?

((A Rubix cube as in the toy, right?))

Rubix cube a toy. Where'd you get that idea?

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 20 February 2001 12:01

((Time Travel_0 thanks for your reply. Can you please clarify some of these points?))
It's what I live for although I can't help but feel you're not exactly asking these questions for their own sake.

((Describe what you mean by functional. After all, what are the functions assigned to clothes rather than protection from environment. Do you wear light colors, dark colors, loose, tight fitting? What materials are used for the clothing?))

Seems a bit obscure to have a discssion about. Do we wear radiation suits? No. Do we use pockets a lot, Yes.

((How does this smoke and haze allow for solar cells to be efficient?))

Do you have any idea how effiient solar cells are today? Sometimes it's sunny and sometimes it rains. Sometimes large dust clouds high in the atmosphere pass overhead. I don't understasnd the point you want to make. The sun looks the same.

((Where did you learn to write and how old were you? How long did it take you? Did you learn before, during, or after the fighting. What do you attrubute to your learning to type fast?))

I was home schooled and I spend a lot of time typing.

(((((Gosub / Return. In one form or another, isn't that a pretty standard function in most computer languages?)) No.))

I beg to differ on this point. I may not be a computer programmer but I do know that going away to preform one function and returning to the original function is basic to all software. Perhaps it may be called something else in various languages.

((Did you have a historical consultant or advisor to help you?))

No, fairly easy job.

((What do you call these batteries?))

Good and bad...same as you.

((A Rubix cube as in the toy, right?)) Rubix cube a toy. Where'd you get that idea?))

I've seen references to them in various science papers. If I'm mistaken, what are you referring to?

IP: Logged

borgus
unregistered posted 20 February 2001 12:42

Gosub is considered a "swear word" in the programming world. But it's used by the amateurs. It is bad practice to use something like that in Java, C, C++, you never want to break out of a loop like that. However it can be used. It is there for emergencies, when you are stuck and nowhere out. Have you ever heard of Fortran? It's used a lot in the older versions if you grew up in the 70's or earlier.

I see that you are upset by my questions. If you don't want to talk about your clothes that's ok.

Take care.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist
Member posted 20 February 2001 01:06

Touchy touchy John Titor from Florida.
Did I mention I will be in Florida this Spring?

You seem almost offended in answering our questions. We're curious... Isn't that your reason for coming to this board too? So why not allow us to be curious about you, and quiz you of what you know.

No harm in that, is there ?

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 20 February 2001 03:21

Gosub is not so much a swear word at all since it implies the return linkage of the subroutine (the "sub" part) you are "going" to.
It's "GoTo" that's become passe and no longer used.

The equivalent "verb" or "control" statement to Gosub in COBOL is "Perform".

xBased languages use "Do" in calling a closed subroutine.

In Java and C++ which are Objected Oriented Development platforms, the term or it's equivalent is moot since the very technique itself is superseded by invoking instances of Classes.

But at the pure machine code level after it's been compiled, the action is controlled by the the same concept.

Object orientation is a conceptual paradigm, at the source code level, just as "Top Down Modular" was when "Gosub" was a common practice.

GoTo was merely the first control statement that was used for branching. As computers themselves became more sophisticated and powerful, automatic return linkage was built into the source code languages that forced the compiler to automatically return to the next statement after Gosub when the subroutine had completed firing.

Thus eliminating the "spaghetti" logic of "goto" code.

But all the dicipline of Object Oriented Programming (OOP) still doesn't keep some people from writing really crummy code.

IP: Logged

borgus
unregistered posted 20 February 2001 04:49

TimeTravel_0

Thanks again for your responses. The reason I'm asking details such as clothing and sunlight is because my film company is in the process of making a movie of your story and we just wanted to get some visual ideas.

But seeing that you are shy about the subject, we'll just have to be creative.

If you want to supply some more details about your daily life in 2036, let me know.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 20 February 2001 08:21

Good luck with your film.
I will be happy to let you know.
Until then...

IP: Logged

DethWind

unregistered posted 20 February 2001 22:56

time traveling guy...

I just wanted to ask if you know anyone in the future who is important who has the initials J.W....and if so perhaps you could name them? or him?...

heh, i made a riddle once...what clock is a clock that tells time only twice a day?

thought you were gone...guess i was in the wrong place...

time will tell, sooner or later, time will tell

IP: Logged

DethWind

unregistered posted 20 February 2001 22:58

just like, famous people, off the top of your head...like war heroes, war tyrants, famous researchers, anything of the like....if nobody with initials JW...then anyone? just name some?

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 21 February 2001 01:10

TT_0,

Besides doing the tape, will you be having spectators?

If you do, I'd like to see your machine. I will be in Florida visiting a loved one this Spring.

Where in Florida do you live?

Let me know.

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 21 February 2001 14:33

Deviper and Wanderingsoul,

Thankyou for the high marks but I have no formal education in quantum physics. To tell you the truth I have not even read a full book on any technical subject. I often go through electronics books and physics books of various topics and skim them for knowlege that I have not gained. I am truely as much an ametuer as anyone else here.

I have read a little on the subject of quantum physics but there is a lot that I do not know.

This is why I come here humbly to share my hypothesesis with others on this forum. I also consult formally educated physisists.

One reason I like confering on this forum is the fact that it is filled with all manners of peoples of different backgrounds and various points of views. Most of us are open minded in some respects and others are closed in some reaspects. All of this is valuable I believe if these traits are put together properly.

I have noted that Einstein came up with his theories in 1901 and 1905 this is before he went to college, Am I correct on this?

One other point to make is that many of the cool gadgets and theories that were created were done by those who were not formally educated which might have been one reason they were open minded.

Einstein was both a closed and open minded person it seems. And many open minds had to single handedly accomplished a great deal for technology during thier lives over a hundred years ago do to the fact that comunications between long distances was not as practical then as it is today.

Here on this forum I see there are hundreds of posts from many people with open minds able to comunicate with the whole world with nothing more then a key board, a telephone jack, and a computer. The point being that we can more then likely accomplish leaps and bounds more then the open minds a hundred years ago because communication for us is much more palitable; not because we are any smarter then they were back then.

What does everyone think?

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Shadow
unregistered posted 21 February 2001 21:47

Sir rgrunt,
I think Einstein went to school first.

I think an open mind is an empty mind.

I think we should master fith grade science before positing a grand unified field theory.

I think an ounce of fact is worth ton of speculation.

I think it is hard to know everything without knowing anything first.

I think you get the point.

And can't you think up a better handle than "rgrunt"?

IP: Logged

WanderingSoul

Member posted 21 February 2001 21:57

rgrunt

One of the bane's of formal education is cementing ways of thinking...although initially it can expand...

I find less tolerance for thinking out of the box in *highly educated* people rather than what I fondly call independent thinkers *little smile* If I were stuck on an island and had to choose *educated* vs. *independent* No brainer to be sure :-)

Keep thinking out of the box rgrunt !! *applauding loudly*

Be safe and dream sweetly.

WS

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 21 February 2001 22:28

Shadow,

rgrunt is not posting a GUT, it is a theory. It is as vaid as any other. If you can disprove it, do so.

If rgrunt wants that to be his name, then that is his choice. He does sign his name if you care to look.

A Lot can gained with an once of fact and it can be helpful to speculate. That is where ideas come from.

Those ideas are the basis for inventions that make all we have now possible.

[This message has been edited by djayr42 (edited 21 February 2001).]

IP: Logged

DethWind

unregistered posted 22 February 2001 22:01

TT_0 or time traveler....

please respond to my previously posted post?

thanks

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 22 February 2001 22:13

Get in line. He took 5 days to answer mine last time .

IP: Logged

Doc Brown

Junior Member posted 23 February 2001 21:37

I think that the act of time-traveling removes one from the stream of events.

Let's say I go back to 1980. This is the original timeline:

---1980-----2000

When I go back, a new timeline is created...

---1980-----2000

\

\

\

\-----2000

...and the old one disappears. I still exist because the instant I appear in the past, I am in the new timeline.

---1980

\

\

\

\-----2000

I don't believe the theory that time-travelers fulfill their destined role in the past. If an old man tells me how to build a time machine, and I do, and when I get old, I tell my younger self how to build a time machine, where does the time machine come from?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 23 February 2001 21:56

Hey Doc Brown!

took a look at your web site it is very interesting.wow, I see you have done a lot of research.

your link didnt work at first in your profile. then I realized you just typed it wrong. should be .com/x_squared

(just to let you know..so others can view your site..)

I like the music!!

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 23 February 2001).]

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 23 February 2001 22:45

Doc brown, from your web site:

"If a time-traveler somehow got stranded in the past, he might have descendants. If this were the case with a lot of time-travelers, that would make our species appear older than it is."

I've often thought of this as a matter of fact.

Here is one for you....

What if a lonely time traveler got stuck way in the past something went wrong with his machine and it blew up after he managed to get out. lonely and depressed he knew he was never going to get back home.

Millions of years in the past he looked out over his horizon the closest thing he had to relate to were the apes nearby...

And I think you were suggesting there may be a time line where the dinosaurs never got destroyed but evolved and developed into a higher species. and then developed time travel.and then visited different world lines.??

you could probably take that a step further and try to imagine what a species would look like if you combined the DNA from different worldlines.

it seems like the further a species evolves they will eventually get into DNA manipulation and altering. creating new species to their own liking and after their own images.

I enjoyed reading all the info you have on your sight. especially the archaeological pictures. I didnt get to read all of it I will have to go back to it later.

pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 23 February 2001).]

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 25 February 2001 20:58

I will get back with everyone.

IP: Logged

Doc Brown

Junior Member posted 28 February 2001 20:11

I didn't say there was an alternate timeline in which the dinosaurs were intelligent. I said something even weirder-- that they were intelligent in this timeline (it's possible, because the velociraptor was as smart as a chimpanzee, and for us, it was only 5 million years from there).

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 28 February 2001 23:57

Doc Brown:

While it does indeed seem that the velociraptor was quite intelligent, (as evidenced by it's proportionately sized brain cavity found in the fossil remains,) I would want to consult a serious paleo-biologist before I would speculate elevating to the level of the chimpanzee. Do you possibly have a reputable source that can speculate this with impunity? I'd appreciate your sharing it.

As to the 5 million years "from there" in the "present timeline", I'd have to say you are off by about 55 or 60 million years.

The Chimpanzee is not radically older in evolutionary time than is man. The Great Apes are not our ancestors, just a separate branch of evolution that came from the same source as we did about 3-5 million years ago.

(Or maybe I misunderstood you and that IS what you meant?)

But the Velociraptors still went extinct 60-65 million years ago. In "this" (ahem) Timeline.

IP: Logged

acousma

Junior Member posted 01 March 2001 14:09

i am wondering with the recent discovery of making a laser go many times faster than the speed of light and with the recent experiment with slowing light to a stop if they can actually prove some of these paradoxes now. not so as to sending someone back in time, but in a lab setting.

IP: Logged

Darby

Junior Member posted 08 March 2001 03:59

TimeTravel_0,

Hello - and hello to Pamela and Javier.

We do get around. As John Titor on another site, I ask you if your name was an anagram for "I John Trot", aka Clown, Clodpole, Clodpate. I accidentally arrived at this site tonight and found Trott conversing with you on the thread.

Have I nailed the anagram or is this a very strange coincidence?

- for those unfamiliar with my dialogue with John Titor/TimeTravel_0 please note that I find John to be humorous as well as interesting. I enjoy the threads on which he posts.

IP: Logged

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Close Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Topics Limited to 11 Pages? (Page 4)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 [next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Topics Limited to 11 Pages?

Trott

Member posted 08 March 2001 10:13

Darby,

I am a physics doctoral student at an american university. I do not have time to sit around and spin some elaborate web of a story of being a time traveller on multiple websites. I am much more interested in factual and experimental information than fictional story telling. I do occasional come to this site to see if anyone has the slightest glimmer as to what they are talking about. So far, I have not seen any indication of that. And for that reason, I have neglected to post the information I have concerning the subject.

As far as John Titor or TT_0 is concerned, I believe that I shined a light on a hole in his story awhile back. The fact that he added that he could not complete a 0 divergent trip meant that he could not return to his timeline and hence a mission into the past to help his people was logically flawed. In fact, since I posted that, his postings have been infrequent and sparse.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 08 March 2001 11:23

((The fact that he added that he could not complete a 0 divergent trip meant that he could not return to his timeline and hence a mission into the past to help his people was logically flawed. In fact, since I posted that, his postings have been infrequent and sparse.))

I thought we went over that to your satisfaction? Doesn't everyone know after looking at a Perrose for a Kerr singularity that you have to travel faster than light to get to the "exact" same worldline?

I can see your not amused that we would be confused as the same person. I did find it flattering. I think you find some of the physics questions we're dealing with on other sites quite interesting...perhaps even convincing.

IP: Logged

RICHAR18

unregistered posted 08 March 2001 14:30

Time traveler_0: Hi. I do believe in time travel, that man will eventually interact with reality in ways that we are only beginning to imagine. It is still extremely hard for me to set aside the possibility that you are just toying with people here on the forum, and as I hear from some, in other forums as well. Your story is extremely interesting, however, and I don't want to miss an opportunity of a lifetime to gather insight from someone who has supposedly "been there done that", so I will set aside my human urge to judge you, and see where this goes. So - I'd like to ask you a few questions.

1) I saw on another page of this board that you are from 2036, and still use batteries and solar cells. why do you still use batteries, when such great men (thats my faith talking) such as Tom Bearden and the former Floyd Sweet and Bruce Depalma, have possibly made great strides in the areas of free energy. What happened to limit the success, and widespread distribution and developement of these discoveries?

2) have your scientists found a link between consciousness and reality?

3) Is light speed as you understand it simply the capacitive reactance of our spacetime/quantum vacuum?

4) I'm gonna throw my idea of how a time machine works out there, and it would be cool if you could key me in on how far I am from your truth:

A time machine in my book, is slightly like a teleportation device. It zeroes in on a specified pattern of quantum states (like the quantum states of the particles involved during a significant event) and then reconstructs the coinciding reality. This is because reality is possibly a hologram, related to the wave interactions at the virtual (fundamental) particle/wave level, and if you look at a small portion of a holographic plate the entire image will be on that section as well as the whole plate, just with a lower intensity. The quantum patterns duplicated by the time machine will be interpolated to form a complete reality. Now, the time machine records and impresses your quantum imprint on the resulting reality, causing virtual particle/wave interactions to form your resulting physical body. The virtual state of a particle, is possibly just the period in its life where it does not interact with the surrounding environment. A virtual particle does not exist in time, which is the reason it can become virtual in the first place. A virtual entity lends itself to the creation of time however, in that its interactions with other particles gives rise to the property of time. When one virtual particle exchanges its information with another virtual particle, a real particle results (one that is measurable - actually the mere process of measurement creates a real particle because of the unescapable exchange of info between what is assumed to exist (the virtual particle that will soon become half of the observed entity), and the virtual particles that confirm that assumption by interacting with the inferred particle). The concept that a fundamental particle has a virtual state is where the possibility of time travel comes into play. Actually, what is actually happening is inter-reality travel. There are an infinite amount of virtual particles (because they can not be observed) so they constitute the realm of possibility. Any and every potential transfer of energy takes place out of the virtual particle flux, because of its zero/infinite existence in time. Therefore, when one decision/observation is made, a whole new universe is started. This happens in jumps, due to the interactions of virtual particles. At any given instant, however, consciousness

(the mediator/observer of particle interaction) can only see/exist in its own universe, but the potential for its existence in others as a parallel entity is infinite. I'm starting to run out of time, so I'll quickly sum it up. We now have the mechanism for time travel and teleportation - they are extremely similar, but instead of materializing something in its own time, another time is chosen. each time a travel is made, you make a reality jump to a different "world line". You would not be able to return to your exact world line, but to one so close, it could still be considered your world line. You would have left in a time machine in that world line (your parallel you), and Upon your expected arrival, the first "you" that left would be replaced by "you", on time, as expected.

IP: Logged

rgrunt@yahoo.com
unregistered posted 08 March 2001 15:05

Dear Trott,

What do think about the following theory that I posted to explain how gravity might work? Having a doctorate degree would you do me a favor and quickly read over this theory and tell me if it sounds like the theory might have any grounds(that is if the theory might have some accuracy to it).

If you find flaws in the theory would you mind jotting them down real quick (if it won't be too inconvenient for you, I know you are probably busy and I appreciate you taking the time to look in on this forum from time to time.)

I look forward to learning from your next reply. I might add..will you do me a favor and even if you think the theory might be correct will you prove it wrong for me. If you prove it wrong I will be able to have a much deeper grasp on the concepts that I am theorizing on because you will be hitting on point's that I have neglected or overlooked which will give me more knowlege to correct my theory(actually everone's theory who works on it).

I really appreciate it.

If you want to send lot's of technical information please fill free to do so. I ask that you write in what ever manner you are used to and not to worry about trying to explain it in terms that I would not understand.

If I get confused I will look up words, phrases, or concepts that you jott down that I do not understand and will learn about them. This will help me develop a stronger vocabulary with which to communicate more intelligably to the scientific community. Thankyou for your time.

Regards,

Edwin G. Schasteen U.S.M.C. Active

here is the post:

I am aware of the limitations of our measuring technology and it is a good question as to whether or not it is the measuring equipment that produces the affect(paradox) or if the particle is truly in the form of a wave and sometimes in the form of a particle.

However to me, it seems that the energy density verses space-time density is merely a simple concept of one kind of energy occupying energy in the form of a container(space). A simple visual aid to help assist in visualizing the concept that I am suggesting is to take a single piece of string and tie the ends together so that you have a loop. Now take the loop of string and make a one-half twist so that you have a figure eight.

Now hold the figure eight out in front of you so that the figure eight looks like the infinity symbol. Let the loop that is pointing to your right represent energy and its density, let the loop that is pointing to the your left represent the density and quantity of space-time that is occupied by the energy.

Now if you increase the radius of the right loop-which symbolizes the energy the left loop will decrease in size and density. If you make the right loop twice its original size the left loop representing space will shrink to half its size.

This is the concept that I am suggesting is occurring with electrons and all energy and mass. Energy occupies space such that when you increase the density of energy the particle absorbs energy from the space that it occupies thus decreasing the density and space that the particle of energy occupies through displacement.

This results in a decrease in the radius of the particle as a result that the particle has more quantity gained from the vacuum of space and has a smaller radius and thus a greater density.

I would say that the the majority and most likely all the extra density gained by and electron of high energy interaction would be absorbed solely from the space-time that the energy occupies resulting in a low space-time pressure inertial hole in the within the quantum matter making up the electrons energy.

I would say that there would be a low pressure region of space-time around the electron itself caused by the space-time of high density surrounding the electron gravitating towards the inertial hole created by the displacement of space-time quantity into electron density.

So I believe that the electron does not absorb energy from the surrounding space-time quantity to create the gravitational field surrounding the electron but absorbs the space-

time quantity from into energy to add density to the electron during high energy interactions only from the space-time that the electron occupies and that the gravitational field surrounding the electron is caused by the space around the electron to gravitate towards the intertial hole or low space-time pressure within the area that the electron is localized.

This, in my oppinion, is a static system and that the space-time does not flow into the electron from outside the electron but creates a static flow into the electron.

An easy visual aid to observe this kind of phenomenon is to observe the spinning candy cain pole at your local barber shop. Notice that the red and white spirals(helixes) do not actually move up to the top of the cylindrical cain but the rotation of the cylinder makes it appear as though the red and white spirals move toward the top of the candy cain pole.

The helix of the spinning candy pole can represent the space-time in the form of helixical waves spiraling towards the electron mass of low space-time density as a result of the centripital(or centrifical) forces(I am always getting these two confused) at work within the electron.

It is sort of like the whirl wind created in a blender. The water does not flow through the bottom of the blender but all the blobs of chocolate mix is carried by the water toward the blades of the blender. The low pressure created by the spinning blades combined with the torque of the blades creates the static whirl wind affect which is quite similar to the dynamic whirl wind affect created by the water draining in your toilet when you flush it.

The space time quantity is laiden with all kinds of waves fields of space-time quantity but when the low pressure space-time is created by the presence of dense energy the space-time waves of high pressure gravitate towards the space-time of low pressure creating the spin affect of the space-time waves and fields. This process creates a dynamical flow of energy in the form of energy or mass(not space) toward the region of low space-time density in the same way that the nestles quik is carried on the milk that is spinning in the blender toward the low pressure milk at the surface of the blender blades.

What I mean by "the energy and mass are dynamic and space is not" is merely that the chocalate coco moves toward the blades of the blender but the milk itself as a whole does moves very little towards the blades of the blender if at all.

What does everyone think? Does any of this make sense?

Inquisitively,

Edwin G. Schasteen

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 08 March 2001 15:20

I was not offended, I did find it amusing. That is why I responded to it. Your argument as to my point was not sufficient. You suggested that an alternate you would most likely return to your world line. It just does not seem logical for one to go back in time on a mission for their world line only to return to an alternate worldline where the mission goal may or may not have bearing if completed.

By the way, the Kerr ring singularity is a spacetime possessing CLOSED timelike curves. You would clearly need a naked singularity so that the chronology violating region would not be hidden behind the event horizon. This places limits on the size of the ring of the singularity, i.e. $\text{radius} > \text{Mass}$, using geometrized units.

Perhaps, I have mistaken your backgrounds. If anyone can provide a proof to this, I will share what I know on the subject:

If a spacetime contains a causality-violating time machine, but does not contain a chronology-violating time machine, then the only closed causal curves in the spacetime are closed null geodesics.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 08 March 2001 17:37

((Your argument as to my point was not sufficient. You suggested that an alternate you would most likely return to your world line. It just does not seem logical for one to go back in time on a mission for their world line only to return to an alternate worldline where the mission goal may or may not have bearing if completed.

By the way, the Kerr ring singularity is a spacetime possessing CLOSED timelike curves. You would clearly need a naked singularity so that the chronology violating region would not be hidden behind the event horizon.))

As you are aware, approaching a rotating singularity can be done quite easily without experiencing the negative side effects of a massive gravitational field and it's very possible to "pass" through the center of the ring. Besides, if you did need a naked singularity, all you would have to do is increase the rotation or electric charge so the inner event horizon equals the diameter of the outer event horizon.

I realize my posts here have become tiresome and my "story" is old so If I don't post it's not because I don't enjoy the physics debate. If you're interested, I will be posting more pages from the manual and a cut-a-way drawing of the distortion unit.

IP: Logged

richar18

unregistered posted 08 March 2001 20:13

Mr. Grunt, it seems to make sense to me. maybe an electron is a standing low-pressure wave of spacetime. What if spacetime itself could be modeled as a random flux of

particles? when these particles are ordered, energy appears out of the "vacuum". Now, what if an electron were just a standing wave of negative energy density, set into motion by a disturbance in the randomness of the vacuum? A statistical change in the amount of order at a central location sets a ripple in spacetime into motion. This ripple is one half of an electromagnetic wave. This wave spreads out as an energy density wave, and can be seen as longitudinal in nature. It then hits spots of more and less dense spacetime (level of particle order) and parts of it get reflected back in on itself, as a converging energy-density front, towards its origin. We now have a time reversed wave, bringing energy back instead of giving it off. This happens at an incredible rate, and is possibly what sustains the reaction. Now we have a standing wave, and at $1/2$ wavelength away from the origin, a low pressure buildup might possibly occur. We have a particle with a one wavelength diameter, with an electric field surrounding it. The electric field is the phase shift of the two interacting wave fronts, moving outward from the origin.

IP: Logged

Darby

Junior Member posted 09 March 2001 01:15

Trott,

Hello. It seems that your name and the anagram for John Titor is simply a coincidence.

I've posed this scenario to John as a strong argument against time travel. I received a sophisticated and humorous response from him, which I appreciated. But he didn't attempt to explain how it could happen or what prevents it from happening...

We tend to talk about time travel in terms of going forward or back in time a number of years, decades or centuries. But what of a small trip? A trip of 10 seconds with only a small (several feet) change in physical location.

A proposito:

The early time travel researcher plans a 10 second trip into the past where he will be physically displaced several feet from his original location. He has the experiment set to go. 10 seconds before he throws the switch his duplicate appears in the lab several feet from him.

Intrigued by his apparent success-to-be he enters into a discussion with the duplicate. He learns the following: the duplicate remembers entering his machine and throwing the switch - he also remembers seeing a duplicate of himself appear in the lab 10 seconds before throwing the switch.

The "original" researcher declares success and never does enter his machine and throw the switch. He concludes that by simply building a viable machine and thinking about a time trip he has caused the duplicate to appear.

If time travel is possible then this absurd failure of causality and chronology is also possible.

The absurdity is worse if you consider that it would appear that there is an endless chain of duplicates entering machines and seeing another endless chain of duplicates arriving at the lab 10 seconds prior to the throwing of the switch. What is the result of the endless chain of duplicates arriving in the lab simultaneously? If they arrive at, and simultaneously occupy, the same 4-dimensional coordinate they violate exclusion. I'm aware of but one construct that can have that property (of violating exclusion)...and it would be naked unless the duplicates were drunk and spinning.

Using John's estimate of 2.5% divergence on a 60 year trip, I don't see divergence as being a factor in a 10 second trip. These duplicates wouldn't be appearing on alternate timelines.

IP: Logged

DethWind

unregistered posted 10 March 2001 12:08

TT0 PLEASE answer my posted question? Please? You keep ignoring it, why? Don't ask me "what question" it's the one in the posting "Where is Time Traveler" or something like that.

IP: Logged

rgrunt

unregistered posted 10 March 2001 15:00

Dear Richer18,

I do not want to be hasty in making any opinions but what you stated from my stand point sounds like a perfectly legitimate possibility to me. As I know it the substance of 'quantum stuff' has been held to actually be composed of the substance chance or 'waves of chance' to be more precise by many quantum physists for some fifty odd years now.?

I myself subscribe to this model. I believe that the dynamics you stated in your last transmission are plausible and possibly correct. I must add that I am not a physicist and unfortunately am not educated fully to the current model. Some of my knowledge dates back one hundred years or so. I can say this because it was not too long ago that I learned that it has been known for many years that light slows down through a medium such as glass and that this property is responsible for convexing (is this the correct word) of the light.

I do not let this stop me from thinking and I do not let this stop me from coming up with theories. I believe that in the future I will have a wealth of knowledge that I have gathered and ascertained about various processes and functions and this gained knowledge will enable me to affectively adapt my theory to fit the current more accurate current model (As long as the current model is not completely and obviously flawed in some very obvious manner-that is- I do not believe in accepting flawed interpretations that are come too as a result of misunderstanding the process or more accurately misunderstanding the intent and content of the process.)

I believe that Trott might be able to answer your theory and mine more accurately based on his education. How about it Trott? Will you evaluate our theories?

Thanks for the replies?

Regards,

Edwin G. Schasteen

P.S. (I am not meaning to imply that you are not educated richer18 just that I am not) So please forgive me if that was offensive. Surely, I meant no offence by the form of my paragraph.

IP: Logged

VERTIGO

unregistered posted 10 March 2001 17:20

ok first of all i do NOT wish to take sides
on believers or non-belivers, nor do i want any enemys because of my actions.
i will be the "common sense" adviser when i find something i dont think is possible in
your little....hmmm how shuld i put it.....SQUABBLES tha u online peeps have.
i have seen the pics of TT_O time traveling machine and... well to say the least it looks
primitive. I mean why doesnt it look like the inside of a jet or something?
secondly, not to demoralize u because i am NOT choosing sides, but if u guys(and by
that im tlaking about TTA and TT_O)
are really time travlers, isnt your most important task warning of plage and/or other life
thretning situations and not how many pages u can post on your lovely forums?
just thought id ask.(hehe imagion the troble im in now)

VERTIGO

"if its not dead,its not within one hundred feet of me"

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 10 March 2001 22:35

Dear Fellow Time Travelers:

In about 30 days, I will be leaving this worldline to return home to 2036. I first want to say thank you for the wonderful conversation and insight into your society. I have learned a great deal and my opinion on quite a few things has changed dramatically.

I will finish the questions that have been posted on this site up to this date.

Unfortunately, I must now spend my spare time preparing to leave and I will not be on the computer very much. I do however want to repeat my offer and add a slight twist.

After going over my flight plan home, I have discovered my VGL holdover period is a bit longer than I expected. I will be spending at least three weeks in April of 1998 as I make my way back to 1975. Therefore, I not only offer you the chance to leave a message to yourself in 2036 but I offer you the chance to leave yourself a message in

1998. I will take any compiled messages and email addressees you provide and send them on the net when I get to 1998.

Granted, this will not affect you on your worldline now but you make take some comfort that another "you" on another worldline has the advantage of knowing something you wish you knew three years ago. Based on the earlier questions I've seen, I've decided a day-to-day record of the Dow a day in advance should convince you that the messages are real in 1998.

In addition, I am hopeful a series of photocopies and photographs will be available for you that may give you more insight into the technology of the distortion unit. I will let you know the address of the site when it is available. I also plan to have my parents videotape my departure. If they succeed, it will also be posted after I leave.

I look forward to these last few weeks with my family and I will check in periodically to check this site.

Live in Peace 2001,

IP: Logged

djayr42

Member posted 11 March 2001 07:13

Thank you for the offer TT_0 but, I will pass. If I ever have the chance I will always go forward and come back to my starting point and go no further back. I prefer things as they were. (Even though in some timeline this is not the case.) It has been really nice hearing your story. Remember that drink when you get home.

Djay

[This message has been edited by djayr42 (edited 11 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 11 March 2001 09:48

John has asked me if I wouldn't mind collecting the emails for him again and forwarding them to him.

anybody who wants to can write me using my email address found in my profile and I will forward your letters or "messages to 1998" , "messages to 2036" to John.

All messages I receive I will keep confidential and they will be forwarded right to John.

sincerely,

Pamela

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 12 March 2001 04:01

Darby:
Yes!

Take it one step further.

He travels back 1/10th second in time to his neighbor's bedroom at a most delicate moment, which is virtually identical to going there NOW. The first time this happens, Time Travel will be outlawed forever.

I go back to last night when the Met Museum is closed and steal a valuable painting.

I go back to last week and stop the school shooting by taking the gun from the house the kid used.

This can get even more absurd as we go here.

IP: Logged

RICHAR18
unregistered posted 12 March 2001 13:29

Daviper and Darby: There is no paradox in what you propose, if you were to accept the multiple universe theory. Once you flip the switch and actually go back to your previous location, you will in fact see what appears to be yourself. It's not, however, you. This being's existence is in a universe that is very close to yours, but is not solely regulated to follow the same timeline as yours. As soon as you appear in the parallel reality you break it away from your own, in that you decouple the two parallel timelines. after your encounter, your twin may or may not enter his time machine, and the path of that reality is not altered - it only continues to branch. There is no change in any future, because that future has not happened yet, in all cases.

IP: Logged

RICHAR18
unregistered posted 12 March 2001 15:53

One more thing - divergence WOULD be a factor, no matter how short the trip. It is not possible to have a non divergent trip, as far as time travel is concerned. as soon as you leave your time-line, you can only get back to one that is similar to it. it may be similar by 99.99995%, but it is still a different timeline. it is free to break away from its once-parallel (to your original universe) line of motion, so altering it is not possible. You can only cause it to branch, and that is what it is doing anyway. As far as violating the exclusion principal, that is not of concern. you must have hardware that can map out the entry position so as to prevent your being trapped in a solid structure, but the immense field that would be produced as a product of the time travel device would clear a path for you, by annihilating the particles in its vicinity, then gradually diminishing to reveal its contents.

IP: Logged

DethWind
unregistered posted 12 March 2001 21:00

TTO can I go with you? Your time sounds awesome...I dislike now, especially with how we(those who are in school) are being controlled by the government...I really dislike being forced to 'learn' to help our nation keep up with other nations. Whatever happened to our liberties? our freedom? I asked my teacher at school that question and he said that in school we have no liberties...almost like prisoners.
Sigh...

IP: Logged

Darby
Junior Member posted 13 March 2001 03:36

Trott,
I get the drift of the CTC's and closed null geodesics but that may be just a bit too deep for this particular thread. If I were you and wanted to continue to cast doubt on John's story I'd continue with using John's own data as your weapon.

For instance, he has stated that his society is not involved in space travel. He's also stated that the temperature in and around his device while in use is approximately 100 degrees (approx 375 kelvin).

If the Hawking Radiation of a black hole stated in Kelvins is:

$6 \times 10^{-8}/M$ where M is Solar Masses and John has given a temperature of 100 degrees (approx 400 kelvin), then the mass of his singulartities should be about:

3×10^{20} kg. (1/6,600,000,000 Solar Masses)given that the sun contains approx. 330,000 Earth masses and the mass of the Earth is 5.98×10^{24} kg

Or - he's taken a slice of the Earth about 1.2 miles wide at the equator from pole to pole down to the center of the Earth and compressed it into a singularity. And his machine has two of them, GE has a larger unit (C206) and there are multiple machines of each model (C204 & C206).

If his society doesn't space travel - then they are gobbling up the Earth to make their singularities.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0
unregistered posted 13 March 2001 09:11

((For instance, he has stated that his society is not involved in space travel. He's also stated that the temperature in and around his device while in use is approximately 100 degrees (approx 375 kelvin).))

I'm not sure I understand the connection between no space travel and the temperature around the device.

((If the Hawking Radiation of a black hole stated in Kelvins is...))

The singularities are not unstable; therefore, uncontrolled evaporation is not possible. In addition, there is no extemporaneous matter near the singularity that would cause it to give off radiation or heat.

((Or - he's taken a slice of the Earth about 1.2 miles wide at the equator from pole to pole down to the center of the Earth and compressed it into a singularity. And his machine has two of them, GE has a larger unit (C206) and there are multiple machines of each model (C204 & C206).))

A singularity about the size of an electron would only require the mass of a large mountain. The singularities inside the C204 are much small than that. And no, I didn't make them.

((If his society doesn't space travel - then they are gobbling up the Earth to make their singularities.))

You know... $E = MC^2$ can be written to solve for mass too.

IP: Logged

Trott

Member posted 13 March 2001 13:59

TT_0,

I am not sure what you mean by unstable or stable when it comes to a singularity. I asked before if this was suppose to be a naked singularity or one which in fact has an event horizon? If it does have an event horizon, then Hawking radiation is a natural phenomenon which you can not prevent, it is the result of virtual particle pairs form at the event horizon something which can not be prevented. Darby is correct to point out that the evaporation rate is inversely related to the surface area of the event horizon, so if you have such a small black hole it should not last long at all before it evaporates. The mass an object has presumably comes from the coupling of its particles to the Higgs field. If you were some how able to increase the coupling of a particle then you in theory you could make it more massive. Or if you were able to decrease the coupling make it less massive. Although, currently there is no concrete i.e definitive evidence of the Higgs boson's existence. There is some indication at LEP at CERN but it is disputed. We should definitely know within our lifetimes though, since the LHC will come online in 2006 with more than enough energy to find the Higgs boson if it exists.

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 13 March 2001 20:34

I thought you all might enjoy this...

*(TT_0, do you recall any mention of this?)

"Time Travel"

How Science Can Finally Make It Happen.

Discover Magazine

April 1992

(Note: Cost of this Magazine during publication, was on sale at local news stands for: \$2.95)

Below is a link to where I uploaded the scanned images from the magazine containing this article. (More To Come Latter.)

<http://www.sciforums.com/showthread.php?s=2c0c8d9a5d60e75c735c3aa24020166e&theadid=2705>

If you have any problems with the link, you can always goto <http://www.exosci.com> (science forums>science>frontier physics)

IP: Logged

DethWind

unregistered posted 13 March 2001 22:06

I'm really starting to doubt that you are from the future TT0. The fact that you won't answer my simple questions has drawn me to this conclusion.
Sigh...

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 13 March 2001 22:50

Dear Deathwind,

Most of your questions are already answered in "time travel paradoxes" and on Art Bells board. Its unfortunate that you came on board so late. John (TTO) is preparing to leave and he just wants to spend some time alone with his family. Out of respect for him I am posting this for you. I don't think he is really planning on answering any more questions, up to the point he made his announcement to leave.

He wont give "leaders" names for the obvious reasons,it could put their families in danger at this time.

If there is a letter you would like to forward to your self in 1998 I would be happy to forward this on to him.

sincerely,

pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 13 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

DethWind

unregistered posted 14 March 2001 17:33

Ya know, i think back in 1998 april, back right before StarCraft came out...i received a message in my email that said it was from the future, and it said to play starcraft. i

probably just shrugged it off cause starcraft hadn't come out yet...but i know that if i send a message to myself the message is going to say "Play starcraft and get very good at it".
hmm..

sigh...

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 17 March 2001 06:40

Richar18:

"Daviper and Darby: There is no paradox in what you propose, if you were to accept the multiple universe theory."

...but ...

You see,...

I don't!

For the same simple reason that I don't accept "Pyramid Power". Or The Tarot as a predictor of the future. Or even Astrology for that matter.

Why?

Simple.

Because there is not one shred of evidence that any of it is true to the degree that it can be demonstrated repeatedly, predicted accurately and then documented correctly as to just exactly how it is so.

You know. Like Time Dilation. Which has undergone ALL of those conditions and PROVEN to be a fact of physics and life and the universe.

And many other things I could easily exceed the 11 page limit on this board describing.

But Multiple Universes, Parallel Universes, or Multiverse Theory (as it has come to be called), is not a theory at all. It is a Hypothesis in SEARCH of a theory. An easy explanation for that which we do not understand. A catch-all answer for the unknown. But being an explanation (as a POSSIBILITY) for why things are the way they are does not constitute proof, fact or even a viable alternative if it can't be demonstrated reliably. And Multiverse "Theory" can't even be demonstrated at all. Let alone reliably.

We could say that every time an Airplane takes off it flies because all the people aboard "will" it to be so. It WOULD explain flight. Except for the fact that it's not so. And the flight of the airplane can be easily demonstrated by other means that ARE demonstrable, reliable, and predictable.

Or we COULD say that invisible rubber bands explain gravity. It WOULD explain it for sure. But it wouldn't make it so.

IP: Logged

TimeTravel_0

unregistered posted 17 March 2001 11:26

The pictures I promised:

http://content.communities.msn.com/isapi/fetch.dll?action=get_album&ID_Topic=2&ID_Community=MyTimeMachine

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 18 March 2001 07:17

Here is something really interesting.

I went back to look at the "time travel paradoxes" thread and I noticed the missing pages from page 11 have showed up at the end.

investigating the situation I went back and read some pages and noticed that there were some postings deleted. I noticed there were two posts that I made that had several postings between them are now gone. and my postings are right next to each other now. so in conclusion when someone deleted those postings (and several others)it made room for the missing pages to appear. someone must have deleted quite a few postings to provide for that much room to be put back on page 11.

sincerely,

pamela

IP: Logged

Draco2

unregistered posted 19 March 2001 08:44

Hey Pamela!! You are correct in your ascertainment that your posts have been moved and changed on P.11 of the Paradox thread!!

Why and who would have done that, I don't know, But I do know that's not the way the posts that made it on P.11 origionally. Quite the discombobulation, but it could have been alot worse, the whole thread could have been deleted.....

Well, gotta go, HAVE A GREAT DAY!!

PEACE FROM THE MATRIX OF LIFE!!!

~ Draco the Druid

p.s. GODSPEED TTO!!!

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 19 March 2001 20:08

TT_0...I noticed in the second photo (in the dark)
it appears, that you was holding in your left hand, a pen-laser & pointing it in the
direction to your window, seated on the right front side, of the vehicle.
Was that a "Cigar" you were holding in your Right-Hand?

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 19 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 20 March 2001 03:02

Time02112,
actually he said this was not him but his instructor blowing cigar smoke on the light so
it could be seen better. He said the machine is on low power and the light is being bent
by the forces in the machine.
His instructor was showing him this and he took a picture of it.
sincerely,
pamela
IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 20 March 2001 04:31

Pamela:
Got a handle on where we can get that picture and publish it here?

Thank you.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 20 March 2001 06:15

Im not sure what you mean Daviper. But here is the website.
There are some new pictures there if you want to see. He is also going to have someone
make a video of his departure.
sincerely,
pamela
http://content.communities.msn.com/isapi/fetch.dll?action=get_album&ID_Topic=2&ID_Community=MyTimeMachine

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 20 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

Huffzone
unregistered posted 25 March 2001 02:36

Pamela....Iv'e been lurking here for a couple of months, and for some reason I see you as being the infamous Time traveler 0....could I be right.....?

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 25 March 2001 03:42

Pamela posing a duo ID as TT_0???

I suppose given that all things are plausible in the uncertainty principle, that all things are possible, however the odds are highly "Unlikely" and I for one would not put any money on it.

BTW, Did you know that whales sleep with one eye open?

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 25 March 2001 04:25

Huffzone-

No, I am not timetraveler_o.

why would you think that though???

-pamela

IP: Logged

pamela

Member posted 25 March 2001 12:11

John has provided some final proof: I recieved this a couple days ago and sent it to a Rick donaldson on art bell to post on their site but their site went mysteriously down so I posted it at the link below . John (tto) has labeled it FINAL PROOF.....

http://content.communities.msn.com/isapi/fetch.dll?action=get_album&ID_Community=MagiForums&ID_Topic=3

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 25 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 25 March 2001 17:16

What does that Final Proof suppose to prove Pamela? That he's a real Time Traveler? Maybe it means that they need the updated information by 3-26-01. Anyone think of that? I get a bunch of bills with dates ahead; even my insurance is like that.

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 25 March 2001 17:20

Maybe huffzone saw the post where you said that maybe you were TT_0 and me . Other then that, don't worry about it. People thought I was TT_0 too. Insulting isn't it ?
[This message has been edited by Enforcer of Time (edited 25 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

Huffzone
unregistered posted 25 March 2001 22:40

Honestly I did not mean to offend Pamela or anyone. I have been lurking for awhile and enjoyed this thread the most, there were enough views out there to keep well balanced, interesting arguements.

Also, I know you were all here longer than I. I hesitated to even post what I did...but... As an 'outside observer', I got the impression that Pamela would be the best suspect. I figured it wasn't just because I hadn't seen the posts where anyone even thought it could be her. But following the way the posts went and the way she only seems to get some 'outside e-mail's from him, led me to believe she would be the best suspect...(that's saying that TTo is a dual personality of another poster.

Anyway, glad to have found this place!

H

IP: Logged

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5
All times are ET (US)
next newest topic | next oldest topic

Administrative Options: Close Topic | Archive/Move | Delete Topic

Hop to: Select a ForumList of Forums:Time TravelMontauk and the Philadelphia ExperimentThe ParanormalAliens and UFOs

Contact Us | Time Travel Institute

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: Ultimate Bulletin Board, Version 5.38a
© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.

UBBFriend: Email This Page to Someone! Time Travel Institute Discussion Forum
Time Travel
Topics Limited to 11 Pages? (Page 5)

[profile](#) | [register](#) | [preferences](#) | [faq](#) | [search](#)

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5 [next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Author Topic: Topics Limited to 11 Pages?

pamela

Member posted 26 March 2001 12:52

Im not offended at all. I like John very much.

But I am not him. I dont think he let very many people have his email for security reasons. I kept it safe and secure and will continue to do so.

I want John and his family to be safe while he is here. maybe somehow he knew I would keep it safe. ...maybe he just took a chance on me. I dont know.....

-pamela

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time

Member posted 26 March 2001 01:07

Taking a chance on people who we think we can trust, is all anyone can do. I guess he might have picked up on your sincerity and interest, and knew that you wouldn't turn your back on him, and disappoint him

But that's what I think .

-Javier C.

IP: Logged

Huffzone

unregistered posted 28 March 2001 12:28

Hey Pamela, your on the other time travel post also, with John Titor? Pamala Moore? Cause you defend and clear stuff up so quick and well....don't know why, but I still get ht feeling your in it with John Titor (TTO)

IP: Logged

Huffzone

unregistered posted 28 March 2001 12:38

I gotta share this with you guys....

Last night I was having a dream (I usually can't remember my dreams), I was sitting on my bed, putting my socks on, when out of the corner of my eye I saw someone walking in the doorway. I felt a little frightened right away, cause nobody should be in the house...then as I looked up to the person, it was me, and the sudden fear of this

impossiblity was like a shock that could have givin me a heart attack. I woke up right away. Now I gotta tell you that I didn't remember acually seeing the face of 'myself' in the dream, but somehow I knew it was for sure...pretty wierd stuff, and at the same time I'm getting this feeling of deja vu, as if it happened or is going to happen...if it does, I probably will have a heart attack! (Of course that would start a paradox...right..

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 28 March 2001 01:07

(...don't know why, but I still get ht feeling your in it with John Titor (TTO)
You have no idea how right you are. Watch what you say about John though, you don't have the chance to be on the opposing side. You gotta go through Pamela first haha.

-J.C.

IP: Logged

TimeTravelActivist

Member posted 28 March 2001 01:14

Huffzone,

Check out my website. Let me know what you think.

<http://www.geocities.com/Athens/Atrium/9822/>

IP: Logged

Huffzone

unregistered posted 28 March 2001 01:34

Well, I'm not really saying anything about John, or Pamela for that matter, just my opinion...I probably am wrong...

Saw your post JC...interesting...

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 31 March 2001 02:35

Thank you Pamela for the URL to the photos. (grin)!

I confess I fail to see how a State of Florida LONG OVERDUE registration form for a '57 Chevy constitutes "final proof" of anything tho. (Other than a long since non-registered '57 Chevy that is.)

TT_O's photos look like he used one of the bucket seats from said '57 chevy to build his "Time machine" tho. That and a few old leftover calibration gauge units from 60's Aerospace days. Not the mention the Diagram, (photo # 4) which looks REMARKABLY like this old Fischer Tube Amplifier "Hi Fi" unit I have from the '50s that still works actually.

I guess I have to admit TT_O IS a time traveller. In HIS OWN mind that is. To the days of Brylcream Pompadors and Ducktails. Doo Wop music and early Elvis. (The BEST

Elvis in my humble opinion), and the ORIGINAL "Low Rider" cars with big pink fuzzy dice hanging from the rear view mirror.

Now if we can just get him to admit that if you change the 203 in his 2036 date to 195, we'll actually have EXACTLY where he's coming from.

And I wish him well.

(She Boop She boop)

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 31 March 2001 02:39

rgrunt:
No wonder we get along so well.

You never said you were active U.S.M.C. before.

FORMER U.S.M.C. here. 1st ANGLICO, MCBH Kaneohe Hawaii.

Semper Fi.

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 31 March 2001 04:15

Daviper,
Wanna see a cool video of a person supposedly time jumping? no, its not John, but it is interesting.
http://www.anomalies.net/time_traveler

theres one for real video
and one for windows media

wonder how he did it??.....

sincerely,
Pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 31 March 2001).]

IP: Logged

Enforcer of Time
Member posted 31 March 2001 15:41

If I was to go to the stoneage, and I flicked a lighter and a torch of blue flames appeared to come out of my hands. What would these cavemen and women think? Would wonder how I did it? Or begin bowing down to me, calling me the fire god?

Magic, opps I mean sorcery or illusion?

We all have the capacity in our minds to understand that this kind of thing is not magic or real, but an illusion.

Just wanted to get that off my chest. Since I believe people should be forewarned before viewing anything. Since it at times those who post these links leave no message saying that it maybe a fake or the pictures in the background look like it's my grandma's house and stuff like that.

That's all. The rest is up to you.

-Javier C.

"For what was, for what is, and for what will be. I will fight for it's preservation."

IP: Logged

DaViper

unregistered posted 01 April 2001 18:55

Pamela:

Thanks for the additional link.

As to the video, well, I'll admit I no longer take videos and/or even photographs as "proof" of anything anymore. (Too many ways to use computer graphic technology to make effects that were impossible just 10 years ago.)

A "test" of the sort described in the video needs an entourage of witnesses and some sort of clearly documented evidence that several reliable and qualified people can attest to.

It is sad that things like photos and film/videos can't be relied on as backup for other "proof" as much as they used to be, but it IS the way it is.

Don't get me wrong, I'd LOVE for somebody to be able to "prove" Time travel". (What EVER that may mean.)

But videos of '57 Chevy seats (and even the steering wheel in one) along with old alleged "Army Equipment" (that also looks suspiciously like an old Side Band Radio transmitter as well as my old Fischer), will not.

As the Documents, well think about. Today's (2001) Word Processors can do WAY better than the quality of publishing shown there. You'd think a 2034 model could do even WAY better yet. (Grin).

But it's a cool fantasy. And as I've said before, he's nothing if not thorough about it.

IP: Logged

dob

Junior Member posted 01 April 2001 22:11

mmmmmm...

If I were using some time travel machine like this, I'd be more e afraid to be desintegrate then to be at the wrong date.

But if I had to use a time travel machine, I would bring back an ape from the planet of the apes, because no body believe that they will dominate the earth in some kind of way some day.

When I'll build this machine, I wont tell it to nobody, sorry my friends!

IP: Logged

cnovela

Junior Member posted 01 April 2001 23:13

To TT_0:

Don't you think it's funny that we had the technology to put a man on the moon in 1969, but now we can't. In fact, we will soon retire the Concorde SST. We can't build parts for them anymore. Soon the Space Shuttle will be retired. And we will not replace it with something better.

Our technology is not moving forward, it is moving backward. We are slowly loosing everything.

Case in point: take a look at Cuba. In the 1950's people used cars to get around, now they use bicycles.

In the 1950's the US had reliable power, now in the 21st Century, the Silicon Valley, home of Hi-Tech has daily power blackouts, like a third world country.

In Florida water is rationed due to the "drought" we've been having.

Our houses look very similar to houses from 50 years ago.

I could wear some blue jeans and a white T-Shirt with a pair of tennis shoes and walk around in 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000, and 2010; and no-one would arrest me for looking "weird".

I have worn to work 20, even 30 year old ties. Nobody said a peep. They fit right in.

Where are the robots, the flying cars, and the trips to Mars?

IP: Logged

Rgrunt
unregistered posted 02 April 2001 01:24

Dear Deviper,
Semper Fidelis. I don't know why but I am not surprised to find out that you are a former Marine. Did you know that Time02112 is also a former Marine? He also has a high opinion of you as do I. I think that he will be extactic when he finds out that you are a former Marine. Once a Marine...always a marine.

Regards,

Lcpl Edwin G. Schasteen U.S.M.C.

Regards

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 03 April 2001 19:25

To the board:
Please don't send me any more messages for John.
John is no longer in this worldline... he has went home.
When I receive the video of John's departure I will send a copy of it to Rick to put on his web site.
http://www.anomalies.net/time_traveler/

the time jump one that is currently there is not John's I will make sure it is clearly marked.

John requested to please not harass anyone who was unlucky enough to have the name John Titor because it will not be him.

He said he was flattered that his words were being dissected so carefully but that he could see you were applying your own standards and thoughts to what was said. He wanted me to remind you that people in different times do not think the same way you do and a cultures maturity is determined by how far it can plan into the future.

please be patient on the video.

John.....goodbye my friend.

sincerely,
Pamela

[This message has been edited by pamela (edited 03 April 2001).]

IP: Logged

Carlo
unregistered posted 03 April 2001 22:46

hello:

within one hundred years you would not be understand. Because now in this piece of future that the present thinking about is passed that or step to him, one knows that it is not possible to travel of a universe to other without being located in some, is to say to have one energy To in that universe, the unico way is to interchange energy between that and this universe (the now). but all relation is indetermine as the possible space wrinkles or limit of the material universe give the answer in teory inflationary of the universe teory, where the enlazante agent of universes is until this indetermine but reused future.

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 05 April 2001 16:27

Carlo,
the way you speak, and words you use, leave me much to question? and ponder,,
Although I am aware that your speech pattern gives an indication, that you are not of English, or Euro decent; that tells me that you must in no doubt, be from some other region of the globe.

On the other hand, your speech pattern enhances words that seem foriegn, and very seldom used, (Please explain)

Additionaly, you have expressed words that are not common, below levels of a standard 2yr. graduate program, which demonstrates a higher learning experience on your part.

(Who are you?)

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 05 April 2001).]

IP: Logged

Carlo
unregistered posted 05 April 2001 20:05

pardon by my ingles.

And my single commentary is a form to see the things that here are discussed.

IP: Logged

TSBC

unregistered posted 06 April 2001 15:19

I Want to ask you about -The Gravity Distortion Time Displacement Unit- you have.
Could you send me the full technical manual to info@timetravelbureau.cjb.net thanks! I
watched your video using this device and was awesome! I built up a time portal years
later with some similar effects.
Congratulations!

Time & Space Bureau Commission
Time Machines Forever

IP: Logged

TSBC
unregistered posted 06 April 2001 15:48

http://content.communities.msn.com/isapi/fetch.dll?action=get_album&ID_Topic=2&ID_Community=MyTimeMachine
This link doesnt works it gets nothing could you help me????

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 07 April 2001 19:46

TSBC, Try this one...
TT_0 Homepage
Photo Album, Diagrms of Time Machine & Mp3 Digi -Vids.
http://www.anomalies.net/time_traveler/
IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 12 April 2001 06:10

Time02112:
Yup.

Agreed.

Carlo's speech in English may not be what we are accustomed to, but his semantic
attempts lend new credence and contemplation to the thoughts he expresses very well
indeed.

Carlo:

Excellent!

Your thoughts are very much appreciated by this reader.

In YOUR case, the lack of fluency in commonly accepted "English", is turning out to be an ASSET for you in expression itself.

I like your thoughts.

And I wish I spoke Spanish as well as you speak English.

You've seen my attempts, and they pale in comparison.

Give us more.

Please.

IP: Logged

DaViper
unregistered posted 12 April 2001 06:27

P.S. Time02112:
rgrunt says you're a "jar" too huh.

Cool.

SSgt. L. Hill (Former)
MOS 2533
(Microwave Communications Instructor, CW Operator. 1st ANGLICO - no longer
existent as a unit.)

But...

... STILL in Hawaii. As a civie. And one of the FEW people here who has actually ever
been to Kaho'olawe. (Check it out on your map. It's the little one just south of Maui.)

I love it here.

(Smile)

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 12 April 2001 18:59

(Repeated Posting)...
The Parr Gravity Wheel Experiment, The Gravity Wheel, Gravity Car Proposal, Single
Pole Generator The Bedini Hamel Magnetic gate, means of propulsion for a flying disk
UFO, An examination of the energy machine of Joseph Newman, Method and
apparratus for accumulating electrical energy and transforming ether electricity,
Structural Shape and Design of a UFO, Making a wimshurst electro static generator,
Electrical Current Generator including Torque Reducing Countermagnetic Field, Sonic

Levitation, High Frequency generator, Apparatus for transmission of electrical energy, The Hubbard Energy Transformer by Gaston Burrige, A motor operated by radio waves, Some Improvement in the construction of electro magnets, Hydrogen Fuel generator, Marko Rodin's on his unusual coil design, An Ion electrical generator, Your Car can run on water using this device without pollution, Making your own electrostatic machine, Water Powered Motors, FREE - GEET Multi-Fuel Conversion Plans updated, Very detailed Indian Research Paper on some perpetual motion observations, Extracting Energy from a Permanent Magnet with Energy-Replenishing from the Active Vacuum... <http://www2.murray.net.au/users/egel/content1.htm>

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 12 April 2001 21:37

Dedication: In memory of TT_0...

Your "Questions, Coments, Concerns" are respectively appreciated with utmost sencerity, in liue they are indeed provided with "Integrity" and likewise sincerity "Without" ulteriour motives to make mockery, or undermine the intentions of the author!

Understand this,

I would not expect anything less than an equal application of those who sincerly wish to make an honest effort to contribute to this forum with simplicity of a sincere characture, one with "Integrety, and Mutual Respect" Please follow this "Code of Honour" and you will be treted likewise.

Godspeed on your Journies of adventure, whereverst they taketh you too, my friend... may Good Health, and a Prosperous & Productive Long Life of many works you leave behind, continue to follow you untill the end of days.

IP: Logged

TSBC

unregistered posted 12 April 2001 22:33

I will put info about timetravel_0 black hole effect at www.tectime.cjb.net soon... minwhile keep in touch

thanks to jjstriker for the info!

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 12 April 2001 23:13

BTW,

Photons are bosons, having no electric charge or rest mass. They are the carriers of the electromagnetic field. If only at absolute zero the inertial and gravitational forces are unified as believed by some researchers, then a simulation of the absolute zero condition

may be possible. EM standing wave confinement of a symmetrical resonance state should approximate the required conditions at temperatures well above the absolute zero point. The approximation could result in a type of inertia we don't normally encounter. Provided that a standing wave confinement condition is achieved for a time sufficient to be measured. A standing wave appears to be stationary hence the term standing wave. It is this condition that should approximate a frozen in time state. Just how this type of inertia reacts or interact with the ZPF will provide possible new insights. The above model is a suggestion of this idea.

The subatomic proton and neutron are both believed made from three quarks. Could this design be a MACRO proton or antiproton? Since gravity fields act on and in proportion to the fundamental structures of matter, what if that basic structure became huge? If we could then freeze the resonance of that particle would it act strangely? Would it have an inertia or gravitational effect?

We have found that when an object is forced to accelerate, it will see the ZPF to be asymmetric, or in other words, distorted. Due to this distortion the accelerating object will see a zero-point flux of energy and momentum coming at it, whereas ordinarily, when the object is not being accelerated, the ZPF is perfectly uniform and symmetric. A key result of our analysis is that these fluxes prove to be proportional to the acceleration of the object, i.e. the more rapid the acceleration, the more the ZPF is distorted. Material objects consist of charged quarks and electrons, which will tend to scatter any oncoming electromagnetic flux. When all the quarks and electrons in an object scatter the distorted ZPF passing through, the object will experience a kind of drag force. We are proposing that this might be what the inertia reaction force really is... the drag force due to being accelerated through the vacuum fields. In this view, objects would not intrinsically resist being accelerated; objects would not possess mass. Mass would really be just a way of characterizing the resistance due to the ZPF molasses (or in the future more general case, the quantum vacuum molasses) that kicks in upon acceleration.....A non-geometric (i.e. flat spacetime) approach to gravity is legitimate in quantum gravity. Similarly another non-geometric approach would be to assume that the dielectric properties of space itself may change in the presence of matter: this can be called the polarizable vacuum (PV) approach to gravity. Propagation of light in the presence of matter would deviate from straight lines due to variable refraction of space itself, and other GR effects such as the slowing down of light (as judged by a distant observer) in a gravitational potential would also occur. But of course it is the propagation of light from which we infer that spacetime is curved in the first place. This raises the interesting possibility that GR may be successful and yet not because spacetime is really curved: rather because the point-to-point changes in the dielectric (refractive) properties of space in the presence of matter create the illusion of geometrical curvature. A PV type of model does not directly relate gravitation to the ZPF (or to the more general quantum vacuum) but it does appear to provide a theoretical framework conducive to developing the conjecture of Sakharov that it is changes in the ZPF that create gravitational forces"

Excerpt Below from: Fran De Aquino

<http://www.elo.com.br/~deaquino/>

"Fran De Aquino's research involves many aspects of

Gravitation and Cosmology. After thirty years of research the professor De Aquino discovered that the gravitational and inertial masses are correlated by a factor, which depends on the incident radiation upon the particle. He showed that there is a direct

correlation between the radiation absorbed by the particle and its gravitational mass, independently of the inertial mass....here is the possibility of control of the gravitational mass by means of the incident radiation. This theoretical result was recently experimentally confirmedConsequently there is a strong evidence that the gravitational forces can be reduced, nullified and inverted by means of electromagnetic radiation." (Italics Added)

"If we knew what it was we were doing, it would not be called research, would it?"-
Albert Einstein

IP: Logged

pamela
Member posted 13 April 2001 17:03

For anybody reading through this thread about time traveler_o. John started this thread under "time travel paradoxes" at the link below for anybody wanting more info on John Titor-timetraveler_0.

<http://www.xone.net/tti/board/ubbhtml/Forum1/HTML/000367.html>

sincerely,
pamela

IP: Logged

Time02112
Member posted 16 April 2001 17:17

Subject: Could "EMP Weapons" (elctro-magneticp pulse/beam weapons) of the Future, pose a threat to any "Techno-Dependant" Nation, resisting the efforts of progression to NWO's Global Dominace by enforcement plans of reducing them to a 3rd world nation overnight, via implementation, and deployment of these "EMP" weapons? Please give this careful thought to those concerned, or NOT! because this just migh be comming soon, to a neighborhood near you! I mean when you really think about it folks, this would not even have been an option 30n yrs ago, or prior!

"Everything depends on the global computer grid now, including communications, transportation of passangers & goods, and right down wher it hurts the most... Banks & Power to run this great & wonderful Economy of ours.

"Everything you know,...is Wrong!
soon we shall all discover the truth.

p)'i4q4

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 18 April 2001 16:43

We must "Envision" - Forward, be deducing what is happening all over again."
There is a fine line between "Art Imitating Life" and "Life Imitating Art" which often
confuses the medium of our perceptions of Reality.

---"12"

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 20 April 2001).]

IP: Logged

Time02112

Member posted 18 April 2001 16:44

DELETE...

[This message has been edited by Time02112 (edited 18 April 2001).]

IP: Logged

This topic is 5 pages long: 1 2 3 4 5

All times are ET (US)

[next newest topic](#) | [next oldest topic](#)

Administrative Options: [Close Topic](#) | [Archive/Move](#) | [Delete Topic](#)

Hop to: [Select a Forum](#)[List of Forums](#):[Time Travel](#)[Montauk and the Philadelphia Experiment](#)[The Paranormal](#)[Aliens and UFOs](#)

[Contact Us](#) | [Time Travel Institute](#)

The contents of these pages are © 1997, 1998, 1999 the Xone Network and cannot be reproduced without the express written consent of its owner.

Powered by: [Ultimate Bulletin Board](#), Version 5.38a

© Madrona Park, Inc., 1998 - 1999.